$P(OMe)$ ₃ suggested a nonzero dependence on phosphite concentration, we were not able to fit our data to any reasonable rate equation. Similar nonzero dependence on phosphite concentration has been observed previously in substitution reactions of $Ru_3(CO)_{12}^{29}$ and $Co_4(CO)_{12}^{30}$

Summary

Although the field of metal cluster chemistry has been growing at a very rapid rate, a need still exists for fundamental reactivity studies of cluster systems. In this work, a series of phosphine- and phosphite-substituted derivatives of H_2Fe - $Ru_{3}(CO)_{13}$ has been prepared and characterized. A kinetic study of the reaction of $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{13}$ with PPh₃ has revealed that the substitution reaction proceeds via a $[PPh_3]$ independent pathway, presumably involving CO dissociation in the rate-determing step producing an unsaturated H_2Fe - $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$ intermediate.

¹H and ³¹P NMR spectra have further shown that the monosubstituted clusters exist in two isomeric forms of **C,** and C_1 symmetries, each of which has the phosphorus ligand bound to a Ru atom. The C_s/C_1 isomer ratio is dependent on ligand size, ligand basicity, and the solvent employed. Large ligands, regardless of basicity, give only the **C,** isomer. With smaller ligands, basicity becomes the controlling factor, with the more basic ligands preferring the C_1 isomer. The disubstituted $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{11}L_2$ clusters possess a phosphine or phosphite ligand in each of the substitution sites established for the monosubstituted derivatives.

The fluxional properties of the substituted clusters, in which the phosphines exchange substitution sites by an intramolecular

-
- **(30)** Darensbourg, D. **J.;** Incorvia, M. J. *J. Organornet. Chem.* **1979,** *171,* **89.**

process involving a subtle rearrangement of the cluster framework, and the reactions of $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{13}$ with alkynes are discussed in the following two papers. $11,12$

Acknowledgment. This work was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research. We thank A. Freyer and R. Minard for assistance in obtaining the NMR and mass spectra and the National Science Foundation's major equipment grant program for providing matching funds to purchase the JEOL 100-FT and Bruker WH 200 NMR spectrometers and the AEI MS-902 mass spectrometer. G.L.G. and V.W.D. gratefully acknowledge the Camille and Henry Dreyfus Foundation for Teacher-Scholar awards, and G.L.G. thanks the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation for a research fellowship.

Registry No. H₂FeRu₃(CO)₁₃, 32036-04-7; H₂FeRu₃(CO)₁₂(PPh₃), 741 28-12-4; H_2 FeRu₃(CO)₁₁(PPh₃)₂, 78248-92-7; H_2 FeRu₃(CO)₁₀-(PPh₃)₃, 78249-48-6; H₂FeRu₃(CO)₁₂(PMePh₂) (C_s isomer), 78249-49-7; H₂FeRu₃(CO)₁₂(PMePh₂) (C₁ isomer), 78249-50-0; $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{12}(PMe_2Ph)$ (C_s isomer), 78128-11-3; $H_2FeRu_3 (CO)_{12}(PMe_2Ph)$ $(C_1$ isomer), 78128-07-7; $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{12}(PMe_3)$ (C_r isomer), 78248-89-2; H₂FeRu₃(CO)₁₂(PMe₃) (C₁ isomer), 78248-90-5; $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{11}(PMe_3)_2$, 78249-51-1; H_2FeRu_3 - $(CO)_{12}(P(i-Pr)_{3})$, 78249-52-2; H₂FeRu₃(CO)₁₂(PEt₂Ph) (C_s isomer), 78249-53-3; $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{12}(PEt_2Ph)$ (C₁ isomer), 78249-54-4; H₂FeRu₃(CO)₁₁(PEt₂Ph)₂, 78265-04-0; H₂FeRu₃(CO)₁₂(P(OEt)₂Ph) **(C,** isomer), 78265-06-2; H2FeRu3(CO) ,2(P(OEt)2Ph) **(C,** isomer), 78249-55-5; H2FeRu,(CO),2(P(OMe)3) **(C,** isomer), 78265-85-7; $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{12}(P(OMe)_3)$ (C₁ isomer), 78249-56-6; H_2FeRu_3 - $(\text{CO})_{11}(\text{P}(\text{OMe})_{3})_{2}$, 78248-91-6; $H_2\text{FeRu}_3(\text{CO})_{10}(\text{P}(\text{OMe})_{3})_{3}$ 78265-86-8; H₂FeRu₃(CO)₁₂(P(OEt)₃) (C_s isomer), 78249-57-7; H_2 FeRu₃(CO)₁₂(P(OEt)₃) (C₁ isomer), 78249-58-8; H_2 FeRu₃- $(\text{CO})_{11}(\text{P}(\text{OE}t))_{2}$, 78248-86-9; $\text{H}_2\text{FeRu}_3(\text{CO})_{10}(\text{P}(\text{OE}t))_{3}$, 78248-87-0; PPh₃, 603-35-0.

Supplementary Material Available: Table A (crystal structure analysis report) and Table B (structure factor amplitudes) (30 **pages).** Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802

Ligand Site Exchange Induced by Intrametallic Rearrangement Processes in H_2 FeRu₃(CO)_{13-x}(PR₃)_x (x = 1, 2)

WAYNE L. GLADFELTER, JOSEPH R. FOX, JOHN A. SMEGAL, TIMOTHY G. WOOD, and GREGORY L. GEOFFROY*

Received *January* **27,** 1981

The fluxional processes which occur in $H_2F_RRu_3(CO)_{12}L$ (L = PMe₂Ph, PMe₃) and $H_2F_Ru_3(CO)_{11}L_2$ (L = PMe₃, PPh₃, $P(OME)$ ₃, $P(OE)$ ₃) have been studied by a combination of variable-temperature ¹H, ³¹P, and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. The fluxional processes are basically the same as those which have been shown to occur in the unsubstituted $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{13}$ cluster and involve bridge-terminal CO exchange localized on Fe, cyclic exchange of the carbonyls about the triangular face of the cluster which possesses the bridging CO's, and rearrangement of the metal framework with a corresponding shift of the hydrides and carbonyls. The intrametallic rearrangement process is of profound importance in these substituted derivatives since it leads to exchange of the substitution sites. For the monosubstituted derivatives, this exchange leads to the facile $C_s \rightleftharpoons C_1$ isomerization observed, and for the disubstituted derivative it renders the phosphorus donor ligands
equivalent on the time scale of the NMR measurements.
we previously reported that the mixedequivalent on the time scale of the NMR measurements.

We have previously reported that the mixed-metal clusters H_2 FeRu₃(CO)₁₃, H₂FeRu₂Os(CO)₁₃, and H₂FeRuOs₂(CO)₁₃ undergo a variety of fluxional processes including one that involves a subtle shift in the metal framework, as illustrated in (1) for the $C_1 \rightleftarrows C_s$ isomerization of $H_2FeRuOs_2(CO)_{13}$ ^{1,2} The mono- and disubstituted $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{13-x}L_x$ clusters, in which L is a tertiary phosphine or phosphite and whose preparation was described in the preceding paper, 3 are also

fluxional and undergo a similar intrametallic rearrangement process. *This process is of critical importance for these substituted derivatives since it interchanges the two substitution sites which the ligands L occupy.* For the monosub-

^{(29) (}a) Poè, A. J.; Twigg, M. V. J. Organomet. Chem. 1973, 50, C39. (b)
Poè, A. J.; Twigg, M. V. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1974, 1860.

⁽¹⁾ Geoffroy, G. L.; Gladfelter, W. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 6775.
(2) Gladfelter, W. L.; Geoffroy, G. L. Inorg. Chem. 1980, 19, 2579.
(3) Fox, J. R.; Gladfelter, W. L.; Wood, T. G.; Smegal, J. A.; Foreman,
T. K.; Ge

Figure 1. Structures of the C_1 and C_s isomers of $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{12}$ (PMe,Ph) showing the carbonyl and hydride labeling schemes.

stituted derivatives this process leads to rapid interconversion of the two substitutional isomers via rearrangement of the metal framework and accompanying ligands around the ligand L. Full details of the ${}^{1}H$, ${}^{13}C$, and ${}^{31}P$ NMR spectra of these derivatives and their interpretation are given herein.

Experimental Section

All the $H_2Feku_3(CO)_{13-x}(PR_3)_x$ $(x = 1, 2)$ derivatives were prepared as described in the preceding paper.³ ¹³CO-enriched $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{12}(PMe_2Ph)$ was prepared by allowing PMe_2Ph to react with \sim 25% enriched $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{13}$. The latter was prepared by stirring a hexane solution of $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{13}$ under an atmosphere of 90% enriched **I3CO** (Monsanto Research Corp., Mound Laboratory) for 140 h at $45-50$ °C.

NMR spectra were recorded with use of 10-mm NMR tubes containing \sim 3 mL of sample solution. The sample used for the ¹³C NMR study contained $[Cr(\text{acac})_3]$ (0.05 M) as a shiftless relaxation agent. The lock substance in each *case* was deuterated solvent. Spectra were recorded on JEOL PS-100 FT and Bruker WH 200 NMR spectrometers, and heteronuclear decoupling was accomplished by using a broad-band noise decoupler. All reported ¹H and ¹³C chemical shifts are relative to Me₄Si. ³¹P NMR chemical shifts are relative to **H3P04** with downfield chemical shifts reported as positive. Line shape analyses were conducted with use of the program **DNMR3.4**

Results

A. Monosubstituted Derivatives. As discussed in the preceding paper,³ careful analysis of the low-temperature limiting 'H NMR and IR spectra indicate that the monosubstituted H_2 FeRu₃(CO)₁₂L derivatives can exist in the C_s and C_1 isomeric forms shown in Figure 1. The distribution between the isomers for a given ligand is dependent on both the ligand's size and its basicity as well as on the solvent in which the compound is dissolved. Polar solvents favor the **C,** isomer and only for $H_2Feku_3(CO)_{12}(PMe_2Ph)$ and $H_2Feku_3(CO)_{12}$ - $(PMe₃)$ are the ligand effects sufficient to yield enough of the C_1 isomer for it to be detected in the NMR experiments which require the use of polar solvents due to limited compound solubility. Thus, only these two monosubstituted derivatives show temperature-variant 'H and 31P NMR spectra.

1. H₂FeRu₃(CO)₁₂(PMe₂Ph). ³¹P NMR Spectra. The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of H_2 FeRu₃(CO)₁₂(PMe₂Ph) at -60 °C in CD₂Cl₂/CHCl₂F solution shows three singlets at δ 33.4, 8.0, and 4.8 with relative intensities 1.0/13.2/7.7. The latter two resonances are attributed to the C_s (δ 8.0) and $C₁$ (δ 4.8) isomers shown in Figure 1, whereas the weak δ 33.4 resonance is believed to be due to a third isomer with the phosphine bound to Fe.³ The δ 33.4 resonance does not change with temperature, but the δ 8.0 and 4.8 singlets coalesce upon

Figure 2. Experimental and computer-simulated variable-temperature ¹H NMR spectra of $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{12}(PMe_2Ph)$ in CDCl₃ solution.

Scheme I

Ħ

warming $(T_c \simeq 20 \text{ °C})$ and by 40 °C have sharpened into a single resonance at δ 7.0, indicating the interconversion of the **C,** and **C,** isomers within this temperature range.

H NMR Spectra. The ¹H NMR spectrum of H_2Feku_3 - $(CO)_{12}(PMe_2Ph)$ in CDCl₃ solution, at -50 °C, Figure 2, shows an intense doublet at δ -18.07 (J_{P-H} = 10.3 Hz), a poorly resolved multiplet at δ -18.35, and a doublet of doublets at δ -18.93 ($J_{\rm P-Hs}$ = 9.7 Hz; $J_{\rm Ha-Hs}$ = 2.6 Hz). The intense doublet is attributed to the two equivalent hydrogens of the C_s isomer, the multiplet at δ -18.35 to H_A , and the doublet of doublets to H_B of the C_1 isomer.³ Computer simulation of the -50 °C spectrum gave $J_{P-H_A} = 1.8$ Hz and $J_{H_A-H_B} = 2.6$ Hz for the δ -18.35 multiplet.

As the temperature is raised, the 'H NMR resonances broaden, coalesce at \sim 20 °C, and sharpen to a doublet at δ -18.18 (J_{P-H} = 8.6 Hz) at 70 °C (Figure 2). The latter implies that at this temperature the cluster undergoes rapid $C_1 \rightleftarrows C_s$ isomerization and that the two hydrogens experience an average chemical environment during the time of the 'H NMR measurement.

The 'H NMR spectral changes shown in Figure **2** have **been** computer simulated with use of the model shown in Scheme I, in which k_1 represents the forward rate of the $C_1 \rightleftharpoons C_1$ isomerization and k_2 is the rate of interconversion of the C_1 enantiomers. The excellent agreement shown in Figure **2** between the experimental and computer-simulated spectra could only be obtained by assuming that $k_2 = 0$. *Thus, hy-*

⁽⁴⁾ Kleier, D. **A.;** Binsch, G., "DNMR3: **A** Computer Program for the Calculation of Complex Exchange-Broadened NMR Spectra. Modified Symmetry", Program 1065, Quantum Chemistry Program Exchange, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN.

Figure 3. Variable-temperature ¹³C NMR spectra of H_2 FeRu₃- $(CO)_{12}$ (PMe₂Ph) in CDCl₃ solution.

drogen exchange occurs only through the isomerization process.

¹³C NMR Spectra. The variable-temperature ¹³C NMR spectra of $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{12}(PMe_2Ph)$ in a 2:1 $CD_2Cl_2/CHCl_2F$ solution in the -96 to $+65$ °C temperature range are shown in Figure 3. The carbonyl resonances in the low-temperature limiting spectrum have been assigned according to the labeling scheme shown in Figure 1. Assignment of the individual resonances is aided by comparison to the assignments given for $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{13}$ for which the resonances of the carbonyls bound to Ru occur in the *b* 185-195 region whereas those bound to Fe have $\delta > 200$.^{1,2} Furthermore, the C_s isomer is in greater abundance than the C_1 isomer in $CD_2Cl_2/CHCl_2F$ solution, and hence the more intense resonances can be attributed to the C_s isomer. The resonance at 228.5 ppm is logically attributed to the bridging carbonyls c of the **C,** isomer. The nonequivalent carbonyls a and b terminally bound to Fe give rise to the 212.8 and 204.8 ppm resonances, but these cannot be individually assigned. $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{13}$, for comparison, shows these three resonances at 229, 211, and 203 ppm, respectively.^{1,2}

Two clearly resolved **peaks** at 192.0 and 187.8 ppm and two overlapping resonances at 195.1 and 194.7 ppm in the Ru carbonyl region are attributable to the C_s isomer. The peaks at 195.1 and 192.0 ppm broaden considerably when the hydrides are allowed to couple with the 13 C nuclei, and hence the carbonyls which give rise to these resonances must be trans to hydrogens, i.e., f or $g^{1,2}$ For the 192.0 ppm resonance, J_{C-H} $=$ 13 Hz. The resonances at 194.7 and 187.8 ppm are attributed to carbonyls e and d, but they cannot be individually assigned. However, carbonyl e is trans to the bridging CO and is expected to give rise to the downfield (194.7 ppm) resonance.¹ When the sample is warmed to -50 °C, rapid exchange of carbonyls d and e occurs, and the corresponding resonances collapse into the base line. The remaining peaks due to carbonyls f and g have an intensity ratio of 1:1. However, the 195.1 ppm resonance clearly shows phosphorus-carbon coupling $(J_{P-C} = 8 \text{ Hz})$; it thus must be assigned

Figure 4. Variable-temperature ¹H NMR spectra of H_2FeRu_3 - $(CO)_{12}(PMe_3)$ in CDCl₃ solution.

to carbonyl g, which is cis to the $PMe₂Ph$ ligand (Figure 1). The 192.0 ppm resonance is assigned to carbonyl f.

For the C_1 isomer, the terminal carbonyls h and i bound to Fe appear at 205.7 and 212.6 ppm but cannot be separately identified. One of the bridging carbonyls, j, appears at 228.7 ppm, similar to carbonyl c in the *C,* isomer. The other, however, appears at 249.7 ppm, which is over 20 ppm downfield from the usual position for a semibridging Fe-Ru carbonyl.^{1,2} This peak is assigned to carbonyl \overline{k} which is bound to the same metal as the phosphine. The position of this resonance is more reminiscent of symmetrically bridging carbonyls rather than semibridging carbonyls. In $Fe₂(\eta^5$ - C_5H_5)₂(CO)₂(μ -CO)₂,⁵ for example, the terminal carbonyls are at 211 ppm, in exactly the same region that the CO's terminally bound to Fe appear in these FeRu, clusters. However, the resonances due to the bridging carbonyls in $Fe₂(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_{2}(CO)₂(\mu$ -CO)₂ are at 275 ppm.⁵ Thus, carbonyl **k** appears to shift from a semibridging position to more nearly full bridging to assist in delocalizing the excess electron density that is present on Ru_1 due to the phosphine ligand.³ The increased back-bonding causes a significant downfield shift in its ¹³C NMR resonance.⁶ The resonances attributable to the terminal carbonyls bound to Ru in the C_1 isomer are too poorly resolved to allow definitive assignments.

As the temperature is raised, the carbonyl resonances broaden in well-defined patterns, coalesce, and begin to sharpen, presumably into a single resonance. The 65 \degree C spectrum shows a broad singlet at δ 201.2 (Figure 3).

2. H_2 FeRu₃(CO)₁₂(PMe₃). The -40 °C ¹H NMR spectrum of $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{12}(PMe_3)$ in CDCl₃ solution, Figure 4, is similar to that of $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{12}(PMe_2Ph)$ except that H-H coupling is not fully resolved. The doublet at δ -18.24 ($J_{\rm P-H}$ = 11.0 Hz) is attributable to the C_s isomer, the broad singlet at δ -18.39 to H_A, and the doublet at δ -19.20 (J_{P-H_B} = 9.2) Hz) to H_B of the C_1 isomer.³ As the temperature is raised, the spectra broaden, coalesce at \sim 20 °C, and by 40 °C have

⁽⁵⁾ Bullitt, J. G.; Cotton, F. A.; Marks, T. J. *Inorg. Chem.* 1972, *11*, 671.
(6) (a) Mahnke, H.; Sheline, R. K.; Spiess, H. W. *J. Chem. Phys.* 1974, 61, 55. (b) Bodner, G. M.; Todd, L. J. *Inorg. Chem.* 1974, 13, 1335.

Figure 5. Variable-temperature ¹H NMR spectra of H_2F eRu₃- $(CO)_{11}(P(OMe)_3)_2$ in CDCl₃ solution.

sharpened to a broad singlet at δ -18.34. Although qualitatively similar to the spectral changes associated with $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{12}(PMe_2Ph)$, the absence of a well-defined doublet in the 60° C spectrum suggests that the exchange processes for this derivative may be more complicated. Variable-temperature ^{31}P and ^{13}C NMR spectra were not obtained for this compound.

B. Disubstituted Derivatives. Although variable-temperature ¹H NMR spectra were obtained for the disubstituted H_2 Fe- $Ru_3(CO)_{11}L_2$ clusters with $L = P(OME)_3$, $P(OE)_3$, PPh_3 , and $PMe₃$, the resolution is greatest for the $P(OMe)₃$ derivative. Hence, full variable-temperature ³¹P NMR spectra were obtained for this compound, and its spectra are discussed in detail in the following paragraphs. The variable-temperature 'H NMR spectra obtained for the other disubstituted derivatives are given as Figures A-C of the supplementary material. Their interpretation is similar to that given below for the $P(OME)$, derivative.

The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{11}(P(OMe)_3)_2$ at -60 °C in CD_2Cl_2 solution shows a pair of equal-intensity singlets at δ 148.7 and 138.5. As the temperature is raised to 0 °C, these two resonances broaden, coalesce $(T_c \simeq 0 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C})$, and then sharpen to a δ 141.5 singlet at 25 °C. These observations indicate the presence of two inequivalent $P(OMe)$, ligands at the low-temperature limit, which eventually become equivalent at higher temperatures due to fluxional processes occurring in the molecule.

The ¹H NMR spectrum of $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{11}(P(OMe)_3)_2$ at -60 °C in CD₂Cl₂ solution consists of a pair of eight-line multiplets in the hydride region centered at δ -18.53 and -18.87 (Figure 5b). This spectrum implies the structure shown in **1** with the presence of two nonequivalent hydride

ligands, each of which is split by the other hydride and also by the two nonequivalent phosphorus atoms.³ Computer simulation of the low-temperature limiting spectrum gave the following coupling constants. H_A, δ -18.53: $J_{H_A-P_A} = 6.3$ Hz, $J_{H_A-P_B} = 3.2 \text{ Hz}, J_{H_A-H_B} = 1.9 \text{ Hz}. \text{ H}_B, \delta -18.87$: 6.0 Hz, $J_{\text{H}_{\text{B}}-\text{P}_{\text{B}}}$ = 9.2 Hz. \equiv

As the temperature is raised, the ¹H NMR resonances broaden, coalesce at \sim 10 °C, and sharpen to a well-resolved triplet at δ -18.12 (J_{P-H} = 5.0 Hz) at 85 °C in toluene- d_8 solution. Again, the 85 °C spectrum implies that the hydrogens and phosphorus atoms undergo rapid exchange at this temperature to in effect give two equivalent hydrogen ligands which are split by two equivalent phosphorus atoms during

the time of the 'H NMR measurement.

In the organic spectral region, the low-temperature limiting spectrum at -60 °C consists of a pair of equal-intensity doublets at δ 3.70 (J_{P-H} = 12.0 Hz) and δ 3.53 (J_{P-H} = 12.0 Hz) (Figure 5a), which are attributed to the methyl protons of two inequivalent $P(\text{OMe})_3$ ligands. These doublets coalesce at about -10 °C and then sharpen to a single doublet at δ 3.61 $(J_{P-H} = 12.0 \text{ Hz})$ at 20 °C.

Discussion

The variable-temperature NMR spectra reported herein clearly demonstrate that the phosphorus donor ligands in these substituted $H_2Feku_3(CO)_{13-x}L_x$ (x = 1, 2) derivatives undergo rapid exchange of ligand substitution sites. For the disubstituted derivatives, as evidenced by the data presented for $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{11}(P(OMe)_3)_2$, this fast exchange renders the two ligands equivalent on the time scale of the NMR measurements at \sim 80 °C. For the monosubstituted derivatives this fast site exchange leads to the facile $C_s \rightleftharpoons C_1$ isomerization observed for which computer simulation of the 20 $^{\circ}$ C ¹H NMR spectrum gives a rate constant of 500 **s-',** This is an incredibly fast rate of isomerization when it is considered that two different substitutional isomers interconvert, especially since the phosphorus ligands are bound to different Ru atoms in two distinctly different environments in the two isomers. The question then arises as to how this rapid interconversion occurs. The first clue is the presence of the doublet in the high-temperature limiting ¹H NMR spectrum of H_2FeRu_3 - $(CO)_{12}(PMe₂Ph)$ and the triplet in the corresponding spectrum of $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{11}(P(OMe)_3)_2$. These imply that P-H coupling is maintained throughout the interconversion, and hence *the fast site exchange must occur solely by an intramolecular process.* It cannot involve dissociation-reassociation of the ligand L. In order to properly address the question of how this rapid site exchange occurs, we must consider all the fluxional processes of these molecules, beginning with the lowest activation energy process.

The NMR spectral data described herein indicate that the fluxional processes of these substituted $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{13-x}L_x$ derivatives are basically the same as those which occur in H_2 FeRu₃(CO)₁₃. Detailed ¹³C and ¹H NMR studies of the latter, in conjunction with companion studies of H_2FeRu_2 - $Os(CO)_{13}$ and $H_2FeRuOs_2(CO)_{13}$, have demonstrated the occurrence of three distinctly different processes which give rise to total exchange of the hydrides and carbonyl ligands.^{1,2} The first of these involves bridge-terminal interchange of the four carbonyl ligands localized on Fe. For $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{13}$, this process begins to occur at -60 °C. The ¹³C NMR spectra of $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{12}(PMe_2Ph)$, Figure 3, indicate that a similar process occurs in both the C_s and C_1 isomers of this derivative. In the C_s isomer, carbonyls a-c bound to iron undergo exchange in the -96 to -70 °C temperature range, with an activation energy slightly less than that of the comparable process in $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{13}$. As in $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{13}$, this exchange likely involves the breaking of one bridging Ru-CO bond followed by a trigonal twist of the Fe(CO)₃ group and re-formation of the bridging CO bond (Scheme II). As the temperature is raised to -40° C, a new resonance grows in at 217.5 ppm, which is presumably the average Fe-CO resonance. Its calculated position is 218.7 ppm.

A similar process also occurs in the C_1 isomer, but in a higher temperature range (-60 to -40 $^{\circ}$ C), as the bridging and terminal carbonyls h-k bound to Fe broaden into the base line. That this process occurs at a higher temperature for the C_1 isomer is presumably due to the increased bridging nature of one of the carbonyls in this isomer (vide supra).³ During this exchange process, the Ru-C bond of the bridging CO must be broken, and it is precisely this bond which is proposed to be strengthened to assist in delocalizing the excess electron

density on the PMe₂Ph-substituted atom.

Although 13 C NMR spectra were not obtained for $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{11}(P(OMe)_3)_2$, there are no significant spectral changes observed in the **31P** and 'H NMR spectra in the temperature range in which this Fe-localized process is expected to occur.

The next fluxional process which was shown to occur in $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{13}$ begins at -40 °C and involves exchange of the eight CO ligands that are approximately coplanar with the FeRu₂ triangle which contains the bridging CO's.^{1,2} This exchange is believed to occur by the CO's executing a cyclic motion about the $FeRu₂$ face that contains the bridging CO's (Scheme 111). This process **is** clearly evident for the C, isomer of $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{12}(PMe_2Ph)$ as the resonances corresponding to carbonyls d and e collapse in the -40 to -1 *5* "C temperature range. These presumably exchange with the Fe carbonyls by cyclic migration about the $FeRu₂$ triangular face, and this is supported by the failure of the averaged Fe-CO resonance to sharpen into a singlet since these CO's are now also exchanging with carbonyls d and e.

A similar CO-exchange process in the C_1 isomer of $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{12}(PMe_2Ph)$ and in $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{11}(P(OMe)_3)_2$ is hindered by the presence of a PR_3 ligand in one of the sites that is coplanar with the $FeRu₂$ triangle possessing the bridging CO's. A partial averaging of the resonances could still occur if the PR_3 ligand were to move from its equatorial position to an axial position (Scheme IV). However, the low intensities of the ¹³C NMR resonances of the C_1 isomer of H₂FeRu₃- $(CO)_{12}(PMe₂Ph)$ and the unavailability of ¹³C NMR spectra of $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{11}(P(OMe)_3)_2$ do not allow us to address this point.

The final fluxional process which occurs in $H_2FeRu_3(C-$ **0)13,1,2** and also in these substituted derivatives, involves a subtle rearrangement of the cluster framework. This process has profound consequences in the substituted derivatives since it leads to exchange of the ligand substitution sites. This process is best illustrated for $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{12}(PMe_2Ph)$ by

Scheme **IV**

considering the structures shown in Scheme **V.** It should first be recalled that the structure of $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{13}$ is that of a distorted tetrahedron: the Fe atom is closer to the two Ru atoms with which it shares the semibridging CO's and is further away from the unique Ru atom.' Consider first the C_s isomer in Scheme V in which the phosphine ligand is attached to the unique Ru atom, Ru_3 . Following the demonstrated fluxional process for $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{13}$,^{1,2} if the Fe atom moves away from $Ru₂$ and closer to $Ru₃$, and the one hydride and the carbonyls shift appropriately, the C_{1} enantiomer is generated. In order to bring the phosphine into the required equatorial position, rotation of the $Ru(CO)₂(PMe₂Ph)$ unit must accompany the framework rearrangement. Likewise, starting from the C_s isomer, if the Fe atom moves away from $Ru₁$ and toward $Ru₃$ and the other hydride and the carbonyls shift appropriately, and the $Ru(CO)₂(PMe₂Ph)$ unit rotates, the C_{1_k} enantiomer is generated. Note that the phosphine remains attached to the same $Ru₃$ atom throughout this exchange process but that the environment of Ru_3 changes such that it is no longer the unique Ru. In essence, the phosphine appears to exchange positions, but *the exchange proceeds by* the phosphine staying attached to Ru₃ with the cluster re*arranging around the ligand.*

As illustrated in Scheme V, the $C_s \rightleftharpoons C_{1_s}$ isomerization requires movement of only one of the hydride ligands, HA in this case, in addition to the movement of the Fe atom and the shift in the bridging carbonyls. The corresponding $C_s \rightleftharpoons C_{1_b}$ isomerization requires movement of only H_B . Thus, H_A and H_B in the C_1 isomer can exchange positions via two successive isomerization processes.

The C_{1} and C_{1} enantiomers could also directly interconvert by a process involving movement of only one of the hydrogens. Thus, starting from the C_1 enantiomer in Scheme V, if H_B swings under the cluster to bridge the Ru_3-Ru_1 bond as the Fe atom swings away from Ru_1 toward Ru_2 , the C_{1_k} enantiomer is generated. However, this process does not involve actual exchange of the two hydrogens since H_A remains fixed, bridging the Ru_1-Ru_2 vector in each of the two enantiomers. Thus, this process is not detectable in the hydride region of the $\rm{^1H}$ NMR spectra.⁸ It should be detectable in the $\rm{^{13}C}$ NMR spectra, but the resolution is insufficient to allow a

~ ~~~ ~ ~~

⁽⁷⁾ Gilmore, C. **J.;** Woodward, P. *J. Chem. SOC. A* **1971, 3453.** (8) The two enantiomers of the C_1 isomer are also not distinguishable in the δ_{CH_1} region of the ¹H NMR spectrum as both apparently contribute to the δ 2.11 **d** ($J_{P-H} = 10$ Hz) resonance. The *C_s* isomer shows a doublet at δ 1.96 ($J_{P-H} = 9$ Hz).

definitive interpretation. We thus cannot comment further on the importance of this process for $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{12}$ -(PMe2Ph). However, such an exchange process has been demonstrated to occur for the $H_2F\in R\mathfrak{u}_{3-x}O_{S_x}(CO)_{13}$ (x = 1, 2) clusters via their 13 C NMR spectra.^{1,2}

In principle, H_A and H_B could directly exchange through $C_{1} \rightleftharpoons C_{1}$, racemization, presumably via the type of intermediate depicted in Scheme VI, but line shape analysis of the 'H NMR spectral changes have shown that this exchange mechanism does not occur in $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{12}(PMe_2Ph)$ *(k₂)* $= 0$; vide supra). However, a similar exchange process is operative in the related $H_2FeRu_{3-x}Os_x(CO)_{13}$ (x = 1, 2) clusters.

We were initially surprised that k_2 was equal to zero, since in $H_2FeRu_2Os(CO)_{13}$ and $H_2FeRuOs_2(CO)_{13}$, the analogous exchange process occurs with a rate constant of magnitude similar to that found for isomerization.² It is precisely this observation which causes us to favor substitution in the equatorial site indicated for the **C,** isomer in Figure 1 rather than in the alternative axial substitution site, **2,** which is also

consistent with the low-temperature limiting ¹H NMR spectra.3 Specifically, if substitution were in the alternative site shown in 2, we can offer no explanation of why k_2 is zero. On the other hand, substitution in the preferred equatorial site requires a rotation of the $Ru(CO)₂(PMe₂Ph)$ unit in each exchange process, and it must thus be unfavorable rotational constraints that yield $k_2 = 0$. Rotation of PR₃-substituted metal atoms has also been postulated to explain the hightemperature NMR spectra of $Os_3(CO)_{12-x}(PR_3)_x$ $(x = 1, 2)^9$ and $Ir_4(CO)_8(PR_3)_4$.¹⁰⁻¹² Scheme VII clearly shows the stereochemical environments and the movements of the various atoms attached to the substituted Ru atom that occur during the intrametallic rearrangement processes in H_2FeRu_3 - $(CO)_{12}(PMe_2Ph)$. The drawings at the top of Scheme VII show the twisting processes that would occur if the phosphine is substituted in the preferred equatorial site in the C_1 isomer, whereas the bottom drawings illustrate the twisting motions that would be required for substitution in the alternate axial site. If substitution were in the alternative substitution site, no twisting of the $Ru(CO)₂(PMe₂Ph)$ unit is *required* to occur during either process and thus we can see no reason for an appreciable activation barrier. On the other hand, substitution

- **(11) Stuntz, G. F.; Shapley, J. R. J.** *Am.* **Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 607.**
- **(12) Albano, V.; Bellon, P.; Scattarin, V. Chem.** *Commun.* **1967, 730.**

Scheme VI11

ΡR,

.
PR3

at the proposed site requires a twisting motion in the $C_{1_A} \rightleftarrows$ **C1,** racemization process in which the Fe atom is required to move in a direction opposite to the movement of the Ru- $(CO)_{3}(PMe_{2}Ph)$ unit, and this is apparently unfavorable. In the corresponding isomerization process, all atoms bound to Ru move in the same direction.

In the $H_2FeRu_{3-x}Os_x(CO)_{13}$ clusters previously studied, total exchange of all carbonyl ligands was postulated to occur through the cyclic exchange process coupled with the intrametallic rearrangement process.^{1,2} Each time the cluster rearranged, the cyclic process would occur about a different triangular face, and through the commonality of the Fe CO's total exchange of all CO's occurred. Such a process, however, cannot account for the total CO exchange observed for $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{12}(PMe_2Ph)$ (Figure 3), since the cyclic exchange process cannot obtain for the C_1 isomer. In this case total exchange must occur via a process involving rotation of the Ru atoms, coupled with the intrametallic rearrangement and cyclic processes.

A similar intrametallic rearrangement process occurs for $H_2F\in R\mathfrak{u}_3(CO)_{11}(P(OMe)_3)_2$ and the other disubstituted derivatives, but here two different exchange processes can be resolved. The first process occurs in the -40 to -20 °C temperature range and causes the multiplets for H_A and H_B to each collapse into the pseudotriplet patterns seen in the -20

⁽⁹⁾ Johnson, B. F. *G.;* **Lewis, J.; Reichert, B. E.; Schorpp, K. T.** *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1976, 1403.**

⁽¹⁰⁾ Cattermole, P. E.; Orrell, K. *G.;* **Osborne, A.** *G. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1974, 329.**

^oC ¹H NMR spectrum, Figure 5b. Consideration of the following coupling scheme for the -60 °C spectrum

indicates that H_A-H_B coupling does not change in moving from -60 to -20 °C but that all the J_{P-H} coupling constants approach a similar value; i.e., the two phosphorus atoms appear equivalent to H_A and H_B . The exchange mechanism that we believe accounts for these spectral changes is that shown in Scheme VIII. Beginning with the structure on the left, movement of the Fe atom away from Ru_2 toward Ru_3 accompanied by a shift in H_A and in the carbonyl ligands, and by rotation of the $Ru(CO)₂(P(OMe₃))$ units, would generate the structure on the right. Note that the two hydrogens have not exchanged positions and are still inequivalent but that this movement causes P_A and P_B to exchange environments. Support for this interpretation comes from consideration of the 'H NMR spectral changes in the **6 3.0-4.0** region where the $P(OMe)$ ₃ doublets appear (Figure 5a). Note that these resonances coalesce at \sim -10 °C, exactly in the temperature range where the metal hydride region spectral changes dictate the exchange process described above.

As the temperature is raised still higher, the hydride resonances broaden, coalesce, and sharpen into a triplet pattern which implies rapid intramolecular exchange of both hydrides and the two phosphorus atoms. Similar spectral changes have been observed for the substituted $H_2Ru_4(CO)_{13-x}(P(OMe)_3)_x$ $(x = 1, 2)$ and $H_4Ru_4(CO)_{12-y}(P(OMe)_3)y$ $(y = 1-4)$ derivatives.¹³ This rapid exchange is proposed to occur by an This rapid exchange is proposed to occur by an intrametallic rearrangement process but presumably proceeds through an intermediate such as that shown in Scheme IX in which both hydrides have opened up to terminal positions. Reformation of the bridges in the manner shown in Scheme IX with the necessary $Ru(CO)₂(P(OMe)₃)$ group rotation exchanges the two hydrogen nuclei and the environments of the two phosphite ligands. The synchronous movement of H_A and H_B in Scheme IX is reminiscent of the motion of the four hydride ligands in $H_4Ru_4(CO)_{10}((C_6H_5)_2PCH_2CH_2P(C_6-$ perature ¹H NMR spectra) (3 parature 1H NMR spectra)

 H_5)₂).¹⁴ The migration of H_A to a terminal position on Ru₃ could be a higher activation energy process than a similar movement to Ru_1 because of the presence of the phosphite ligand on Ru,, possibly because of the increased steric hindrance.

The shift in the metal framework described in Schemes VI11 and IX is similar to that proposed for $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{13}^{1,2}$ and $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{12}(PMe_2Ph)$. However, the ¹H NMR spectral changes observed for $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{11}(P(OMe)_3)_2$ are consistent with movement of the Fe atom between positions only above the Ru_1-Ru_2 and Ru_1-Ru_3 edges but never above the $Ru₂-Ru₃$ edge. Migration of the Fe atom to the latter position would generate structure **3,** in which each of the phosphorus

ligands is bonded to a Ru atom. which is also ligated by a bridging CO. This isomer has never been detected in any of our experiments.

Summary

The mono- and disubstituted phosphine and phosphite derivatives of H₂FeRu₃(CO)₁₃ undergo a variety of fluxional processes including bridge-terminal CO interchange localized on Fe, cyclic exchange of the carbonyls about the triangular face of the cluster which possesses the bridging CO's, and rearrangement of the metal framework with a corresponding shift of the hydrides and carbonyls. For the monosubstituted derivatives, this latter process results in interconversion of the C_s and $C₁$ isomers by interchanging the two distinct substitution sites that the phosphine ligand occupies by, in effect, the cluster rearranging around the phosphine. Similar fluxional processes also occur in $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{11}(P(OMe)_3)_2$ with the rearrangement of the metal framework and the accompanying shift of the other ligands, yielding the two $P(OMe)$ ₃ ligands equivalent at high temperatures on the NMR time scale.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research. We thank A. Freyer for assistance in obtaining some of the NMR spectra and the National Science Foundation's major equipment grant program for providing matching funds to purchase the JEOL 100-FT and Bruker WH 200 NMR spectrometers. G.L.G. gratefully acknowledges the Camille and Henry Dreyfus Foundation for a Teacher-Scholar Award and the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation for a research fellowship.

Registry No. $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{12}(PMe_2Ph)$ (C_s isomer), 78128-11-3; H_2F eRu₃(CO)₁₂(PMe₂Ph) (C₁ isomer), 74128-07-7; H_2F eRu₃- $(CO)_{12}(PMe_2Ph)$ (third isomer), 78265-05-1; $H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{12}(PMe_3)$ $(C_{\epsilon} \text{ isomer}), 78248-89-2; H_2FeRu_3(CO)_{12}(PMe_3)$ $(C_1 \text{ isomer}),$ 78248-90-5; H₂FeRu₃(CO)₁₁(P(OMe)₃)₂, 78248-91-6; H₂FeRu₃- $(CO)_{11}(PMe_3)_2$, 78249-51-1; H₂FeRu₃(CO)₁₁(PPh₃)₂, 78248-92-7; H_2 FeRu₃(CO)₁₁(P(OEt)₃)₂, 78248-86-9.

Supplementary Material Available: Figures A-C (variable-temperature ¹H NMR spectra) (3 pages). Ordering information is given

⁽¹ **3)** (a) Kaesz, H. D. "Organotransition-Metal Chemistry"; Plenum Press: New **York,** 1975; p 291. (b) Kaesz, H. D. *Chem. Br.* **1973,** 9, 344. **(c)** Knox, A. **A.** R.; Kaesz, H. D. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1971,** 93, 4594.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Shapley, J. R.; Richter, S. I.; Churchill, M. R.; Lashewycz, R. **A.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1977.** *99,* 7384.