compared to these compounds. One can conclude that CF3OOF will resemble CF3OF in the types of chemical reactions it will undergo but it should show much greater reactivity under similar conditions.

Acknowledgment.-This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation, Grant No. GP23098, and the Petroleum Research Fund of the American Chemical Society, Grant No. 4653-AC3. The author thanks D. T. Dix for help in obtaining the ¹⁹F nmr spectra and the Dow Chemical Co. for the use of the spectrometer.

> CONTRIBUTION FROM THE IIT RESEARCH INSTITUTE, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60616

The Preparation of Fluoroperoxytrifluoromethane. The Mechanism of the Reaction of Oxygen **Difluoride and Carbonyl Fluoride**

By I. J. SOLOMON,* A. J. KACMAREK, W. K. SUMIDA, AND J. K. RANEY

Received April 13, 1971

Fluoroperoxysulfuryl fluoride, FSO₂OOF, was the first compound reported to contain the fluoroperoxy, OOF, group. This compound was prepared¹ by the photolytic reaction of sulfur trioxide, SO₃, and oxygen difluoride, OF_2 , and by the reaction of sulfur dioxide, SO_2 , and dioxygen difluoride.² Thompson³ reported that the direct fluorination of salts of trifluoroacetic acid, CF₃CO₂H, produced a number of products including very small amount of fluoroperoxytrifluoromethane. CF3OOF, and fluoroperoxypentafluoroethane, CF3-CF₂OOF. 1- and 2-fluoroperoxyperfluoropropanes have also been reported⁴ to result from the reaction of perfluoropropene, C_3F_6 , and O_2F_2 . At the time this research was initiated a convenient method for the preparation of a fluoroperoxyperfluoroalkane was not available.

Anderson and Fox⁵ reported that oxygen difluoride, OF_2 , and carbonyl fluoride, COF_2 , react in the presence of cesium fluoride, CsF, catalyst to give good yields of bis(trifluoromethyl) trioxide, CF₃OOOCF₃, and have suggested that the formation of CF3OOOCF3 proceeds by the mechanism

$$COF_2 + CsF \longrightarrow Cs^+ OCF_3^-$$
 (1)

$$OF_2 + CF_3O^- \longrightarrow CF_3OOF + F^-$$
 (2)

$$CF_{3}OOF + CF_{3}O^{-} \longrightarrow CF_{3}OOOCF_{3} + F^{-}$$
 (3)

$$CF_{3}OOF + COF_{2} \longrightarrow CF_{3}OOOCF_{3}$$
 (4)

They, however, did not detect CF₈OOF in their experiments.

We have additional proof of the validity of this mech-

(5) L. R. Anderson and W. B. Fox, ibid., 89, 4313 (1967).

anism and also wish to report the first convenient method for the preparation of fluoroperoxytrifluoromethane. CF₃OOF.

In the majority of its reactions, OF₂ acts as a powerful fluorinating agent, but in a few cases simple addition of OF_2 to a substrate has been observed. The photochemical addition of OF₂ to SO₃^{6,7} has been shown to proceed via an OF intermediate. Also, Merritt has proposed that carefully controlled oxidations of amines⁸ and certain unsaturated compounds⁹⁻¹¹ by OF₂ may involve intermediate OF_2 adducts.

Results and Discussion

A. Mechanism.-The mechanism of the reaction of COF₂ and OF₂ was elucidated by using ¹⁷O-tracer techniques and ¹⁷O mmr analysis. According to the proposed mechanism, if $C^{17}OF_2$ is allowed to react with ordinary OF_2 , the ¹⁷O should be in the positions

$$C^{17}OF_2 + CsF \longrightarrow Cs^{+17}OCF_3^{-}$$
 (1a)

 $^{16}\text{OF}_2 + ^{17}\text{OCF}_8^- \longrightarrow \text{CF}_8^{17}\text{O}^{16}\text{OF} + \text{F}^-$ (2a)

 $CF_{3}^{17}O^{16}OF + {}^{17}OCF_{3}^{-} \longrightarrow CF_{3}^{17}O^{16}O^{17}OCF_{3} + F^{-}$ (3a)

> $CF_{3}^{17}O^{16}OF + C^{17}OF_{2} \longrightarrow CF_{3}^{17}O^{16}O^{17}OCF_{3}$ (4a)

Thus, the product should contain ¹⁶O in the center position and ¹⁷O in other positions. Alternatively, if ordinary COF_2 is allowed to react with ¹⁷OF₂, the labels should be reversed.

In order to determine the chemical shifts of the various oxygen atoms in CF3OOOCF3, C¹⁷OF2 was allowed to react with ¹⁷OF₂, and a randomly labeled CF₃¹⁷O¹⁷O-¹⁷OCF₃ was obtained. A two-line ¹⁷O nmr spectrum was obtained. Since the -321-ppm line had approximately twice the intensity of the -479 ppm line, lines were assigned as shown in Table I. Or-

TABLE I CHEMICAL SHIFTS FOR ¹⁷O-LABELED BIS(TRIFLUOROMETHYL) TRIOXIDE Chem shift, ppm relative to H217O CF81700170CF8 CF301700CF3 Source of sample $^{17}OF_2 + C^{17}OF_2$ -321-479 $OF_2 + C^{17}OF_2$ -321 $^{17}OF_2 + COF_2$ -479

. . .

dinary OF_2 was then treated with $C^{17}OF_2$ and only the -321-ppm line appeared; alternatively the reaction of ${}^{17}\text{OF}_2$ with ordinary COF₂ resulted in a product that showed only the -479-ppm line.

In considering the mechanism shown by eq 1a-4a, an excess of OF₂ or the removal of free COF₂ should favor the isolation of CF₃OOF. As will be seen in the next section, CF₃OOF was prepared using these types of experiments. It was found, however, that CF₃OOF does not react with COF_2 as shown in eq 4.

It was concluded that the proposed mechanism is supported by the ¹⁷O nmr results and by the isolation of CF_3OOF in the reaction of COF_2 and OF_2 .

B. Preparation of Fluoroperoxytrifluoromethane.---Fluoroperoxytrifluoromethane was prepared as follows.

(9) R. F. Merritt and J. K. Ruff, J. Org. Chem., 30, 328 (1965).

⁽¹⁾ R. Gatti, E. H. Staricco, J. E. Sicre, and H. J. Schumacher, Z. Phys. Chem. (Frankfurt am Main), 35, 343 (1962).

⁽²⁾ I. J. Solomon, A. J. Kacmarek, and J. K. Raney, Inorg. Chem., 7, 1221 (1968).

⁽³⁾ P. G. Thompson, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 89, 4316 (1967)

⁽⁴⁾ I. J. Solomon, A. J. Kacmarek, and J. K. Raney, ibid., 90, 6557 (1968).

⁽⁶⁾ R. Gatti, E. H. Staricco, J. E. Sicre, and H. J. Schumacher, Angew. Chem., 75, 137 (1963).

⁽⁷⁾ I. J. Solomon, A. J. Kacmarek, and J. K. Raney, J. Phys. Chem., 72, 2262 (1968).

⁽⁸⁾ R. F. Merritt and J. K. Ruff, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 86, 1392 (1964).

⁽¹⁰⁾ R. F. Merritt and J. K. Ruff, ibid., 30, 3968 (1965).

⁽¹¹⁾ R. F. Merritt and J. K. Ruff, ibid., 30, 4367 (1965).

Carbonyl fluoride was condensed into a stainless steel reactor that contained CsF and thawed. Any unreacted COF₂ was then pumped off, and a fourfold excess of OF₂ was condensed into the reactor. The reactor was maintained at room temperature for 72 hr. The reaction mixture was then passed through a -160° bath (to trap the CF₃OOOCF₃) and a -183° bath (to trap the CF₃OOF), and then the excess OF₂ was condensed in a -196° trap. The products CF₃OOF and CF₃OOOCF₃ were found in approximately 75 and 25% yields, respectively, based on the stoichiometry given in eq 1–3.

C. Properties of Fluoroperoxytrifluoromethane.— The CF₃OOF was characterized by ¹⁹F nmr spectral analysis and molecular weight determinations. The ¹⁹F nmr spectrum agrees with that of Thompson¹² and contains the characteristic line (-291.5 ppm) due to the OO¹⁹F as well as the C¹⁹F₃ line (69.2 ppm). The molecular weight was determined by gas density measurements (calcd, 120; obsd, 118, 121).

Fluoroperoxytrifluoromethane is a colorless liquid with a melting point below -196° . Room-temperature stability studies were made by confining a sample in an ir cell and monitoring spectral changes as a function of time. At 5 mm pressure, it required some 138 hr before most of the CF₃OOF decomposed; it has not been ascertained whether the decomposition is due to instability or reaction with its surroundings.

The infrared spectrum consisted of strong bands at 7.75 μ (1290 cm⁻¹), 7.90 μ (1266 cm⁻¹), and 8.55 μ (1170 cm⁻¹) which correspond closely to the infrared spectrum of bis(trifluoromethyl) trioxide. The difference appears to be the 10.5- μ (952-cm⁻¹) band for the CF₃OOOF and the 11.15- μ (897-cm⁻¹) band for the CF₃OOOCF₃.

Acknowledgment.—Technical direction was provided by the Director of Engineering Sciences, SREP, Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Contract No. F44620-70-C-0027.

(12) P. J. Thompson, personal communication, 1967.

CONTRIBUTION FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON, ALBERTA, CANADA

Solvent-Exchange Rates from Manganese(III) Protoporphyrin IX Dimethyl Ester Studied by Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Line Broadening

By L. RUSNAK AND R. B. JORDAN*

Received A pril 26, 1971

It has been found previously that a knowledge of the solvent-exchange rates from the first coordination sphere of a metal ion is useful in establishing the general lability and mechanism of complexation to the metal.^{1,2} The exchange rates of the solvents methanol and N,Ndimethylformamide from manganese(III) protoporphyrin IX dimethyl ester $(Mn(DMPrpor)^+)$ are reported here. The general reaction being studied is

 $Mn(DMPrpor)(S)_2^+ + S^* \rightleftharpoons Mn(DMPrpor)(S)(S^*)^+ + S$

where S^* and S are initially free and coordinated solvent molecules, respectively. In a previous study³ Fleischer did not observe any complexing with manganese(III) hematoporphyrin; therefore there is no information on the reaction rates of these high-spin d⁴ systems. It was also of interest to extend earlier studies on iron(III) protoporphyrin IX⁴ and cobalt-(III) and iron(III) hematoprophyrin.³

Experimental Section

The chloride salt of manganese(III) protoporphyrin IX dimethyl ester $Mn(DMPrpor)^+$ was prepared and purified as described by Boucher.⁵ Anal. Calcd for $C_{36}H_{36}O_4N_4MnCl \cdot H_2O$: C, 62.02; H, 5.49; N, 8.04. Found: C, 62.88; H, 5.45; N, 7.53.

The visible spectrum of Mn(DMPrpor)Cl·H₂O in methanol shows maxima (with molar extinction coefficients in parentheses) at 27,000 cm⁻¹ (7.73 × 10⁻⁴ M^{-1} cm⁻¹), 21,600 cm⁻¹ (5.90 × 10⁴ M^{-1} cm⁻¹), and 18,200 cm⁻¹ (1.1 × 10⁴ M^{-1} cm⁻¹), with a further weak band at ~17,200 cm⁻¹. The band positions agree with those given by Boucher,⁵ but the extinction coefficients seem to be slightly higher than those which can be read from Figure 5 of ref 5. It has also been found, in agreement with Boucher, that removal of chloride ion with silver perchlorate has no effect on the spectrum in methanol.

The effective magnetic moment of $Mn(DMPrpor)^+$ in methanol has been determined between -60 and $+40^\circ$, using the nmr shift method suggested by Evans.⁶ The sample was prepared under vacuum by treating $Mn(DMPrpor)Cl \cdot H_2O$ with $AgClO_4$ and molecular sieves. Cyclopentane was used as an internal standard. A Curie law temperature dependence, with an effective magnetic moment of 5.01 (after correction for diamagnetism of the porphyrin), was found. This result is in agreement with values of 4.86–4.97 determined by Boucher⁵ on various solid salts.

All solutions for nmr analysis were prepared on a vacuum line using standard techniques. All samples were treated with Ag-ClO₄ and molecular sieves to remove chloride and water, respectively, from $Mn(DMPrpor)Cl \cdot H_2O$. The metal complex concentration was determined from the weight of solvent and complex used. In several cases this concentration was checked spectrophotometrically, and agreement with the expected value was always better than 5%.

In methanol the Mn(DMPrpor)⁺ concentrations used were 9.65×10^{-2} , 9.81×10^{-3} , and $2.38 \times 10^{-2} m$, in order to obtain line broadenings in the range of 5–50 Hz and shifts of 1–12 Hz. The shifts and broadenings were found to be directly dependent on the Mn(DMPrpor)⁺ concentration and in a separate study this dependence was confirmed, at 40°, up to 8.25 $\times 10^{-2} m$, the maximum concentration studied.

In DMF, complex concentrations of 6.88×10^{-3} , 1.23×10^{-2} , and $2.39 \times 10^{-2} m$ were used to give broadenings and shifts in the same range as those observed in methanol. The methyl proton broadenings were determined by a least-squares fit of the two overlapping methyl resonances to the sum of two Lorentzian curves. Only the data for the higher field methyl resonance are given here, since the other methyl resonance shows essentially the same behavior.

The solvents were purified by double vacuum distillation from molecular sieves, the middle fraction of each distillation being used. Solvents were stored under vacuum over molecular sieves.

The nmr measurements were made on a Varian Associates A-56/60 spectrometer equipped with a Varian Model V-4343 temperature controller. Temperatures were determined from the peak separation in pure methanol or ethylene glycol.

(6) D. F. Evans, J. Chem. Soc., 2003 (1959).

⁽¹⁾ M. Eigen and R. G. Wilkins, Advan. Chem. Ser., No. 49, 55 (1965).

⁽²⁾ D. J. Hewkin and R. H. Prince, Coord. Chem. Rev., 5, 45 (1970).

⁽³⁾ E. B. Fleischer, S. Jacobs, and L. Mestichelli, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 90, 2527 (1968).

⁽⁴⁾ N. S. Angerman, B. B. Hasinoff, H. B. Dunford, and R. B. Jordan, Can. J. Chem., 47, 3217 (1969).

⁽⁵⁾ L. J. Boucher, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 90, 6640 (1968).