Kinetics and Mechanism of the Reactions of Sulfito Complexes in Aqueous Solution. 2. Formation and Aquation of Aquo(sulfito-O)(2,2',2''-triaminotriethylamine)cobalt(III) $\text{Ion}^{1,2}$

A. A. EL-AWADY*' and G. M. HARRIS*

Received October 6, *1980*

The rates of the rapid reversible uptake of *SO2* by **diaquo(2,2',2"-triaminotriethylamine)cobalt(III)** complex ions have been determined by the stopped-flow technique over the ranges $3.5 < pH < 6.6$, $10 < t < 25$ °C, and $0.006 <$ [total sulfite] < 0.07 M at $I = 1.00$ M (NaClO₄). The rate parameters at 10 °C for the second-order reactions of SO₂ with Co(tren)(H₂O)₂³⁺ $\text{Co}(\text{tren})(OH)(OH_2)^{2+}$, and $\text{Co}(\text{tren})(OH)_2^+$ are respectively as follows: $k = (5.0 \pm 0.3) \times 10^5$, $(3.3 \pm 0.1) \times 10^7$, and $(7.6 \pm 1.3) \times 10^8$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹; $\Delta H^* = 36 \pm 1$, 4.5 ± 0.1 , and 11.5 ± 0.3 kcal mol⁻¹; $\Delta S^* = 94 \pm 3$, -8.0 ± 0.3 , and 23 ± 3 cal deg⁻¹ mol⁻¹. The product obtained is shown by spectral studies to be an O-bonded monodentate sulfito complex, which, in the unstable protonated form $\text{Cot}(\text{tren})(OH_2)(OSO_2H)^{2+}$, eliminates SO_2 with rate parameters at 10 °C of $k = (1.4 \text{ m})$ \pm 0.1) \times 10³ s^{-f}, ΔH^* = 11.1 \pm 0.8 kcal mol⁻¹, and ΔS^* = -4.6 \pm 0.4 cal deg⁻¹ mol⁻¹. Comparisons are made between the results of this study and those of similar previous studies involving CO_2 , SO_2 , SO_3^2 ⁻, $HSeO_3^-$, $HMoO_4^-$, and HWO_4 leading to a number of worthwhile mechanistic conclusions.

Introduction

In the preceding paper in this series,² we have reported a kinetic study of the very fast direct addition of aqueous **SOz** to the species $Co(NH_3)_5OH^{2+}$ to yield an unstable O-bonded monosulfito complex ion. This type of reaction affords a close mechanistic parallel to the now fully documented phenomenon of reversible $CO₂$ uptake by a large number of octahedral hydroxometal complexes.⁴ In such rapid reactions, all of which occur with half-times of seconds or less, it can be unequivocally concluded that they take place without the metal-oxygen bond fission which is characteristic of conventional hydroxo or aquo ligand replacement. A number of other recent studies have pointed up the similarly high reactivity of several additional group 6 moieties toward cobalt(II1)-aquo complex ions, including the SO_3^2 ⁻ ion,⁵⁻⁸ the HSe O_3^- ion,⁹ the HMo $O_4^$ ion,¹⁰ and the $HWO₄⁻$ ion.¹¹ All of these require the stopped-flow technique for rate measurement and therefore necessitate the postulation of a "direct addition" mechanism of the type already mentioned. We now report the results of our second study of SO₂ uptake and elimination, this time by the sterically robust complex ion diaquo(2,2',2"-triaminotriethylamine)cobalt(III), $Co(tren)(H_2O)_2^{3+}$. In the higher acidity range (pH ≤ 6.6), the SO_2 uptake behavior of this system is quite similar to that of its pentaammine analogue, including complete reversibility of the process at the high-acid end of the range (pH **<3).** A new feature appears, however, in that there is evidence for rapid SO₂ addition, not only as expected to the two deprotonated species $Co($ tren $)$ $(OH₂)$ -

- A preliminary report of this work was presented at 179th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Houston, Texas, March 1980; **see** Abstract No. INOR 134.
- Previous paper in this **series:** van Eldik, R.; Harris, G. M. *Inorg. Chem.* 1980, *19,* 880.
- (3) On leave from the Department of Chemistry, Western Illinois University, Macomb, Ill. 61455.
- (4) van Eldik, R.; Palmer, D. A.; Kelm, H.; Harris, G. M. *Inorg. Chem.* 1980, *19,* 3679.
- Murray, **R. S.;** Stranks, D. R.; Yandell, J. K. J. *Chem. SOC. D* 1969, 604.
-
- Stranks, D. R.; Yandell, J. K. *Inorg. Chem.* 1970, 9, 751.
Farrell, S. M.; Murray, R. S. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* 1977, 322.
Thacker, M. A.; Higginson, W. C. E*. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* (8)
- 1975,704. (9) **(a)** Fowless, A. D.; Stranks, D. R. *Inorg. Chem.* 1977, 16, 1271. (b)
- *Ibid.* 1977, *16,* 1276. (c) *Ibid.* 1977, 16, 1282. Taylor, R. **S.** *Inorg. Chem.* 1977, *16,* 116.
-
- Gamsjtiger, H.; Thompson, G. A. **K.;** Sagmiiller, W.; Sykes, A. G. *Inorg. Chem.* 1980, 19, 997.

Table I. Acid Dissociation Constants for $Co($ tren) $(OH₂)₂³⁺$ and $SO_2(aq)$ ($I = 1.0 M (NaClO_4)$)

temp, °C	$pK1$ ^a	pK, q	pK_3 ^b	$pK_a{}^b$	
6.0	1.69	6.30	6.07 ± 0.05	8.30 ± 0.05	
10.0	1.74	6.30	6.01 ± 0.06	8.31 ± 0.04	
14.0	1.79	6.30	5.96 ± 0.03	8.22 ± 0.04	
18.0	1.84	6.30	5.89 ± 0.05	8.27 ± 0.06	
22.0	1.87	6.30	5.85 ± 0.04	8.24 ± 0.04	
25.0	1.90	6.30	5.80 ± 0.04	8.23 ± 0.03	

a Determined as outlined previously.^{2,16,17} *b* Previously reported values for pK_3 and pK_4 at 20 °C are 5.42 and 7.8, respectively, at $I = 0.1$ M (NaClO₄)¹³ and 5.35 and 7.95, respectively, at $I =$ **0.5** M (NaClO,)."

 $(OH)^{2+}$ and $Co(tren)(OH)₂⁺$, but also to the diaquo ion itself, $Co(tren)(OH₂)₂³⁺$. Furthermore, as will be fully discussed in a subsequent paper,¹² while the expected slow reduction of cobalt(II1) by the 0-bonded sulfito ligand is observed in the lower pH range, above pH **7** the final process is not redox but substitution for the second aquo ligand by SO_3^2 to yield a very stable bis(su1fito) end product.

Experimental Section

Materials. [Co(tren)(CO₃)](ClO₄) was prepared from commercially available 2,2',2''-triaminotriethylamine tris(hydrochloride) (Strem Chemicals) or the free base (The Ames Laboratories, Inc., Milford, Conn.) by following previously described procedures.^{13,14}

 $[Co(\text{tren})(OH₂)₂](CO₄)$ ³ was prepared from the carbonato salt as described elsewhere.¹⁴ The purity of the compound was checked by elemental analysis¹⁵ and by the comparison of the UV-vis spectrum (Cary 118C spectrophotometer) with that reported earlier^{13,14} (see Table **11).** All chemicals were of reagent grade, and the laboratory-distilled water was further purified by passage through a mixed-bed anion-cation exchange resin column. Solid sodium metabisulfite, $Na₂S₂O₅$, was used as the source of sulfite; this salt is very stable in storage but hydrates very rapidly and completely upon dissolution in water to yield sulfite.²

- (12) El-Awady, A. A.; Harris, G. M., a subsequent paper in this series.
(13) Scheidegger, H. A.; Doctoral Thesis, Eidgenössichen Technischen Ho-
chschule, Zurich, 1966.
- (14) Dasgupta, T. P.; Harris, G. M. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* 1975, *97,* 1733.
-
- Galbraith Laboratories, Inc., Knoxville, Tenn. 37921.
"Gmelins Handbuch der Anorganischen Chemie"; Verlag Chemie: (16) "Gmelins Handbuch der Anorganischen Chemie"; Verlag Chemie: Weinheim/Bergstr., West Germany, 1960; System No. 9, Part B2, pp 464-467.
- (17) *Spec. Publ.—Chem. Soc.* 1964, *No. 17*, 229-230 and ref 30C, 32D, 34J, 57C, and 58Fa therein.

 a en \equiv ethylenediamine.

Determination of Acid Dissociation Constants. The values for the first and second acid dissociation constants K_3 and K_4 for Co- $(tren)(OH₂)₂³⁺$ were determined at five different temperatures and an ionic strength $I = 1.0$ M (NaClO₄) by titrating a 4×10^{-3} M complex solution with 0.1 M NaOH (see Table I). The pH measurements were made with a Fisher Model **420** pH/ion meter and a Markson combination electrode. The pK values utilized for the acid dissociation constants K_1 and K_2 of "H₂SO₃" (aqueous SO₂) at the various temperatures and an ionic strength of 1.0 M (NaC10,) are also included in the tabulation.

Spectral Measurements Preliminary experiments in which buffered $Na₂S₂O₅$, NaHSO₃, or Na₂SO₃ solutions were added to buffered $Co(\text{tren})(OH_2)_2^{3+}$ solutions showed an instantaneous color change from pink to orange at pH **4-7.** At pH below **5.7** the orange solution slowly fades at room temperature to produce the almost coldrless light pink solution characteristic of cobalt(I1). At higher pH, however, the orange solution changes to a stable bright yellow solution. These color changes were followed spectrophotometrically in the UV-vis region by means of the Cary 118C spectrophotometer. It was observed that the concentration of the orange solution depended on the amount of sulfite added and the pH of the solution. The maximum concentration of the orange intermediate was found to occur in the range $5.5 \leq pH \leq 6.2$. The spectrum of the resulting solution was recorded at 10 $^{\circ}$ C to slow down its redox decomposition (pH <5.7) or the subsequent formation of the yellow complex ($pH > 7$). A comparison of the UV-vis absorption spectrum of our orange intermediate with those previously reported for similar S- and 0-bonded (su1fito)cobalt(II1) species (Table **11)** shows clearly that the present intermediate conforms to the spectral criteria for the 0-bonded arrangement. In addition, the formation of the intermediate is a reversible process. Thus acidification to $pH \leq 3$ of its solutions immediately after its formation at pH **>7** results in the quantitative regeneration of the diaquo species. Acidification of analogous S-bonded complexes (e.g., $cis\text{-}\text{Co(en)}_2(SO_3) (H_2O)^+$, however, results in small and rather slow spectral changes. These observations are in agreement with those recorded for the SO₂ uptake and elimination reactions of the aquopentaamminecobalt(III) species.² Attempts to isolate our O-bonded intermediate were unsuccessful due to its subsequent reactions leading either to redox decomposition at low pH or to the formation of the stable yellow species at high $pH¹²$ Recently, however, Adamson and co -workers¹⁸ have isolated what appears to be the first robust $Co(III)$

Table **111.** Dependence **of** SO, Uptake Rate Constant **on** pH and Total Sulfite at 10 "C

pН	$S_{\rm T}$, M	k_{obsd} , s ⁻¹	k_{f} , s ⁻¹
4.0 ± 0.2	0.0136	116 ± 2	69 ± 2
	0.0266	204 ± 4	157 ± 4
	0.0419	316 ± 8	259 ± 8
	0.0537	404 ± 22	357 ± 22
4.2 ± 0.15	0.0094	77 ± 1	47 ± 1
	0.0188	113 ± 4	83 ± 4
	0.0376	211 ± 5	181 ± 5
	0.0470	265 ± 7	235 ± 7
	0.0657	395 ± 8	365 ± 8
4.5 ± 0.01	0.0096	50 ± 1	35 ± 1
	0.0166	75 ± 2	60 ± 2
	0.0250	107 ± 2	92 ± 2
	0.0306	137 ± 2	122 ± 2
	0.0444	191 ± 5	176 ± 5
5.0 ± 0.1	0.0094	26.0 ± 0.2	21.3 ± 0.2
	0.0188	47 ± 2	42 ± 2
	0.0376	101 ± 2	96 ± 2
	0.0470	125 ± 1	120 ± 1
	0.0657	176 ± 3	171 ± 3
6.0 ± 0.1	0.0088	4.8 ± 0.2	4.3 ± 0.2
	0.0138	7.4 ± 0.2	6.9 ± 0.2
	0.0190	11.2 ± 0.1	10.7 ± 0.1
	0.0217	13.2 ± 0.2	12.7 ± 0.2
	0.0283	18.5 ± 0.2	18.0 ± 0.2
6.7 ± 0.1	0.0094	1.03 ± 0.04	0.94 ± 0.04
	0.0188	2.18 ± 0.02	2.09 ± 0.02
	0.0376	5.6 ± 0.1	5.5 ± 0.1
	0.0470	7.9 ± 0.1	7.8 ± 0.1
	0.0657	12.9 ± 0.2	12.8 ± 0.1

complex containing an O-bonded sulfito ligand $[(en)_2Co(OS(O))$ -CH2CH2NH2)J2+. The spectral characteristics of **this** species parallel very closely those observed for our orange intermediate (Table **11).**

Rate Measurements. All rate measurements were made at an ionic strength of 1.0 M (NaClO₄) over the ranges of temperature, pH, and total sulfite concentration given in the Results. The "equilibrium method"^{2,26} was employed to study the SO₂ uptake process. In this method appropriate amounts of solid $[Co($ tren $)(OH₂)₂](ClO₄)₃$ are dissolved in McIlvaine phosphate-citric acid buffers²⁷ of a given pH value, and the ionic strength is adjusted to 1.0 **M** with NaClO,. Similarly known amounts of solid $Na₂S₂O₅$ are dissolved in the same buffer system with the same ionic strength adjustment. The resulting solutions are thermostated and introduced separately into the thermostated storage syringes of an automated Durrum Model 110 stopped-flow assembly, and the runs are made in the usual fashion. The reactions were studied under pseudo-first-order conditions in which the sulfite concentration is at least 10 times that of the Co(II1) complex. Most of the experiments were performed at a wavelength setting of **430** nm, with some done at **410** and **480** nm, and **no** variations were indicated in the rate data derived. The SO₂ elimination process was followed by a "pH-jump'' procedure. **In** this, the **0-bonded** (sulfito) species is prepared in situ by dissolving appropriate amounts of solid $Co(\text{tren})(OH₂)₂(ClO₄)₃$ in 1 M NaClO₄ at 0-5 °C, adding Na₂S₂O₅ to give a molar ratio of complex to sulfur(IV) of 1:2, and immediately adjusting the pH to **5.5** in order to stabilize the rapidly formed sulfito species. This solution is placed in one syringe of the stopped-flow assembly and reacted with phosphate-citrate buffer solution of the appropriate pH in the other syringe. All observed pseudo-first-order rate constants were obtained from automated least-squares analysis of the digitalized stopped-flow data and are

-
- Scott, K. L. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1974, 1486.
Elder, R. C.; Heeg, M. J.; Payne, M. D.; Trkula, M.; Deutsche, E.
Inorg. Chem. 1978, 17, 431.
Richards, L.; Halpern, J. *Inorg. Chem.* 1976, 15, 2571.
Baldwin, M. E.
-
- *Trans.* **1973,** 2335.
- Chaffee, E.; Dasgupta, T. P.; Harris, *G.* M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1973,** *95,* 4169.
- Elving, P. **J.;** Markowitz, **J.** M.; Rosenthal, **I.** *Anal. Chem.* **1956,** *28,* 1179

⁽¹⁸⁾ Mtlcke, H.; Houlding, **V.;** Adamson, A. **W.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1980,** *102,* 6888.

Siebert, H.; Wittke, G. *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1973,** *399,* 43. (19)

Thacker, M. A,; Scott, **K.** L.; Simpson, **M.** E.; Murray, R. **S.;** Higgin-**son,** W. C. E. *J. Chern.* **SOC.,** Dalton *Trans.* **1974,** 1486.

Table IV. Dependence of SO, Uptake Rate Constant on pH and Temperature at $S_m = 0.038$ M

Scheme I

reported as the mean of at least six kinetic runs.

Results and Discussion

The SO₂ uptake process was first studied at 10 $^{\circ}$ C as a function of total sulfite concentration S_T at various pH values
between 4 and 6.7. These data appear in Table III, and an essentially linear dependence of k_{obsd} on S_T is noted at each pH. Our second set of data was obtained at a fixed S_T value of 0.038 M, within the acidity range $3.5 < pH < 6.6$ and at a number of temperatures in the range 10-25[°]C. These data, given in Table IV, illustrate the steep decline in k_{obsd} as the pH is increased. It is clear that the $SO₂$ uptake behavior of this complex is very similar to that of the pentaammine analogue, so we devised a reaction mechanism analogous to that proposed for the pentaammine² (see Scheme I) but including some new features proven necessary in our subsequent detailed analysis of the present data. As will be shown below, these modifications require that allowances be made for SO_2 reactions with the diaquo and dihydroxo species, as well as with cis -Co(tren)(H₂O)(OH)²⁺, the most prevalent species over a considerable part of the pH range of the experiments.

According to Scheme I, the observed pseudo-first-order rate constants should be the sum of a forward rate constant k_f for SO₂ uptake and a backward rate constant k_h for SO₂ elimination from the protonated sulfito product such that

$$
k_{\text{obsd}} = k_{\text{f}} + k_{\text{b}} \tag{1}
$$

It is readily derived from the proposed mechanism that

$$
k_{\rm f} = \left(\frac{k_{10}[\rm{H}^+]}{K_3} + k_{11} + \frac{k_{12}K_4}{[\rm{H}^+]}\right) \left(\frac{K_3[\rm{H}^+]}{[\rm{H}^+]^2 + K_3[\rm{H}^+] + K_3K_4}\right) [\rm{SO}_2] \ (2)
$$

and

$$
k_{\rm b} = k_2[\rm H^+]^2 / ([\rm H^+]^2 + K_5[\rm H^+] + K_5K_6) \tag{3}
$$

Also, the SO₂ concentration may be calculated at each pH from the equation

$$
[SO_2] = [H^+]^2 S_T / ([H^+]^2 + K_1 [H^+] + K_1 K_2)
$$
 (4)

At low $[H^+]$ (pH > 5.5), there is an almost negligible contribution from the reverse process, so that the expression for k_{obsd} (eq 1) reduced to that for k_f (eq 2). As will be obvious later, the term involving k_{10} is much smaller than those involving k_{11} and k_{12} in this pH range, so the observed rate constant is given by

$$
k_{\text{obsd}} = k_f =
$$

$$
\binom{k_{11} + \frac{k_{12}K_4}{[H^+]}}{k_{11} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{K_3[H^+]}{[H^+]^2 + K_3[H^+] + K_3K_4} \right) [SO_2] (5)
$$

This equation can be cast in either of two forms which enable evaluations of k_{11} and $k_{12}K_4$. In the first of these, we have $(11 + 12 + V 11 + 1 + V V)$

$$
k' = \frac{\kappa_{\text{obsd}}([H^+] - K_3[H^+] + K_3K_4)}{K_3[H^+][SO_2]} = k_{11} + k_{12}K_4/[H^+]
$$
\n(6)

Table V. Rate Data and Activation Parameters for the SO₂ Uptake Reactions of the Hydroxoaqua and the Dihydroxo Cations

temp, °C	$10^{-7}k_{11}^{\text{a}}$, M^{-1} s ⁻¹	$10^{-8}k_{12}^2$, α M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	
10.0		$3.3 \pm 0.1 (3.3 \pm 0.1)$ $7.6 \pm 1.3 (7.7 \pm 2.0)$	500 L
14.0	3.9 ± 0.2 (3.6 \pm 0.2) 12 \pm 2 (8.3 \pm 4.0)		
18.0	4.2 ± 0.3 (3.7 \pm 0.3) 15 \pm 3 (9.3 \pm 6.0)		400 F
22.0	4.7 ± 0.5 (4.2 \pm 0.7) 20 \pm 6 (12 \pm 9)		
25.0	5.3 ± 0.4 (4.4 \pm 0.3) 24 \pm 3 (15 \pm 6)		300
ΔH^{\ddagger} , kcal mol ⁻¹ ΔS^{\ddagger} , cal deg ⁻¹ mol ⁻¹	4.5 ± 0.1	11.5 ± 0.3	هي
	-8 ± 0.3	23 ± 3	

(7) are given in parentheses. **a** Less dependable values derived from the intercepts of (6) and

A plot of k' vs. $[H^+]^{-1}$ should yield a straight line of slope $k_{12}K_4$ form in the set of $\mathbf{10}^{\circ}(\mathsf{H}^{*})$, **M** $\mathbf{10}^{\circ}(\mathsf{H}^{*})$, **M** $\mathbf{10}^{\circ}(\mathsf{H}^{*})$, **M** and intercept k_{11} . Alternatively, eq 5 can be written in the $\qquad \qquad 0 \qquad 10 \qquad 20 \qquad 30 \qquad 40 \qquad 50 \qquad 60 \qquad 70 \qquad 80$

$$
k'' = \frac{k_{\text{osbd}}([H^+]^2 + K_3[H^+] + K_3K_4)}{K_3K_4[\text{SO}_2]} = \frac{k_{11}[H^+]}{K_4} + k_{12}
$$
(7)

according to which a plot of k'' vs. $[H^+]$ should give a slope of k_{11}/K_4 and an intercept of k_{12} . All the k_{obsd} values for which pH **>5.5** given in Tables I11 and IV have been subjected to linear least-squares analysis according to both (6) and **(7).** The values of k_{11} and k_{12} so obtained are recorded in Table V, together with the temperature variation parameters for the slope-derived rate constants, which have been selected as the more dependable set of values. While it is true that the intercept-derived data for k_{11} appear to be almost as precise as the nonbracketed slope-derived analogues, the corresponding k_{12} data are clearly less precise, as is usual in this type of graphical data analysis.

At the higher acidities (pH \lt 5.5), the SO_2 elimination reaction governed by k_b can no longer be neglected. In order to obtain values for k_b , we performed $SO₂$ elimination experiments in the range $3.00 < pH < 5.00$ and at 6.0, 10.0, 14.0, and 18.0 °C, the data for which appear in Table VI. The observed pseudo-first-order rate constants in these experiments are, of course, given by (1). To correct k_b for the k_f contributions, we did a series of successive approximations. First we assumed that the contribution of the diaquo reaction to k_f is small and can be neglected. The initial value of k_f is thus calculated by using the known data for k_{11} and k_{12} and values of the various equilibrium constants and substituting into **(5).** The resulting values are subtracted from the observed pseudo-first-order rate constants for the elimination experiments shown in Table VI. The calculated values for k_b are found

Figure 1. Variation of SO_2 elimination rate constant, k_b , with $[H^+]$ at several **fixed** temperatures.

to vary linearly with $[H^+]$, i.e., $k_b = k'_2[H^+]$. The values of *k12* obtained from this first approximation were used to calculate a k_b correction for the observed pseudo-first-order reaction of the *SO2* uptake experiments done at pH values lower than *5.5.* First approximations to values of the second-order rate constants k_{10} for the reaction of the diaquo species are then calculated as explained below. We then use this value for k_{10} together with the known values of k_{11} and k_{12} and the various dissociation constants to calculate a better k_f correction for the elimination reaction data by use of *(2).* Improved values for k_b and hence k'_2 are then calculated. The process is repeated until constant final values of k_b are obtained, as reported in Table VI. Plots of these k_b values vs. [H⁺] give good straight lines at the various temperatures used **(see** Figure 1). These data were subjected to a linear least-squares treatment, and the k'_2 values obtained are presented in Table VI1 together with the corresponding activation parameters. The k'_2 values are then used to calculate k_b at various acidities and temperatures, thus enabling calculation of the k_f values recorded in Tables I11 and IV. The expected linear relationship between k_f and $[SO_2]$ or S_T at a fixed pH (see eq 2) can now be satisfactorily confirmed, as shown by Figure *2.* The linear relationship between k_b and $[H⁺]$ implies that under the conditions of our experiments $K_5K_6 \leq \left[\dot{H}^+\right]$ and $K_5 \geq \left[\dot{H}^+\right]$, enabling the simplification of (3) to

$$
c_{b} = k'_{2}[H^{+}] = (k_{2}/K_{5})[H^{+}]
$$
 (8)

 $k_b = k'_2[H^+] = (k_2/K_5)[H^+]$ (8)
Actually, K_5 is estimated to be $\sim 10^{-4}$ by comparisons involving

Table VI. Dependence of k_{obsd} on pH and Temperature for the SO₁ Elimination Reaction of Co(tren)(OH₂)(OSO₁H)²⁺

temp, °C	pН	k_{obsd} , s ⁻¹	$k_{\rm b}$, s ⁻¹	pН	k_{obsd} , s ⁻¹	$k_{\rm b}$, s ⁻¹	pН	k_{obsd} , s ⁻¹	$k_{\rm b}$, s ⁻¹
6.0	3.10	283 ± 3	265 ± 3	3.50	102 ± 2	94 ± 2	4.09	30 ± 2	26 ± 2
	3.18	252 ± 7	237 ± 7	3.60	87 ± 5	80 ± 5	4.35	24 ± 1	20 ± 1
	3.25	195 ± 3	182 ± 3	3.68	76 ± 1	70 ± 1	4.49	18 ± 0.3	14.6 ± 0.5
	3.33	173 ± 4	162 ± 4	3.77	55 ± 2	49 ± 2	4.57	12 ± 0.6	8.6 ± 0.6
	3.41	121 ± 5	111 ± 5	3.96	45 ± 1	40 ± 1			
10.0	3.14	377 ± 32	336 ± 32	3.75	115 ± 6	102 ± 6	4.42	40 ± 1	33 ± 1
	3.35	269 ± 14	242 ± 14	3.93	92 ± 1	81 ± 1	4.61	29 ± 0.4	23 ± 0.4
	3.52	184 ± 6	164 ± 6	4.05	69 ± 2	59 ± 2	4.75	19.6 ± 0.7	14 ± 0.7
	3.63	152 ± 6	136 ± 6	4.15	54 ± 1	46 ± 1	4.95	15.3 ± 0.3	10 ± 0.3
14.0	3.16	555 ± 45	450 ± 45	3.57	210 ± 11	165 ± 11	4.02	$89 + 4$	69 ± 4
	3.19	562 ± 45	462 ± 45	3.60	207 ± 21	166 ± 21	4.15	73 ± 2	57 ± 2
	3.38	321 ± 27	256 ± 27	3.70	159 ± 5	124 ± 5	4.33	54 ± 2	41 ± 2
	3.40	306 ± 19	243 ± 19	3.70	161 ± 7	126 ± 7	4.42	42 ± 1	29 ± 1
	3.53	248 ± 10	199 ± 10	3.87	123 ± 2	98 ± 2	4.75	25 ± 0.7	17 ± 1
							4.86	19 ± 1	11 ± 1
18.0	3.41	472 ± 40	330 ± 40	3.78	224 ± 5	159 ± 5	4.18	91 ± 6	61 ± 6
	3.52	356 ± 28	244 ± 28	3.81	191 ± 3	130 ± 3	4.43	66 ± 2	47 ± 2
	3.58	300 ± 9	202 ± 9	3.91	141 ± 4	97 ± 4	4.56	49 ± 2	34 ± 2
	3.70	255 ± 8	178 ± 8	4.04	125 ± 4	87 ± 4	4.84	25 ± 1	15 ± 1

Table **VII.** Rate Constants and Activation Parameters for the **SO,** Elimination Reaction

temp, °C	$\frac{10^{-5}k'}{M^{-1} s^{-1}}$	$10^4 K_s$, a M k' , K_s , s^{-1}	$10^2 k_2 =$
6.0	3.0 ± 0.1	3.4	1.2
10.0	4.7 ± 0.2	2.7	1.3
14.0	6.6 ± 0.2	2.1	1.4
18.0	8.0 ± 0.3	1.6	1.3
	$\Delta H^{\ddagger} = 11.1 \pm 0.8$ kcal mol ⁻¹ $\Delta S^* = 7.0 \pm 0.4$ cal deg ⁻¹ mol ⁻¹		

a Estimated as explained in footnote 28a.

Figure 2. Variation of SO_2 uptake rate constant, k_f , with total sulfite concentration at several fixed acidities at 10 °C.

existing data.^{28a} While there is little doubt that K_5K_6 << $[H^+]$, 28b the requirement that K_5 substantially exceed $[H^+]$ over the whole range of the experiments is not fulfilled. However, K_5 is probably large enough to approximate to the linear variation expressed by (8). The estimated values of *K5* for the various temperatures have therefore been used to determine the "true" second-order rate constants k_2 which are included in Table VII. It is seen that k_2 appears to be essentially independent of temperature in the range studied. However, this is a result of procedure for estimating the change in K_5 with temperature and should not therefore be given much weight. The figures quoted in Table **IX** relate in fact to the composite rate constant k'_2 .

The determination of the rate parameters for the reaction of the diaquo species with molecular *SO2* was accomplished

-
-
- Dasgupta, T. P.; Harris, G. M., in preparation.
Dash, A. C.; El-Awady, A. A.; Harris, G. M., in press.
DeJovine, J. M.; Wan, W. K.; Harris, G. M., in preparation.
Dasgupta, T. P.; Harris, G. M. *Inorg. Chem.* 1978, 17, 312
-

Figure 3. Variation of quantity $k^{**} = k_f(K_3 + [H^+])/K_3[SO_2]$ with **[Ht]** at several fixed temperatures.

as now described. At high [H'] (pH *<5.5),* the fraction in the dihydroxo form is negligible, and we can thus neglect its contribution to the forward rate constant, the equation for which thus takes the form (see (2))

thus takes the form (see (2))

$$
k_{\rm f} = \left(\frac{k_{10}[\rm{H}^{+}]}{K_3} + k_{11}\right) \left(\frac{K_3}{K_3 + [\rm{H}^{+}]} \right) [\rm{SO}_2] \quad (9)
$$

Equation 9 can be rearranged to give

$$
k_{\rm f}\left(\frac{K_3 + [\rm H^+]}{K_3[\rm SO_2]}\right) = \frac{k_{10}}{K_3}[\rm H^+] + k_{11}
$$
 (10)

Plots of the left-hand side of (10) (symbolized as k^{**}), calculated by use of the k_f values in Tables III and IV and the other known parameters vs. [H'] at the various temperatures yield straight lines of intercept k_{11} and slope k_{10}/K_3 (see Figure 3). Least-squares analysis of the plots yields the following values of k_{10} and k_{11} : $10^{-5}k_{10} = 5.0 \pm 0.3$, 12 ± 1 , and 30 ± 3 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 10.0, 14.0, and 18.0 °C, respectively, and $10^{-7}k_{11} = 4.1 \pm 0.2$, 4.7 ± 0.3 , and 4.2 ± 0.2 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ at the same temperatures. The latter values are somewhat deviant from the more dependable values of Table V, but they do attest to the internal consistency of the treatment. The activation parameters for k_{10} are $\Delta H^* = 36 \pm 1$ kcal mol⁻¹ and $\Delta S^* =$ 94 ± 3 cal deg⁻¹ mol⁻¹. These are very far removed from the corresponding values relating to k_{11} and k_{12} (Table V). However, they perhaps should not be taken too seriously without further confirmation, since the derivation of both k_{10} and k_{11} from (10) requires the use of k_f values for which the subtracted k_b corrections are very substantial (see data of Tables I11 and IV for pH *<5.5).*

One final confirmation of the efficacy of our analysis of the rate data is provided by considering only the k_f values in the intermediate pH range $(5.5 < pH < 6.2)$. Under these conditions the SO₂ uptake reactions of both the diaquo and dihydroxo species make a relatively small contribution, and (2) reduces to

$$
k_{\rm f} = k_{11} \left(\frac{K_3}{\left[H^+ \right] + K_3} \right) \text{[SO}_2] \tag{11}
$$

A plot of $[SO_2]/k_f$ vs. $[H^+]$ should be linear with a slope of $1/\bar{k}_{11}K_3$ and an intercept of $1/k_{11}$. Such a plot for data at 10 °C is shown in Figure 4, and the derived values are k_{11} = $(3.0 \pm 0.2) \times 10^7$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ and pK₃ = 5.8 \pm 0.1. It is seen that both these values are in reasonable agreement with the results quoted in Tables V and I, respectively.

^{(28) (}a) The pK for proton dissociation from the O-bonded selenito ligand in the species cis-Co(en)₂(OH₂)(OSeO₂H)²⁺ is given⁹ as 4.35 at 25 ^oC. However, aqueous selenic acid is a little weaker than aqueous SO₂ (the pKs for the two species are 2.36 and 1.90 at 25 °C, respectively). One pKs for the two species are 2.36 and 1.90 at 25 °C, respectively). One should therefore expect a value for pK_s in our system of a little less than 4 at 25 °C. We have consequently adopted a value for this of 3.9 at 25 for K_5 at the various experimental temperatures. (b) The value of the pK corresponding to p K_6 for the sulfur-bonded species *trans*-Co(en)₂- $(OH₂)(SO₃)⁺$ is known⁶ to be 9.45 at 25 °C. While the parallel to our system is not by any means clear-cut, an order of magnitude estimate for K_5K_6 can reasonable by set at <10⁻¹², thus considerably any of the $[H^+]^2$ values of the applicable data.
Dasgupta, T. P.; Harris, G. M., in preparation.

 (29)

Table VIII. Rate Constants for the Reaction of Various Aquoaminecobalt(III) Complex Ions with Some Group 6 Oxides and Oxyanions in Aqueous Solution at 25 $^{\circ}C^{a}$

complex ion	reactant	$k, M^{-1} s^{-1}$	ΔH^{\ddagger} , kcal mol ⁻¹	ΔS^* , cal deg ⁻¹ mol ⁻¹	ref	
$Co(tren)(OH2),$ ³⁺	SO ₂	9×10^6	36 ± 1	94 ± 3	this work	
$Co(tren)(OH)(OH2)2+$	SO ₂	5.3 \times 10 ⁷	4.5 ± 0.1	-8.0 ± 0.3	this work	
$Co(tren)(OH)$,*	SO ₂	2.4×10^{9}	11.5 ± 0.3	23 ± 3	this work	
cis-Co(en) ₂ (OH)(OH ₂) ²⁺	SO,	1.0×10^8	6.0 ± 0.1	-1.6 ± 0.2	29	
$Co(NH_1), OH^{2+}$	SO ₂	4.7×10^{8}	9.8 ± 0.3	14.0 ± 0.4	2	
$Co(tetren)OH2+$	SO ₂	3.3×10^8	-0.5 ± 1.0	-21 ± 3.5	30	
	SO_3^{2-}	600 ^b				
trans- $Co(en)_3(SO_3)(OH_2)^+$ $Co(NH_3)$, OH_2 ³⁺	$HSeO, \tau$	147	13.5 ± 0.5	-9.0 ± 1.0	9b	
	HSeO.	8			9с	
$Co(NH_3)$ ₅ OH^{2+}		20			9c	
$Rh(NH_3)$ _s OH^{2+}	HSeO ₃	281 ^b		-9.6 ± 1.0	9b	
cis-Co(en), (OH_2) , $^{3+}$	HSeO ₃	4	13.0 ± 0.5		9c	
cis -Co(en) ₂ (OH)(OH ₂) ²⁺	HSeO ₃					
trans-Co(en), (OH_2) , $^{3+}$	HSeO ₃	787 ^b	11.5 ± 0.6	-12.6 ± 1.4	9b	
cis-Co(tn) ₂ (OH ₂) ₂ ³⁺	HSeO,	291 ^b	12.9 ± 0.3	-9.9 ± 0.9	9b	
trans- $Co(tn)$, $(OH2)$, $3+$	HSeO ₃	1032 ^b	12.1 ± 0.8	-10.1 ± 1.1	9b	
$Co(NH_3), OH_2$ ³⁺	HMO _a	3.2×10^{5}			10	
$Co(NH_3), OH^{2+}$	$HM0$.	6.6×10^{4}			10	
cis -Co(en) ₂ (OH ₂) ₂ ³⁺	$HWO_ -$	3.2×10^{7}			11	
cis -Co(en), (OH)(OH ₂) ²⁺	$HWO_ -$	1.0×10^{7}			11	
$Co(tren)(OH)(OH2)2+$	CO ₂	44	14.7 ± 0.1	-1.9 ± 0.2	14	
$Co(NH_3)$, OH^{2+}	CO ₂	220	15.3 ± 0.9	3.6 ± 3.0	26	
cis -Co(en) ₂ (OH)(OH ₂) ²⁺	CO ₂	280	14.8 ± 0.2	2.0 ± 0.8	31	

^{*a*} tetren \equiv tetraethylenepentamine; tn \equiv trimethylenediamine. ^{*b*} Limiting second-order rate constants estimated by use of ion-pairing data discussed in the respective references–i.e., $k_2(\lim) = (k_1(\lim))K_{IP}$ (no

Figure 4. Variation of quantity $[SO_2]/k_f$ with $[H^+]$ at 10 °C.

A number of fruitful comparisons may now be made concerning the relative reactivities of cobalt(III)-aquo complex ions toward SO_2 as well as SO_3^2 and several other group 6 oxyanions. Similar comparisons are also possible with respect to the acid-catalyzed decomposition rates of some of the product complexes. The available data on these two types of reactions are summarized in Tables VIII and IX, all expressed
as rate constants extrapolated³⁵ where necessary to 25 °C. Some examples of carbonate data are also included for use in the discussion to follow. Considering first the formation reactions of Table VIII, the most obvious feature is the division

Table IX. Rate Constants for the Aquation Reactions of Various Protonated Sulfito and Selenito Complex Ions in Aqueous Solutions at 25 °C

		ΔH^{\mp}	ΔS^{\ddagger}	
		kcal	cal deg ⁻¹	
complex	k, s^{-1}	$mol-1$	$mol-1$	ref
Co(tren)(OH,) $(OSO2H)2+$	3.8×10^{3}	11.1 ± 0.8	7.0 ± 0.4 this	work
$cis\text{-}\mathrm{Co(en)}_2(\mathrm{OH}_2)$ - $(OSO, H)^{2+}$	6.0×10^{3} ^a			29
$Co(NH_3)$, $(OSO, H)^{2+}$	1.0×10^{3} ^a			2
$Co(tetren)(OSO2H)2+$	1.1×10^{3}		7.2 ± 2.5 -20.4 ± 8.7 30	
$Co(NH_3)(OSeO_2H)^{2+}$	0.28		12.3 ± 0.8 -19.5 \pm 2.7 9b	
cis -Co(en), (OH,)- $(OSeO2H)2+$	0.18		$12.4 \pm 0.8 - 15.3 \pm 2.0$ 9b	
<i>trans</i> - $Co(en)_{2}(OH_{2})$ - $(OSeO, H)^{2+}$	1.1		11.7 ± 0.6 -20.5 \pm 2.8 9b	
$cis\text{Co}(tn)$, OH , \rightarrow $(OSeO, H)^{2+}$	0.20		12.6 ± 0.7 -19.4 \pm 2.8 9b	
$trans\text{-}\mathrm{Co}(\text{tn})$, $(\text{OH},)$ - $(OSeO, H)2+$	0.32		$12.9 \pm 0.7 - 18.8 \pm 2.6$ 9b	
$Co(tren)(OH,)-$ $(OCO2H)2+$	1.19		$14.3 \pm 0.4 - 10.2 \pm 1.2$ 32	
$Co(NH_3)$, OCO_2H^{2+} cis -Co(en), (OH,)- $(OCO, H)^{2+}$	1.10 0.45	$16.8 \pm 0.2 - 2 \pm 1$		33 34

^a Extrapolated from data at 10 °C by using the same ΔH^{\ddagger} value and the same acid dissociation constant for the OSO. H ligand as for the "tetren" and "tren" analogues for the (NH_3) _s and $(en)_2$ species, respectively.

of the second-order rate constant values into two main groupings. Thus, the values for SO_2 , $HM_0O_4^-$ and HWO_4^-
fall within the range of approximately 10^5-10^9 M⁻¹ s⁻¹, while for SO_3^2 and $HSeO_3^-$, the range is about $10-10^3$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, which also is seen to bracket the values for comparable CO₂ uptake reactions. It is clear that the major factor determining these rate groupings is the increase in ΔH^* and decrease in ΔS^* for the slower group, indicative of less facile processes from the standpoint of both energy input into and ease of achieving the appropriate geometry for the transition state. In all examples given, the mechanisms suggested by the authors involve direct addition of the entering group 6 center to the oxygen of the Co-OH or Co-OH, moiety. This requires,

 (35) The temperature dependence parameters for the first rate constant of Table VIII $(k_{10}$ in the text of this paper) are subject to considerable **EXECUTE 10** The extrapolation may not give a valid comparison with the succeeding entry (k_{11} in the text). The actual experimental values at 18 °C are 3.0 × 10° and 4.2 × 10⁷ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, respectively, a difference ratio of 14 rather than the much smaller ratio of 6 from the table.

in the case of SO_2 uptake by $Co-OH$ or $Co-OH_2$, the concerted release of one or two protons, respectively, to yield the stable sulfito product.³⁶ Uptake of the anionic species similarly consists of nucleophilic attack by the cobalt(II1) moiety **on** the group 6 center. $9-11$ Here, however, concerted release of a water molecule must occur, utilizing an oxygen atom from the entering oxyanion, which can only be fast if oxygen release by the oxyanion occurs readily.¹¹ One notes that this process occurs much more readily for $HMoO₄$ and $HWO₄$ than for SO_3^2 ⁻ or $HSeq_3^-$. A possible measure of the relative rates of oxygen atom release from these anions in the uptake reaction is provided by data on oxygen exchange between the various anions and solvent water. For $HM_0O_4^-$ and HWO_4^- , this exchange is known¹¹ to be complete in less than 20 s at 25 °C, while SO_3^2 ⁻ and HSe O_3 ⁻ are also stated³⁷ to exchange rapidly and completely with water. However, these latter measurements were made in boiling water, but a recent study³⁸ of water exchange with selenite reports an exchange half-time of about 1 h at 0° C for either SeO₃²⁻ or HSeO₃⁻. No temperature dependence data are given, but a fair conjecture (assuming $\Delta H^* = 25$ kcal mol⁻¹) suggests a half-time value of the order of about 1 min at 25 \degree C, not too different from the molybdate or tungstate figures.

An interesting contrast between the simpler SO₂ addition and the oxyanion additions is that the latter occur somewhat more readily with the $Co-OH₂$ grouping than with $Co-OH$, the reverse of our finding **in** the present work.39 The two forms of the transition state for the HXO_n ⁻ anions may be visualized as⁹

Apparently, the presence of the additional proton in the first

of these somewhat labilizes the system and accelerates the various bond adjustments required to release the water molecule. However, in SO_2 uptake, it is to be expected that the double proton release in the $Co-OH₂$ system should be somewhat more difficult to accomplish than the single release with the Co-OH analogue. One notes in contrast that the interaction of **S042-** appears to be a straightforward dissociative anation which occurs at the slow rate (half-time of many hours at room temperature) characteristic of $Co-OH₂$ bond fission.⁴⁰ This contrast is clearly the result of the extreme inertness of the SO_4^2 ⁻ entity in aqueous solution, for which oxygen exchange with the solvent has a half-time at 100 "C of several hours even in strongly acidic solution⁴¹ and is much slower otherwise.

Turning now to the elimination rate data of Table IX, we again note the large contrast in rate constants for the sulfito and selenito species. However, here the mechanisms proposed provide a straighforward explanation. For the various protonated sulfito species, the decomposition is accomplished by molecular SO_2 elimination, and there should be no substantial rate constant variations within the group, as is seen to be true (all are within a factor of *6* of one another). The selenito complexes, by contrast, decompose much more slowly (factors between **IO3** and **lo4)** though they differ among themselves by a factor again of only about 6. The problem with the selenite release process is probably related to the necessity of including a water molecule in the transition state, explaining in part the large negative ΔS^* values observed for all these reactions.⁴² Again, however, sulfate complexes do not conform to the pattern, since their aquation appears to involve conventional Co-0 bond fission, so that typically the aquation rate constant is many orders of magnitude lower than for any of the SO_3^{2-} or SeO_3^{2-} complexes mentioned above.⁴⁰

Acknowledgment. The authors are grateful to the John D. and Francis H. Larkin Foundation of the State University of New York at Buffalo for financial support, to Western Illinois University for a leave of absence to A.A.E., and to A. W. Adamson and his co-workers for a prepublication copy of their report on the photochemistry of the cobalt(II1) sulfinato complex.¹⁸

Registry No. $Co(tren)(H_2O)_2^{3+}$, 41884-78-0; $Co(tren)(OH)$ -**29086-25-7;** $\text{Co}(\text{tren})(\text{OH})_2^+$ **, 55328-50-2;** SO_2 **, 7446-09-5;** $Co(tren)(OH₂)(OSO₂H)²⁺, 77029-31-3; Co(tren)(OH₂)(OSO₂)⁺,$ **77029-32-4;** C~(tren)(SO~)~-, **77029-33-5.**

⁽³⁶⁾ This mechanism is completely analogous to that for C02 uptake, which however is a much slower proccss (see the final entries in Table VIII). This deceleration must result from a combination of the several factors which would tend to hinder *C02* **addition as compared to SO2, such as its smaller size,** its **rigidly linear geometry, the carbon atom's lack of readily available "lone pair" electrons** or **d orbitals, and perhaps the** lower degree of solvation of CO₂ (as indicated by its much lower solu-

bility in water).
(37) Hall, N. F.; Alexander, O. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1940, 62, 3455.
(38) Okumura, A.; Okazaki, N. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1973, 46, 1084.

⁽³⁹⁾ Evidence for a reaction of this type was not observed in the penta- ammine study.2 However, in the latter system, the *SO2* **uptake reaction becomes too fast for stopped-flow measurement at the low pH values required to obtain the appropriate data. In the case of** $CO₂$ **uptake, the carbonato complex product is completely unstable at pH values low enough to ensure the presence of an appreciable fraction** of **the aquo complex in the totally protonated form.**

⁽⁴⁰⁾ Haim, A. *Inorg. Chem.* **1970,** *9,* **426.**

⁽⁴¹⁾ Radmer, R. *Inorg. Chem.* **1972,** *11,* **1162.**

⁽⁴²⁾ Again it is noted that the rate of the C02 reaction is much smaller than that of the SO₂ analogue, although it is much like that of $HSeO₃$. In **the formation** of **the transition state for the elimination reaction, factors** of the type invoked³⁶ for the uptake reaction must again come into play.