Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts 02215, Radiation Biology Laboratory, University of Texas, Austin, Texas 78712, and Pioneering Research Laboratory, U. S. Army Natick Laboratories, Natick, Massachusetts 01760

Rate **of** Reduction **of** Cobalt(II1) Complexes by CO_2 ⁻⁻ Radicals in Aqueous Solution¹

Morton Z. Hoffman^{*2a} and M. Simic^{2b}

Received January 29, I973

Reduction of cationic cobalt(II1) complexes by the hydrated electron, e_{aq} , is very fast with diffusion-controlled rates of $(4-9) \times 10^{10} M^{-1}$ sec⁻¹.³ Although the differences in these rates may be due to the charges and radii of the complexes,⁴ the very low reduction potential of e_{aq} ⁻ $(E^{\circ} =$ -2.8 V)³ makes it an indiscriminant reagent and not kinetically selective. Recently, a great deal of interest has been directed toward the reactions of other radicals with Co(II1) complexes in an attempt to aid in the elucidation of the mechanism of inter- and intramolecular electron transfer. $5-8$ Of particular concern has been the correlation of the rate constant for the electron-transfer reaction of the radical with the complex with the potential of the redox couple and the free energy of the reaction and comparison with outer-sphere reactions involving metal ion reductants $(e.g., Cr^{2+}, Ru(NH_3)_6^{2+}, and V^{2+}).^{9,10}$ We have chosen to examine the rate of reaction of CO_2 ⁻ radicals $(E_{1/2}$ *vs.* sce = -1.3 V)¹¹ with selected cobalt(III)-pentaammine and -tris-(bipyridyl) complexes to see if this milder reductant of 1 charge would show a greater selectivity in its reaction rates and reactivity patterns compared with those of **eaq-.**

The technique of pulse radiolysis permits the CO_2 ⁻ radical to be generated conveniently in less than 1 μ sec *via* reaction 1 in solutions containing $0.1 M HCO_2^-$ and $2.5 \times 10^{-2} M$

OH or H + HCO₂⁻
$$
\rightarrow
$$
 CO₂⁻ + H₂O or H₂
\n $k_1 = 2.5 \times 10^9$ or $2.5 \times 10^8 M^{-1}$ sec⁻¹

 N_2O (1 atm partial pressure in order to convert e_{aq} ⁻ to $\cdot OH$ radicals). However, because of the low absorptivity of the CO₂ \cdot radical in accessible regions of the spectrum (λ_{ma} 235 nm; ϵ_{max} 3000 M^{-1} cm⁻¹),¹² the disappearance of the radical cannot be conveniently observed. Similarly, most simple cobalt(II1)-ammine complexes do not absorb appreciably above 280 nm thus restricting the possibility of monitoring the disappearance of the complex upon reduc-

(1) Supported in part by NSF Grant GP **11213,** by NIH Grant GM **13557,** and by AEC Contract **AT-(40-1)-3408.**

- *(2)* (a) Boston University. (b) University of Texas.
- **(3)** E. **J.** Hart and M. Anbar, "The Hydrated Electron," Wiley- Interscience, New York, N. Y., **1970.**
- **(4)** M. Anbar and E. **J.** Hart, *Advan. Chem. Ser.,* **No. 81, 79 (1 968).**
- **(5)** M. **Z.** Hoffman and M. Simic, *J. Amer. Chem. SOC.,* **92, 5533 (1970).**
- **(6) M. Z.** Hoffman and M. Simic, *J. Amer. Chem. SOC.,* **94, 1757 (1972).**
- **(7)** H. Cohen and D. Meyerstein, *J. Amr. C'hem. SOC.,* **94, 6944 (1 972).**
- **(8)** H. Cohen and D. Meyerstein, private communication **of** unpublished results.
- **(9)** D. P. Rillema, **J. F.** Endicott, and R. C. Patel, *J. Amer. Chem.* **Soc., 94, 394 (1972).**
- **(10)** D. P. Rillema and **J.** F. Endicott, *Inorg. Chem.,* **11, 2361 (1972).**
- **(1 1) J.** Lilie, G. Beck, and **A.** Henglein, *Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem.,* **75,458 (1971).**
- **(12)** *P.* Neta, M. Simic, and E. Hayon, *J. Phys. Chem.,* **73, 4207 (1969).**

tion by the radical. Furthermore, very few Co(II1) complexes are known to give reduced intermediates which can be detected by absorption spectrophotometry. We have already reported⁶ that p-nitrobenzoatopentaamminecobalt-(111) **(p-02NC6H4C02CoIII(NH3)5 2*;** PNBPA) reacts rapidly with CO_2 ⁻⁻ to form a strongly absorbing transient intermediate $(\lambda_{\text{max}} 330 \text{ nm}; \epsilon_{\text{max}} 2.0 \times 10^4 M^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}).$

$$
CO_2 = + \text{PNBPA} \rightarrow \text{PNBPA} = + CO_2 \tag{2}
$$

$$
k_2 = 1.9 \times 10^9 \, M^{-1} \, \text{sec}^{-1}
$$

PNBPA⁻⁻ is relatively long-lived with its intramolecular decay to Co²⁺ having a rate constant 2.6×10^3 sec⁻¹. From the competition for CO_2 ⁻⁻ between PNBPA and the complex of interest, values of k_3 can be evaluated.

$$
CO_2
$$
⁻ + complex \rightarrow reduced complex + CO_2 (3)

Experimental Section

 4×10^{-5} *M* PNBPA and up to 2×10^{-4} *M* of the complex of interest were subjected to 30-nsec pulses of 2.3-MeV electrons (dose/pulse \simeq 1 krad) and the absorbance of PNBPA⁺ at 330 nm using a 2-cm optical path length was recorded.¹³ Under the conditions of the experiments, the formation of PNBPA⁻ was complete within 40 μ sec after the pulse and showed very little decay (~10%) during that time. The oscilloscopic traces, recorded on Polaroid film, were extrapolated back to "zero time" to give the absorbance of PNBPA. under initial conditions. Solutions were prepared from triply distilled water that had been radiolyzed and photolyzed and were buffered using phosphate. The complexes were prepared using standard inorganic syntheses and were characterized by their absorption spectrum and elemental analysis. N_2O -saturated neutral solutions containing 0.1 M HCO₂⁻ and

Results and Discussion

Competition for CO₂⁻⁻ by a particular complex *via* reaction 3 causes a reduction in the amount of PNBPA . generated in reaction 2. This is reflected by a diminution of the absorbance of $PNBPA -$ at 330 nm. At constant radiation dose per pulse, simple competition kinetics yields 2. This is reflected by a diminution of

BPA⁻⁻ at 330 nm. At constant radiation

mple competition kinetics yields
 $\left(\frac{A^0 - A}{A}\right)$

bsorbance of PNBPA⁻⁻ at 330 nm in the

$$
k_3 = \frac{k_2[\text{PNBPA}]}{[\text{complex}]} \left(\frac{A^0 - A}{A}\right)
$$

where A^0 is the absorbance of PNBPA \cdot ⁻ at 330 nm in the absence of added complex scavenger and *A* is the absorbance in the presence of complex. In the case of $p-O_2NC_6H_4CH_2$ - $CO₂CO₁II(MH₃)₅$ ²⁺, $k₃$ could be measured directly from the formation kinetics of the resulting transient at 295 nm (in the absence of PNBPA); for $Co(bipy)_{3}^{3+}$, k_3 was obtained from the kinetics of the disappearance of the strong absorption of the complex at 330 nm (in the absence of PNBPA). In none of the other complexes was a reduced species detected; as well, the rate of electron transfer from PNBPA \cdot to the other complexes is too slow $(k < 10⁷ M⁻¹ sec⁻¹)$ to interfere with these measurements. Table I summarizes the data.

As expected, the CO_2 ⁻⁻ radical is kinetically more selective than e_{aq} ⁻ and the values of $k(CO_2 \cdot$ ⁻ + complex) show a range of over two orders of magnitude depending upon the nature of the ligands. The faster reactions shown by the aromatic nitro complexes are compatible with the strong electrophilic character of the nitro group; CO_2 ⁻ reacts rapidly ($>10^9$ M^{-1}) sec^{-1}) with these free ligands. Thus, the coordination of these ligands does not remove the reactive site for CO_2 . attack and electron transfer. In the case of all the other complexes which show rate constants in the rather narrow range of 10^7 - 10^8 M^{-1} sec⁻¹, CO₂⁻⁻ does not react with the

(13) M. Simic, P. Neta, and E. Hayon, *J. Phys. Chem.,* **73, 3794 (1 969).**

a pH 6.9 unless otherwise indicated. *b* pH 5.2. c pH 7.8. *d* Pyridinecarboxylate. *e* Pyridine.

free ligands at a measurable rate $(k < 10⁷ M⁻¹ sec⁻¹)$. It should be noted, however, that although the CO_2 ⁻ radical does not react at a measurable rate with 2,2'-bipyridyl in neutral solution, it reacts rapidly with the protonated forms of the free ligand. Thus, the reactivity of the radical must be governed more by the nature of the complex as a whole than by the properties of the particular free ligand. But it is also clear that the small differences in the reduction potentials of the complexes, and thus the free energy changes of the reactions, do not correlate with the differences in the values of *k*. $\text{Co(NH}_3)_{6}^{3+}$, with $E^{\circ} = 0.1 \text{ V}^{14}$, exhibits a rate constant that is intermediate among the less reactive complexes and is about as reactive as $\mathrm{Co(NH_3)_5OH_2}^{3+}$ with a reduction potential of 0.33 V.¹⁵ No direct correlation can be seen among Co(NH₃₎₅NO₂²⁺ ($E^{\circ} \approx 0.3$ V),¹⁶ Co(NH₃₎₅- Cl^{2+} $(E^{\circ} \approx 0.4),^{16}$ or the carboxylato complexes $(E^{\circ} \approx 0.4)$ 0.3 V).16 **A** similar lack of correlation between the redox potential of the couple and the rate constant for reaction has been seen in the reaction of Co(II1) complexes with \cdot CH₂OH radicals.⁸ Similarly, there is no direct correlation between $k(CO_2 - \text{complex})$ and the overall charge on the complex.

The compounds examined appear to fall into two distinct categories: those that are highly reactive in which the reaction with CO_2 ^{- $\overline{}$} involves the direct attack and electron transfer to an available orbital localized on the ligand and those that are only moderately reactive where the lower ligand orbitals are saturated and available antibonding orbitals are highly energetic. In the latter cases, the e_g ^{*} orbitals of the metal center are at lower energy than any available ligand orbitals; the energy of the e_{ϵ} ^{*} orbital is affected by the nature of the **X** ligand, but again there does not appear to be any direct correlation with the position of the \bar{X} ligand in the spectrochemical series.¹⁷ However, the lower rate exhibited by these complexes could arise from radical attack at a face or edge of the octahedron which would be sterically restricted.

In the general sense, linear free energy correlations are certainly followed for e_{aq}^- , CO_2^- , other radicals, and the milder chemical reducing agents with the highest reactivities

(14) W. Latimer, "Oxidation Potentials," Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1952.

- (15) R. G. Yalman, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1, 16 (1962).
(16) J. F. Endicott, private communication.
-
- (17) F. Basolo and R. G. Pearson, "Mechanisms of Inorganic Reactions," 2nd ed, Wiley, New York, N. Y., 1967, p 170.

shown by the strongest reductants. The relative differences in the free energy change among the complexes when allowed to react with CO_2 ⁻⁻ are small and so the differences in the rate constants are likewise expected to be small. That there is no apparent correlation between the redox potential and the rate constant no doubt arises from other factors that contribute to the reactivity when the differences in the free energy changes are small.

Acknowledgment. The authors wish to thank Dr. E. Hayon of the U. S. Army Natick Laboratories for the use of the pulse radiolysis apparatus and his interest in the work.

Registry No. CO_2 , 14485-07-5; $Co(NH_3)_6^{2+}$, 14695-95-5; $Co(NH₃, H₂O²⁺, 14403-82-8; Co(NH₃),OH⁺, 16632-75-0; Co(NH₃),$ Cl⁺, 14970-14-0; Co(NH₃),NO₂⁺, 16633-04-8; Co(NH₃),NO₃⁺, 15077-
47-1; Co(NH₃),(CH₃CO₂)⁺, 16632-78-3; Co(NH₃),(C₆H₃CO₂)⁺, $30931-77-2$; $\ddot{Co}(\text{NH}_3)$, $(\dot{C}_6H_5CH_2CO_2)^+$, 40544-43-2; $\ddot{Co}(\text{NH}_3)$, - $(NC, H₄CO₂)⁺$, 40544-44-3; Co(NH₃)_s (NC₅H₅)⁺, 31011-67-3; Co- (NH_3) ₅ (p-NCC₆H₄CO₂)⁺, 40544-46-5; Co(NH₃)₅ (p-O₂NC₆H₄CO₂) 36445-08-6; $\text{Co(NH}_{3})_5(p\text{-}O_2\text{NC}_6\text{H}_4\text{CH}_2\text{CO}_2)^+$, 40544-48-7; Co- $(bipy)_{3}^{3+}$, 19052-39-2.

> Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington 99163

Tris(dichloroboryl)methane, Bis(dichloroboryl)methane, and a Possible New Route to Carboranes'

D. S. Matteson* and Peter K. Mattschei

Received January 17, I973

The availability of tetrakis(dimethoxybory1)methane and related compounds² suggested to us the possibility of a new route to the smaller carboranes. However, our attempts at direct reduction failed,^{2,3} and a good synthesis of carboranes from acetylene and pentaborane has been reported recently.⁴ There remains some possible advantage in reducing the boron at a later stage of the synthesis and also the possibility of synthesizing new nido-carboranes, and we have explored the preparation and reduction of bis- and tris(dichlorobory1) methane with this objective in mind.

The rationale for using the chloro compounds was that their reduction should be easier than that of the alkoxy derivatives tried earlier, which undergo loss of boron and polymerization on treatment with basic reducing agents.² We began by trying to make tetrakis(dichloroboryl)methane, $C(BC1₂)₄$, from tetrakis(dimethoxyboryl)methane, $C[B (OCH₃)₂$, and boron trichloride or other reactive chlorides but obtained only polymeric product^,^ even though *C-* $(BCl₂)₄$ has been prepared in small quantities from carbon vapor and B_2Cl_4 and is stable when pure.⁵ Tris(dichlorobory1)methane seemed more promising, inasmuch as Castle

^{(1) (}a) We thank the National Science Foundation for support, Grant GP 26348. (b) Abstracted from the Ph.D. Thesis of P. K. M.

⁽²⁾ R. B. Castle and D. S. Matteson, *J. Amer. Chem.* Soc., 90, 2194 (1968); *J. Organometal. Chem., 20,* 19 (1969).

⁽³⁾ Unpublished work with E. Kramer and J. B. Leach. (4) J. F. Ditter, E. B. Klussman, J. D. Oakes, and R. E. Williams, *Inorg. Chem., 9,* 889 (1970). *(5)* **J.** E. Dobson, P. M. Tucker, R. Schaeffer, and F. G. **A.** Stone,

Chem. Commun., 452 (1968).