## The Inorganic Chemistry of Carbon Difluoride

Sir:

The organic chemistry of divalent carbon has received much attention and has been proportionately rewarding. We now wish to describe some inorganic reactions of  $CF_2$  at moderate temperatures; to this purpose we have prepared a new class of  $CF_2$  transfer reagents, the trifluoromethyl fluorophosphoranes.

The new compound  $(CF_3)_3PF_2$  (b.p. 20°, m.p.  $-102^\circ$ , infrared absorption at 1240, 1195, and 855 cm.<sup>-1</sup>) is made by the action of  $SF_4^1$  on  $(CF_3)_3P^2$  at 25°.  $(CF_3)_2$ - $PF_3$  (b.p.  $-5^\circ$ , m.p.  $-74^\circ$ , infrared absorption at 1240, 1195, 952, 890, and 790 cm.<sup>-1</sup>) can be made by  $SbF_3$  fluorination of  $(CF_3)_2PCI_{3,3}$  or more directly by the vigorous attack of  $SF_4$  on  $(CF_3)_2PI^2$  below room temperature.  $CF_3PF_4$  (b.p.  $-35^\circ$ , m.p.  $-117^\circ$ , infrared absorption at 1240, 1190, 1018, 990, 915, and 900 cm.<sup>-1</sup>) is formed in the reaction of  $CF_3PCl_4^4$  with  $SbF_3$ .

The trifluoromethyl fluorophosphoranes are convenient sources of  $CF_2$ . Elision of  $CF_2$  occurs stepwise and reversibly

$$(CF_3)_3 PF_2 \rightleftharpoons (CF_3)_2 PF_3 + CF_2$$
  
 $(CF_3)_2 PF_2 \rightleftharpoons CF_3 PF_4 + CF_2$   
 $CF_3 PF_4 \rightleftharpoons PF_5 + CF_2$ 

(

The reversibility is demonstrated by the reorganization of  $(CF_3)_2PF_3$  (10% per day at 25°) to give  $(CF_3)_3PF_2$  and  $CF_3PF_4$ .  $CF_3PF_4$  disproportionates (5% per month at 25°) to give  $(CF_3)_3PF_2$ ,  $(CF_3)_2PF_3$ , and  $PF_5$ , and the reaction of excess  $PF_5$  with  $(CF_3)_3PF_2$  (100°, 4 hr.) is a good synthesis method for  $CF_3PF_4$ .

In the absence of other reagents the CF<sub>2</sub> disappears irreversibly by forming dimer (tetrafluoroethylene), trimer (hexafluorocyclopropane), and high polymer. Trimer is favored by slow decomposition ((CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>PF<sub>2</sub> at 120° for 24 hr. gave 10% (CF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, 80% (CF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, and 10% (CF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>x</sub>), while dimer is the major product when CF<sub>2</sub> is generated rapidly ((CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>PF<sub>2</sub> at 200° for 10 min. gave 80% (CF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, 10% (CF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, and 10% (CF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>x</sub>).

 $(CF_8)_8PF_2$  decomposes at 25° in the gas phase at 0.5 atm. at the rate of 0.5% per month and at the same rate in the pure liquid. At 100° in the gas phase, CF<sub>3</sub> groups are destroyed to the same extent (40% in 17 hr.) in experiments with 4 and 0.25 atm. of starting material. This pressure and phase independence argues for a monomolecular elision process which suggests that the CF<sub>2</sub> is a true intermediate.

When  $(CF_3)_3PF_2$  is decomposed in the presence of

other reagents (120° for 24 hr. are convenient conditions) the CF<sub>2</sub> may be intercepted. For example with iodine, CF<sub>2</sub>I<sub>2</sub> is formed in 30% yield in addition to  $ICF_2CF_2I_5$   $ICF_2CF_2CF_2I_6$  and  $(CF_2)_8$ . The new compound CF<sub>2</sub>I<sub>2</sub> (*Anal.* Calcd.: I, 83.55; mol. wt., 304. Found: I, 83.40; mol. wt. (gas density), 298) is a yellow liquid: m.p.  $-72^\circ$ ; 0° vapor tension 14 mm. the ultraviolet absorption maximum is at 2980 Å. in the gas phase; infrared absorption of the gas is strong at 1120, 1070, and 745 cm.<sup>-1</sup>. F<sup>19</sup> magnetic resonance shows a single line, 100 p.p.m. downfield from CF<sub>3</sub>-COOH. CF<sub>2</sub>I<sub>2</sub> reacts with mercury at room temperature to give tetrafluoroethylene.

CF<sub>2</sub> generated at 100° adds to HCl to give HCF<sub>2</sub>Cl, which is of interest because it is probably the reverse of the reaction whereby C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub> is formed from the pyrolysis of HCF<sub>2</sub>Cl above 650°.<sup>7</sup> CF<sub>2</sub> generated in the presence of oxygen gives carbonyl fluoride, but hydrogen does not react with CF<sub>2</sub> at 100°. CF<sub>2</sub> is a reducing agent in its reaction with UF<sub>6</sub> or MoF<sub>6</sub>, giving CF<sub>4</sub> and reduced metal fluorides.

The reaction with chlorine is complicated by a competing attack directly on  $(CF_3)_3PF_2$ . The products are  $CF_2Cl_2$  (70%),  $CF_3Cl$  (20%), and  $CFCl_3$  (10%). The elision of  $CF_2$  accounts for the formation of  $CF_2Cl_2$ and the direct cleavage of a C-P bond by chlorine gives rise to  $CF_3Cl$ . These events could account for the observed  $CFCl_3$ 

$$(X = CF_{3} \text{ or } F)$$

| $CF_3PX_4 \longrightarrow FPX_4 + CF_2$                                                                          | elision of $CF_2$            |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| $\mathrm{CF}_3\mathrm{PX}_4 + \mathrm{Cl}_2 \longrightarrow \mathrm{CF}_3\mathrm{Cl} + \mathrm{Cl}\mathrm{PX}_4$ | C-P cleavage                 |
| $CF_2 + ClPX_4 \longrightarrow ClCF_2PX_4$                                                                       | insertion of CF <sub>2</sub> |
| $CiCF_2PX_4 \longrightarrow FCC1 + FPX_4$                                                                        | elision of FCC1              |
| $FCC1 + C1_2 \longrightarrow FCC1_3$                                                                             |                              |

No reaction with  $CF_2$  was observed when  $(CF_3)_3PF_2$ was pyrolyzed at 120° in the presence of BF<sub>3</sub>, CO, NF<sub>3</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, PF<sub>3</sub>, CS<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, or CF<sub>3</sub>I. The CF<sub>2</sub> appeared as dimer, trimer, and high polymer and the reagents were recovered unchanged.

Our surmise that the  $CF_2$  here described is a free molecule is supported by the constancy of reaction conditions for various reagents, the kinetics, and the nature of the products (especially  $CF_2I_2$ ). We are seeking conclusive evidence by physical methods.

(5) D. D. Coffman, M. S. Raasch, G. W. Rigby, P. L. Barrick, and W. E. Hanford, J. Org. Chem., 14, 747 (1949).

(6) M. Hauptschein and A. V. Grosse, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 73, 2461 (1951).

(7) J. D. Park, A. F. Benning, F. B. Downing, J. F. Laucius, and R. C. McHarness, Ind. Eng. Chem., 39, 354 (1947).

EXPLOSIVES DEPARTMENT EXPERIMENTAL STATION WALTER MAHLER

E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY

WILMINGTON, DELAWARE

<sup>(1)</sup> W. C. Smith, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 82, 6167 (1960).

<sup>(2)</sup> F. W. Bennett, H. J. Emeléus, and R. N. Haszeldine, J. Chem. Soc., 1565 (1953).

<sup>(3)</sup> H. J. Emeléus, R. N. Haszeldine, and R. C. Paul, ibid., 563 (1955).

<sup>(4)</sup> W. Mahler and A. B. Burg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 80, 6161 (1958).