Kotani,²⁰ that in the present heme systems the major contribution to *D* arises from the inequivalence of the axial and equatorial ligand fields, all other interactions being insignificant. Harris⁴⁷ has argued that the ligand field acting on the ferric ion can be considered to a good approximation as consisting of two parts: the basal porphyrin part ($Fe-N₄$ core) and the axial (halogen) ligand $(Fe-X)$. Table I summarizes relevant structural data from the single-crystal X-ray analyses on the (TPP)FeX series. It readily emerges from Table I that the mean Fe-N bond length remains almost constant throughout the series, as does the displacement (Δ) of the iron from the porphyrin core or the N_4 core, except for the (TP-P)FeCl whose low value of Δ appears anomalous since this value is nearly constant at ca. 0.54 **A** in other members of the TPPFeX series and is also about 0.54 **A** in the related chlorohemin.⁴⁹ The Fe-X bond length however increases from the thiocyanate to the iodide.

(49) Caughey, W. *S. Inorg. Biochem.* **1973,** *2,* 797.

These structural data may appear to suggest that the equatorial ligand field in the (TPP)FeX series remains nearly the same and that the variation in *D* follows the spectrochemical series for the halides (e.g., $NCS > Cl > Br > I$). Caughey⁴⁹ has however attempted to explain a similar variation in *D* in the analogous iron(II1) protoporphyrin and deuteroporphyrin series on the basis that a change in the axial halide may produce a concomitant change in the Fe-N bonding, resulting in the above variation in *D.* Though the X-ray structural data do not show any significant and systematic variation in Fe-N bond length in the (TPP)FeX series, a small variation in the equatorial ligand field, which need not necessarily be reflected in the structural parameters, may not be ruled out. Such a variation in ligand field has in fact been theoretically anticipated. 47

Registry No. (TPP)Fe(NCS), 25482-29-5; (TPP)FeI, 25482-28-4.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of magnetic anisotropic parameters (3 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

> Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, University of Delhi, Delhi-110007, India

Ferric Complexes with Biguanides in the Spin Quartet Ground State

S. LAHIRY* and V. K. ANAND

Receiued October 15, 1980

Ferric complexes with biguanides $(NH_2C(=NH)NHC(=NH)NH_2)$ and aryl (aryl = phenyl, o -chlorophenyl, and p-tolyl) biguanides of the composition $[Fe(Big)_2Cl]Cl$ (BigH = a molecule of biguanide) have been prepared; their temperature variation magnetic susceptibilities between 300 and 77 K are in accord with the spin quartet ground state of Fe(II1). Isomer shift with respect to $Cu/57C$ source and quadrupole splitting in Mössbauer spectrum at room temperature are 0.205 and 0.75 mm/s, respectively.

Fe(III) in spin quartet $(S = \frac{3}{2})$ ground state is known to occur in ferric porphyrin chloride^{1,2} and in chloro- or bro**mobis(dialkyldithiocarbamate)iron(III),** where dialkyl = dimethyl, diethyl, etc.). 3 The latter compounds have been studied extensively⁴⁻⁶ by X-ray, temperature-variation magnetic susceptibility, Mössbauer, and EPR techniques. We report the preparation and characterization of a few new Fe(II1) complexes with biguanides and aryl-substituted biguanides where Fe(II1) is shown to exist in the spin quartet ground state.

Experimental Section

Preparation of Reagents. The simple biguanide acid sulfate was prepared following Ray,⁷ and the free base was obtained by the interaction of the acid sulfate with sodium ethoxide. The aryl-substituted biguanide bases were prepared by the interaction of hydrochlorides with sodium ethoxide.

Preparation of Complexes. The complex of Fe(II1) with simple biguanide (NH₂C(=NH)NHC(=NH)NH₂), [Fe(Big)₂Cl]Cl was prepared by adding 1.6 g of anhydrous ferric chloride to a clear solution of **2** g of biguanide base in dry acetone. Then a light brown precipitate which separated out was filtered and washed a few times with dry acetone. The compound was stable. It was insoluble in common

- (1) J. F. Gibson, D. J. E. Ingram, and D. Schonland, *Discuss. Furuduy Soc.,* **26,** 72 (1958).
- (2) J. *S.* Griffith, *Discuss. Furuduy SOC.,* **26,** 81 (1958); "The Theory of Transition Metal Ions"; Cambridge University Press, **New** York, 1961,
- **(3)** R. L. Martin and **A.** H. White, *Inorg. Chem.,* **6,** 712 (1967). (4) B. *S.* Hoskins, R. L. Martin, and **A.** H. White, *Nature (London),* **211,**
- 621 (1966).
- (5) H. H. Wickman and **A.** M. Trozzolo, *Inorg. Chem.,* **7,** 63 (1968). **(6)** H. H. Wickman and C. F. Wagner, *J. Chem. Phys.,* **51,** 435 (1969);
- H. H. Wickman, **M.** P. Klein, and D. **A.** Shirley, *Phys. Rec.,* **152,** 345 (1965).
- (7) P. Ray, *Chem. Rec.,* **61,** 314 (1961).

organic solvents such as chloroform, acetone, carbon tetrachloride, alcohols, etc.

Other Fe(II1) complexes with aryl-substituted (such as phenyl, p-tolyl and o-chlorophenyl) biguanides were prepared as above and analyzed.

Anal. Calcd for [ClFe(Big)₂]Cl: Fe, 16.68; N, 42.80; partial Cl⁻, 10.89; total Cl⁻, 21.72. Found: Fe, 16.80; N, 4.20; partial Cl⁻, 11.04; total Cl-, 22.00.

Anal. Calcd for $[CIFe(PhBig)_2]C!$: Fe, 11.60; N, 28.56; partial Cl⁻, 7.40; total Cl⁻, 14.83. Found: Fe, 11.75; N, 28.00; partial Cl⁻, 7.80; total CI-, 15.15.

Anal. Calcd for $[CIFe(p-tolBig)_2]C!$: Fe, 10.75; N, 27.50; partial CI-, 7.51; total Cl-, 13.95. Found: Fe, 11.05; N. 27.00; partial C1-, 7.51; total Cl⁻, 14.43.

Anal. Calcd for [CIFe((o-CIPh)Big)2]C1: Fe, 9.98; **N,** 25.40; partial CI-, 6.44; total CI-, 25.75. Found: Fe, 9.87; N, 25.30; partial CI-, 6.72; total C1-, 26.00.

The oxidation state of iron was confirmed iodometrically. The amount of chloride (not participating in coordination) was estimated, after the compound was dissolved in ARHNO₃, as silver chloride. The total amount of chloride was estimated, after the compound was fused with sodium carbonate, as silver chloride. Magnetic susceptibilities between 300 and 77 K were measured in a Gouy balance (at NPL, Delhi). Mössbauer data were collected following the procedure of Bhide and Multani⁸ (at NPL, Delhi).

Results and Discussion

On the basis of analytical results it appears that one of the two biguanide units in the complexes behaves as a bidentate ligand, satisfying the oxidation state of the metal ion through $C=N-M$, but does not become protonated as it occurs with other biguanide metal complexes;8 this is indeed tentative in the absence of X-ray and other critical studies which become

⁽⁸⁾ **V.** *G.* Bhide and M. S. Multani, *Phis. Rec. A,* **139.** 1983 (1963).

 170 70 160 160 Counts/sec. 150 150 140 140 **I4Ol -3 -2** -I *0* +I **t2 +3 Velocity** in **mm/sec** \rightarrow

Figure 1. Mössbauer spectrum of chlorobis(phenylbiguanide)iron(III) chloride at room temperature (quadrupole splitting 0.75 mm/s; isomer shift 0.205 mm/s with reference to the $Cu/{}^{57}Co$ source).

limited because of the insolubility of the compound.

The IR spectra exhibit unusually broad but strong bands in the complexes in the region $1600-1400$ cm⁻¹ with respect to well resolved bands in the free reagents, where the bands due to NH_2 (bending) and C=N are expected, suggesting pronounced effect of metal ion complexation. A fairly broad but strong band in the complexes in the region **350C-3300** cm-I in place of two sharp and narrow bands at 3300 and \sim 3500 $cm⁻¹$ in the free reagents appears; this is indicative of participation of NH₂ in bonding with the metal ion. These results are in fair agreement with the important valence-bond structures considered to account for the observed bond distances in the X-ray structure of nickel(II) bis(ethylbis(biguanide)) chloride.⁵

Temperature Variation Magnetic Results. The susceptibilities and magnetic moment values of compounds a, b, and d between 300 and **77** K, given in Table I, are perfectly consistent with the spin quartet ground state $(S = \frac{3}{2})$ of Fe(II1); i.e., the symmetry around Fe(II1) should be predominantly axial (with small orthorhombic component) and the

strength of the axial crystalline field should be large in order to explain the experimental magnetic moment results.^{2,10}

Mossbauer Spectral Results. Compounds b and c do not exhibit any hyperfine structure and exhibit only a quadrupole doublet with quadrupole splitting $\Delta E = 0.75$ mm/s which is small (Figure 1) compared to $\Delta E = 0.288$ cm/s in some **chlorobis(dialkyldithiocarbamato)iron(III)** complexes where Fe(III) exists in the $S = \frac{3}{2}$ ground state.^{5,6} It is well-known that the main contribution to quadrupole splitting¹¹ arises from q_{valence} while q_{lattice} contributes a little, where q_{valence} and q_{lattice} refer to valence and lattice electric field gradient (EFG). Since the compounds are insoluble, crystals cannot be grown for structural studies as well as for a detailed study of the electric field gradient tensor in these iron(II1) complexes. Furthermore, detailed knowledge of relative separations of ${}^{6}A_1$, ${}^{2}T_2$, and ${}^{4}T_1$ (all in cubic field notation) is not available, and we can therefore offer the following tentative mechanism to explain the small values of quadrupole splitting in these complexes: (i) the symmetry of the complex is orthorhombic so that the ground state is a mixture of three orbital components of ${}^{4}T_1$ (neglecting mixing by spin-orbit interaction in the first approximation) and the value of the quadrupole splitting can be small depending, of course, on the values of coefficients of the three orbital components constituting the ground-state wave function, or (ii) the quadrupole splitting arises due to the lattice only. The small values of the isomer shift 0.205 mm/s with reference to the Cu/ 57 Co source are an indication of Fe³⁺ in strong crystal field. At room temperature, we could neither observe any EPR signal at X-band nor observe any paramagnetic hyperfine structure in Mössbauer spectrum, and therefore the paramagnetic relaxation time appears to be short and the ground Kramer's Zeeman levels following Wickman¹² may tentatively be considered as $M_s = |\pm^1/2\rangle$, although EPR and Mossbauer studies at low temperatures would be desirable to establish the ordering of the Zeeman levels uniquely.

Registry No. [CIFe(Big),]Cl, 77648-28-3; [CIFe(PhBig),]Cl, 77648-29-4; [ClFe(p-tolBig)₂]Cl, 77648-30-7; [ClFe((o-ClPh)Big)₂]Cl, 77648-3 1-8.

(11) R. Ingalls, *Phys. Rev. A,* **133,** 787 (1964).

⁽⁹⁾ L. B. Nolian and R. E. Marshy, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B* **B26,** 1049 (1970).

⁽¹⁰⁾ G. Harris, *Theoret. Chim. Acta,* **10,** 119, 159 (1968).

⁽¹²⁾ S. Lahiry, J. Sharma, G. D. Sootha, and H. 0. Gupta, *Phys. Status Solidi A,* **46,** 153 (1978).