HETEROCYCLES, Vol. 73, 2007, pp. 393 - 401. © The Japan Institute of Heterocyclic Chemistry Received, 2nd June, 2007, Accepted, 17th July, 2007, Published online, 20th July, 2007. COM-07-S(U)10

FACILE ONE-POT SYNTHESIS OF TRICYCLIC/POLYCYCLIC LACTONES

Jing Xu, Chunhao Yang,* Maojiang Wu, and Yuyuan Xie*

State Key Laboratory of Drug Research, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, SIBS, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 555 Zu Chong Zhi Road, Shanghai, 201203 P.R.China; e-mail: chyang@mail.shcnc.ac.cn

Abstract - The reaction of substituted or unsubstituted 2-hydroxybenzaldehydes with 5, 6-dihydropyran-2-one in the presence of DMAP in DMSO afforded tricyclic/polycyclic lactones in good yields.

INTRODUCTION

Lactone moiety is an important substructure present in many biological active compounds.¹⁻³ Also the lactone unit constitutes an interesting and important part of many natural molecules, many of which possess antitumor⁴⁻⁹ and antifungal activities.¹⁰⁻¹³ In the literature a number of methods are reported for the preparation of bioactive compounds containing lactone structure unit, and development of novel and efficient methods for the synthesis of these important compounds still continues to be an attractive area in organic chemistry.¹⁴⁻¹⁹ During the course of our drug research we developed a convenient and one pot synthesis of tricyclic/polycyclic lactones under Baylis-Hillman conditions.

The Baylis-Hillman-type carbon-carbon bond formation has become an active area in synthetic organic chemistry in recent years,^{20,21} but the direct carbon-carbon bond formation under Baylis-Hillman conditions to give novel heterocycles has not been well documented.²²⁻²⁴ Although there are some reports on the reactions of 2-hydroxybenzaldehydes with various activated alkenes²⁵⁻²⁹ and 2-hydroxybenzaldehyde derivatives with cyclic enones^{30,31} and with chromone derivatives,³² yet only a few reports described the less reactive activated β -substituted- α , β -unsaturated lactones as Baylis-Hillman substrates.³³

Recently, we developed a new method for the synthesis of tricyclic/polycyclic lactones via a one-pot tandem reaction of 5, 6-dihydropyran-2-one and 2-hydroxybenzaldehydes in the presence of DMAP in DMSO.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Initially the reaction was carried out by reacting 5-bromo-salicylaldehyde with 5, 6- dihydropyran-2-one in the presence of different bases such as DMAP, DABCO, DBU, HMT (hexamethylenetetramine), imidazole, quinuclidine and quinine, as catalyst, and dichloromethane or THF as solvent at room temperature for 3 days. Unfortunately, no desired product was observed. Raising the reaction temperature resulted in some improvement, the results were summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Synthesis of 8-bromo-4,4*a*-dihydropyrano[4,3-*b*]chromen-1(3*H*)-one in the presence of different
bases and different solvents a

Br、	CHO OH A B)	Br C	
Entry	Catalyst	Solvent	Conditions	Yield ^b % () ^c () ^d
1	quinuclidine	MeOH	reflux, 2 days	13 (86) (11)
2	quinine	THF	reflux, 3 days	53 (90) (48)
3	DMAP	CH_2Cl_2	reflux, 3 days	71 (80) (57)
4	DMAP	DMSO	60 , 3 days	74 (83) (61)
5	quinine	DMSO	60 , 3 days	59 (64) (38)
6	DMAP	DMSO	60 , 5 days	75 (85) (64)

^a Reaction conditions: a stirred mixture of **A** (0.5 mmol) and **B** (0.6 mmol) in different solvent (2 mL) in the presence of different catalyst (0.5 mmol) was maintained at reflux or 60 for 3 days.

^b values refer to yield based on consumed starting salicylaldehyde.

^c conversion yield based on salicylaldehyde.

^d Isolated yield based on salicylaldehyde.

It was reported that a combination of quinuclidine and methanol is the most optimum protocol for the Baylis-Hillman reaction of less reactive activated olefins such as 5,6-dihydropyran-2-one.³³ But in Table 1, we found that quinuclidine and methanol was not a good protocol for this reaction. And we noticed that quinine and DMAP as catalyst in heating condition could afford the product in good yield. We also observed that the use of DMAP was superior to quinine and the use of DMSO as solvent improved the yields a little. When the reaction time was prolonged to 5 days, the conversion yield was increased only 2% compared with that for 3 days (Table 1, entries 4 and 5). The use of DMAP (1 equiv) as catalyst and DMSO

as solvent in 60 for 3 days gave 74% yield, and this was chosen as the optimized condition (Table 1, entry 4).

The reaction of salicylaldehydes (A) and 5,6-dihydropyran-2-one (B) in DMSO in the presence of DMAP gave 4,4a-dihydropyrano[4,3-b]chromen-1(3H)-one (C) in 31 - 74 % yields. The results are at 60 summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Synthesis of substituted 4,4*a*-dihydropyrano[4,3-*b*]chromen-1(3*H*)-one in the presence of DMAP and DMSO^a

 \sim

	Xn A CHO	DMAP DMSO, 60 °C B	C O	
Entry	Salicylaldehydes (A)	Products (C)	Time	Yield(%) ^b () ^c
			(day)	
1	Н	1	3	63 (95)
2	5-Br	2	3	74 (83)
3	5-Cl	3	3	60 (84)
4	5-F	4	1	52 (100)
5	3-F	5	3	65 (90)
6	5-I	6	3	52 (71)
7	5-CH ₃	7	3	54 (79)
8	3-CH ₃	8	5	43 (85)
9	5-Ph	9	3	72 (95)
10	3-Ph	10	3	64 (79)
11	5-OMe	11	3	63 (79)
12	4-OMe	12	3	57 (60)
13	5-Ac	13	3	48 (81)
14	5-CN	14	3	71 (80)
15	5-NO ₂	15	5	31 (52)
16	О СНО О О ОН		5	51 (58)



^a Reaction conditions: a stirred mixture of **A** (0.5 mmol) and **B** (0.6 mmol) in DMSO(2 mL) in the presence of DMAP (0.5 mmol) was maintained at 60 for 1-5 days.

^b values refer to yield based on consumed starting salicylaldehyde.

^c conversion yield based on salicylaldehyde.

^d without DMAP.

Both electron-rich and electron-deficient salicylaldehydes are suitable substrates for this reaction to provide the corresponding 4,4*a*-dihydropyrano[4,3-*b*]chromen-1(3*H*)-one in good yields, among which 5-bromo-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde gave the highest yield (Table 2, entry 2). A variety of functional groups of salicylaldehydes are known to tolerate this reaction condition, which include methoxy, methyl, halide (fluoro, chloro, bromo and iodo), phenyl, carbonyl and cyano groups. But salicylaldehyde with nitro group (Table 2, entry 15) and 5-hydroxybenzofuran-4-carbaldehyde (Table 2, entry 17) showed lower reactivity, and the steric hindrance of the ortho position of carbaldehyde was the possible reason for entry 17. That is very interesting, when we assumed a self-catalyst Baylis-Hillman reaction, no product could be observed. We used the salicylaldehydes with heterocyclic group such as imidazole and piperazine, no new compounds were observed without DMAP. And with DMAP, by TLC we observed a succession of minor points were produced except for the unconsumed salicylaldehydes. We did not get the desired products. (Table 2, entries 18-20).And we examined the reaction of salicylaldehyde and furan-2(*5H*)-one in DMSO in the presence of DMAP at 60 , the corresponding tricyclic lactone was not obtained.

In conclusion, we disclosed a facile synthesis of novel 4,4a-dihydropyrano[4,3-b]chromen-1(3H)-one from the reaction of salicylaldehydes and 5,6-dihydropyran-2-one for the first time in reasonable yields. And the new combination of DMAP and DMSO as one of the most effective systems may find further application in Baylis-Hillman reactions.

EXPERIMENTAL

Melting points were measured by Buchi 510 melting point apparatus and were uncorrected. The ¹H-NMR spectra were recorded by GEMINI spectrometer at 300 MHz and ¹³C-NMR spectra were recorded by Brucker AM-400 spectrometer at 400MHz. Ms and HRMS spectra were recorded on a MAT-95 spectrometer. Elemental analysis for carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen were performed on a Carlo-Erba-1110 elemental analyzer.

8-Bromo-4,4*a*-dihydropyrano[4,3-*b*]chromen-1(3*H*)-one (C2) (General procedure)

A stirred mixture of 5-bromo-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde (101 mg, 0.5 mmol) and 5,6-dihydropyran-2-one (59 mg, 0.6 mmol) in DMSO (2 mL) in the presence of DMAP (61 mg, 0.5 mmol) was maintained at 60 for 3 days. The workup involved adding H₂O (15 mL) to the reaction mixture followed by extraction with EtOAc. The combined organic phases were dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica-gel column chromatography to give 5-bromo-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde 17 mg and the product 8-bromo-4,4*a*-dihydropyrano[4,3-*b*]chromen-1(3*H*)-one with 74% yield (value refer to yield based on consumed starting salicylaldehyde) as a white solid, mp 172-174 . ¹H-NMR(300MHz,CDCl₃) $\delta 2.39-2.48(2H, m)$, $\delta 4.27-4.33(1H, m)$, $\delta 4.52(1H, m)$, $\delta 5.03-5.08(1H, m)$, $\delta 6.80(1H, d, J=8.5Hz)$, $\delta 7.35-7.39(2H, m)$, $\delta 7.59(1H, d, J=2.0Hz)$. ¹³C-NMR(400MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta 29.02$, $\delta 64.19$, $\delta 71.26$, $\delta 114.52$, $\delta 118.00$, $\delta 121.40$, $\delta 123.73$, $\delta 131.58$, $\delta 134.98$, $\delta 135.07$, $\delta 154.17$, $\delta 163.62$. MS(EI) *m/z*(%): 282(14), 280(M⁺, 15), 238(18), 236(20), 210 (12), 208(13), 149(100). Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₉BrO₃: C, 51.27; H, 3.23. Found: C, 51.16; H, 3.23.

4,4*a*-Dihydropyrano[4,3-*b*]chromen-1(3*H*)-one (C1)

White solid in 63% yield, mp 172-174 . ¹H-NMR(300MHz,CDCl₃) δ 2.40-2.49(2H, m), δ 4.26-4.34(1H, m), δ 4.87-4.55(1H, m), δ 5.04-5.10(1H, m), δ 6.91(1H, d, *J*=8.1Hz), δ 7.00(1H, td, *J*=1.1, 7.5Hz), δ 7.23-7.33(2H, m), δ 7.67(1H, d, *J*=2.3Hz). MS(EI) *m/z*(%): 202(M⁺, 100), 158(92), 149(30), 144(33), 130(84), 115(40), 102(28). HRMS(EI) calcd. For C₁₂H₁₀O₃: 202.0630; found: 202.0614.

8-Chloro-4,4*a*-dihydropyrano[4,3-*b*]chromen-1(3*H*)-one (C3)

White solid in 60% yield, mp 186-188 . ¹H-NMR(300MHz,CDCl₃) $\delta 2.36-2.49(2H, m)$, $\delta 4.27-4.33(1H, m)$, $\delta 4.50-4.55(1H, m)$, $\delta 5.03-5.08(1H, m)$, $\delta 6.85(1H, d, J=8.8Hz)$, $\delta 7.21-7.25(2H, m)$, $\delta 7.59(1H, d, J=1.5Hz)$. ¹³C-NMR(400MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta 29.03$, $\delta 64.21$, $\delta 71.30$, $\delta 117.60$, $\delta 121.47$, $\delta 123.20$, $\delta 127.36$, $\delta 128,65$, $\delta 132.09$, $\delta 135.20$, $\delta 153.67$, $\delta 163.68$. MS(EI) m/z(%): 238(32), 236(M⁺, 86), 194(33), 192(100), 178(35), 164(82), 115(32). Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₉ClO₃: C, 60.90; H, 3.83; found: C, 60.60; H, 3.83.

8-Fluoro-4,4*a*-dihydropyrano[4,3-*b*]chromen-1(3*H*)-one (C4)

White solid in 52% yield, mp 193-195 . ¹H-NMR(300MHz,CDCl₃) δ2.38-2.48(2H, m), δ4.27-4.33(1H, m), δ4.26-4.33(1H, m), δ5.01-5.06(1H, m), δ6.86-6.88(1H, m), δ6.94-7.02(2H, m), δ7.60(1H, d,

J=2.2Hz). MS(EI) m/z(%): 220(M⁺, 94), 176(100), 148(92), 133(50), 120(30). HRMS(EI) calcd. For C₁₂H₉FO₃: 220.0536; found 220.0535.

6-Fluoro-4,4*a*-dihydropyrano[4,3-*b*]chromen-1(3*H*)-one (C5)

White solid in 65% yield, mp 215-217 . ¹H-NMR(300MHz,CDCl₃) δ2.41-2.57(2H, m), δ4.27-4.36(1H, m), δ4.51-4.57(1H, m), δ5.09-5.15(1H, m), 6.91-6.97(1H, m), δ7.03-7.15(2H, m), δ7.68-7.69(1H, m). MS(EI) *m/z*(%): 220(M⁺, 88), 176(100), 148(82), 133(46), 120(28). HRMS(EI) calcd. For C₁₂H₉FO₃: 220.0536; found 202.0536.

4,4*a*-Dihydro-8-iodopyrano[4,3-*b*]chromen-1(3*H*)-one (C6)

Yellow solid in 52% yield, mp 176-178 . ¹H-NMR(300MHz,CDCl₃) δ 2.39-2.47(2H, m), δ 4.25-4.34(1H, m), δ 4.48-4.55(1H, m), δ 5.03-5.09(1H, m), δ 6.67(1H, d, *J*=9.1Hz), δ 7.53-7.58(3H, m). MS(EI) *m/z*(%): 328(M⁺, 100), 284(84), 256(58), 149(32). HRMS(EI) calcd. For C₁₂H₉IO₃: 327.9596; found 327.9591.

4,4*a*-Dihydro-8-methylpyrano[4,3-*b*]chromen-1(3*H*)-one (C7)

Yellowish solid in 54% yield, mp 182-184 . ¹H-NMR(300MHz,CDCl₃) $\delta 2.30(3H, s)$, $\delta 2.39-2.47(2H, m)$, $\delta 4.25-4.33(1H, m)$, $\delta 4.48-4.54(1H,m)$, $\delta 4.99-5.05(1H, m)$, $\delta 6.81(1H, d, J=8.2Hz)$, $\delta 7.04-7.12(2H, m)$, $\delta 7.67(1H, d, J=2.2Hz)$. MS(EI) m/z(%): 216(M⁺, 92), 172(88), 149(64), 144(100), 115(42). HRMS(EI) calcd. For C₁₃H₁₂O₃: 216.0786; found 216.0783.

4,4*a*-Dihydro-6-methylpyrano[4,3-*b*]chromen-1(3*H*)-one (C8)

Yellowish solid in 43% yield, mp 150-152 . ¹H-NMR(300MHz,CDCl₃) $\delta 2.22(3H, s)$, $\delta 2.42-2.51(2H, m)$, $\delta 4.26-4.35(1H, m)$, $\delta 4.49-4.45(1H, m)$, $\delta 5.01-5.07(1H, m)$, $\delta 6.88-6.92(1H, m)$, $\delta 7.08-7.26(2H, m)$, $\delta 7.67(1H, d, J=2.1Hz)$. MS(EI) m/z(%): 216(M⁺, 100), 172(70), 144(90), 115(38). HRMS(EI) calcd. For C₁₃H₁₂O₃: 216.0786; found 216.0779.

4,4*a*-Dihydro-8-phenylpyrano[4,3-*b*]chromen-1(3*H*)-one (C9)

White solid in 72% yield, mp 170-172 . ¹H-NMR(300MHz,CDCl₃) $\delta 2.42-2.51(2H, m)$, $\delta 4.27-4.36(1H, m)$, $\delta 4.50-4.57(1H, m)$, $\delta 5.07-5.13(1H, m)$, $\delta 6.99(1H, d, J=8.6)$, $\delta 7.32-7.37(1H, m)$, $\delta 7.41-7.46(3H, m)$, $\delta 7.51-7.55(3H, m)$, $\delta 7.74(1H, d, J=2.3Hz)$. MS(EI) m/z(%): 278(M⁺, 100), 234(60), 206(92), 149(30). HRMS(EI) calcd. For C₁₈H₁₄O₃: 278.0943; found 278.0935.

4,4*a*-Dihydro-6-phenylpyrano[4,3-*b*]chromen-1(3*H*)-one (C10)

White solid in 64% yield, mp 150-152 . ¹H-NMR(300MHz,CDCl₃) $\delta 2.37-2.45(2H, m)$, $\delta 4.23-4.32(1H, m)$, $\delta 4.47-4.53(1H, m)$, $\delta 5.02-5.08(1H, m)$, $\delta 7.06-7.11(1H, m)$, $\delta 7.25-7.27(1H, m)$, $\delta 7.36-7.46(4H, m)$, $\delta 7.52-7.55(2H, m)$, $\delta 7.73(1H, d, J=2.4Hz)$. MS(EI) m/z(%): 278(M⁺, 100), 234(88), 206(72), 149(80). HRMS(EI) calcd. For C₁₈H₁₄O₃: 278.0943; found 278.0940.

4,4*a*-Dihydro-8-methoxypyrano[4,3-*b*]chromen-1(3*H*)-one (C11)

White solid in 63% yield, mp 150-152 . ¹H-NMR(300MHz,CDCl₃) $\delta 2.33-2.50(2H, m)$, $\delta 3.79(3H,s)$, $\delta 4.24-4.33(1H, m)$, $\delta 4.48-4.54(1H, m)$, $\delta 4.96-5.02(1H, m)$, $\delta 6.76$ (1H, d, *J*=1.8Hz), $\delta 6.86-6.87(2H, m)$, $\delta 7.64(1H, d, J=2.1Hz)$. MS(EI) *m/z*(%): 232(M⁺, 100), 188(60), 160(96), 99(30). HRMS(EI) calcd. For C₁₃H₁₂O₄: 232.0736; found 232.0730.

4,4*a*-Dihydro-7-methoxypyrano[4,3-*b*]chromen-1(3*H*)-one (C12)

White solid in 57% yield, mp 132-134 . ¹H-NMR(300MHz,CDCl₃) $\delta 2.38-2.47(2H, m)$, $\delta 3.81(3H, s)$, $\delta 4.23-4.32(1H, m)$, $\delta 4.46-4.53(1H, m)$, $\delta 5.00-5.06(1H, m)$, $\delta 6.46(1H, d, J=2.3Hz)$, $\delta 6.56(1H, dd, J=2.4, 8.5Hz)$, $\delta 7.17(1H, d, J=8.4Hz)$, $\delta 7.65(1H, d, J=2.2Hz)$. MS(EI) m/z(%): 232(M⁺, 95), 188(48), 160(100), 149(64). HRMS(EI) calcd. For C₁₃H₁₂O₄: 232.0736; found 232.0733.

8-Acetyl-4,4*a*-dihydropyrano[4,3-*b*]chromen-1(3*H*)-one (C13)

White solid in 48% yield, mp 202-204 . ¹H-NMR(300MHz,CDCl₃) δ 2.43-2.53(2H, m), δ 2.57(3H, s), δ 4.10-4.36(1H, m), δ 4.51-4.57(1H, m), δ 5.12-5.18(1H, m), δ 6.96(1H, d, *J*=8.5Hz), δ 7.70(1H, d, *J*=2.4Hz), δ 7.86(1H, d, *J*=2.3Hz), δ 7.93(1H, dd, *J*=2.1, 8.4Hz). MS(EI) *m*/*z*(%): 244(M⁺, 100), 229(30), 200(80), 172(88), 157(30), 149(40), 57(28). HRMS(EI) calcd. For C₁₄H₁₂O₄: 244.0736; found 244.0741.

1,3,4,4*a*-Tetrahydro-1-oxopyrano[4,3-*b*]chromene-8-carbonitrile (C14)

White solid in 71% yield, mp 262-264 . ¹H-NMR(300MHz,CDCl3) δ 2.44-2.56(2H, m), δ 4.29-4.36(1H, m), δ 4.52-4.57(1H, m), δ 5.15-5.20(1H, m), δ 6.98(1H, d, *J*=8.3Hz), δ 7,54-7.58(2H, m), δ 7.61(1H, d, *J*=2.2Hz). MS(EI) *m/z*(%): 227(M⁺, 68), 183(100), 155(80), 140(28), 127(36). HRMS(EI) calcd. For C₁₃H₉NO₃: 227.0582; found 227.0584.

4,4*a*-Dihydro-8-nitropyrano[4,3-*b*]chromen-1(3*H*)-one (C15)

White solid in 31% yield, mp 213-215 . ¹H-NMR(300MHz,CDCl₃) δ2.40-2.56(2H, m), δ4.29-4.38(1H, m), δ4.52-4.59(1H, m), δ5.19-5.25(1H, m), δ7.01(1H, d, *J*=8.8Hz), δ7.68(1H, d, *J*=2.5Hz), δ8.15-8.21(2H, m). MS(EI) *m/z*(%): 247(M⁺, 50), 203(100), 175(58). HRMS(EI) calcd. For C₁₂H₉NO₅: 247.0481; found 247.0484.

8-(3,4,5-Trimethoxybenzoyl)-4,4*a*-dihydropyrano[4,3-*b*]chromen-1(3*H*)-one (C16)

Yellowish solid in 51% yield, mp 224-226 . ¹H-NMR(300MHz,CDCl₃) δ 2.44-2.57(2H, m), δ 3.88(6H, s), δ 3.94(3H, s), δ 4.28-4.37(1H, m), δ 4.51-4.58(1H, m), δ 5.16-5.22(1H, m), δ 6.99-7.01(3H, m), δ 7.69(1H, d, *J*=1.8Hz), δ 7.74(1H, d, *J*=2.0Hz), δ 7.80(1H, dd, *J*=2.0, 8.5Hz). MS(EI) *m*/*z*(%): 396(M⁺,100), 324(30), 195(18). HRMS(EI) calcd. For C₂₂H₂₀O₇: 396.1209; found 396.1187.

7,8-Dihydrofuro[3,2-*f*]pyrano[4,3-*b*]chromen-10(6a*H*)-one (17)

Yellowish solid in 80% yield, mp 150-152 . ¹H-NMR(300MHz,CDCl₃) δ2.44-2.52(2H, m), δ4.10-4.36(1H, m), δ4.51-4.58(1H, m), δ5.04-5.11(1H, m), δ6.86-6.89(2H, m), δ7.42(1H, dd, *J*=1.0, 8.9Hz), δ7.70(1H, d, *J*=2.2Hz), δ7.95(1H, d, *J*=2.2Hz). MS(EI) *m/z*(%): 242(M⁺,100), 198(76), 170(90), 149(26), 57(20). HRMS(EI) calcd. For C₁₄H₁₀O₄: 242.0579.1209; found 242.0583.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was financially supported by Shanghai Science and Technology Commission Grant 03DZ19228 and by the Innovation Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. KSCX2-YW-R-23).

REFERENCES

- 1. S. Bouzbouz and J. Cossy, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2000, **41**, 3363.
- 2. H. C. Brown, S. V. Kulkami, and U. S. Racherla, J. Org. Chem., 1994, 59, 365 and references therein.
- 3. L. K. Kohn, C. H. Pavam, D. Veronese, F. Coelho, J. E. Carvalho, and W. P. Almeida, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2006, **41**, 738.
- 4. F. Q. Alali, X. X. Liu, and J. L. McLaughlin, J. Nat. Prod., 1999, 62, 504.
- 5. Y. Zhao, J. H. Feng, and H. X. Ding, J. Nat. Prod., 2006, 69, 1145.
- 6. S. J. Wu and T. S. Wu, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 2006, 54, 1223.
- L. A. Collett, M. T. Davis-Coleman, and D. E. A. Rivett, In Progress in the Chemistry of Organic Natural Products; W. Herz, H. Falk, G. W. Kirby, R. E. Moore, and C. Tamm, Eds.; Springer-Verlag: New York, 1998, 75, pp. 181-210.
- A. B. Ray and M. Gupta, In Progress in the Chemistry of Organic Natural Products; W. Herz, G. W. Kirby, R. E. Moore, W. Steglich, and C. Tamm, Eds.; Springer-Verlag: New York, 1994, 63, pp. 1-106.
- 9. M. A. N'Zoutani, A. Pancrazi, and J. Ardisson, Synlett., 2001, 769.
- 10. S. Yuuya, H. Hagiwara, and T. Suzuki, J. Nat. Prod., 1999, 62, 22.
- 11. Y. Chen, K. B. Killday, and J. Peter, J. Nat. Prod., 2001, 64, 262.
- 12. I. P. Singh, K. E. Milligan, and W. H. Gerwick, J. Nat. Prod., 1999, 62, 1333.
- 13. H. Tani, H. Koshino, and E. Sakuno, J. Nat. Prod., 2006, 69, 722.
- 14. S. Protti, M. Fagnoni, and A. Albini, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 10670.
- 15. P. Pisarski and C. Wawrzeńczyk, Tetrahedron Lett., 2006, 47, 6875 and references therein.
- 16. R. K. Dieter and F. Guo, Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 4779.
- 17. Y. S. Song, Y. J. Lee, B. T. Kim, and J. N. Heo, Tetrahedron Lett., 2006, 47, 7427.
- 18. B. M. Trost and A. McClory, Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 3627.
- 19. S. Braukmüller and R. Brückner, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2006, 2110 and references therein.
- 20. D. Basavaiah, P. R. Rao, and R. S. Hyma, Tetrahedron, 1996, 52, 8001.

- 21. D. Basavaiah, A. J. Rao, and T. Satyanarayana, Chem. Rev., 2003, 103, 811.
- 22. T. Kataoka, H. Kinoshita, S. Kinoshita, and T. Iwamura, Tetrahedron Lett., 2002, 43, 7039.
- 23. D. Basavaiah, B. Sreenivasulu, and R. J. Srivardhana, Tetrahedron Lett., 2001, 42, 1147.
- 24. K. Y. Lee, J. M. Kim, and J. N. Kim, Bull. Korean Chem. Soc., 2003, 24, 17.
- 25. P. T. Kaye, M. A. Musa, and X. W. Nocanda, Synthesis, 2003, 4, 531.
- 26. P. T. Kaye and M. A. Musa, Synth. Commun., 2003, 33, 1755.
- 27. P. T. Kaye and X. W. Nocanda, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2000, 1331; 2002, 1318.
- 28. M. Shi, L. Z. Dai, Y. L. Shi, and G. L.Zhao, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2006, 348, 967.
- 29. M. Nyerges, A. Viranyi, G. Marth, A. Dancso, G. Blasko, and L. Toke, Synlett, 2004, 15, 2761.
- 30. B. Lesch and S. Brase, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 115.
- 31. C. F. Nising, U. K. Ohnemuller, and S. Brase, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 307.
- 32. V. Y. Sosnovskikh, V. Y. Korotaev, D. L. Chizhov, I. B. Kutyashev, and D. S. Yachevskii, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2006, **71**, 4538.
- 33. V. K. Aggarwal, I. Emme, and S. Y. Fulford, J. Org. Chem., 2003, 68, 692.