THE ASYMMETRIC SYNTHESIS OF LINEAR DIHYDROPYRANO-COUMARINS FOR ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE

Shi Sun, ^{a, b} Ling-Yi Kong, ^{a *} Han-Qing Zhang, ^b Shan-An He, ^b and Masatake Niwa ^c

^aDepartment of Natural Medicinal Chemistry, China Pharmaceutical University, Nanjing 210009, China ^bInstitute of Botany, Jiangsu Province and Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing 210014, China

^cFaculty of Pharmacy, Meijo University, Tempaku, Nagoya 468-8503, Japan

Abstract--Ca²⁺ antagonistic and acetylcholinesterase (AchE) inhibitory activity-guided c*is*-3'S, 4'S-disubstituted linear dihydropyranocoumarins were synthesized asymmetrically. The syntheses were performed with resorcinol or 2-methylresorcinol, enantioselectivity was chosen AD-mix- β to dihydroxylate asymmetrically. All products were screened against AchE *in vitro* and one showed potential inhibitory activity.

Alzheimer's Disease (AD) is a neurodegenerative illness characterized by a progressive decline in cognitive function. The deficit of cholinergic functions was one of hypotheses on AD pathogensis and an important therapeutic strategy has therefore been the prescription of AchE inhibitors for activating central chloinergic function,^{1, 2} for example, tacrine, galanthamine, donepezil, rivastigmine, and huperzine A have been employed, which have all been available commercially. However, they don't provide radical cure to reverse the course of disease.³ Lately, evidences has been presented that amyloid β -peptide (A β) deposition plays a key role in AD symptoms in connection with its damages to cell membrane, synapse, and axon.⁴ The damages from ion fluxes across channels and AchE could accelerate the development of A β plaques and neurotoxicity.^{4, 5} Nitrendipine and verapamil, the inhibitors of L-VDCCs, inhibited AchE expression and amended cognitive impairment of model animals of AD.^{6, 7}

The Testa group^{3, 8} discovered that some coumarin derivatives inhibiting MAO were also endowed with the same inhibitory activity towards AchE as ensaculin (Figure 1) in vitro. 7-(3-Chlorobenzyloxy)-3,4-dimethylcoumarin (Figure 1), one of the most active AchE inhibitors among them, could bind to the peripheral site of AchE as proposed for 3-chloro-7-hydroxy-4-methylcoumarin (CHMC) (Figure 1). Kang⁹ and Kim¹⁰ et al. reported coumarins, decursinol (Figure 1), isoimperatorin, imperatorin, etc., from Angelica gigas or A. dahurica, possessed inhibitory activity on AchE and Kang regarded that 3'OH is necessary to decursinol. During the course of phytochemical studies on Angelica plants as a part of our projects, some coumarins were also screened for AchE inhibition ¹¹ and the structure-activity relationship showed that the configuration of position 3' had a great influence than the substituent of -OH in position 3': the 3' β -substituted compounds endowed activity, while the 3' α -substituted compounds had no activity. Moreover, 3'B,4'B-disubstituted compounds, for example, (-)-anomalin [(-)-3'R,4'R-3',4'-diangeloly-3',4'-dihydroseselin] (Figure 1), also endowed inhibitory activity. cis-Disubstituted pyranocoumarins with the necessary configuration to antagonize Ca^{2+} influx ¹² similar to verapamil could inhibit AchE. We therefore hypothesized that the possibility existed to discover new type of compounds from dihydropyranocoumarins with dual effects on inhibition of the influx of Ca²⁺ and AchE, and these compounds could promote discoveries of new medicines for AD. So we designed an asymmetrical synthesis method to obtain target compounds with cis-3' β,4' β-disubstituted linear dihydropyranocoumarins for the first time.



Figure 1. Coumarins with inhibitory activity on AchE

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chemistry: Based on the nucleus of target compounds, xanthyletin or substituted xanthyletins are key intermediates, to date, their syntheses $^{13-16}$ can be outlined into two schemes: in the first one (Scheme 1) coumarin nucleus were cyclized by Peachmann reaction, then xanthyletin and its analogues were synthesized by direct cyclization in boiling *N*, *N*-diethylaniline of a propargyl or methylpropargyl ether of the appropriate methylhydroxycoumarins. In another scheme (Scheme 2), benzopyranone were firstly synthesized, then the carbonyl group was deoxidized and dehydrated to afford the moiety of pyrano ring with olefinic bond between position 3' and 4'. Upon Comparison of the two schemes, the former was chosen for the experiment.

Scheme 1



As shown above in Scheme 1, substituted 7-hydroxycoumarins were synthesized from resorcinol and 2-methylresorcinol by Pechamann reaction with a β -keto ester in the presence of sulfuric acid at rt. The

position 8 of coumarin nucleus is preferred in the cyclization and then was blocked by introducing into a suitable iodine or bromine atom for the preparation of xanthyletin or its analogues, while this position not already substituted. Here, 2-methylresorcinol (2) was chosen as one of the preliminary substrates. When resorcinol (1) was selected as another preliminary substrate to afford 3, 3 was treated with iodine in ammonia gave 4-methyl-8-iodoumbellifron (5), as described in literature.¹⁷ However, the formation of small amounts of 4-methylseseline (7) and 4-methyl-8-iodoxanthyletin (8) were obtained during the cyclization of propargylethers into xanthyletin series (Scheme 3).

Scheme 2



Scheme 3



The asymmetric dihydroxylation (AD) of substituted xanthyletin was catalyzed by K₂OsO₂(OH)₄ in the

presence of an enantioselected ligand: hydroquinidine 1,4-phthalazinediyl diether, (DHQD)₂-PHAL. In small-scale pre-tests, AD-mix- β was used and the products were obtained successfully. However, the AD reaction did not perform successfully, during large-scale tests that employed greater amounts of the same substrates, even after waiting for 48 hours. It was only reacted as the molar ration of K₄OsO₂(OH)₄ : the olefinic bond was increased to 0.004 :1 from 0.002 : 1 (the ratio of reagent AD-mix- β).¹⁸⁻²⁰ The products were obtained and the absolute configuration of positions C-3', C-4' were all *S*-type determined by the enantioselected ligand (DHQD)₂-PHAL. When positions C-3', C-4' of linear pranocoumarins are β -disubsituted, their absolute configurations are all *s*-type. This may be also verified by the optical rotations and spectral characters of pyranocoumarins.^{12, 21} The optical purities were determined by chiral separation with HPLC. The e.e. of **10** and **11** was respectively 96% and 95% determined by peak area normalization method.

The products of AD were acylated with acetic anhydride in the presence of pyridine. Here, only the acetylation of acetyl was necessary to produce pyranocoumarins capable of antagonizing Ca^{2+} influx,¹² other acyls will have to be studied at some future time.

Samples	Concentration	Inhibitory rate	Samples	Concentration	Inhibitory rate
	(mol/L)	(%)		(mol/L)	(%)
galanthamine	10 ⁻⁵	73.94 ± 7.00	8*	10 ⁻⁴	39.06 ± 3.72
DMSO	-	-	9	10 ⁻⁴	25.12 ± 3.41
(solvent)					
3	10 ⁻⁴	17.94 ± 2.90	10*	10 ⁻⁴	45.47 ± 4.84
4	10 ⁻⁴	14.20 ± 1.85	11	10 ⁻⁴	14.80 ± 7.57
5 [*]	10 ⁻⁴	43.48 ± 6.52	12*	10 ⁻⁴	57.54 ± 9.32
6*	10 ⁻⁴	33.51 ± 4.64	13	10 ⁻⁴	-2.53 ± 8.09
7	10-4	11.13 ± 2.14	decursinol	10-4	28.90 ± 2.42

Table 1. AchE inhibition data of coumarins (AchE from erythrocyte membrane of rat)

* The products were higher than decursinol towards AchE inhibition

Bioactivity: All the synthesized products that were screened showed AchE inhibition (Table 1), but their inhibitory rate were lower than the control galanthanmine. The rates for **5**, **6**, **8**, **10**, and **12** were higher than decursinol, and the inhibitory activity of **12**, with a rate of 57.54%, showed especially promising

potential. In our experiment, the inhibitory activity of decursinol was lower than values reported in literature ($IC_{50}=2.8 \times 10^{-5} M$),⁹ this difference may have been due to enzyme resources. However, one question that arises when considering the influences of coumarin nuclei on inhibitory factors is whether or not substitutions in positions 6 and 8 played vital roles in the inhibition of AchE. If positions 6 and 7 formed a pyran ring, the activity increased; when a supplied electron group, for example, methyl was introduced into position 8, the activity remarkably decreased; if a heavy atom, iodine, was introduced into position 8, the activity increased. The acylated compounds were higher in activity than the dihydroxylated ones, which was in contrast to the conclusion 9 that a hydroxy group essential to position 3' to obtain inhibitory activity. This maybe results from 3', 4'-disubsituted compound and suggests that acyl alternation could increase AchE inhibition.

EXPERIMENTAL

CHEMISTRY

Melting points were determined on an X4 micromelting point apparatus and were uncorrected. Optical rotations were recorded with a PE-241MC polarimeter at 25 °C at the sodium D-line. ¹HNMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker ACF-300 instrument using TMS as an internal standard. IR spectra were recorded with Nicolet Impact-410 spectrophotometer. EIMS and ESIMS spectra were recorded with a HP5989A and an Agilent 1100 Series LC/MSD Trap instrument. Element analysis was performed at Carlo Erba 1106. Chiral separation was achieved with Angilent HP 1100 on a ODS column (4.6 x 150, Dikma, USA). Unless otherwise stated, all reagent chemicals and solvents were purchased from Nanking No. 1 Chemical Reagent Factory and Shanghai General Factory of Chemical Reagent. AD-mix-β, K₂OsO₂(OH)₄, (DHQD)₂PHAL were the products of Aldrich Chemical Co., 2-methyl-3-butyn-2-ol, methanesulfonamide of Fisher Scientific, 2-methylresorcinol of ICN Biomedicals, TLC Si-gel 60GF₂₅₄ of Yantai Chemical Reagent Factory, Si-gel 100-200 mesh and 200-300 mesh of Qingdao Ocean Chemical Reagent Factory. All reagents were analytical grade.

General procedure for synthesizing substituted 7-Hydroxycoumarins (3, 4)

 H_2SO_4 (98%, 2 mL) was slowly added to a mixture of an resorcinol (1.1 g, 10 mmol) or 2-methylresorcinol (1.24 g, 10 mmol) and acetyl acetoacetate (1.95 g, 15 mmol) in a 1:1.5 molar ratio with stirring at 0 °C over the course of 2 h. Then, the mixture was stirred at r t for 12 h, and was poured into ice-water while was stirred strongly, and allowed to stand overnight. The precipitated solid was

filtered, washed with water until neutral, and dried in vacuo to afford the residues.

7-*Hydroxy-4-methylcoumarin (3):* The residue was purified by the flash column chromatography with an eluant of petroleum ether:EtOAc=7:3 to yield the product, and recrystallized with EtOH as colorless needles, mp 187.0-188.0 °C (lit.,²⁰ 186-188 °C). Yield: 4.22 g (48%) (starting with 5.55 g of **1**). IR v (KBr) 3160, 1678, 1598, 1389, 1273, 1238, 1212, 1158, 1133, 1067, 981, 845, 746 cm⁻¹; ¹HNMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 2.37 (3H, d, *J*=1.0 Hz, 4-CH₃), 6.13 (3H, d, *J*=1.0 Hz, H-3), 6.70 (1H, d, *J*=2.4 Hz, H-8), 6.81 (1H, dd, *J*=8.4, 2.4 Hz, H-6), 7.60 (1H, d, *J*=8.4 Hz, H-5), 10.51 (1H, s, -OH); ESIMS *m/z*: 177 [M+H]⁺, 199 [M+Na]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₁₀H₈O₃: C, 68.18; H, 4.58. Found: C, 68.03; H, 4.48.

4, *8-Dimethyl-7-hydroxycoumarin (4):* The residue was refluxed with acetone, filtered to afford white powder, mp 186.0-187.0 °C (EtOH). Yield: 7.9 g (84%) (starting with 6.21 g of **2**). IR v (KBr) 3221, 1696, 1681, 1611, 1572, 1384, 1365, 1317, 1089, 859, 810, 759 cm⁻¹; ¹HNMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 2.15 (3H, s, 8-CH₃), 2.36 (3H, s, 4-CH₃), 6.13 (1H, s, 3-H), 6.86 (1H, d, *J*=8.4 Hz, H-6), 7.65 (1H, d, *J*=8.4 Hz, H-5); ESIMS *m*/*z*: 191 [M+H]⁺, 213 [M+Na]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₁₀O₃: C, 69.46; H, 5.30. Found: C, 69.58; H, 5.10.

General procedure for synthesizing 4-methyl-7-hydroxy-8-iodocoumarin (5)

Iodine 6.44 g (25.4 mmol) previously dissolved in 200 mL (60 mmol) of 5% aqueous KI was added dropwise to 4.0 g (22.7 mmol) of **3** in 100 mL of 20% aqueous NH₄OH solution during 30 min while under agitation. Agitation was maintained for an additional 2 h, and the necessary amount of 1.0 mol/L H₂SO₄ (285 mmol) was added to adjust to a slightly acidic pH, producing the precipitation. Filtration and recrystallization from EtOH/H₂O produced white granulated crystals, mp 223.5-225.0 °C (lit.,¹⁷ 219-221 °C). Yield: 3.76 g (59%) (starting with 4.00 g of **3**). IR v (KBr) 3166, 1682, 1598, 1538, 1381, 1308, 1181, 1078, 1043, 808, 755, 447 cm⁻¹; ¹HNMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 2.38 (3H, s, 4-CH₃), 6.18 (1H, s, 3-H), 6.92 (1H, d, *J*=8.9 Hz, H-6), 7.61 (1H, d, *J*=8.9 Hz, H-5), 11.35 (1H, s, -OH); ESIMS *m*/*z*: 303 [M+H]⁺, 325 [M+Na]⁺; EIMS *m*/*z* (%): 302 (M⁺, 100), 275 (12), 274 (89), 147(11), 91 (19), 89 (11), 65 (11). Anal. Calcd for C₁₀ H₇ O₃ I: C, 39.76; H, 2.34; I, 42.01. Found: C, 39.55; H, 2.52; I, 42.22%.

General procedure for synthesizing substituted xanthyletin (6-9)

A solution of 1 mmol of 4 or 5 in 2 mL of DMF (or 60 mL acetone) was reacted with 0.10 g (1.2 mmol) of 3-chloro-3-methylbutyne in the presence of anhydrous 0.345 g (2.5 mmol) of K₂CO₃ and 0.166 g (1 mmol) of KI by refluxing the mixture at 70~80 °C for 4 h, monitored by TLC. After cooling, the mixture

was filtered and the solvent was concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue, without purification, was directly heated to reflux in 2 mL of *N*,*N*-diethylaniline for 4-6 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to rt, diluted with EtOAc, washed 2 times respectively with 10% aqueous HCl and water, and brine to neutral, and the organic layer was separated and solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography with an eluent of petroleum ether:EtOAc=7:3 to afford substituted xanthyletins as **7**, **6**, **8** successively from **5**, as **9** from **4**.

4-Methyxanthyletin (*6*): Colorless prism, mp 181.0-182.0 °C (petroleum ether:EtOAc) (lit.,¹⁷ 176-177 °C). Yield: 310 mg (10.5%, started with 3.7 g of **5**). IR v (KBr): 3414, 2965, 1716, 1619, 1557, 1385, 1361, 1335, 1281, 1146, 1110, 1062, 850, 786, 764 cm⁻¹; ¹HNMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.46 (6H, s, 2'-CH₃ x 2), 2.44 (1H, d, *J*=1.0 Hz, 4-CH₃), 5.85 (1H, d, *J*=9.8 Hz, H-3'), 6.14 (1H, d, *J*=1.0 Hz, H-4'), 6.67 (1H, s, H-8), 7.48 (1H, s, H-5); ESIMS *m*/*z*: 243 [M+H]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₄O₃: C, 74.36; H, 5.82. Found: C, 74.49; H, 5.57.

4-Methylseselin (7): Colorless needle, mp 145.0-147.0 °C (petroleum ether:EtOAc) (lit.,¹⁹ 141-143 °C). Yield: 195 mg (6.6%, started with 3.7 g of **5**). IR v (KBr): 3424, 1728, 1590, 1380, 1286, 1115, 1071, 740 cm⁻¹; ¹HNMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.47 (6H, s, 2'-CH₃ x 2), 2.38 (3H, d, *J*=1.5 Hz, 4-CH₃), 5.72 (1H, d, *J*=10.3 Hz, H-3'), 6.12 (1H, d, *J*=1.5 Hz, H-3), 6.74 (1H, d, *J*=8.3 Hz, H-6), 6.90 (1H, d, *J*=10.3 Hz, H-4'), 7.35 (1H, d, *J*=8.3 Hz, H-6); ESIMS *m*/*z*: 243 [M+H]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₄O₃: C, 74.36; H, 5.82. Found: C, 74.21; H, 5.89.

4-Methy-8-iodoxanthyletin (8): Lightly yellow powder, mp 228.5-231.0 °C (petroleum ether:EtOAc). Yield: 23 mg (0.5%, started with 3.7 g of **5**). IR v (KBr): 3435, 2971, 2926, 1711, 1608, 1385, 1362, 1160, 1075, 876 cm⁻¹; ¹HNMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.46 (6H, s, 2'- CH₃ x 2), 2.40 (3H, s, 4-CH₃), 5.89 (1H, d, *J*=9.8 Hz, H-3'), 6.25 (1H, s, H-3), 6.51 (1H, d, *J*=9.8 Hz, H-4'), 7.54 (1H, s, H-5); ESIMS *m/z*: 369 [M+H]⁺, 391 [M+Na]⁺, Anal. Calcd for: C₁₅H₁₃O₃I: C, 48.93; H, 3.56; I, 34.47. Found: C, 48.63; H, 3.76; I, 34.34.

4, **8**-Dimethylxanthyletin (9): White powder, mp175.0-177.0 °C (petroleum ether:EtOAc) (lit.,¹⁷ 176-179 °C). Yield: 1.15 g (17.3%, started with 4.9 g of **4**). IR v (KBr): 3450, 2972, 1703, 1616, 1397, 1123, 1108, 873, 752 cm⁻¹; ¹HNMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.47 (6H, s, 2'-CH₃ x 2), 2.27 (1H, s, 8- CH₃), 2.38 (3H, d, *J*=1.0 Hz, 4-CH₃), 5.69 (1H, d, *J*=9.8 Hz, H-3'), 6.11 (1H, d, *J*=1.0 Hz, H-3), 6.36 (1H, d, *J*=9.8 Hz, H-4'), 7.05 (1H, s, H-5); ESIMS *m/z*: 257 [M+H]⁺, 279 [M+Na]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₁₆O₃: C, 74.98; H, 6.29. Found: C, 74.72; H, 6.37.

General procedure of asymmetric dihydroxylation for synthesizing substituted (-)-*cis*-3', 4'-dihydroxy-3', 4'-dihydroxanthyletin (10, 11), chiral separation of 10 and 11 by HPLC

A mixture of 1.4 g of AD-mix- β and 0.0007 g (0.002 mmol) of K₂OsO₂(OH)₄, was solubilized in 20 mL of *t*-BuOH/H₂O (v/v, 1:1) at rt, then the solution was cooled to 0 °C and 0.095 g (1 mmol) of methanesulfonamide added under stirring. When the solution turned from a light yellow to an orange color, the substituted xanthyletin compounds (1 mmol of **6** or **9**) were added. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C until the reaction was complete as monitored by TLC. Excess Na₂S₂O₃, water, and EtOAc were added. After stirring for 30 min at rt, the mixture was extracted with EtOAc three times. The combined organic layer was dried with MgSO₄, then solvent was removed. The residue was separated by flash column chromatography with an eluent of petroleum ether:acetone=3:1 to afford appropriately substituted (-)-*cis*-dihydroxanthyletin, or not separated for directed acylation.

Chiral separation was achieved with Angilent HP 1100 on a ODS column (4.6 x 150, Dikma, USA), the mixture of methanol:acetonitrle:5% hydroxypropyl- β -cyclodextrin (β -HCD) (3:1:6) as mobile phase, flow rate 1 mL/min, UV 320 nm as detected wave length. The e.e. (%) were determined by peak area normalization method.

(-)-3'S,4'S-3',4'-Dihydroxy-4-methyl-3',4'-dihydroxanthyletin (10): White powder, mp 205-208 °C (EtOH), e.e. 96%, $[\alpha]_D$ -113.7° (c 1.25 x 10⁻³, CHCl₃). Yield: 132 mg (38.6 %, started with 300mg of **6**). IR v (KBr): 3418, 1700, 1625, 1562, 1382, 1362, 1289, 1158, 1132, 1107, 847 cm⁻¹; ¹HNMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.25, 1.40 (each 3H, s, 2'-CH₃ x 2), 2.39 (3H, s, 4-CH₃), 3.17 (1H, d, *J*=5.4 Hz, H-3'), 5.07 (1H, d, *J*=5.4 Hz, H-4'), 6.18 (1H, d, *J*=1.0 Hz, H-3), 6.68 (1H, s, H-8), 7.77 (1H, s, H-5); ESIMS *m/z*: 277 [M+H]⁺, 299 [M+Na]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₆O₅: C, 65.21; H, 5.84. Found: C, 65.37; H, 5.67.

(-)-3'S,4'S-3',4'-Dihydroxy-4,8-dimethyl-3',4'-dihydroxanthyletin (11): White powder, mp 195.0- 198.0 °C (petroleum ether:acetone), e.e. 95%, $[\alpha]_D$ -108.3° (c 8.4 x 10⁻³, CHCl₃). Yield: 645 mg (49.4%, started with 1161 mg of **9**). IR v (KBr): 3416, 2918, 1714, 1618, 1574, 1390, 1367, 1136, 1112, 943, 845 cm⁻¹; ¹HNMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.32, 1.57 (each 3H, s, 2'-CH₃ x 2), 2.28 (3H, s, 8-CH₃), 2.41 (3H, s, 4-CH₃), 3.80 (1H, d, *J*=4.4 Hz, H-3'), 4.89 (1H, d, *J*=4.4 Hz, H-4'), 6.11 (1H, s, H-3), 7.67 (1H, s, H-5); ESIMS *m*/*z*: 291 [M+H]⁺, 313 [M+Na]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₁₈O₅: C, 66.19; H, 6.25. Found: C, 66.39; H, 6.08.

General procedure of acylation for synthesizing 12, 13

0.08 g (0.29 mmol) of 10 or 0.08 g (0.28 mmol) of 11 was dissolved in 3.25 g (3 mL) of acetic anhydride,

1.47 g (1.5 mL) of anhydrous pyridine was added, under stirring for 2~4 h until the reaction was complete as monitored by TLC. EtOAc was added and the mixture was washed with 5% aqueous HCl, water respectively 2 times, and brine to neutral. The organic layer was dried with anhydrous MgSO₄, then solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography with an eluent of petroleum ether:EtOAc=7:2 to afford appropriately substituted products.

(+)-3'S,4'S-3',4'-Diacetyloxy-4-methyl-3',4'-dihydroxanthyletin (12): Colorless jelly, $[\alpha]_D$ +37.3° (c 1.544 x 10⁻³, CHCl₃). Yield: 33 mg (31.6%, started with 80 mg of **10**). IR v (KBr): 2926, 1736, 1712, 1628, 1372, 1233, 1162, 1142, 1061 cm⁻¹; ¹HNMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.45 (6H, s, 2'-CH₃ x 2), 2.08, 2.17 (each 3H, s, 2"and 2"'-CH₃), 2.40 (3H, s, 4-CH₃), 5.36 (1H, d, *J*=4.8 Hz, H-3'), 6.15 (1H, s, H-3), 6.22 (1H, d, *J*=4.8 Hz, H-4'), 6.80 (1H, s, H-8), 7.42 (1H, s, H-5); ESIMS *m*/*z*: 361 [M+H]⁺, 721 [2M+H]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₂₀O₇: C, 63.33; H, 5.59. Found: C, 63.04; H, 5.67.

(+)-*3'S,4'S-3',4'-Diacetyloxy-4, 8-dimethyl-3',4'-dihydroxanthyletin (13):* Colorless granulated crystals, mp 113.0-117.0 °C (EtOH), [α]_D+22.8° (c 1.643 x 10⁻³, CHCl₃). Yield: 71 mg (68.8%, started with 80 mg of **11**). IR v (KBr): 2928, 2850, 1754, 1729, 1574, 1376, 1240, 1090, 910, 876 cm⁻¹; ¹HNMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.45, 1.43 (each 3H, s, 2'-CH₃ x 2), 2.07, 2.15 (each 3H, s, 2", 2"'-CH₃), 2.30 (3H, s, 8-CH₃), 2.38 (3H, s, 4-CH₃), 5.35 (1H, d, *J*=4.5 Hz, H-3'), 6.14 (1H, s, H-3), 6.23 (1H, d, *J*=4.5 Hz, H-4'), 7.27(1H, s, H-5); ESIMS *m/z*: 375 [M+H]⁺, 749 [2M+H]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₂₂O₇: C, 64.16; H, 5.92. Found: C, 64.39; H, 5.66.

BIOACTIVITY

AchE inhibitory activity was determined by general method of Ellman *et al.*²² using acetylthiocholine bromide as a substrate. For the enzyme source, 5-8 mL of blood from aorta of SD rat (350-400 g) belly was added 0.2 mL of heparin sodium, centrifuged at 1000 g for 15 min. Then hematocyte was obtained and washed with 0.15 mol/L of NaCl and 5 mmol/L of pH 7.4 phosphate-buffered isoosmotic solution (v/v=1:8) 3 times to afford red blood cell (rbc). 8.45 mmol/L of pH 8.0 phosphate-buffered hypoosmotic solution previously cooled was added to rbc and hemolysized in ice-water for 2 h, centrifuged at 9000 g at 4 °C to afford membrane of rbc, then was washed with hypoosmotic solution 3 times, centrifuged at 9000 g at 4 °C in buffer 10 mmol/L pH7.4 Tris-HCl, washed 3 times to afford milk white mash membrane of rbc, and preserved in suspension of Tris-HCl at -20 °C. The AchE activity was determined in 4 mL of Ellmans reaction mixture containing 0.1 mL of acetylthiocholine bromide (0.3 mmol/L), 1 mL of phosphate buffer (0.1 mol/L, pH 7.4), and 0.1 mL of sample, incubated at 37 °C for 5 min. Then added

0.1~0.2 mL enzyme solution and added water to 4 mL, incubated for 8 min. 1mL 3% SDS was added to stop reaction and 1 mL of 0.2% DTNB was added, absorbance (OD) at 440 nm was read at 752c UV spectroscopy.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 29872058) and the Teaching and Research Award Program for Outstanding Young Teachers in Higher Education Institutions of MOE, P. R. China (to L.-Y. K.). The authors would like to thank the Xin-Zhong New Drug Research and Development Center of China Pharmaceutical University for the assistance in providing pharmacological facilities, Ms Yun-Rong Shi and Ms Yu-Ying Lin for the assistance in bioassay evaluation.

REFERENCES

- 1. R. T. Bartus, Exp. Neurol., 2000, 163, 495.
- 2. R. T. Bartus, R. L. Dean, B. Beer, and A. S. Lippa, Science, 1982, 217, 408.
- C. Bruhlmann, F. Ooms, P. A. Carrupt, B. Testa, M. Catto, F. Leonetti, C. Altomare, and A. Carotti, J. Med. Chem., 2001, 44, 3195.
- 4. N. Arispe, H. B. Pollard, and E. Rojas, Mol. Cell. Biochem., 1994, 140, 119.
- N. C.Inestrosa, A. Alvarez, C. A. Perez, R. D. Moreno, M. Vicente, C. Linker, O. I. Casanueva, C. Soto, and J. Garrido, *Neuron*, 1996, 16, 881.
- G. Sberna, J. Saez-Valero, K. Beyreuther, C. L. Masters, and D. H. Small, J. Neurochem., 1997, 69, 1177.
- M. Popovic, M. Caballero-Bleda, N. Popovic, D. Bokonjic, and S. Dobric, *Int. J. Neurosci.*, 1997, 92, 79.
- 8. C. Gnerre, M. Catto, F. Leonetti, P. Weber, P. A. Carrupt, C. Altomare, A. Carotti, and B. Testa, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2000, **43**, 4747.
- 9. S. Y. Kang, K. Y. Lee, S. H. Sung, M. J. Park, and Y. C. Kim, J. Nat. Prod., 2001, 64, 683.
- D. K. Kim, J. P. Lim, J. H. Yang, D. O. Eom, J. S. Eun, and K. H. Leem, Arch. Pharm. Res., 2002, 25, 856.
- 11. S. Sun, Chemical Study on Angelica morri and A. cartilagino-marginata var. foliata. Dissertation of China Pharmaceutical University, Nanjing, China, 2003.

- 12. L. Y. Kong, Y. H. Pei, R. R. Yu, X, Li, and T. R. Zhu, World Phytomedicines, 1991, 6, 243.
- 13. T. Nemoto, T. Ohshima, and M. Shibasaki, Tetrahedron Lett., 2000, 41, 9569.
- 14. P. M. Dewick, *Medicinal Natural Products, a Biosynthetic Approach*. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1998.
- P. Rodighiero, P. Manzini, G. Pastorini, F. Bordin, and A. Guiotto, J. Heterocyc. Chem., 1987, 24, 485.
- 16. J. Lim, I. H. Kim, H. H. Kim, K. S. Ahn, and H. Han, Tetrahedron Lett., 2001, 42, 4001.
- 17. J. Borge del Castillo, J. C. Rodriguez Ubis, and F. Fodriguez Luis, An. Quim., 1985, 81, 106.
- K. B. Sharpless, W. Amberg, Y. L. Bennani, G. A. Crispino, J. Hartung, K. S. Jeong, H. L. Kwong, K. Morikawa, Z. M. Wang, D. Q. Xu, and X. L. Zhang, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1992, 57, 2768.
- 19. H. Becker, M. A. Soler, and K. B. Sharpless, Tetrahedron, 1995, 51, 1345.
- 20. P. O. Norrby, H. Becker, and K. B. Sharpless, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 35.
- 21. L. Xie, Y. Takeuchi, L. M. Cosentino, and K. H. Lee, J. Med. Chem., 1999, 42, 3662.
- G. L. Ellman, D. Courntney, A Valentino, and R. M. Featherstone, *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, 1961, 7, 88.