Notes



Figure 2. A plot of the room temperature Mössbauer effect chemical isomer shift vs. the carbonyl stretching force constant.

points and the more severe deviations of  $\text{CpFe(CO)}_2\text{CN}$ ,  $[CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)]PF<sub>6</sub>$ , and  $CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)CN$  from the best linear least-squares fit of the other 12 data points. The stretching force constants for the  $CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>X$  complexes span a range of  $16.90-16.45$  mdyn/Å, representing only a 3% change in this parameter as a function of X. On the other hand the percentage change in the isomer shift (excluding the cyanide complex) is an order of magnitude greater at ca. 25%. Inclusion of the cyanide complex of course makes the difference even more dramatic. Given these differences the source of the scatter in the  $\text{CpFe(CO)}_2X$  data points becomes obvious.

The carbonyl stretching force constants for  $CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>CN$ and  $[CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)]PF<sub>6</sub>$  are in the same range as for the other dicarbonyl derivatives (Table 111) so that the deviation of these two complexes from the best fit of the isomer shift-stretching force constant plot (Figure 2) is the result of the comparatively low values of the isomer shift. Similarly  $k$  for CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)CN is essentially identical with those for the other monocarbonyls while the isomer shift is lower by ca. 0.15 mm/s. The common feature of these three complexes is the presence of three good  $\pi$ -acceptor ligands. While this feature is clearly evident in the Mossbauer data it is not fully reflected in the infrared absorption bands of the carbonyl ligands.

The difficulty in quantifying the relationship between the infrared and Mossbauer spectroscopic data is most likely associated with the presumption that changes in the electron density at iron will be reflected *solely* in changes in  $\nu_{\rm CO}$ . The approximate evaluation of the force constant used herein<sup>34</sup> ignores changes in dative bonding between iron and the other ligands. Such changes are indicated, for instance, by the shift in  $v_{CN}$  which is found at 2120 cm<sup>-1</sup> for CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>CN and at 2090 cm<sup>-1</sup> for CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)CN. Further, proton NMR spectra show an upfield shift of 0.2-0.3 ppm for the cyclopentadienyl protons of  $CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)X$  relative to  $\text{CpFe}(\text{CO})_2\text{X}^{4,7}$  This is indicative of increased back-donation from filled iron orbitals to empty ring molecular orbitals, the upfield shift reflecting the difference in  $\pi$ -acceptor properties of the carbonyl and triphenylphosphine ligands.

**Acknowledgment.** The authors would like to thank Dr. J. T. Wrobleski for assistance in measuring the Mossbauer spectra and the University of Missouri for a Graduate Research Fellowship (D.G.A.). The partial financial assistance which was provided by the National Science Foundation through Grant CHE-75-20417 is gratefully appreciated.

**Registry No.** CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>Cl, 12107-04-9; CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>Br, 12078-20-5; CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>I, 12078-28-3; CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>NCO, 32660-69-8;<br>CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>NCS, 12317-60-1; CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>SCN, 12317-59-8;  $CpFe(CO)_2SeCN$ , 33179-83-8;  $CpFe(CO)_2CN$ , 12152-37-3;

CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)I, 12099-18-2; CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)Br, 12099-12-6;  $CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)SCN$ , 64784-33-4;  $CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)NCS$ , 61113-76-6; CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)CN, 32757-48-5;  $[OpFe(CO)_3]PF_6$ , 38834-26-3;  $[\text{CpFe(CO)}_2(\text{PPh}_3)]\text{PF}_6$ , 12100-39-9.

Supplementary Material Available: Table of Mössbauer effect data collected by other workers, Table **I1** (1 page). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

#### **References and Notes**

- (1) Presented in part at the 12th Midwest Regional Meeting of the American Chemical Society Kansas City, Mo., Oct 1976, Abstract No. 413. (a) University of Missouri-Rolla. (b) University of Missouri-St. Louis.
- $\lambda$ 3) A. Davison, M. L. H. Green, and G. Wilkinson, *J. Chem. SOC.,* 3172  $(1961)$ .
- $(4)$ R. B. King, *Inorg. Chem.,* 2, 936 (1963).
- K. K. Joshi, P. L. Pauson, and W. H. Stubbs, *J. Organomef. Chem.,*   $(5)$ 1, 51 (1963).
- $(6)$
- J. P. Bibler and A. Wojcicki, *Inorg. Chem.,* 5, 889 (1966). P. M. Treichel, R. L. Shubkin, K. W. Barnett, and D. Reichard, *Inorg.*   $(7)$ *Chem.,* 5, 1177 (1966).
- $(8)$ R. B. King, R. N. Kapoor, and K. H. Pannell, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 20, 187 (1969). R. B. King, L. **W.** Houk, and K. H. Pannell, *Inorg. Chem.,* 8,1042 (1969).
- 
- K. Stanley and M. C. Baird, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 97, 4292 (1975).<br>T. C. Flood, F. J. DiSanti, and D. L. Miles, *Inorg. Chem.*, 15, 1910 (1976).<br>R. B. King, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2, 454 (1968).<br>O. A. Gansow, D. A. Schexnayde
- 
- 
- Soc., 94, 3406 (1972).
- G. M. Bancroft, K. D. Butler, L. E. Manzer, A. Shaver, and J. E. H. Ward, *Can. J. Chem., 52,* 782 (1974). D. G. Alway and K. W. Barnett, *J. Organornet. Chem.,* 99, C52 (1975).
- 
- D. G. Alway and K. W. Barnett, to be submitted for publication.
- R. H. Herber, R. **B.** King, and G. K. Wertheim, *Inorg. Chem., 3,* 101 (1964).
- **K.** Buiger, L. Korecz, P. Mag, U. Belluco. and L. Busetto, *Inorg. Chim Acfa,* 5, 362 (1971).
- M. J. Mays and P. L. Sears, *J. Chem. SOC., Dalton Trans.,* 1873 (1973).  $(19)$ D. A. Symon and T. C. Waddington, *J. Chem. SOC., Dalton Trans.,* 78
- **(1 974)**   $(21)$ T.S. Piper, F. A. Cotton, and G. Wilkinson, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.,* 1, 165 (1955).
- R. B. King, *Organornet. Synth.,* 1, 115 (1965).
- 
- T. E. Sloan and A. Wojcicki, *Inorg. Chem.,* 7, 1268 (1968). M. A. Jennings and A. Wojcicki, *J. Organomef. Chem.,* 14,231 (1968) R. J. Angelici and L. Busetto, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 91, 3197 (1969).
- 
- D. L. Reger, *Inorg. Chem.,* 14, 660 (1975).
- $(27)$ L. Busetto and R. J. Angelici, *Inorg. Chim. Acta, 2,* 391 (1968).
- $(28)$ The numbers in parentheses in Table I represent the calculated standard
- deviation of the final digit indicated. J. R. DeVoe, Ed., *Natl. Bur. Stand. (US.), Tech Note,* No. 404, 108  $(29)$ (1966).
- J. J. Spijkerman, D. K. Snediker, F. C. Ruegg, and J. R. DeVoe, *Natl. Bur. Stand. (U.S.), Misc. Pub[.,* 260-13, 26 (1967).
- 
- Reference 29, p 110. G. M. Bancroft, "Mossbauer Spectroscopy", Wiley, New York, N.Y., 1973.
- (33) Supplementary material.
- F. A. Cotton and C. S. Kraihanzel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 84, 4432 (1962);<br>Inorg. Chem., 2, 533 (1963); F. A. Cotton, ibid., 3, 702 (1964).<br>A. H. Norbury, Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem., 17, 231 (1975).<br>G. M. Bancroft, R. E. B.
- 
- E. Prater, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 94, 647 (1972).

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, University of California,

and the Materials and Molecular Research Division,

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, and the Institute of Physics, S-751 21 Uppsala, Sweden

## **Distinguishing Axial and Equatorial Carbonyl Groups in Iron Pentacarbonyl by ESCA**

S. C. Avanzino,<sup>1a</sup> W. L. Jolly,\*<sup>1a</sup> P.-Å. Malmquist,<sup>1b</sup> and K. Siegbahn<sup>1b</sup>

*Received August 12, 1977* 

Oxygen 1s ESCA spectra of terminally coordinated carbon monoxide in various transition metal compounds, obtained with the old Berkeley spectrometer, showed single peaks even in



**Figure 1.** The carbon 1s spectrum of a mixture of Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub> vapor and CO gas. The peak at higher binding energy is due to the CO reference.

the case of compounds with structurally different terminal CO groups.<sup>2-5</sup> The measured oxygen 1s binding energies of compounds with structurally different CO groups must therefore be treated as weighted averages of the binding energies of the structurally different CO groups. From a simple valence-bond picture of back-bonding between a transition metal and coordinated carbon monoxide

 $-M-C=O^+ \leftrightarrow M=C=O$ 

it is clear that the charge of the oxygen atom should be correlated with the C-0 bond strength. Therefore it is not surprising that the oxygen 1s binding energies are linearly correlated both with the multiplicity-weighted average C-0 stretching frequencies of these compounds<sup>5</sup> and with the weighted average stretching force constants.<sup>6</sup> Using the relation between the binding energies and the weighted average force constants together with the force constants for structurally different CO groups, it is possible to calculate the expected oxygen Is binding energy differences for these structurally different CO groups. The calculated binding energy differences,  $E_B(\text{axial}) - E_B(\text{equatorial})$ , for Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub>,  $Mn_2(CO)_{10}$ , Cl<sub>3</sub>SiMn(CO)<sub>5</sub>, HMn(CO)<sub>5</sub>, and CH<sub>3</sub>Mn(CO)<sub>5</sub> are, respectively,  $+0.4$ ,  $-0.2$ ,  $-0.3$ ,  $-0.4$ , and  $-0.6$  eV. Quantitative theoretical data can also be used to estimate such shifts in oxygen binding energy. For example, Baerends and Ros<sup>7</sup> have carried out ab initio calculations for  $Fe(CO)_5$  and have calculated the atomic charges. (The calculated axial and equatorial oxygen atom charges are -0.360 and -0.396, respectively, whereas the corresponding calculated carbon atom charges are practically identical, as expected from the simple valence-bond picture.) Using the atomic charges and the point-charge potential equation<sup>8</sup>

$$
E_{\mathbf{B}}(\text{axial}) - E_{\mathbf{B}}(\text{equatorial}) = k\Delta Q + \Delta V \tag{1}
$$

we calculate a chemical shift of *+0.5* eV, in good agreement with the value calculated from the C-O force constants. $9-11$ 

The half-widths of our O 1s lines from the Berkeley spectrometer were around 1.4 eV, and the electron count data were quite scattered because of a high background count; therefore our spectra could not be reliably deconvoluted into separate peaks due to the structurally different CO groups. Spectra of similar carbonyls reported by other workers also show no evidence of nonequivalent CO groups.<sup>12</sup> However, because the Uppsala high-resolution spectrometer<sup>13</sup> is characterized by a very low background level and generally yields spectra with relatively narrow lines, we used this instrument to obtain the carbon 1s and oxygen 1s spectra of  $Fe(CO)$ <sub>5</sub>, with the hope of being able to resolve the oxygen 1s spectrum into



Figure 2. The oxygen 1s spectrum of a mixture of Fe(CO)<sub>s</sub> vapor and CO gas. The peak at higher binding energy is due to the CO reference. The Fe(CO)5 spectrum has been fit with a single peak. The asymmetry of the  $Fe(CO)_5$  points relative to the fitted curve can be seen.



**Figure 3.** The oxygen 1s spectrum of a mixture of Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub> vapor and CO gas. The peak at higher binding energy is due to the CO reference. The  $Fe(CO)$ <sub>s</sub> spectrum has been fit with two peaks constrained to a 2:3 area ratio.

two peaks, characteristic of the axial and equatorial carbonyl groups of the molecule.

The carbon 1s and oxygen 1s spectra of mixtures of CO and  $Fe(CO)$ <sub>5</sub> are shown in Figures 1-3. Each spectrum consists of a broad band at low binding energy due to the  $Fe(CO)_5$  and a narrower band at high binding energy due to the free CO reference gas. The spectra have been least-squares fitted with mixed Gaussian-Lorentzian curves. The C 1s spectrum (Figure 1) is fit quite well using just one curve for the  $Fe(CO)$ <sub>5</sub> band  $(\chi^2 = 255)$ . A slightly better fit of the Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub> band is obtained using two curves constrained to an area ratio of 2:3 ( $\chi$  = 244), but application of the F test<sup>14</sup> to the data indicates that the improved fit is statistically significant with a confidence level of only 92%. We reject the improved fit because we feel the confidence level should be greater than about 99%.<sup>15</sup> However, the O 1s  $Fe(CO)$ <sub>5</sub> spectral points, shown in Figures 2 and 3, are obviously asymmetric. In Figure 2, the  $Fe(CO)$ <sub>5</sub> points have been fit to one curve; the points on the left side have a lower slope than that of the fitted curve, and the points on the right side have a greater slope than that of the fitted curve.  $(\chi^2 = 553)$  In Figure 3, the O 1s Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub> points have been fit with two curves constrained to an area ratio of 2:3. The F test shows that the improved fit  $(\chi^2 = 210)$ 

Table **I.** Carbon and Oxygen Core Binding Energies for Fe(CO),



dard deviation determined by the least-squares fit) is indicated parenthetically. <sup>*b*</sup> For the binding energies of the carbon monoxide reference we use the values of **S.** R. Smith and T. D. Thomas, *J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat.* Phenom., **8,45 (1976).**  *a* The uncertainty in the last digit (estimated as twice the stan-Area ratio constrained to **2:3.** 

is statistically significant with an acceptable confidence level of *>99.999%.* The spectral parameters are summarized in Table I.

The conclusion that the C **1s** peak cannot be deconvoluted, whereas the 0 1s peak can be deconvoluted, is reasonable in view of the simple valence-bond picture of back-bonding, which indicates that back-bonding causes a change in the formal charge of the oxygen atom but no change in the formal charge of the carbon atom. The conclusion is also consistent with the calculations which show essentially identical charges for the equatorial and axial carbon atoms but significantly different charges for the equatorial and axial oxygen atoms.

The fact that the deconvoluted peak of higher intensity in the O 1s  $Fe(CO)$ <sub>5</sub> spectrum (the peak due to the equatorial CO groups) is of lower binding energy than the deconvoluted peak of lower intensity is in qualitative agreement with the prediction that the equatorial oxygen atoms are more negatively charged than the axial oxygen atoms. The result indicates that the back-bonding to the equatorial CO groups is greater than that to the axial CO groups and is consistent with the well-established rule that, in trigonal-bipyramidal d<sup>8</sup> complexes, strong  $\pi$ -acceptor ligands preferentially coordinate at equatorial positions.<sup>16-18</sup> The magnitude of the binding energy shift between the deconvoluted peaks (0.8 eV) is higher than the predicted values, but the agreement is satisfactory in view of the uncertainties associated with the measured and predicted values.

#### Experimental Section

The gas phase spectra were obtained using the Uppsala highresolution spectrometer.<sup>13</sup> Carbon monoxide gas was introduced into the ionization chamber as a reference, and its 0 **Is** and C **1s** spectra were recorded simultaneously with those of  $Fe(CO)$ <sub>5</sub>. The raw spectral data were analyzed using the nonlinear least-squares fitting program **GAMET."** Each data point (counts per channel) was weighted by the reciprocal of its magnitude. A mixed Gaussian-Lorentzian function

$$
f(x) = \frac{h}{\alpha \left[1 + \left(\frac{x - \mu}{\lambda}\right)^2\right] + (1 - \alpha) \left[\exp\left(\frac{x - \mu}{\lambda}\right)^2\right]}
$$

was used to represent each core-level line. Combinations of two or three of these lines plus a linear background were used to fit the experimental spectra. The parameters  $\mu$ ,  $\lambda$ ,  $\hbar$ , and  $\alpha$  measure the peak position, line width, peak height, and "mixing ratio", respectively. For the C 1s spectrum the best fit was obtained using  $\alpha = 0.97$  (97%) Lorentzian character) for all peaks. For the 0 **1s** spectrum the best fit was obtained using  $\alpha = 0.60$  for all peaks. We believe that the different mixing ratios required for the oxygen and carbon lines may be attributed to differences in vibrational broadening.<sup>20</sup>

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration and the National Science Foundation.

### **Registry No.** Fe(CO)s, 13463-40-6.

# References **and** Notes

- (a) University of California and Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory. (b)  $(1)$ Institute of Physics, Uppsala.
- W. B. Perry, T. F. Schaaf, W. L. Jolly, L. J. Todd, and D. L. Cronin,  $(2)$ *Inorg. Chem.,* **13,** 2038 (1974).
- 
- R. R. Rietz, T. F. Schaaf, and W. L. Jolly, *Inorg. Chem.*, 14, 2818 (1975).<br>S. C. Avanzino and W. L. Jolly, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 98, 6505 (1976).<br>W. L. Jolly, S. C. Avanzino, and R. R. Rietz, *Inorg. Chem.*, 16, 964 (1977).
- We used literature values of the C-0 stretching force constants for ten of thecompounds for which we have 0 **Is** binding energies. We calculated the following force constants (in mdyn/ $\AA$ ) from literature values of the vibrational frequencies:  $C_6H_6Cr(CO)_3$ , 15.17;  $C_7H_7V(CO)_3$ , 15.13;  $C_7H_8Fe(CO)_3$ , 16.23;  $C_4H_6Fe(CO)_3$ , 16.25;  $C_5H_5V(CO)_4$ , 15.56; C13SiMn(CO)5, 16.75 (ax), 17.07 (eq). Literature values were taken from the references given in ref *5.* The multiplicity-weighted average C-O stretching frequencies and weighted average stretching force constants are very closely proportional, with a correlation coefficient of 0.998; ( *uco)*  are very closely proportional, with a correlation coefficient of 0.998;  $(v_{CO})$ <br>= 62.8  $(k_{CO})$  + 986, where  $v_{CO}$  is given in cm<sup>-1</sup> and  $k_{CO}$  is given in  $mdyn/A$ .
- E. **J.** Baerends and P. Ros, *J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.,* **7,**  69 (1975).
- K. Siegbahn et al., "ESCA Applied to **Free** Molecules", North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1969.
- The value of  $k$  was calculated from ionization potential data using the principle of equivalent cores,<sup>10</sup> and structural data<sup>11</sup> for gaseous  $Fe(CO)$ <sub>5</sub> were used to calculate  $\Delta V$ . Implicit in the use of eq 1 is the assumption that the electronic relaxation energy associated with the 0 1s ionization
- 
- is the same for the axial and equatorial CO groups.<br>W. L. Jolly in "Electron Spectroscopy", D. A. Shirley, Ed., North-Holland<br>Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1972, pp 629-645.<br>B. Beagley, D. W. J. Cruikshank, P. M. Pinder, A. G
- 
- *Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.,* **2,** 405 (1973).
- **0.** J. Dunn and **V.** A. Clark, "Applied Statistics: Analysis of Variance and Regression," Wiley, New York, **N.Y.,** 1974, pp 266-273.
- Because the experimental line shape is not perfectly represented by a mixed Gaussian-Lorentzian curve, a sum of two such curves will always give an improved fit with a confidence level greater than 50%.
- J. R. Shapley and J. A. Osborn, *Acc. Chem. Res.,* 6, 305 (1973). A. R. Rossi and R. Hoffmann, *Inorg. Chem.,* **14,** 365 (1975).
- W. L. Jolly, "The Principles of Inorganic Chemistry", McGraw-Hill, New York, N.Y., 1976, pp 304-305.
- The computer program **GAMET** was written by C. E. R. Lederer at the
- Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, Calif. U. Gelius, *J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.,* **5,** 985 (1974).

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Bowling Green State University, Bowling Green, Ohio **43403** 

Solubility **of** the Dithionates **of** Binuclear Ions

Tryggvi Emilsson and Vakula S. Srinivasan\*'

*Received June* 10. *1977* 

The binuclear ions Ia-c were first described by Creutz and



Taube.2 These workers prepared the ions Ia-c, where ammonia L was one of several ligands, e.g., water, chloride, pyrazine, etc. Only the symmetric ions, where L was ammonia, have been isolated as solid salts. Those compounds were isolated as tosylates or perchlorates from quite concentrated mother liquors. The general method of synthesis for ions of the kind Ia is the reaction between the pyrazinepenta-