

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry,  
University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22901

## Tetracarbon Metallacarboranes. 6.<sup>1</sup> Stereochemical Relationships between Co<sub>2</sub>C<sub>4</sub>B<sub>6</sub> Cage Isomers Formed by Oxidative Fusion. Crystal Structure of (η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>C<sub>4</sub>B<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, Isomer V

J. ROBERT PIPAL and RUSSELL N. GRIMES\*

Received January 19, 1979

The crystal and molecular structure of the title compound was determined from X-ray diffraction data and found to consist of two pentagonal-pyramidal (η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)Co(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub> units which are partially fused together along their C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub> faces, such that the pairs of carbon atoms on the two pyramidal units are separated by nonbonding distances. The molecule resembles a severely distorted icosahedron with a large opening on one side, and its central cage system is very similar to that previously reported for the monocobalt complex (η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)Co(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>C<sub>4</sub>B<sub>7</sub>H<sub>6</sub>-OC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>. From <sup>11</sup>B and <sup>1</sup>H NMR data, the title compound is isostructural with isomer V of the (η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>C<sub>4</sub>B<sub>6</sub>H<sub>10</sub> system, which was previously obtained together with two other isomers (VI and VII) in the oxidative fusion of 1,2,3-(η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)CoC<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub> in ethanolic KOH. These three isomers adopt different types of cage geometry, as determined from the present study, from an X-ray investigation of VII, and from NMR data on isomer VI. A structure is proposed for isomer VI, and a mechanism is suggested to account for the formation of the three (η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>C<sub>4</sub>B<sub>6</sub>H<sub>10</sub> species from (η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)CoC<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub> as well as the formation of a single isomer of (η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>C<sub>4</sub>B<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (the title compound) from (η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)Co(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub>. Crystal data for (η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>C<sub>4</sub>B<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>: space group *I42d*; *Z* = 8; *a* = 13.838 (2), *c* = 20.635 (5) Å; *V* = 3951 (1) Å<sup>3</sup>; *R* = 0.034 for the 1021 reflections for which *F*<sub>o</sub><sup>2</sup> > 3σ(*F*<sub>o</sub><sup>2</sup>).

### Introduction

Structural studies of carboranes and metallacarboranes having four carbon atoms in the same polyhedron are proving to be an effective probe of the relationship between electron population and cage geometry and have revealed a number of unprecedented molecular shapes.<sup>2</sup> Since the formal replacement of a BH by a CH (or C-alkyl) group in a closed polyhedral (closo) system increases the number of valence electrons in the cage skeleton, one can predict from electron-counting arguments<sup>3</sup> that tetracarbon carboranes will exhibit cage structures that are more open than their dicarbon counterparts; simply put, this is a consequence of the fact that the additional electrons in most cases must occupy antibonding orbitals, thereby producing some sort of cage opening or distortion. However, this general principle does not tell us what *kind* of distortion to expect in a particular case or what the geometry of a particular tetracarbon species will be. This is emphatically the case in 12-vertex, 28-electron cage systems,<sup>4</sup> which contain two more skeletal electrons than do 26-electron icosahedral species such as C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>10</sub>H<sub>12</sub> and B<sub>12</sub>H<sub>12</sub><sup>2-</sup>. All of the structurally characterized 28-electron cages are distorted from icosahedral geometry, but the nature of the distortion varies widely; as we pointed out recently,<sup>4</sup> at least four different types of cage geometry have been identified among the 12-vertex, 28-electron species that have been structurally characterized.

Most striking are the cases in which *isomers produced in the same reaction* adopt markedly different polyhedral shapes.<sup>1,5,6</sup> A recent example<sup>1</sup> is given by the oxidative fusion of the small metallacarborane 1,2,3-(η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)CoC<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub> in ethanolic KOH, which produces (η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)CoC<sub>4</sub>B<sub>7</sub>H<sub>11</sub> and three isomers of (η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>C<sub>4</sub>B<sub>6</sub>H<sub>10</sub> (a 12-vertex, 28-electron system). We have previously described the synthetic chemistry together with a crystallographic study of one of the three dicobalt isomers.<sup>1</sup> In this paper we report the structural characterization of a second isomer via its tetra-*C*-methyl derivative and propose a structure for the third isomer as well as a possible pathway for the formation of all three species.

### Experimental Section

Crystals of (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>C<sub>4</sub>B<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> were grown by the vapor diffusion of pentane into a methylene chloride solution of the compound. One of these multifaceted crystals, a rough parallelepiped with dimensions of 0.5 × 0.5 × 0.25 mm, was mounted on a glass fiber in an arbitrary orientation and examined by preliminary precession photographs which indicated high crystal quality. Crystal data: Co<sub>2</sub>C<sub>18</sub>B<sub>6</sub>H<sub>28</sub>; mol wt 427.16; space group *I42d* (No. 122); *Z*

= 8; *a* = 13.838 (2), *c* = 20.635 (5) Å; *V* = 3951 (1) Å<sup>3</sup>; μ(MoKα) = 17.0 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ρ<sub>c</sub> = 1.405 g/cm<sup>3</sup>; *F*(000) = 1760. For this crystal the Enraf-Nonius program SEARCH was used to obtain 25 accurately centered reflections which were then used in the program INDEX to obtain an orientation matrix for data collection and to provide approximate cell dimensions. Refined cell dimensions and their estimated standard deviations were determined by using these same 25 reflections and the Enraf-Nonius program UNICELL. The mosaicity of the crystal was examined by the ω-scan technique and found acceptable. Systematic absences of *h* + *k* + *l* = 2*n* + 1 for *hkl*, *k* + *l* = 2*n* + 1 on 0*kl* and 2*h* + *l* ≠ 4*n* on *hkl*, indicate that the space group is either *I4<sub>1</sub>md* or *I42d*. The latter was shown to be correct. For *Z* = 8, this is consistent with the molecular formula on the assumption of 19.0 Å<sup>3</sup> per nonhydrogen atom and twofold symmetry for the molecule.

**Collection and Reduction of the Data.** Diffraction data were collected at 295 K on an Enraf-Nonius four-circle CAD-4 diffractometer controlled by a PDP8/M computer, by using Mo Kα radiation from a highly oriented graphite crystal monochromator. The θ-2θ scan technique was used to record the intensities for all reflections for which 1° ≤ 2θ ≤ 52°. Scan widths were calculated from the formula SW = *A* + *B* tan θ where *A* is estimated from the mosaicity of the crystal and *B* compensates for the increase in the width of the peak due to Kα<sub>1</sub> and Kα<sub>2</sub> splitting. The values of *A* and *B* were 0.60 and 0.35°, respectively. This calculated scan angle was extended at each side by 25% for background determination (BG1 and BG2). The net count (NC) was then calculated as NC = TOT - 2(BG1 + BG2) where TOT is the estimated peak intensity. Reflection data were considered insignificant for intensities registering less than 10 counts above background on a rapid prescan, and these reflections were rejected automatically by the computer. The intensities of three standard reflections were monitored at intervals of 100 reflections and showed no systematic trends. Raw intensity data were corrected for Lorentz-polarization effects which resulted in a total of 1264 intensities of which 1021 had *F*<sub>o</sub><sup>2</sup> ≥ 3σ(*F*<sub>o</sub><sup>2</sup>), where σ(*F*<sub>o</sub><sup>2</sup>) was estimated from counting statistics by using an ignorance factor<sup>7</sup> of 0.03. These latter reflections were used in the final refinement of the structural parameters.

**Solution and Refinement of the Structure.** Initial efforts at solution and refinement were performed with *I4<sub>1</sub>md* as the assumed space group. With this choice, solution of the Patterson map for the possible coordinates of the unique cobalt was achieved, but many strong peaks in the map were not accounted for. This set of coordinates failed to refine. Various reasonable estimates of the cobalt position consistent with minor symmetry for the molecule (with *Z* = 8) and spectroscopic information (NMR) likewise failed to refine in *I4<sub>1</sub>md*. Therefore this initial choice was abandoned in favor of *I42d*. With some difficulty, the Patterson map was solved unambiguously for the position of the unique cobalt. The second cobalt in the molecule is related to the first by the crystallographic fourfold rotoinversion operation

Table I. Positional and Thermal Parameters and Their Estimated Standard Deviations for  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{Co}_2(\text{CH}_3)_4\text{C}_4\text{B}_6\text{H}_6^{a,b}$ 

atom	x	y	z	$U_{11}$	$U_{22}$	$U_{33}$	$U_{12}$	$U_{13}$	$U_{23}$
Co	0.33176 (4)	0.05600 (4)	0.03268 (3)	0.0341 (2)	0.0315 (2)	0.0407 (2)	0.0008 (2)	-0.0058 (2)	0.0047 (2)
C(2)	0.3941 (3)	-0.0445 (3)	0.0899 (2)	0.033 (2)	0.027 (2)	0.036 (2)	0.001 (2)	-0.001 (2)	0.005 (2)
C(3)	0.4135 (3)	0.0519 (3)	0.1105 (2)	0.034 (2)	0.028 (2)	0.033 (2)	0.004 (2)	-0.003 (1)	-0.002 (2)
CM(2)	0.3393 (3)	-0.1166 (3)	0.1304 (3)	0.045 (2)	0.035 (2)	0.069 (3)	-0.005 (2)	-0.001 (3)	0.012 (2)
CM(3)	0.3851 (3)	0.0884 (3)	0.1775 (2)	0.047 (2)	0.048 (2)	0.047 (2)	0.005 (2)	0.004 (2)	-0.010 (2)
CP(1)	0.2417 (4)	0.1725 (4)	0.0310 (4)	0.052 (2)	0.043 (2)	0.201 (6)	0.021 (2)	-0.065 (3)	-0.022 (4)
CP(2)	0.2542 (4)	0.1319 (4)	-0.0358 (3)	0.048 (2)	0.085 (3)	0.102 (4)	0.003 (3)	-0.019 (3)	0.051 (3)
CP(3)	0.2190 (4)	0.0387 (5)	-0.0337 (3)	0.049 (2)	0.085 (4)	0.064 (3)	0.001 (3)	-0.024 (2)	-0.001 (3)
CP(4)	0.1861 (4)	0.0183 (4)	0.0287 (3)	0.042 (2)	0.061 (3)	0.092 (4)	-0.001 (2)	-0.015 (3)	0.016 (3)
CP(5)	0.1979 (4)	0.0974 (5)	0.0600 (3)	0.049 (2)	0.088 (4)	0.067 (3)	0.023 (3)	-0.007 (3)	-0.003 (3)
B(4)	0.4746 (3)	0.1117 (3)	0.0616 (3)	0.035 (2)	0.029 (2)	0.050 (2)	0.001 (2)	-0.000 (2)	0.002 (2)
B(5)	0.4613 (4)	0.0512 (4)	-0.0178 (2)	0.041 (2)	0.048 (3)	0.038 (2)	-0.001 (2)	0.002 (2)	0.007 (2)
B(6)	0.4181 (4)	-0.0665 (4)	0.0132 (2)	0.038 (2)	0.038 (2)	0.040 (2)	-0.005 (2)	-0.006 (2)	-0.010 (2)

atom	x	y	z	$B, \text{Å}^2$	atom	x	y	z	$B, \text{Å}^2$
H(4)	0.490 (3)	0.192 (3)	0.063 (2)	2.1 (7)	H(23)	0.276	-0.092	0.139	6.0
H(5)	0.452 (4)	0.084 (4)	-0.069 (2)	5.9 (14)	H(31)	0.404	0.154	0.182	6.0
H(6)	0.377 (3)	-0.122 (3)	-0.012 (2)	2.4 (8)	H(32)	0.317	0.084	0.182	6.0
H(21)	0.334	-0.176	0.107	6.0	H(33)	0.416	0.051	0.210	6.0
H(22)	0.372	-0.127	0.170	6.0					

<sup>a</sup> The form of the anisotropic thermal parameter is  $\exp[-2\pi^2(U_{11}h^2a^{*2} + U_{22}k^2b^{*2} + U_{33}l^2c^{*2} + 2U_{12}hka^{*}b^{*} + 2U_{13}hla^{*}c^{*} + 2U_{23}klb^{*}c^{*})]$ . <sup>b</sup> For hydrogen atoms, standard isotropic  $B$  values are given. See Figure 1 for atom labels.

in  $\bar{I}42d$  carried out twice. Least-squares refinement of the cobalt atom coordinates and thermal parameters reduced the conventional residual  $R$  to 0.255. An electron density difference map phased on this refined cobalt yielded 10 of the 12 unique remaining nonhydrogen atoms; subsequent maps were used to locate the last two. Isotropic followed by anisotropic refinement lowered  $R$  to 0.045 and  $R_w$  to 0.069, where  $R$  and  $R_w$  are defined as  $\sum||F_o| - |F_c||/\sum|F_o|$  and  $(\sum w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2/\sum w|F_o|^2)^{1/2}$ , respectively.

Several more electron density difference maps were then used to locate the positions of the terminal hydrogens bonded to the boron atoms as well as possible locations for the methyl hydrogens. The former successfully refined with isotropic thermal parameters. The latter did not and were replaced by hydrogen atoms held fixed at calculated positions 0.95 Å from their respective carbons. Further refinement reduced the residuals to their final values of  $R = 0.034$  and  $R_w = 0.043$ . The estimated standard deviation of an observation of unit weight is 2.301, and the ratio of data to parameters is 7.9. During the last cycle of refinement the largest parameter shift was 0.01 times its estimated error. A structure factor calculation including those data for which  $F_o^2 < 3\sigma(F_o^2)$  gave  $R = 0.050$ . No absorption correction was attempted because of the very irregular multifaceted nature of the crystal. Given the crystal's boxlike shape and its relatively small absorption coefficient, the error from this source was judged insignificant. A final electron density difference map was featureless.

Full-matrix least-squares refinement was based on  $F$ , and the function minimized was  $\sum w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2$ . The weights  $w$  were taken as  $[2F_o/\sigma(R_o^2)]^2$  where  $|F_o|$  and  $|F_c|$  are the observed and calculated structure factor amplitudes. The atomic scattering factors for nonhydrogen atoms were taken from Cromer and Waber<sup>8</sup> and those for hydrogen from Stewart.<sup>9</sup> The effects of anomalous dispersion were included in  $F_c$  by using Cromer and Ibers'<sup>10</sup> values of  $\Delta f'$  and  $\Delta f''$ . The computing system and programs are described elsewhere.<sup>11</sup> A table of observed and calculated structure factors is available as supplementary material.

## Results and Discussion

Final positional and thermal parameters are given in Table I while Tables II and III contain intramolecular distances and angles. The digits in parentheses in the tables are the estimated standard deviations in the least significant figure quoted and were derived from the inverse matrix in the course of least-squares refinement calculations. Tables IV and V list selected mean planes and intermolecular contacts, respectively. Figure 1 is a stereoscopic view of the molecule.

**Description of the Structure.** The molecule consists of two pyramidal  $\text{CoC}_2\text{B}_3$  units whose pentagonal faces are partially fused along their respective B(4)-B(5)-B(6)-C(2) and B(4')-B(5')-B(6')-C(2') edges (primed and unprimed atoms are related by a crystallographic twofold axis through the

Table II. Interatomic Distances (Å)<sup>a</sup>

Bonded Distances			
Co-C(2)	2.017 (3)	C(3)-CM(3)	1.524 (4)
Co-C(3)	1.965 (3)	B(4)-B(5)	1.849 (5)
Co-B(4)	2.205 (4)	B(4)-B(6')	1.895 (5)
Co-B(5)	2.074 (3)	B(4)-H(4)	1.14 (3)
Co-B(6)	2.112 (4)	B(5)-B(5')	1.776 (8)
Co-CP(1)	2.039 (3)	B(5)-B(6')	1.848 (5)
Co-CP(2)	2.062 (4)	B(5)-B(6)	1.800 (5)
Co-CP(3)	2.090 (4)	B(5)-H(5)	1.17 (4)
Co-CP(4)	2.084 (4)	B(6)-H(6)	1.09 (3)
Co-CP(5)	2.079 (4)	CP(1)-CP(2)	1.500 (7)
C(2)-C(3)	1.425 (4)	CP(2)-CP(3)	1.379 (6)
C(2)-B(4')	2.122 (4)	CP(3)-CP(4)	1.394 (6)
C(2)-B(6)	1.645 (4)	CP(4)-CP(5)	1.385 (6)
C(2)-CM(2)	1.507 (4)	CP(5)-CP(1)	1.436 (7)
C(3)-B(4)	1.555 (4)		


Nonbonded Distances			
Co-Co'	4.907 (1)	C(2)-C(3')	2.698 (4)
C(2)-C(2')	3.178 (6)	C(3)-C(3')	2.791 (5)

<sup>a</sup> Atoms marked with a prime are related to their unmarked counterparts by an inversion axis which bisects the B(5)-B(5') and C(3)-C(3') vectors. See Figure 1 for atom labels.

Table III. Selected Bond Angles (deg)

C(2)-Co-C(3)	41.9 (1)	C(2')-B(4)-C(3)	93.1 (2)
C(2)-Co-B(6)	46.9 (1)	C(2')-B(4)-B(6')	47.9 (2)
C(3)-Co-B(4)	43.3 (1)	B(5)-B(4)-B(6')	57.4 (2)
B(4)-Co-B(5)	51.1 (1)	C(3)-B(4)-B(5)	106.2 (2)
B(5)-Co-B(6)	52.4 (2)	Co-B(5)-B(4)	68.1 (2)
Co-C(2)-C(3)	67.1 (1)	Co-B(5)-B(6)	64.9 (2)
Co-C(2)-B(6)	69.6 (2)	B(4)-B(5)-B(6')	62.6 (2)
C(3)-C(2)-B(4')	109.3 (2)	B(5')-B(5)-B(6')	62.3 (2)
C(3)-C(2)-B(6)	115.0 (3)	B(5')-B(5)-B(6)	59.5 (2)
B(4')-C(2)-B(6)	58.8 (2)	B(4)-B(5)-B(6)	97.2 (2)
Co-C(2)-CM(2)	124.5 (2)	Co-B(6)-C(2)	63.5 (2)
C(3)-C(2)-CM(2)	123.3 (3)	Co-B(6)-B(5)	62.8 (2)
B(4')-C(2)-CM(2)	107.0 (2)	C(2)-B(6)-B(4')	73.3 (2)
B(6)-C(2)-CM(2)	120.8 (3)	B(4')-B(6)-B(5')	60.0 (2)
Co-C(3)-C(2)	71.0 (2)	B(5)-B(6)-B(5')	58.2 (2)
Co-C(3)-B(4)	76.6 (2)	C(2)-B(6)-B(5)	103.6 (2)
C(2)-C(3)-B(4)	114.0 (3)	CP(2)-CP(1)-CP(5)	106.2 (3)
Co-C(3)-CM(3)	125.7 (2)	CP(1)-CP(2)-CP(3)	106.3 (4)
C(2)-C(3)-CM(3)	122.2 (3)	CP(2)-CP(3)-CP(4)	109.5 (4)
B(4)-C(3)-CM(3)	123.5 (3)	CP(3)-CP(4)-CP(5)	110.8 (4)
Co-B(4)-C(3)	60.1 (2)	CP(1)-CP(5)-CP(4)	107.1 (4)
Co-B(4)-B(5)	60.8 (2)		

molecule). The distances between the pair of framework carbons atoms C(2) and C(3) and their counterparts C(2')



**Figure 1.** Molecular structure of  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{Co}_2(\text{CH}_3)_4\text{C}_4\text{B}_6\text{H}_6$ . Primed atoms are related to the corresponding unprimed atoms by a crystallographic twofold axis bisecting the B(5)-B(5') bond.


**Table IV.** Selected Intramolecular Planes

atom	dev, Å	atom	dev, Å
Plane 1: C(2), C(3), B(4), B(5), B(6)			
$0.9081x - 0.3516y + 0.2274z = 5.5732$			
C(2)	-0.017	B(6)	-0.066
C(3)	0.111	Co	1.524
B(4)	-0.137	CM(2)	0.131
B(5)	0.109	CM(3)	0.332
Plane 2: C(2'), C(3'), B(4'), B(5'), B(6')			
$0.9081x - 0.3516y - 0.2274z = 6.9927$			
Plane 3: CP(1), CP(2), CP(3), CP(4), CP(5)			
$0.9083x - 0.3337y + 0.2521z = 2.3999$			
CP(1)	-0.002	CP(4)	-0.003
CP(2)	0.000	CP(5)	0.003
CP(3)	0.002	Co	-1.682
Plane 4: CP(1'), CP(2'), CP(3'), CP(4'), CP(5')			
$0.9083x - 0.3337y - 0.2521z = 10.169$			
planes	angle, deg	planes	angle, deg
1,2	26.3	2,3	27.8
1,3	1.8	2,4	1.8
1,4	27.8	3,4	29.2

**Table V.** Intermolecular Nonhydrogen Contacts (<3.8 Å)

atom 1	atom 2	dist, Å	relationship
CM(2)	CM(2)	3.699 (6)	$x, -1/2 - y, 1/4 - z$
CM(2)	CP(2)	3.797 (5)	$y, -1/2 + x, 1/4 + z$
CP(2)	CP(2)	3.68 (1)	$1/2 - x, y, -1/4 - z$
CP(3)	CP(5)	3.753 (6)	$-y, x, -z$



and C(3') are clearly nonbonding (2.7 Å or greater), so that the cage has a large opening on the side facing the viewer in Figure 1. This geometry is very similar to that of 1,2,3,7,8- $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Co}(\text{CH}_3)_4\text{C}_4\text{B}_7\text{H}_6\text{-OC}_2\text{H}_5^4$  (Figure 2A), an analogue of the present structure in which one  $\text{Co}(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)$  unit has been replaced by  $\text{B-OC}_2\text{H}_5$ ; for comparison, the dihedral angle between the  $\text{C}_2\text{B}_3$  ring planes is 26.3° in the present structure and 28.5° in the monocobalt species.<sup>4</sup> Similarly, the C(3)-C(3') distance across the open face in the dicobalt species is 2.791 (5) Å, while the corresponding vector in the monocobalt structure [C(3)-C(7)] is 2.854 (6) Å. These data indicate that the  $\text{Co}_2\text{C}_4\text{B}_6$  framework is slightly less open than




**Figure 2.** Comparison of the structures of  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Co}(\text{CH}_3)_4\text{C}_4\text{B}_7\text{H}_6\text{-OC}_2\text{H}_5$  (A)<sup>4</sup> and  $(\text{CH}_3)_4\text{C}_4\text{B}_8\text{H}_8$  (B).<sup>12</sup>

the  $\text{CoC}_4\text{B}_7$  cage. On the other hand, both the  $\text{Co}_2\text{C}_4\text{B}_6$  and  $\text{CoC}_4\text{B}_7$  systems are significantly different from  $(\text{CH}_3)_4\text{C}_4\text{B}_8\text{H}_8$ <sup>12</sup> (Figure 2B), in which the central C-C interaction is bonding [1.53 (1) Å]. Since these three species form an isoelectronic series with 28 skeletal electrons, one can say that formal replacement of one apex BH unit in  $(\text{CH}_3)_4\text{C}_4\text{B}_8\text{H}_8$  with a  $\text{Co}(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)$  group produces a major structural change, but replacement of the second apex BH has little effect. These findings are important in light of the severe differences that have been observed between  $\text{Co}_2\text{C}_4\text{B}_6$  isomers, to be discussed below.

**Relationship to the Structures of  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{Co}_2\text{C}_4\text{B}_6\text{H}_{10}$  Isomers.** As described elsewhere,<sup>1</sup> the nido complex 1,2,3- $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{CoC}_2\text{B}_3\text{H}_7$  can be deprotonated by treatment with KOH/ethanol or sodium hydride in tetrahydrofuran. The resulting anion, when exposed to air in 10% ethanolic KOH solution, undergoes oxidative fusion<sup>2</sup> to generate a series of tetracarbon metallacarboranes as well as other products,<sup>1</sup> in yields of a few percent each.





**Figure 3.** Proposed mechanism of formation of  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{Co}_2\text{C}_4\text{B}_6\text{H}_{10}$  isomers. The structures of isomers V and VII are established, and that of VI is proposed from NMR evidence. Species I, II, and III are suggested reaction intermediates. The conversion of II to III can occur via insertion of B(5') between B(5) and B(4) and insertion of B(5) between B(5') and B(4'), with subsequent linkage of B(5') to Co(1) and of B(5) to Co(1'); the other rearrangements depicted are obvious.

When the *C,C'*-dimethyl species  $1,2,3\text{-}(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Co}(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_3\text{H}_5$  is treated in identical fashion, the only tetracarbon metallacarborane obtained is a single isomer of  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{Co}_2(\text{CH}_3)_4\text{C}_4\text{B}_6\text{H}_6$ , the compound whose structure we report in this paper. From the 32.1-MHz  $^{11}\text{B}$  and 100-MHz  $^1\text{H}$  pulse Fourier transform NMR spectra,<sup>1</sup> it is clear that this complex is a tetra-*C*-methyl derivative of  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{Co}_2\text{C}_4\text{B}_6\text{H}_{10}$ , isomer V; hence, the gross cage geometry of the tetramethyl species (Figure 1) is assumed to be that of the parent isomer V.

The structures of the two crystallographically characterized isomers, V and VII,<sup>1</sup> are clearly different (Figure 3), and in fact constitute different types of nido cages. The geometry of V can be described as a severely distorted icosahedron, while VII resembles a 13-vertex closo polyhedron from which the unique high-coordinate vertex has been removed (this type of cage is also found in  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Fe}(\text{CH}_3)_4\text{C}_4\text{B}_7\text{H}_8$ ,<sup>5</sup> an iso-electronic analogue of VII). From NMR data, to be discussed below, it is highly probable that the remaining isomer, VI, falls into yet another structural class.

The formation of three structurally dissimilar cobaltacarborane isomers at room temperature in the same reaction can only be interpreted in terms of kinetic factors which are dependent on reaction conditions. Whatever the thermodynamically preferred geometry of the  $\text{Co}_2\text{C}_4\text{B}_6$  system may be (and it could well be different from any of the three observed isomers V, VI, or VII), the structures of the isolated products no doubt reflect specific pathways by which they are generated from the  $\text{CoC}_2\text{B}_3$  precursor. In Figure 3 we suggest a scheme for the formation of all three isomers. The initial step probably involves formation of a "quadruple-decker" complex (I) in which two  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{CoC}_2\text{B}_3\text{H}_5^{2-}$  ligands are sandwiched around a central  $\text{CoH}^{4+}$  group; this process would be precisely analogous to the known synthesis<sup>13</sup> of  $[(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_4\text{H}_4]_2\text{CoH}$  from the  $(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_4\text{H}_5^-$  ion and  $\text{CoCl}_2$  in THF. In the present case, the source of the central cobalt ion is doubtless the degradation of the original monocobalt complex in basic

media, which is extensive and produces a variety of products.<sup>1</sup> Complex I has not been isolated due to its rapid conversion to other species, but NMR evidence for the existence of its tetra-*C*-methyl derivative has been obtained.<sup>14</sup>

Subsequent air oxidation of I results in oxidative fusion of the two  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{CoC}_2\text{B}_3\text{H}_5^{2-}$  ligands to produce the neutral  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{Co}_2\text{C}_4\text{B}_6\text{H}_{10}$  complexes.<sup>1</sup> In our proposed scheme this occurs through the partially linked intermediate II, which with minor adjustment becomes the observed isomer V; again, this is a process directly analogous to the known conversion<sup>13,15</sup> of  $[(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_4\text{H}_4]_2\text{CoH}$  or  $[(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_4\text{H}_4]_2\text{FeH}_2$  to  $(\text{CH}_3)_4\text{C}_4\text{B}_8\text{H}_8$ . Indeed, as was pointed out earlier, isomer V is a structural counterpart of  $(\text{CH}_3)_4\text{C}_4\text{B}_8\text{H}_8$  in which the central C-C interaction is stretched to nonbonding distance.

Intermediate II is also proposed to undergo an alternative type of rearrangement to produce the symmetric intermediate III from which isomers VI and VII are formed as shown. The suggested structure of VI is based on its  $^{11}\text{B}$  and  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra,<sup>1</sup> which indicate  $C_{2v}$  symmetry with two boron environments in a 2:4 ratio and equivalent  $(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Co}$  groups. The area-2  $^{11}\text{B}$  signal appears at very low field ( $\delta$  69.1 relative to  $\text{BF}_3\cdot\text{OEt}_2$ ), strongly suggesting the presence of two four-coordinate BH units [B(5), B(5')] located adjacent to both cobalt nuclei.<sup>16,17</sup> These data are highly restrictive, and while alternative structures cannot be ruled out, a pseudoicosahedral-type cage seems strongly indicated for isomer VI. Mild distortion of the idealized  $C_{2v}$  geometry in Figure 3 (perhaps lowering the symmetry to  $C_2$ ) would not be surprising, but a highly opened framework (such as VII, for example) would be difficult to reconcile with the NMR observations.

The scheme shown in Figure 3 also provides a rationale for the fact that only isomer V of  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{Co}_2(\text{CH}_3)_4\text{C}_4\text{B}_6\text{H}_6$  is obtained when the starting material is  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Co}(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_3\text{H}_5$ , as opposed to the three isomers (V, VI, VII) that are formed from the parent (nonmethylated) complex. In isomers VI and VII, the framework carbon atoms are in close proximity, requiring the two HC-CH pairs in inter-

mediate III to move toward each other. In isomer V, on the other hand, no such close approach is involved, and the two pairs of carbon atoms are in fact well separated. One might then expect that in the tetra-*C*-methylated system, the cage geometry of V would be strongly favored and those of VI and VII hindered, as a consequence of methyl-methyl repulsions.

### Conclusions

The structure reported in this paper, taken together with previously established structures,<sup>1,2,4,12,18</sup> extends our understanding of tetracarbon cobaltacarborane stereochemistry in a significant way: it allows us to consider in some detail the mechanisms of formation and interconversion of the  $\text{Co}_2\text{C}_4\text{B}_6$  cage isomers. In general, we appear to have reached a point at which the available structural information in this area can support at least some mechanistic ideas. In other publications we shall attempt to deal with the observed<sup>15</sup> reversible rearrangement of  $(\text{CH}_3)_4\text{C}_4\text{B}_8\text{H}_8$  isomers, the formation and stereochemistry of  $(\text{CH}_3)_4\text{C}_4\text{B}_8\text{H}_8^{2-}$  and  $(\text{CH}_3)_4\text{C}_4\text{B}_8\text{H}_9^-$  ions,<sup>5</sup> the insertion of transition metals into these species to give tetracarbon metallacarboranes of various types,<sup>2</sup> and related problems.

**Acknowledgment.** This work was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research and by the National Science Foundation, Grant CHE 76-04491.

**Registry No.**  $(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{Co}_2(\text{CH}_3)_4\text{C}_4\text{B}_6\text{H}_6$ , 67799-31-9.

**Supplementary Material Available:** Listing of observed and calculated structure factors (5 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

### References and Notes

- (1) Part 5: K.-S. Wong, J. R. Bowser, J. R. Pipal, and R. N. Grimes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **100**, 5045 (1978).
- (2) For a review, see R. N. Grimes, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, **11**, 420 (1978).
- (3) (a) K. Wade, *Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem.*, **18**, 1 (1976); (b) R. W. Rudolph, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, **9**, 446 (1976); (c) D. M. P. Mingos, *Nature (London), Phys. Sci.*, **236**, 99 (1972).
- (4) J. R. Pipal and R. N. Grimes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **100**, 3083 (1978).
- (5) W. M. Maxwell, R. F. Bryan, and R. N. Grimes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **99**, 4008 (1977).
- (6) W. M. Maxwell, R. Weiss, E. Sinn, and R. N. Grimes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **99**, 4016 (1977).
- (7) P. W. R. Corfield, R. J. Doedens, and J. A. Ibers, *Inorg. Chem.*, **6**, 197 (1967).
- (8) D. T. Cromer and J. T. Waber, "International Tables for X-ray Crystallography", Vol. IV, Kynoch Press, Birmingham, England, 1974.
- (9) R. F. Stewart, E. R. Davidson, and W. T. Simpson, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **42**, 3175 (1965).
- (10) D. T. Cromer and J. A. Ibers, ref 8.
- (11) D. P. Freyberg, G. M. Mockler, and E. Sinn, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 447 (1976).
- (12) D. P. Freyberg, R. Weiss, E. Sinn, and R. N. Grimes, *Inorg. Chem.*, **16**, 1847 (1977).
- (13) W. M. Maxwell, V. R. Miller, and R. N. Grimes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **98**, 4818 (1976).
- (14) D. F. Finster and R. N. Grimes, unpublished results.
- (15) W. M. Maxwell, V. R. Miller, and R. N. Grimes, *Inorg. Chem.*, **15**, 1343 (1976).
- (16) (a) V. R. Miller and R. N. Grimes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **95**, 2830 (1973); (b) W. J. Evans, G. B. Dunks, and M. F. Hawthorne, *ibid.*, **95**, 4565 (1973); (c) V. R. Miller and R. N. Grimes, *ibid.*, **97**, 4213 (1975).
- (17) Supporting this assignment are the facts that (1) no other known tetracarbon metallacarborane has an  $^{11}\text{B}$  resonance lower than  $\delta \sim 35$  and (2) no previously characterized tetracarbon metallacarborane has a low-coordinate boron adjacent to more than one metal. Thus, the strikingly different  $^{11}\text{B}$  spectrum of VI implies a structural type not previously seen in this class of compounds.
- (18) J. R. Pipal, W. M. Maxwell, and R. N. Grimes, *Inorg. Chem.*, **17**, 1447 (1978).

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523

## Structural Variations in Macrocyclic Copper(II) Complexes: Crystal and Molecular Structures of $[\text{Cu}(\text{cyclops})\text{H}_2\text{O}](\text{ClO}_4)$ and $[\text{Cu}(\text{PreH})\text{H}_2\text{O}](\text{ClO}_4)\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$


OREN P. ANDERSON\* and ALAN B. PACKARD

Received January 19, 1979

The crystal and molecular structures of  $[\text{Cu}(\text{cyclops})\text{H}_2\text{O}](\text{ClO}_4)$  (**1**, cyclops = difluoro-3,3'-(trimethylenedinitrilo)bis(2-butanone oximate)borate) and of  $[\text{Cu}(\text{PreH})\text{H}_2\text{O}](\text{ClO}_4)\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (**2**, PreH = 3,3'-(trimethylenedinitrilo)bis(2-butanone oximate)) have been determined from three-dimensional single-crystal X-ray diffraction data, collected by counter techniques. Violet crystals of **1** were monoclinic, space group  $P2_1/n$ , with four formula units in the unit cell ( $a = 9.427$  (5) Å,  $b = 15.921$  (9) Å,  $c = 12.808$  (8) Å,  $\beta = 96.69$  (2)°). The structure of **1** was refined to  $R = 0.070$  ( $R_w = 0.072$ ) for 1333 independent reflections with  $F^2 > 3\sigma(F^2)$ . Red-violet crystals of **2** were orthorhombic, space group  $P2_12_12_1$ , with four formula units in the unit cell ( $a = 6.860$  (2) Å,  $b = 12.436$  (5) Å,  $c = 21.595$  (7) Å). The structure of **2** was refined to  $R = 0.049$  ( $R_w = 0.064$ ) for 1538 independent reflections with  $F^2 > 3\sigma(F^2)$ . In both cases, the monomeric complex ions exhibited square-pyramidal coordination geometries about the copper(II) ion. In the structure of **2**, the copper(II) ion was only 0.10 Å above the plane of the four coordinating nitrogen atoms of the highly planar nonmacrocyclic ligand, and the Cu-O( $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) bond length of 2.355 (7) Å observed was elongated by an amount normal for apically bound water in square-pyramidal copper(II) complexes. Closure of the dioximate ligand of **2** to form the macrocyclic complex **1** resulted in an increase in the apical displacement of the copper(II) ion to the relatively large distance of 0.32 Å above the plane of the coordinating nitrogen atoms. In **1** the macrocyclic ligand was found to be nonplanar, and the bond to the apical water molecule (Cu-O( $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) = 2.253 (9) Å) was shortened considerably relative to that in **2**.

### Introduction

Recent structural and chemical studies have explored some of the unique properties of metal complexes involving macrocyclic ligands such as difluoro-3,3'-(trimethylenedinitrilo)bis(2-butanone oximate)borate (**3**, hereafter referred to as cyclops).<sup>1-5</sup> Our general interest in the structural properties of potentially unusual copper(II) complexes led to the determination of the structure of the cyanato-*N* adduct  $[\text{Cu}(\text{cyclops})\text{NCO}]$ .<sup>1</sup> This complex was found to exhibit a very large displacement of the copper(II) ion from the basal plane of the four coordinating nitrogen atoms of the cyclops



macrocyclic. This large apical displacement of 0.58 Å was coupled with a very short bond between copper(II) and the