HETEROCYCLES, Vol. 74, 2007, pp. 855 - 862. © The Japan Institute of Heterocyclic Chemistry Received, 26th September, 2007, Accepted, 3rd December, 2007, Published online, 4th December, 2007. COM-07-S(W)79

SYNTHESIS OF THE CYCLOBUTANE MOIETY OF PROVIDENCIN

Tanja Gaich,¹ Vladimir Arion,² and Johann Mulzer^{1,*}

¹ Institute for Organic Chemistry, University of Vienna, Waehringerstrasse 38, A-1090 Wien, Austria, ² Institute of Bioinorganic Chemistry, University of Vienna, Waehringerstrasse 42, A-1090 Wien, Austria johann.mulzer@univie.ac.at

Abstract –A short and stereoselective synthesis of the protected cyclobutane diol moiety of the natural compound providencin is reported. Key step is the chemoand stereoselective hydroboration of the silyl-enol ether obtained from commercially available bicyclo[3.2.0]hept-6-en-2-one.

INTRODUCTION

Quite recently, the diterpene providencin $((+)-1)^1$ was isolated from the gorgonian octocoral *Pseudopterogorgia kallos*, featuring an uncommon furyl cyclobutane ring system. The synthesis of highly functionalized cyclobutanes still represents a challenge for synthetic chemists, as substituents and functional groups attached to the cyclobutane ring are highly congested and the ring-geometry forces them into a fully eclipsed conformation². The cyclobutane ring in **1** is *trans*-fused to the 13-membered macrocycle. Furthermore it exhibits an *exo*-methylene group with an allylic alcohol. In our retrosynthetic analysis we planned to introduce this allylic alcohol *via* an α -hydroxylation of commercially available non-racemic bicyclo[3.2.0]cyclo-hept-6-en-2-one (**3**) (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Retrosynthetic considerations

The use of **3** has the big advantage to provide us, not only the cyclobutanone ring, but to give after ozonolysis, two differentiable carbon appendages of suitable length for further elaboration. The *cis*-stereochemistry of the two carbon chains originates from bicycle **3** and was to be inverted to the desired *trans*-relation as shown in figure 1. In our current studies, racemic **3** was used to keep costs low.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We tried various methods for the α -hydroxylation of bicyclic structure **3**.^{3,4} Unfortunately we either got decomposition of starting material, or unwanted side products. For instance, after converting **3** into the silyl enol ether **4**, reaction with osmium tetroxide did not lead to α -hydroxylation. Instead compound **5** was isolated, obviously resulting from a sequential dihydroxylation retro-[2+2]–cycloaddition to give glycolic ester **7** and cyclopentadiene, which immediately undergoes Diels-Alder dimerization (**8**) /dihydroxylation to **5** (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1. Osmylation of 4 unexpectedly leads to 5

As we could not introduce the hydroxyl group by α -hydroxylation, we tried a hydroboration of **4** with 9-BBN. To our delight, the desired diol **9** was formed in 52% yield (Scheme 2). The reaction not only established a *trans*-configuration of the diol, but also allowed complete differentiation of the two hydroxy-groups, as the former enol ether was converted to a TBS-protected alcohol and the free hydroxy group was protected as PMB ether **10**.

Next we aimed for cleaving the cyclopentene ring and inverting the configuration at C-3. To differentiate the two aldehyde functions resulting from ozonolysis we removed the TBS group to obtain **11** and hoped for an intramolecular lactol formation to give **13** from di-aldehyde **12**. However, the lactol OH function in **13** immediately added to the second aldehyde group to give **14** instead (Scheme 2).



Scheme 2. Formation of cage lactol 15

This result is a nice illustration for the ease of transannular reactions in this bicyclic system. For further differentiation of the two acetals, we converted lactol **14** with PCC to lactone **15** in 35% yield. To corroborate the structure of **14** we performed an analogous sequence with dichloro-compound **16** (Scheme 3). In this case, lactol **17** crystallized nicely and we were able to confirm the cage structure via single crystal diffraction (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Crystal structure of compound 17



Scheme 3. Synthesis of crystalline compound 17

To avoid the low yielding oxidation of **14** we decided to prevent the double acetalization of **13** and used the doubly silylated diol **18** in the ozonolysis step.



Scheme 4. Synthesis of providencin fragment 20

This time we used a reductive work up to get diol **19a**. To our delight we found that mono-tritylation furnished **19b** and **19c** with 5:1 regioselectivity, The regioisomers were easily separated by column chromatography. Oxidation of **19b** to the aldehyde and base catalyzed isomerization to the *trans* isomer **20** proceeded smoothly in 92% yield with 9:1 diastereomeric excess (Scheme 4). Evidence for this isomerization has been found in the ¹H NMR spectrum. The signal of the aldehyde-H changes its position from 9.67 to 9.74 ppm. By detritylation with formic acid, **19c** could be recycled to **19a** so that no material was lost.

In conclusion we have developed a stereoselective and short approach to the tetrasubstituted cyclobutane moiety of providencin **1**.

EXPERIMENTAL

Analytical data of key intermediates:

Compound 4 (Bicyclo[3.2.0]hepta-2,6-dien-6-yloxy) (tert-butyl)dimethylsilane):

To a solution of **3** (1.00 g, 9.24 mmol, 1eq.) in dry THF (40 mL) at -78 °C was slowly added TBSOTf (6.4 mL, 27.7 mmol, 3eq.). To this mixture at -78 °C was rapidly added LiHMDS (1M in hexane, 46 mL, 46 mmol, 5eq.). The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at -78 °C, and then quenched with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl solution and extracted two times with Et_2O (100 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄ and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was filtered over silica gel with (hexane/EtOAc 20:1 and 5% Et_3N) and was then further purified *via* a bulb to bulb distillation to yield 95% 1.95 g of pure **4**.

¹H-NMR: δ 5.90-5.85 (m, 1H), 5.62-5.57 (m, 1H), 4.99 (s, 1H), 3.51-3.44 (m, 1H), 3.25-3.20 (m, 1H), 2.46-2.17 (m, 2H), 0.95 (s, 9H), 0.19 (s, 6H). ¹³C-NMR: δ 134.97, 130.52, 111.52, 49.09, 45.52, 30.77, 26.04, -4.17, -4.33. HRMS (EI) m/z calcd for C₁₃H₂₂OSi 222.3987, found 222.3982.

Compound **5** (4,7-Methano-1*H*-indene-5,6-diol, 3a,4,5,6,7,7a-hexahydro-, (3aα,4β,5α,6α,7β,7aα):

To a solution of **4** (743 mg, 3.3 mmol, 1eq.) in *t*BuOH: H₂O (1:1, 30 mL) at 0 °C was added OsO₄ (4 mg, 0.016 mmol, 0.5mol%) and *N*-morpholine-*N*-oxide (390 mg, 3.3 mmol, 1eq.). The reaction mixture was stirred for 20 h, quenched with an aqueous sodium thiosulfate solution, and extracted two times with Et₂O (50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure. Crude **5** was purified by chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ EtOAc 1:1) to yield 488 mg 89% of pure **5**.

¹H-NMR: δ 5.45-5.37 (m, 2H), 3.61 (d, J = 5.38 Hz, 1H), 3.52 (d, J = 5.56 Hz, 1H), 2.94-2.88 (m, 1H), 2.69-2.59 (m, 2H OH broad), 2.42-2.35 (m, 1H), 2.15-2.06 (m, 3H), 1.92 (d, J = 4.29 Hz, 1H), 1.70-1.67 (m, 1H), 116-1.12 (m, 1H). ¹³C-NMR: δ 131.33, 131.13, 71.81, 70.19, 51.29, 48.47, 46.87, 40.92, 35.35, 32.35. HRMS (EI) m/z calcd for C₁₀H₁₄O₂ 166.2170, found 166.2178.

Compound 9 (7-(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)bicyclo[3.2.0]hept-3-en-6-ol):

To a solution of **4** (743 mg, 3.3 mmol, 1eq.) in dry THF (7 mL) at 0 °C was added 9-BBN (0.5 M in THF 6.6 mL, 1eq.). The reaction mixture was warmed to rt and stirred for 14 h. The reaction was quenched with 0.5M NaOH (3 mL) and H_2O_2 (30%, 1 mL), diluted with brine and extracted two times with Et₂O (50 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄ and the solvents were removed under vacuum. Crude **9** was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 3:1) to yield 412 mg 52% of pure **9**.

¹H-NMR: δ 5.79-5.72 (m, 2H), 4.11 (dd, *J* = 8.96, 4.92 Hz, 1H), 3.59-3.56 (m, 1H), 2.96-2.88 (m, 1H), 2.67-2.60 (m, 2H), 2.25-2.17 (m, 1H), 0.83 (s, 9H), 0.01 (s, 3H), 0.00 (s, 3H). ¹³C-NMR: δ 134.46, 131.79, 84.38, 74.59, 49.47, 37.69, 26.24, -4.47. (HRMS (EI) *m*/*z* calcd for C₁₃H₂₄O₂Si 240.4140, found 240.4133.

Compound **10** (*tert*-Butyl(-7-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)bicyclo[3.2.0]hept-2-en-6-yloxy)dimethylsilane):

To a solution of alcohol 9 (2.1 g, 8.7 mmol, 1eq.) in dry DCM (10 mL) was added a solution of Bundles reagent (5 g, 17.5 mmol, 2eq.) in hexane (30 mL) and cooled to 0 °C. Then 20 mg of camphorsulfonic acid were added and the reaction mixture was stirred over night. The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution, and extracted two times with Et_2O (100 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and crude 10 was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc 7:1) to yield 1.63 g 52% of pure 10.

¹H-NMR: δ 7.30-7.26 (m, 2H), 6.92-6.88 (m, 2H), 5.83-5.79 (m, 1H), 5.76-5.71 (m, 1H), 4.44 (s, 2H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.49-3.45 (m, 1H), 3.09-2.96 (m, 1H), 2.81-2.69 (m, 2H), 2.38-2.24 (m, 1H), 0.94 (s, 9H), 0.10 (s, 3H), 0.09 (s, 3H). ¹³C-NMR: δ 159.71, 134.31, 131.75, 129.82, 129.61, 114.27, 91.22, 74.59,

73.18, 55.86, 49.47, 37.69, 26.24, 18.43, -4.51. HRMS (EI) *m/z* calcd for C₂₁H₃₂O₃Si 360.5625, found 360.5620.

Compound **14** 2-(4-Methoxybenzyl)oxy-4,9-dioxatricyclo[3.2.2.0^{3,7}]nonan-8-ol:

Ozone was bubbled through a solution of **11** (219 mg, 0.89 mmol, 1eq.) in $CH_2Cl_2/MeOH$ 4:1 (5 mL) at -78 °C for 10 min. To remove unreacted ozone, air was subsequently bubbled through the reaction mixture at the same temperature for 5 min. Then thiourea (71 mg, 0.93 mmol, 1.05 eq.) was added and the reaction was warmed to rt. The solid was filtered off, the solvents were removed under reduced pressure and crude **14** was submitted to flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc 2:1) to yield 200 mg 81% of pure **14**.

¹H-NMR: δ 7.30-7.21 (m, 2H), 6.90-6.82 (m, 2H), 5.67-5.54 (m, 1H), 5.17 (s, 1H), 4.63-4.35 (m, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.51-3.22 (m, 1H), 3.06-2.91 (m, 1H), 2.75-2.69 (m, 1H), 2.64-2.55 (m, 1H), 1.55-1.45 (m, 1H). HRMS (EI) *m*/*z* calcd for C₁₅H₁₈O₅ 278.3004, found 278.2999.

Compound **15** 2-(4-Methoxybenzyl)oxy-4,9-dioxatricyclo[3.2.2.0^{3,7}]nonan-3-one

Lactol **14** (200 mg, 0.72 mmol, 1eq.) was dissolved in dry CH_2Cl_2 (12 mL) at 0 °C. The PCC (170 mg, 0.8 mmol, 1.2 eq.) was added. After 30 minutes another portion of PCC (240 mg, 1.21 mmol, 1.7 eq.) was added and a last portion of PCC (240 mg, 1.21 mmol, 1.7 eq.) was added after 2 h. The reaction was then stirred for 24 h, quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution, and extracted two times with Et₂O (50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and crude **15** was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc 2:1) to yield 70 mg 35% of pure **15**.

¹H-NMR: δ 7.32-7.26 (m, 2H), 6.94-6.89 (m, 2H), 5.99 (dd, *J* = 3.08, 1.03 Hz, 1H), 4.62-4.46 (m, 3H), 3.93 (s, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.66-3.59 (m, 1H), 3.28-3.24 (m, 1H), 2.11-2.04 (m, 1H), 2.00-1.91 (s, 1H). HRMS (EI) *m*/*z* calcd for C₁₅H₁₆O₅ 276.2845, found 276.2855.

Compound **17** (5,5-Dichloro-2,9-dioxatricyclo[3.2.2.0^{3,7}]nonan-3-ol):

See procedure for compound 14.

¹H-NMR: δ 5.70 (dd, J = 3.48, 0.50 Hz, 1H), 5.41 (s, 1H), 4.76-4.73 (m, 1H), 3.62-3.58 (m, 1H), 3.26-3.22 (s, 1H OH, broad), 3.19 (ddd, J = 7.39, 4.47, 1.57 Hz, 1H), 2.78 (d, J = 12.28 Hz, 1H), 1.70 (ddd, J = 12.14, 4.16, 4.16 Hz, 1H) ¹³C-NMR: δ 101.60, 90.31, 88.38, 82.36, 56.06, 35.22, 31.26. HRMS (EI) m/z calcd for C₇H₈Cl₂O₃ 211.0426, found 211.0420.

Compound **19a** ((3-(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-2-(triisopropylsilyloxy)-4-(2-(trityloxy)ethyl)- cyclobutyl)methanol):

Ozone was bubbled through a solution of **18** (385 mg, 1.0 mmol, 1eq.) in DCM/MeOH 4:1 (7 mL) at -78 °C for 10 min. To remove unreacted ozone air was bubbled through the reaction mixture at the same temperature for 5 min. Then NaBH₄ (71 mg, 2.0 mmol, 2 eq.) were added and the reaction was warmed to rt. The reaction was then stirred for 2 h, quenched with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl solution, and extracted two times with Et₂O (50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and crude **19a** (330 mg, 0.76 mmol, 1eq.) was directly used in the next step. **19a** was dissolved in dry DCM (15 mL) cooled to 0 °C and pyridine (250µl, 2.28 mmol, 3eq.) were added at that temperature. To this solution was added dropwise trityl chloride in dry DCM (2 mL) and the reaction was stirred over night. The mixture was quenched with brine and dried over MgSO₄. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc 7:1) to yield 267 mg 52% of **19b** and 41 mg 8% of **19c** 35% of pure **15**.

¹H-NMR: δ 7.54-7.25 (m, 15H), 4.00-3.62 (m, 4H), 3.37-3.14 (m, 2H), 2.34 (ddd, *J* = 16.9, 8.79, 4.23 Hz, 1H), 2.08-1.94 (m, 2H), 1.76-1.61 (m, 1H), 1.69 (s, broad 1H), 1.12 (s, 21H), 0.95 (s, 9H), 0.11 (s, 3H), 0.05 (s, 3H). HRMS (EI) *m*/*z* calcd for C₄₁H₆₂O₄Si₂ 675.0996, found 675.0989.

Compound **20** (3-(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-2-(triisopropylsilyloxy)-4-(2-(trityloxy)ethyl)cyclobutane-carbaldehyde):

Alcohol **19b** (175 mg, 0.26 mmol, 1eq.) was dissolved in EtOAc (3 mL) and IBX (218 mg, 0.78 mmol, 3eq.) was added. The suspension was refluxed for 2 h, and then cooled to rt and the solid was filtered off. The organic layer was concentrated, re-dissolved in MeOH (10 mL) and K_2CO_3 (361 mg, 2.6 mmol, 10eq.) was added to the solution. The reaction was stirred for 1.5 h, diluted with Et₂O, the solids filtered off, and extracted with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl solution. The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and crude **20** was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc 7:1) to yield 152 mg 87% of pure **20**.

¹H-NMR: δ 9.74 (t, J = 1.37 Hz, 1H), 4.13-4.05 (m, 1H), 3.77-3.71 (m, 1H), 3.53 (dd, J = 9.50, 4.23 Hz, 1H), 3.17-3.05 (m, 1H), 2.86 (t, J = 10.16 Hz, 1H), 2.46-2.18 (m, 2H), 1.02 (s, 21H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 0.10 (s, 3H), 0.07 (s, 3H). HRMS (EI) *m*/*z* calcd for C₂₂H₄₅O₃Si₂ 413.7619, found 413.7611.

Crystal Data of Compound 17.

Symmetry cell setting monoclinic, symmetry space group P21, a =5.9039(2), b= 10.8209(4), c= 6.4507(2), alpha =90.00, beta =90.510(2), gamma = 90.00, V = 412.09(2), Z = 2, density calc = 1.710, T = 373 K, diffrn radiation wavelength 0.71073 , diffrn radiation type MoK α , graphite, diffrn reflns number 15712, diffrn reflns av R equivalents 0.0202, diffrn reflns av_sigmaI/netI 0.0128, diffrn reflns limit h min 8,

diffrn reflns limit h max 8, diffrn reflns limit k min -15, diffrn reflns limit k max 15, diffrn reflns limit l min 9, diffrn reflns limit l max 9, diffrn reflns heta min 3.16, diffrn reflns theta max 30.02, reflns number total 2409, reflns number gt 2379, reflns threshold expression >2sigma(I), computing structure solution SHELXS-97 (Sheldrick, 1990),' computing structure refinement SHELXL-97 (Sheldrick, 1997).' Refinement of F^2^ against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2^, conventional R-factors R are based on F, with F set to zero for negative F^2^. The threshold expression of F^2^ > 2sigma(F^2^) is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on F^2^ are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R-factors based on ALL data will be even larger. Refine Is number reflns 2409, refine, Is number parameters 111, refine Is number restraints 1, refine Is R factor all 0.0161, refine Is R factor gt 0.0158, refine Is wR factor ref 0.0427, refine Is wR factor gt 0.0424, refine Is goodness of fit ref 1.069.

Abbreviations: TBSOf: *tert*Butyldimethylsilyltriflate, LiHMDS: lithium hexamethyldisilazide, DCM: dichloromethane, PCC: pyridinium chlorochromate, IBX: *o*-iodoxybenzoxy acid.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper is dedicated to Professor Ekkehard Winterfeldt on the occasion of his 75th birthday.

We thank Susanne Felsinger, Lothar Brecker and Hanspeter Kählig for NMR analysis, and the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) for financial support.

REFERENCES

- 1. J. Marrero, A. D. Rodríguez, P. Baran, and R. G. Raptis, Org. Lett., 2003, 5, 2551.
- 2. G. Pattenden and C. D. Bray, Tetrahedron Lett., 2006, 47, 3937.
- 3. H. U. Reissig, α-Hydroxylations of carbonyl compounds, *Org. Synth. Highlights*, 1991, 40.
- 4. Bang-Chi Chen, P. Zhou, F. A. Davis, and E. Ciganek, α-Hydroxylations of enolates and silylenolethers, *Organic Reactions* (New York), 2003, **62**, 1.