

**Figure 1.** Dependence of  $k_{\text{rc}}$  upon the concentration of molecular bromine. The slope,  $k_a$ , is  $(2.6 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2} M^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ . The intercept is  $(2 \pm 1) \times 10^{-5} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

The previous study which most closely parallels the present work is the aforementioned aquation of  $\left[Cr(H_2O)_5I\right]^{2+}$ in the presence of iodine.<sup>8</sup> Espenson observed inhibition by iodide ion of the acceleration (due to iodine) of the aquation reaction. The iodide inhibition requires a mechanism such as that shown in eq 1 and 2. This type of inhibition is not observed for bromide ion in the present study. It is therefore proposed that the acceleration of the ring closure of  $[Co<sup>III</sup>(EDTA)Br]<sup>2</sup>$  is due to electrophilic attack of bromine upon the coordinated bromide ion, as shown in eq 4 and 5. The  $Br_3^-$  ion does not take part in direct

$$
[\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{EDTA})\text{Br}]^{2-} + \text{Br}_2 \stackrel{k_a}{\rightarrow} [\text{Co}^{\text{III}}\text{EDTA}]^- + \text{Br}_3^- \tag{4}
$$

$$
Br_3 - \frac{rapid}{2} Br_2 + Br^-
$$
 (5)

electrophilic attack on  $[Co<sup>III</sup>(EDTA)Br]<sup>2</sup>$  as it is a poor electrophile for  $Br^-(i.e., Br_4^2)$  is not a stable species).

OH<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> is  $1.8 \times 10^{-3}$  sec<sup>-1</sup> at  $25.0^{\circ}$ .<sup>11</sup> This is faster than the fastest  $k_{\text{rc}}$  value in Table I,  $7.7 \times 10^{-4}$  sec<sup>-1</sup>. Therefore it is not possible on the basis of the present data to rule out the aquated intermediate prior to the ring-closure step. In any case electrophilic attack by  $Br<sub>2</sub>$  accelerates the loss of coordinated  $Br^-$  from the cobalt(III) complex. The rate constant for the ring closure of [CoIII(EDTA)-

**Registry No.** Co(EDTA)Br<sup>2-</sup>, 28135-63-6; Br<sub>2</sub>, 7726-95-6.

Acknowledgment. This investigation was sponsored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under AFOSR Grant 71-1988.

(1 1) I. A. W. Shimi and W. C. E. Higginson, *J. Chem. SOC., 260*   $(1958).$ 

## **Correspondence**

## Magnetic Properties of Hexaureacobalt(II1) Perchlorate

*Sir:* 

The preparation and properties of the title compound have recently been described by Bennett.<sup>1</sup> Of particular interest is the observation of a residual paramagnetism at  $20^{\circ}$  , corresponding to an effective magnetic moment of 0.95 BM considerabiy in excess of that expected for temperatureindependent paramagnetism for low-spin Co(II1) compounds.<sup>2</sup> It was suggested that since oxygen-coordinated urea occupies a lower spectrochemical position than water, the anomalously high paramagnetism might be due to a sufficiently close approach to the spin crossover for the high-spin state to be measurably populated at this temperature. Although spin isomerism is known for Co(I1) complexes in both five- and six-coordinate geometries<sup>3</sup> there are as yet no known instances of the phenomenon in Co(II1) compounds. We were therefore stimulated to investigate this compound further by magnetic susceptibility measurements by the Gouy method over a wide temperature range.

The complex was prepared as described by Bennett and characterized by elemental analyses. *Anal.* Calcd for  $C_6H_{24}N_{12}O_{18}Cl_3Co$ : C, 10.0; H, 3.2; N, 23.4; Cl, 14.8; Co,

(3) See E. K. Barefield, D. H. **Busch,** and S. M. Nelson, *Quart. Rev., Chem. SOC., 22,* 457 (1968); W. S. **J.** Kelly, G. H. Ford, and *S.* M. Nelson, *J. Chem. SOC.* A, 388 (1971); W. V. Dahlhoff and S. M. Nelson, *ibid.,* 2184 (1971).

8.2. Found: C, 9.9; H, 3.3; N, 23.0; C1, 15.4; Co, 8.0. Ir and uv-visible spectra agreed with those previously reported.<sup>1</sup> All measurements were made in an atmosphere of oxygenfree nitrogen.

Samples from three separate preparations showed essentially the same behavior though differing initial room-temperature moments, within the range 1.2-1.8 BM, were found for them. The susceptibilities are independent of the applied field strength. All samples showed an increasing paramagnetism as a function of time. The rate of change, negligible below  $293^{\circ}K$ , increased with increase in temperature. At  $373^{\circ}$ K the moment changed from the initial value to a final value of *ca.* 5.0 BM (calculated on the molecular weight of the parent compound) within 20 hr; no further change occurred on maintaining this temperature for a further 48 hr. The increase in paramagnetism was accompanied by a small loss in weight *(ca.* 3.0% in all) of the sample. The rates of change of susceptibility and of weight paralleled each other closely and no further change in weight was observed after the magnetic properties became constant. Freshly prepared samples, and samples incompletely decomposed by maintaining them at *ea.* 353°K for a few hours, obeyed the Curie-Weiss law over the temperature range 90- 273°K with a Weiss constant (negative temperature intercept) of 17-25", Samples which had been completely aged by maintaining them at 373°K for more than 48 hr also showed Curie-Weiss behavior, this time over the complete temperature range studied, 90-373°K.

<sup>(</sup>I) *L.* E. Bennett, *Inorg. Chem., 9,* 1941 (1970).

<sup>(2)</sup> B. N. Figgis and J. Lewis, *Progr. Inorg. Chem., 6,* 37 (1964).

## Correspondence

The foregoing results clearly show that the paramagnetism exhibited by this complex is not a result of a high-spin-lowspin equilibrium but rather of a thermal decomposition, measurable at ambient temperature, to a cobalt(I1) species. However, since the ligand field produced by the urea molecules must closely approach the crossover condition it is conceivable that a first step in the decomposition is a promotion of the metal from the singlet to the quintet state.

We have not been able to elucidate fully the nature of the mauve paramagnetic decomposition product. It is either insoluble in or decomposed by all common solvents. The elemental analyses differ little from those of the original compound. *Anal.* Found: C, 9.9; H, 3.4; N, 23.0; C1, 15.6; Co, 7.9. The ir spectrum (Nujol mull) is also very similar to that of the starting material, differing only in that there are minor shifts of the major bands in the  $C=O$  stretch, NH2 deformation region. However, that the decomposition product is a high-spin six-coordinate Co(I1) species is evidenced by the magnetic properties in conjunction with the electronic spectrum of the solid. This consists of a welldefined maximum at  $18,800 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (shoulder at  $20,000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) and a weaker maximum at 8700 cm<sup>-1</sup>. These bands may<br>be assigned to the transitions  ${}^4T_{1g}(F) \rightarrow {}^4T_{1g}(P)$  and  ${}^4T_{1g}(F)$  $\rightarrow$ <sup>4</sup>T<sub>2g</sub>(F) in *O<sub>h</sub>* symmetry, the former being split by spinorbit coupling.<sup>4</sup> A weak shoulder at  $\sim$ 13,500 cm<sup>-1</sup> may be due to the two-electron  ${}^{4}T_{1g}(F) \rightarrow {}^{4}A_{2g}$  transition. The volatile decomposition product was shown by ir spectroscopy to be  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . No HNCO or NH<sub>3</sub>, thermal dissociation products of uncomplexed urea at temperatures above the melting point,<sup>5</sup> were detected.

Registry **No.** Hexaureacobalt(II1) perchlorate, 27894-63-9.

**(4) F. A. Cotton and G. Wilkinson, "Advanced Inorganic (5) N. V. Sidgwick, "The Organic Chemistry of Nitrogen," Chemistry," Interscience, New York, N.** Y., **1966, p 871. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1966, p 419.** 

**Department** of **Chemistry Ann Morrison Queen's University Belfast** BT9 **5AG Northern** Ireland

*Received April 17, 1972* 

## The Hydrogen Peroxide-Chlorine Reaction and Its Catalysis by **Manganese(II1)-Manganese(I1)'**

*Sir:* 

Investigations of the kinetics of the reaction

 $H, O, + Cl, \Rightarrow 2H^+ + 2Cl^- + O,$ 

by Makower and Bray<sup>2</sup> in the 1930's culminated in a definitive study of this system by Connick, published in 1947.<sup>3</sup> The rate law for the reaction was shown to consist of several terms which could be ascribed to interactions between  $H_2O_2$ and OC1<sup>-</sup>, HOC1, and C1<sub>2</sub>. At [H<sup>+</sup>][C1<sup>-</sup>]  $\ge 0.1 M<sup>2</sup>$ , the reacting species are  $H_2O_2$  and  $Cl_2$ . Catalysis of this reaction by the manganese(II1)-manganese(I1) couple has also been reported in hydrochloric acid solutions in this concentration range.<sup>4</sup>

- **(3) R. E. Connick,** *J.* **Amer. Chem.** *SOC.,* **69, 1509 (1947).** 
	- (4) J. I. Morrow and L. Silver, *Inorg. Chem.*, 11, 231 (1972).

The purpose of this letter is to suggest a mechanism for the uncatalyzed reaction which is consistent with the best available evidence concerning the nature of the reaction intermediates. The mechanism proposed also explains the main features of the Mn(II1)-Mn(I1) catalysis. **A** critique of the experimental evidence collected for the catalyzed reaction indicates, however, that a detailed analysis of the rate law is at present not justified for this system.

hydrochloric acid ( seems clear that HOCl and OCl" are the molecular species responsible for reaction with  $H_2O_2$ . (The actual value of the rate constant for reaction with  $OCl^-$  reported by Connick<sup>3</sup> may be incorrect as it is very difficult to vary the [H'] over a sufficiently wide range.) However, at sufficiently high acidity, the approximation  $\begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{Cl}_2 \end{bmatrix}_t = \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{Cl}_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}_2 \end{bmatrix}_t = \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}_2 \end{bmatrix}$ throughout) is valid (subscript "t" refers to total stoichiometric concentration) and the rate law is Uncatalyzed Reaction. At relatively low concentrations of  $M^2 \gtrsim$  [H<sup>+</sup>][Cl<sup>-</sup>]  $\gtrsim 10^{-7} M^2$ ) it

$$
-\frac{d[Cl_2]}{dt} = -\frac{d[H_2O_2]}{dt} = k_{app}[Cl_2][H_2O_2]
$$

This result is consistent with the following mechanism, in which the intermediate HOOCI is assumed to be in a steady state.

$$
H_2O_2 + Cl_2 \stackrel{k_a}{\underset{k_b}{\rightleftarrows}} \text{HOOC1} + H^+ + Cl^-
$$
  
HOOCl  $\stackrel{k_c}{\rightarrow} O_2 + H^+ + Cl^-$ 

The variation of  $k_{app}$  with  $[H^+] [Cl^-]$  is best demonstrated through the expression

$$
\frac{1}{k_{\rm app}} = \frac{k_{\rm b}[\rm{H}^{+}][\rm{Cl}^{-}]}{k_{\rm c}k_{\rm a}} + \frac{1}{k_{\rm a}}
$$

Our treatment of Makower and Bray's data is shown in Figure 1. From the least-squares line drawn through the points, we obtain  $k_{a} = (1.92 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{2} M^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ ,  $k_b/k_c = 1.60 \pm 0.15 M^{-2}$  and  $k_{app} = 25.9 M^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$  at  $[HCI] = 2.0 M$ , in excellent agreement with Connick's analysis of the same data.<sup>3</sup>

Numerous studies by conventional and radiolytic techniques have failed to provide further evidence for the existence of HOOC1. Indeed, it seems unlikely that it could be formed in a single step should it be shown to exist. The reverse reaction, formally third-order, also represents a composite process. On the other hand, ample evidence has accumulated for the existence of hydroperoxy and chlorine radicals. The predominant forms of these radicals in these solutions are  $\overline{H}_2O_2^+$  and  $Cl_2^-$ , respectively.<sup>5,6</sup> The  $H_2O_2^+$  radical has been postulated as an intermediate in the oxidation of  $H_2O_2$  by manganese(III);<sup>7</sup> Cl<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> is even more well known, as it and other  $X_2$ <sup>-</sup> species  $(X = \text{halogen})$  have been invoked to explain a number of reaction mechanisms, including manganese(II1) catalysis of the oxidation of oxalate by permanganate in HCl solutions.<sup>8</sup> A free radical mechanism, in its simplest form, is

$$
H_2O_2 + Cl_2 \stackrel{k_1}{\underset{k_2}{\rightleftharpoons}} H_2O_2^+ + Cl_2^-
$$
 (1)

**<sup>(1)</sup> The authors gratefully acknowledge partial support from a Research Corp. grant (G. D.) and from National Science Foundation Grant GP-4277 (K. K.).** 

**<sup>(2) (</sup>a) B. Makower and W. C. Bray,** *J.* **Amer. Chem.** *Soc.,* **55, 4765 (1933);** (b) **B. Makower,** *ibld.,* **56, 1315 (1934).** 

**<sup>(5)</sup> B. H. J. Bielski and J. M. Gebicki, Advan. Radiat. Chem., 2, 177 (1970).** 

**<sup>(6)</sup> M. E. Langmuir and E. Hayon,** *J.* **Phys. Chem., 71,3808**  ( **19 67).** 

<sup>(7)</sup> G. Davies, L. J. Kirschenbaum, and K. Kustin, *Inorg. Chem.*, 7, **146 (1968).** 

**<sup>(8)</sup> H. Taube,** *J.* **Amer.** *Chem. Soc.,* **69, 1418 (1947); 70,3928 (1948).**