Acknowledgment. We would like to thank the "Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft" for financial support.

Registry No. $Cu(terpy)_{2}(NO_{3})_{2}$, 36300-83-1.

Supplementary Material Available: A listing of crystal structure amplitudes (9 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

- **References and Notes** (11)

(1) C. Friebel, and D. Reinen, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, **407**, 193 (1974).

(2) C. Friebel, V. Propach, and D. Reinen, *Z. Naturforsch. B*, 31, 1574 (1976). (12)
-
- (3) W. Henke and D. Reinen, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.,* in press. (4) R. Hogg and R. G. Wilkins, *J. Chem.* Soc., 341 (1962). (13)
- *(5)* D. T. Cromer, and J. T. Waber, *Acta Crystallogr.,* 18, 105 (1965).
- (6) H. P. Hanson, F. Herman, J. D. Lea, and **S.** Skilman, *Acta CrystaUogr.,* **17,** 1040 (1964).
- (7) 'International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography", Vol. 3, Kyncch Press, Birmingham, England, 1972, Table 3.3:A.
- (*) R. **F.** Stewart, **E.** R. Davidson, and W. T. Simpson, *J. Chem Phys.,* 42, 3175 (1965)
- D. Reinen, *Solid State Commun.,* **21,** 137 (1977).
- D. Mullen, G. Heger, and D. Reinen, *Solid State Commun.,* 17, 1249 (1975).
- **As** usual the C-H bond lengths determined by x-ray diffraction are too short by about 0.1 **A;** see M. R. Churchill, *Inorg. Chem..* 12,1213 (1973). E. N. Maslen, C. L. Raston, and **A.** H. White, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton*
- (12) *Trans.,* 1803 (1974); *C.* L. Raston and **A.** H. White, *ibid.,* 7 (1976).
- **M.** J. Gonzales Garmendia, **W.** Henke, and D. Reinen, to he submitted for publication.

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New **York** 11973, Department of Chemistry, University of Houston, Houston, Texas 77004, and Institut fur Chemie der Universitat Regensburg, 84 Regensburg, Germany

Absolute Configurations of Organometallic Compounds. 3.' Structure and Absolute Configuration of the Square-Pyramidal Complex $[(+)_{579}-(C_5H_5)Mo(CO)_2(NN^*)]PF_6$ **(NN*** = **Schiff Base Derived from Pyridine-2-carbaldehyde and (S)-(-)-a-Phenylethylamine)**

IVAN BERNAL,* SAM J. LAPLACA, **JAMES** KORP, HENRI BRUXNER, and WOLFGANG A. HERRMANN

Received May 12, *1977*

The structure of $(+)_{579}$ - η ⁵-C₅H₃Mo(CO)₂(NN*)]PF₆ with NN* = the Schiff base derived from pyridine-2-carbaldehyde and **(S)-(-)-a-phenylethylamine** was determined using standard single-crystal x-ray diffraction methods. The absolute configuration was determined by refinement of the data using the anomalous scattering contributions of Mo and P to a final $R(F) = 0.056$ for 2634 independent reflections having $I > 3\sigma(I)$. The substance crystallizes in the space group $P2_12_12_1$ with unit cell dimensions of $a = 12.249$ (4), $b = 9.236$ (3), and $c = 20.692$ (9) Å and $Z = 4$ molecules/unit cell. The square-pyramidal coordination of the Mo atom is defined by two carbonyl carbons and two Schiff base nitrogens occupying the four basal plane sites and the five carbons of the η^5 -C₅H₅ ligand in the axial position. The Mo-ligand distances and the bond lengths and angles within the ligands are normal and compare closely with those of recent structure determinations of comparable precision. The Mo atom is 0.95 *8,* above the plane formed by the four basal plane ligands. The conformation of the (S) - α -phenylethyl group with respect to the ligand plane, defined by the pyridine ring, the imine system, and the Mo atom, is discussed. The configuration at the metal atom in the $(+)_{579}$ isomer is specified as *(S)*. The PF₆⁻ anion executes large amplitude torsional motion in the lattice, as is commonly the case for this anion when not hydrogen bonded.

Introduction

In the last *7* years, optically active organometallic compounds became available in which a transition element is the chiral center. $3,4$ These stereochemically labeled compounds were used to elucidate the steric course of reactions.⁵ For correlation of configuration, chiroptical and chemical methods had to be applied as the absolute configurations of all these compounds were not known. In a preliminary communication, we published the first determination of the absolute configuration of such a compound.⁶ Meanwhile the determination of the absolute configurations of other optically active organometallic complexes has been announced.^{$2,7-9$} Here we report the details of our x-ray diffraction study on the compound $(+)_{579}$ - $[\eta^5$ -C₅H₅Mo(CO)₂(NN*)]PF₆ with NN* = Schiff base derived from pyridine-2-carbaldehyde and (S) - $(-)$ - α -phenylethylamine.

In the reaction of $C_5H_5Mo(CO)_3Cl$ with the Schiff base NN* one of the carbonyl ligands and the covalently bound chlorine substituent are displaced by NN*, and in a metathetical reaction the anion Cl⁻ can be replaced by $PF_6^{-10,11}$ On substitution of CO and Cl⁻ in $C_5H_5M_0(CO)_3C1$ by NN*, a new asymmetric center at the Mo atom is formed. Therefore using the (S) - $(-)$ - α -phenylethyl group in the amine part of the Schiff base NN*, two diastereoisomers A and B arise

* To whom correspondence should be addressed at the Chemistry Department, University of Houston, Houston, Tex. 77004. Recipient of a **US.** Senior Scientist Award Administered by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

which differ only in the configuration at the metal atom.^{10,11} Both isomers **A** and B show different 'H NMR spectra and can be separated by fractional crystallization.¹¹

Compounds A and **B** are configurationally stable in the solid state and in solution at low temperatures. At 75 °C in DMF solution the two diastereoisomers A and B interconvert by what seems to be an intramolecular metal-centered rearrangement, probably taking place by a 180° rotation of the chelate ligand NN^* with respect to the metal atom.^{5,12-14} In the equilibrium at *75* "C, which in DMF is approached in a first-order reaction with a half-life of 26.3 min,¹² the isomer ratio is $A/B = 40/60$. This isomer ratio is a measure of the optical induction of the S-configurated asymmetric carbon atom in the Schiff base NN* on the formation of the two different configurations at the Mo atom in the equilibrium.¹⁵ The asymmetric induction at the metal atom in the compounds $C_5H_5Mo(CO)_2LL^*$ is strongly dependent on the nature of the chelate ligand LL*.I5

In the asymmetric hydrogenation of suitably substituted olefins and similar reactions the best optical yields are obtained Absolute Configurations of Organometallic Compounds

Table **I.** Crystal Data

with Rh catalysts containing chelating diphosphines with optically active centers either at the phosphorus atom or in the chelate ring.¹⁶⁻²⁴ In many of the catalytic species the Rh atom also bonded to olefin, hydrogen, solvent, and so on, is an asymmetric center, and the possible diastereoisomers probably are interconverting rapidly. The optical induction of the chelate centers on the Rh center could be one of the major factors responsible for the optical yield in the products. The compounds $C_5H_5Mo(CO)_2LL^*$ can be used as models for the study of problems like epimerization and optical induction at the metal atom. From the x-ray determination of isomer A, we wanted to obtain information about the relationship of the optically active centers in the chelate ring and on the metal atom.

Experimental Section

Crystals suitable for x-ray diffraction were grown from a solvent mixture of acetone/methylene chloride/ethanol in the ratio **20:3:** 1. The crystal chosen for this study was a diamond-shaped plate whose six faces were (110), ($\overline{110}$), ($\overline{110}$), ($\overline{110}$), (001), and (00 $\overline{1}$). The dimensions of the crystal listed in Table I were the lengths along the edges of the plate and the thickness, respectively, the latter being along the *c* direction of the crystal. We did not correct the diffracted intensities for absorption. The space group and a set of approximate cell constants were obtained from data collected on films.

The data crystal was mounted on an automated Picker diffractometer and manually centered. Accurate cell constants and an orientation matrix were obtained from the automatic centering of **30** high-angle reflections using Mo K α radiation and a high-density graphite monochromator. A summary of crystal and intensity data collection is given in Table I. The latter were measured at 21 "C using the θ -2 θ method, and the length of the scan was determined by the expression

scan length = $1.80(1.0 + 1.0 \tan \theta)$

with the center of the scanning set at the unweighted average value of the Bragg angle corresponding to Mo $K\alpha_1$ and Mo $K\alpha_2$ wavelengths (0.7104 Å). In order to avoid problems associated with partial resolution of the α_1 and α_2 peaks, background was taken to be the first and last five points of the scan. Two standard reflections (0,1,10 and **3,2,17)** were monitored every **30** data points in order to check on electronic stability and the possibility of crystal decay. There was no significant change in the standards during the entire period of data collection. The data were not smoothed (i.e., they were not fitted to a polynomial) and over the range of $0 \le 2\theta \le 41.6^{\circ}$, a set of *hkl* and of *hkf* was collected in order to have large numbers of Friedel pairs available for the determination of the absolute configuration. The structure was solved and refined using the data from $0 \leq 2\theta \leq 1$ **41.6'** without the introduction of anomalous scattering and using standard Patterson, Fourier, and least-squares methods.²

During the initial stages of this study (i.e., at the time of our preliminary report⁶) the following programs were used for the processing of the data: PROCH (initial processing of the raw data); DATAPH (Lorentz-polarization correction); ECSORTH (sorting and averaging); **JIMDAP** (a local version of the Fourier summation program originally written by **A.** Zalkin); **LINUS** (a version of Busing, Martin, and Levy's least-squares program); ORFFE (the function and error

program); ORTEP-2 (C. Johnson's molecular plotting program; used throughout). The present report used the output of ECSORTH and the results of the earlier⁶ report to finish the refinement. All these subsequent calculations were done using the X-Ray **72** system of crystallographic programs. The refinement of the data was carried out using unit weights and with the inclusion of hydrogen atoms located at computed, idealized positions which we recomputed after each group of cycles of heavy-atom refinements. Each hydrogen was assigned the isotropic thermal parameter of the heavy atom to which it is attached. This procedure was not used for H8 (that hydrogen attached to the chiral carbon) since we wanted to investigate the possibility that this important hydrogen would refine as an independent atom. This turned out to be the case and is the reason that the positional and isotropic thermal parameters for this hydrogen (alone) appear with errors in Table **11.** The final discrepancy factor for the refinement is

$$
R(F) = \Sigma |(|F_{\rm o}|-|F_{\rm c}|)|/\Sigma |F_{\rm o}| = 0.056
$$

There is considerable thermal motion in the PF_6^- ion in the lattice as is generally the case for groups like $PF_6^{-26} BF_4^{-27,28} CO_4^{-29}$ and related ions found in nonhydrogen bonded lattices. This can readily be observed in the values of the thermal parameters in Table **I1** as well as in the size and shapes of the thermal ellipsoids shown in Figure **2.** A final difference electron density map showed only low-density random noise at chemically unlikely locations. The final positional and thermal parameters are listed in Table 11. Distances and angles, together with their estimated standard deviations, are given in Table **111.** The equations of relevant least-squares planes and deviations of atoms from such planes are listed in Table **IV.** Finally, a comparison of molecularly important parameters associated with our cation is made in Table **V. A** table of the structure factors, their estimated standard deviations, and the calculated structure factors is available as supplementary material.

Determination **of** the Absolute Configuration

As mentioned earlier, a group of *hkl* reflections were measured in order to compare them with their *hkl* counterparts for the determination of absolute configuration. There are many pairs which differ significantly and a comparison of $F(hkl)/F(hk\bar{l})_{obsd}$ vs. *F*- $(hkl)/F(hk\bar{l})_{\text{caled}}$ agrees for 38 reflections when the absolute configuration of the cation is that shown in Figure 1. For the calculation of *F(hkl)* and *F(hkl),* the anomalous contribution to the scattering curves of Mo and P given in ref 30 was used to correct the curves of Cromer and Mann.³¹ The absolute configuration thus obtained shows C8 (the optically active carbon derived from the parent optically active amine) in an S configuration which is the known configuration²⁵ for the amine used in the synthesis of the Schiff base.

Discussion

Description **of** the Structure. Figure 1 gives a convenient stereoview of the molecule, as well as the numbering system used in the crystallographic study. **On** the assumption that the η^5 -C₅H₅ = Cp ligand can be counted as a single binding point to the metal, the coordination polyhedron around the Mo atom is square pyramidal. Distortions from this geometry are due to the differences in length between the Mo-N and Mo-C(O) bonds (av Mo-N = 2.220; av Mo-C = 1.968 Å). The molybdenum atom is out of the plane of the two nitrogen the Cp ring by 2.005 **A** (see details of planes in Table IV). However, if a plane is defined by the pyridine atoms (N2, C17, ..., C21) all its atoms lie in that plane (maximum deviation, $C18 = 0.012$ Å). At the same time, the imine carbon and nitrogen lie in this plane with very minor, if not negligible, deviations $(C16 = 0.048$ and $N1 = 0.007$ Å). Carbon 8 deviates a little more (0.173 **A),** which corresponds to an angular displacement of 2.02° from that plane and which may be the result of packing and/or the result of steric crowding between H9(1) and H16, as discussed below. C8 is also out of the plane of the phenyl ring by approximately the same amount. We surmise that packing forces against the large surface of the phenyl ring cause the pivoting point (C8) to buckle a little from both planes. Except for this small deviation and two carbonyl carbons by 0.948 Å and out of the plane of

 a The positional parameters of Mo were multiplied by 10^5 ; those of the other atoms (except H8, $\times 10^3$) were multiplied by 10^4 . The U_{ij} values of all atoms were multiplied by 10⁴.

Figure **1. A** stereoview of the cation of **A** in its correct absolute configuration, showing also the numbering system employed in the crystallographic study. The thermal ellipsoids are *50%* probability envelopes for the heavy atoms and are of convenient (arbitrary) size for the hydrogens.

at C8 from planarity, the Mo atom plus the Schiff base ligand by the pyridine ring. The methyl carbon *(C9)* and the phenyl ring are, obviously, out of this plane since C8 is tetrahedral. Absolute Configurations of Organometallic Compounds

Inorganic Chemistry, Vol. No. 2, 1978 **385**

Figure 2. A packing diagram in stereo showing the cation (in its correct absolute configuration) and the anion¹and their relative locations in the unit cell.

Table **111.** Bond Distances **(A)** and Angles

*^a*Estimated standard deviations are in parentheses. , *¹*

Table V was prepared for the purpose of comparisons with recent literature values from relevant molecules for which the Table IV. Equations of Least-Squares Planes^a and Deviations of Selected Atoms from Thpse Planes (in A)

 a These equations are in direct space and have the form $px +$ $qy + rz = s$.

structural studies were comparable with, or better than, ours. The Mo-C(Cp) distances are normal in the sense that they agree closely with the chosen examples. The small differences observed are no doubt due to the variations in ligands opposite the Cp ring; however, it is clear that these variations in ligands produce very small changes in the Cp-Mo fragment. Note for example that the highly accurate (and precise) study of Peterson, Dahl, and Williams³⁹. (carried out by x-ray and neutron diffraction) gives exactly the same average value for the mean of the Mo-C distance (i.e., they are within one standard deviation from the mean). On the other hand, their compound contains a- bridging hydride and a bridging diphenylphosphine while ours contains two nitrogens.

There are definite trends in the $Mo-C(CO)$ distances for the compounds containing N ligands. For example, as the Mo-N distance in compounds I, II, and III increases, one observes a parallel decrease in the Mo-C(C0) distances. When there is a drastic change in the nature of the nitrogen atom (as in the NCO of compound VI) there is also a comparably major change in the carbonyl Mo-C distance. The

a Mean and standard deviation from mean in parentheses

variations in the CO distances are very small and of doubtful significance for the cases listed in Table V, and the $Mo-C-O$ angle changes in an equally small and, apparently, erratic manner. However, there are small deviations from linearity as is usually the case with metal-carbonyl fragments. There is a clear variation in Me-C(C0) distance upon complexation with π -arene ligands, a fact that has already been noted by Clark and Palenik²⁷ in the example quoted in Table V. That is, the Mo– $C(CQ)$ distance is distinctly greater than 2.00 \AA while with ligands, such as those in the other examples, the value is less than 2.00 Å. Finally, the Mo-N distances show small, but distinct, variations as the other ligands are varied, the extremes being VI1 and VIII. In the former there is a multiple bond between Mo and NNH_2 of only 1.762 (12) \AA while in the latter, due to the powerful Mo-Mo bond,³⁷ the $Mo-N(pyridine)$ bond is 2.548 (8) Å long. In the other compounds, the variations are surprisingly small. For instance, in the molybdenyl dimer IX the formal valence state of Mo is *+5* while in **I11** it is clearly 0; nonetheless the Mo-N distances are virtually identical.

Internal comparisons of our molecular parameters show that the imine nitrogen forms a shorter Mo-N bond (Mo-N1 $=$ 2.182 (10) A) than the pyridine nitrogen (Mo-N2 = 2.258 (11) Å) the difference being relevant at the 5σ level. However, this has no effect on the trans carbonyl carbons, both of whose Mo–C distances are identical within less than 1σ . The same comment can be made of the CO bonds.

Stereochemical Aspects. In what follows, we shall refer to plane b of Table IV as *the ligand plane.* This plane contains, with very minor deviations, all the atoms of the pyridine ring plus C16, H16, N1, and the Mo atom. Besides the Cp ring which can rotate around the Mo-ring centroid vector and the methyl group which can rotate around the C8-C9 vector, there are two major sources for different conformations within the cation **A:** first, the conformers that arise from rotation of the entire chiral fragment around the C8-N1 bond; second, the conformers that arise from changes of the torsional angle of the phenyl plane around the C8-C10 vector. This last quantity is most conveniently viewed (see Figure 1) by defining it as the torsional angle that the C10–C12 (or C11) vector makes with respect to the C8-C9 vector, around the C8-C10 bond. With respect to these rotational conformations, we can now ask whether or not, given the fact that the chiral C8 is ste-

reochemically rigid, the conformation and configuration found in the crystal is the best possible. In other words, is it a solid-state effect dictated by *intermolecular* packing forces overwhelming *intramolecular* steric needs (and not likely to be preferred in solution, for instance) or is this a sensible choice for both, solid and solution? From steric considerations, $40-42$ the phenyl ring is the largest substituent at C_8 , $-CH_3$ is middle in size, and H8 is the smallest and, as such, gives rise to different degrees of crowding with adjacent groups as the chiral carbon attempts to rotate about the C8-N1 bond (in the *S* configuration). Below, we describe (a) the angular arrangement that the vectors between C8 and its substituents make with respect to the ligand plane and (b) the closest contacts between the substituents at C8 and adjacent *intramolecular* fragments. Later we shall describe how rotation about $C8-N1$, of the entire S-chiral entity, affects the intramolecular contacts and answer the question posed above.

Conformation in the Crystal. The crystal structure determination shows that the big substituent, the phenyl ring, projects out of the complex, opposite the cyclopentadienyl ring, like a flagpole. The bond from C8 to the phenyl ring carbon $(C10)$ makes an angle of 90.76 \degree with the ligand plane (see Figure 1). The torsional angle of the phenyl ring (defined above) is -62.55° , using the IUPAC notation.⁴³

The vector C8-C9, from the asymmetric carbon to the methyl group, forms a torsional angle of -36.21° with respect to the vector C16-N1, the latter being exactly in the ligand plane. Note that by virtue of C8 being tetrahedral the methyl carbon is directed out of the ligand plane, away from the metal, and toward the hydrogen H16. The contacts between the phenyl hydrogens and H8 are normal packing contacts,44 the shortest being 2.196 **8,** (H12-H8), and the shortest contact between phenyl hydrogens and H16 is 2.46 **8,** (larger than packing contacts⁴⁴). Contacts between Cp hydrogens with all other atoms exceed 2.50 **A,** as is the case between H8 and all other atoms, except for that mentioned above. That leaves the methyl (C9) hydrogens to consider. Here we find that H9(2) and H9(3) are nicely oriented to avoid bad contacts but that H9(1) makes a contact with H16 of only 1.997 **A.** This is one of the closest H---H contacts recorded and falls in the range of those interannular contacts found in *trans-*1,6-cyclodecanediol by Ermer, Dunitz, and Bernal.⁴⁵ While the C-C-C and C-C-H angles reported here are *normal* in the sense defined by the neutron diffraction results of Ermer, Dunitz, and Bernal,⁴⁵ the C16-H16 distance of 0.95 (1) Å is a little shorter than the true value of 1.05 **A** for a normal C-H distance (the methyl carbon positions are calculated and, therefore, of the right length). Consequently, if any error has been introduced in calculating the H9(1)-H16 contact, we have foreshortened it. Thus, we conclude that this is the only short intramolecular contact for this conformation and configuration, but it is a bad one. As mentioned earlier the steric interaction $H9(1)$ -H16 may be responsible for the bending of C8 out of the ligand plane and for the relatively large angle N₁-C₈-C₉ of 113.72^o, both of which help to minimize the steric interaction $H9(1)$ -H16.

In principle, the molecule could prefer other conformations in fluid media by rotation around Nl-C8 while retaining *S* chirality at C8. The simplest ones are those generated by rotation of the phenyl ring about the C8-ClO vector. While in the present conformation the closest contacts between the phenyl ring hydrogens HI1 and H12 with H8, H9(1,2,3), and H16 are larger than 2.4 **A,** rotation about the C8-C10 vector produces, under the most unfavorable situations, contacts as short as 1.1-1.2 **A,** which would be totally unacceptable. The question now is this: can all unacceptable contacts be relieved by rotation of the entire chiral group about the $N1-C8$ vector? The answer is best described in steps. (1) Counterclockwise rotation by about 35° would bring C9 into the ligand plane making H9(1,2,3)-H16 contacts now as short as 1.8 **A** whereas the distance between phenyl ring hydrogens and the C2-02 carbonyl atoms would be about 2.8 Å, in the most favorable orientation. However, phenyl contacts were not a problem before, so that rotation by -35° would make the situation worse than before at methyl C9. (2) Rotation by -70° leads to the same steric problems as in the conformation found in the crystal, the difference being that the methyl group is now on the opposite side of the ligand plane. However, the phenyl ring begins to get into steric problems with the C2-02 group. (3) On further rotation in the same direction, the ortho hydrogens and ortho carbons of the phenyl ring would come into unacceptably short contacts with C2-02 and with the hydrogens of C_5H_5 . In the least sterically crowded situations, the closest contacts between Cp hydrogens and the phenyl ring hydrogens of the phenyl ring would make contacts with H9(1,2,3) of 1.8-1.9 **A. (4)** After a rotation of about -240', the phenyl ring can find a position intermediate between H16 and $H9(1,2,3)$ which relieves packing problems but, at this point, the methyl group hydrogens would make contacts further rotation produces short contacts between the phenyl ring ortho hydrogens and H16 and H9(1,2,3), respectively, which are not relieved until we get back to the point of departure. Consequently, in spite of the bad H16-H9(1) contact of 1.997 **A,** the conformation found in the crystal seems, by far, to be the most favorable conformation if the chiral carbon is *S.* Further, it is the conformation which allows the bulky phenyl ring the widest limits of rotation. **Other Conformations the Molecule Can Acquire.** would be as short as $1.6-1.7$ Å. At the same time, the ortho ranging from 1.7 to 1.8 Å with the C_5H_5 hydrogens. Finally,

As mentioned in the Introduction there is an equilibrium between the two diastereoisomers A and B differing only in the configuration at the Mo atom. Isomer A, the structure of which has been discussed, is present in the equilibrium mixture to the extent of only 40% compared to 60% of isomer B.¹⁵ It would be interesting to know what the conformation of the asymmetric center C8, and the arrangement of its substituents, would be in the other diastereoisomer with respect to the ligand plane and to the rest of the molecule. Therefore, a structure determination of isomer B, favored in the equilibrium, is in progress.

Specification of Configuration at the Metal Atom. Using the extension of the R,\bar{S} system⁴⁶ to *polyhapto* ligands in organometallic complexes47 the priority sequence of the ligands in compounds A and B is $C_5H_5 > N(\text{imine}) > N(\text{pyridine})$ > CO. To define the ranking of the two nitrogen atoms in the priority sequence, the rules for unsaturated and aromatic compounds46 of Cahn, Ingold, and Prelog were used. Then, according to the sequence rule of the *R,S* system the configuration at the Mo atom in isomer A is *S.* The configurational assignment remains the same when the molecule is treated as octahedral with a phantom ligand at the sixth coordination position⁴⁶ or as tetrahedral with the two cis carbonyl ligands representing a stereochemical unit and entering the priority sequence as two times the atomic number of carbon.

The molecule under consideration, like all square-pyramidal compounds $C_5H_5Mo(CO)_2LL^*$ with L and L^{*} as well as the two CO ligands cis to each other, belongs to chirality class "a" according to Ruch⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰ since exchange of the two nitrogen coordination centers inverts the configuration at the metal atom. Also the transition state of the intramolecular inversion at the metal center, obtained by rotating NN^* by 90 \degree with respect to the metal atom, contains a symmetry plane which passes through the midpoint of the C_5H_5 ring, the Mo atom, and the two N atoms and which bisects the angle between the two carbonyls.¹²⁻¹⁴ We are aware of the fact that the assignment of configuration will become ambiguous and arbitrary when the carbonyl groups are in position **3** or even 2 and 3 of the priority sequence.

Acknowledgment. I.B. and **S.J.L.** thank the US. Atomic Energy Commission, I.B. and J.K. the Welch Foundation and the U.S. National Science Foundation, and H.B. and W.A.H. the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for support of this study.

Registry No. Isomer **A, 40854-36-2.**

Supplementary Material Available: A listing of structure factor amplitudes (12 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

References and Notes

- **(1)** For part **2,** see ref **2.** This is communication **46** of the series "Optically Active Transition Metal Complexes"; for part **45** see ref **2.**
- **(2)** M. G. Reisner, I. Bernal, H. Brunner, and M. Muschiol, *Angew. Chem.,* **88, 847 (1976);** *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.,* **15, 776 (1976).**
- **(3)** H. Brunner, *Angew. Chem.,* **81,395 (1969);** *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.,* **8, 382 (1969).**
- **(4)** H. Brunner, *Ann. N.Y. Acud. Sci.,* **239, 213 (1974).**
- **(5)** H. Brunner, *Top. Curr. Chem.,* **56, 67 (1975).**
- **(6) S.** J. LaPlaca, I. Bernal, H. Brunner, and W. **A.** Herrrnann, *Angew. Chem.,* **87, 379 (1975);** *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.,* **14, 353 (1975). (7)** T. C. Flood, F. **J.** DiSanti, and D. L. Miles, *J. Chem. SOC., Chem.*
- *Commun.,* **336 (1975).**
- (8) A. Davison and N. Martinez, *J. Orgunomet. Chem.,* **74, C17 (1974). (9)** W. Beck, W. Danzer, **A.** T. Liu, and G. Huttner, *Angew. Chem.,* **88,**
- **511 (1976);** *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.,* **15, 495 (1976).**
- **(10)** H. Brunner and W. A. Herrrnann, *Angew. Chem.,* **84, 442 (1972). (11)** H. Brunner and W. A. Herrmann, *Chem. Ber.,* **105, 3600 (1972).**
-
- **(12)** H. Brunner and **W. A.** Herrmann, *Chem. Ber.,* **106, 632 (1973).**
- **(13)** H. Brunner and W. A. Herrmann, *J. Orgunomet. Chem.,* **74,423 (1974). E. Pfeiffer, J. Kuyper, and K. Vrieze,** *J. Organomet. Chem.***, 105**, **371** (**1976**).
- **(15)** H Brunner, **W.** A. Herrmann, and J. Wachter, *J Orgunomet. Chem.,* **107, C11 (1976).**
- **(16)** W. **S.** Knowles, M. J. Sabackq, B. D. Vineyard, and D. **J.** Weinkauf, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* **97, 2567 (1975).**
- **(17)** D. Simon and H. B. Kagan, *J. Orgunomet. Chem.,* **114,325 (1976),** and former papers of that same series.
- **(18)** G. Gelbard, **H.** B. Kagan, and R. Stern, *Tetrahedron,* **32,233 (1976). (19)** R. Glaser and B. Vainas, *J. Orgunomet. Chem.,* **121, 249 (1976),** and
- former papers of that same series.
- **(20)** K. Achiwa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* **98, 8265 (1976). (21)** T. Hayashi, T. Mise, S. Mitachi, K. Yamamoto, and M. Kumada,
- *Tetrahedron Lett.,* **1133 (1976).**
- **(22)** N. Takaishi, H. Imai, C. A. Bertelo, and J. K. Stille, *J. Am. Chem. Sor.,* **98, 5400 (1976).**
- **(23)** H. B. Kagan, *Pure Appl. Chem.,* **43, 401 (1975).**