Table VII. Logarithms of the Rate Constants for Hg²⁺, Br₂, and I₂ with Various Pentaaquoorganochromium(III) Ions $((H₂O)₅CrR²⁺)$

		$log (k_E/M^{-1} s^{-1})$		
R	Hg^{2+} ^a	Br,	- 2	
CH,	7.0	6.32	4.93	
CH, CH,	5.15	5.69		
CH, CH, CH,	4.54	5.99		
CH, Ph	4.69	5.92	3.62 ^c	
$CH(CH_2)$	0.19	4.25		
c -C, Ho	0.033	4.11	0.91	

a References: c-C,H,, this work; all other alkyls, ref 3a. b References: c-C₅H₉ and CH(CH₃)₂, this work; all other alkyls, ref 3b. ^c Reference 24.

Figure 2. Correlation of the rate constant for reactions of various CrR^{2+} complexes with Br_2 and I_2 , as compared to that for the reactions with Hg²⁺. The coordinates for each point are (log k^R _{Hg²⁺}, log k^R _{X₂}).

against attack of an external water molecule.

The rate constants reported here permit calculation of the equilibrium constant for the reversible homolytic dissociation equilibrium:

$$
(H2O)5Cr-c-C5H92+ + H2O \frac{kH2}{k7} Cr(H2O)62+ + C5H9
$$
\n(19)

The kinetically determined value is $K_{eq} = k_H/k_7 = (1.3 \pm 0.2)$ **X** 10⁻¹² M at 25 °C, corresponding to $\Delta G^{\circ}{}_{298} = 67.8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$.
The value of ΔH° , given by $H^{\circ} = \Delta H^*H + \Delta H^*$, can be calculated only if we estimate ΔH^* , ≈ 0 . In that case ΔH° ≈ 126 kJ mol⁻¹, which may be taken (with suitable caution¹¹) as an approximate measure of the chromium-carbon bond dissociation enthalpy.

Electrophilic Cleavage Reactions. Data are now available giving the rate constants for the three electrophiles Br_2 , I_2 , and Hg^{2+} reacting with various $(H_2O)_5CrR^{2+}$ complexes, from this and previous work,^{3a,b,24} as summarized in Table VII. A linear free energy diagram, Figure 2, constructed from these data show a good correlation of the bromine and iodine electrophiles to the Hg^{2+} electrophile. The slopes of the lines (0.31 and 0.57, respectively) are a measure of the steric demand of the given electrophile relative to that of Hg2+. Both bromine and iodine show a smaller steric deceleration than mercuric ion; as expected from the size of the molecules, the bromine's steric requirement is smaller than iodine's.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the **US.** Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences Division, under Contract No. W-7405- ENG-82 and by the United States-Israel Binational Science Foundation.

Registry No. Cyclopentylpentaaquachromium(II1) ion, 84559-49-9; chromium(I1) perchlorate, 13931-95-8; hydrogen peroxide, 7722-84-1; cyclopentane, 287-92-3; copper(2+), 15158-1 1-9; iron(3+), 20074- 52-6; pentaamminefluorocobalt(2+), 15392-06-0; bromine, 7726-95-6; iodine, 7553-56-2; mercury(2+), 14302-87-5; pentaaqua(l-methylethyl)chromium(2+), 60764-48-9; pentaamminechlorocobalt(2+), 14970-14-0; pentaamminebromocobalt(2+), 14970-15-1; deuterium, 7782-39-0; pentaaquamethylchromium(2+), 32108-96-6.

(24) Chang, J. C.; Espenson, **J.** H. *J. Chem.* **SOC.,** *Chem. Commun.* **1974,** 233.

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, University of New South Wales, Faculty of Military Studies, Royal Military College, Duntroon, Canberra, ACT, Australia 2600, the Research School of Chemistry, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT, Australia 2600, and the Department of Chemistry, University of Neuchâtel, CH-2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland

Base Hydrolysis of $[Co(NH_3)_6X]^n$ **– Capture of the Oxygen and Nitrogen of the Nitrite Ion**

W. GREGORY JACKSON,*^{1a} MARK L. RANDALL,^{1a} ALAN M. SARGESON,*^{1b} and WERNER MARTY^{1c}

Received August 30, 1982

Nitrite ion competition has been measured for the base hydrolysis reaction of a series of $[(NH₃)₅COX]^{**}$ ions $(X = CF₃SO₃$, $CH_3SO_3^-$, NO₃⁻, (CH₃)₂SO, OP(OCH₃)₃, OC(NH₂)₂, and OC(NH₂)N(CH₃)₂) in 1.00 M NaNO₂. Contrary to previous reports, both O- and N-bonded $[(NH₃)_sC₀(NO₂)]²⁺$ are formed. A constant O-isomer/N-isomer ratio (67 \pm 3% CoONO²⁺, $33 \pm 3\%$ CoNO₂²⁺) pertains for both anionic and neutral X. The total NO₂⁻ capture shows a slight dependence on the overall charge of the complex (7.0 \pm 0.5% for 2+ cations, 8.3 \pm 0.5% for 3+) but is less dependent on the nature of X. The results are consistent with a common, reactive intermediate of reduced coordination number, $[(NH₃)₄(NH₂)_{C0}]²⁺$, in the base hydrolysis process, provided the intermediate is considered to capture the environment **of** its precursor.

Introduction

The linkage isomerization of the oxygen-bonded nitrite complex $[(NH₃)₅CoONO]²⁺$ to the nitrogen bound form $[(NH₃)₅CoNO₂]²⁺$ has been reexamined recently, particularly in relation to a base-catalyzed path for the rearrangement.²⁻⁴ The base hydrolysis reactions of $[(NH₃)₅CoX]ⁿ⁺$ ions in the

^{(1) (}a) University **of** New South Wales. **(b)** Australian National University. (c) University of Neuchâtel.

^{(2) (}a) Jackson, W. G.; Lawrance, G. A.; Lay, P. A.; Sargeson, A. M.
Inorg. Chem. 1980, 19, 904–910. (b) Jackson, W. G.; Lawrance, G.
A.; Lay, P. A.; Sargeson, A. M. J. Chem. Educ. 1981, 58, 734–738.

⁽³⁾ Jackson, **W.** *G.;* Lawrancc, *G.* A.; Lay, P. A.; Sargeson, **A. M.** *Ausf. J. Chem.* **1982, 35,** 1561-1580.

presence of excess Y^{m-} lead to the production of some $[(NH₃)₅CoOH]²⁺$. For Y^{m-} $= NO_2^-$, it seemed reasonable that the reduced coordination number intermediate $[(NH₃)₄(NH₂)_Co]²⁺$ postulated for the base hydrolysis reaction could capture $NO₂$ to produce initially both the 0- and the N-bonded linkage isomers of $[(NH₃)₅Co(NO₂)]²⁺$. Also, it occurred to us that this reaction might proceed via a similar, if not the same, π
O

as the base-catalyzed rearrangement of the nitrito isomer. This can be tested experimentally by characterizing the intermediate through its collapse to a mixture of O- and N-bonded $NO₂$ complexes. It can be readily shown that this 0-isomer/Nisomer ratio should match the relative rates of the 0 scrambling^{4,5} and O to N linkage isomerization processes for the nitrito isomer reacting by the base-catalyzed pathway.

Another facet of the $NO₂⁻$ capture experiments is of special interest. In the past several lines of evidence for the reactive five-coordinate intermediate species $[(NH₃)₄NH₂Co]²⁺$ in the base hydrolysis of $[(NH₃)₅C₀X]ⁿ⁺$ ions have accumulated.⁶ One line was the leaving-group independence of the anion competition, measured as $\left[CoY^{(n-m)+}\right]/\left[CoX^{n+}\right]\left[Y^{m-}\right]$ (=R). Subsequently it was found that *R* is not strictly leaving-group independent but appears to show a small dependence on the formal charge of the reactant. This result has been intepreted in two ways-a reactive intermediate that inherits the ion atmosphere of the precursor aminato complex $[(NH₃)₄$ - $(NH₂)CoX$ ^{$(n-1)$ +}, a function of *n*, or direct anion entry into the $[(NH₃)₄(NH₃)₄(NH₃)₄(NH₃)₄(NH₃)₄(NH₃)₄(NH₃)₄(NH₃)₄(NH₃)₄(NH₃)₄(NH₃)₄(NH₃)₄(NH₃)₄(NH₃)₄(NH₃$ of a reduced coordination number intermediate. A useful way to distinguish these two possibilities is to exploit a property free from the complication of ion pairing and hence the inherited ion atmosphere. While total $NO₂$ ⁻ capture could depend upon the nature of the leaving group, the 0-isomer/Nisomer ratio should not if an independent although very reactive five-coordinate intermediate is involved. Both donor atoms could be captured from a common ion atmosphere. In this article, we report $NO₂⁻$ competition data for the base hydrolysis of $[(NH₃)₅CoX]ⁿ⁺$ using several neutral and 1leaving groups.

Experimental Section

Electronic spectra were measured at 25.0 °C with a Cary 210 spectrophotometer. 'H NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian T60 spectrometer at 35 °C using Me₂SO- d_6 as solvent and a tetramethylsilane reference. Sephadex SP-C25 (Na⁺ form) resin was employed as the ion-exchange medium. Columns were ice jacketed at 2 °C with water circulated from a Lauda thermostat bath.

The complex salts $[(NH₃)₅CoO₃SCF₃](ClO₄)₂$,⁷ $[(NH₃)₅CoO₃S CH_3(CIO_4)_2^{7,8}$ [(NH₃)₅CoI](ClO₄)₂,^{10,11} [(NH₃)₅CoONO₂](ClO₄)₂,¹⁰ **Results a**

- (5) Jackson, W. G.; Sargeson, A. M. Org. Chem. (N.Y.) 1980, 42, 273–378.
(6) Dixon, N. E.; Jackson, W. G.; Marty, W.; Sargeson, A. M. *Inorg. Chem.* 1982, 21, 688–697 and references therein.
- **(7)** Dixon, N. E.; Jackson, W. G.; Lancaster, M. J.; Lawrance, G. **A.;**
- Sargeson, A. M. *Inorg. Chem.* 1981, 20, 470–476.
(8) Buckingham, D. A.; Cresswell, P. J.; Sargeson, A. M.; Jackson, W. G. *Inorg. Chem.* 1981, 20, 1647–1653.
- **(9)** Dixon, N. E.; Fairlie, D. P.; Jackson, W. G.; **Sargeson, A.** M., submitted for publication in *Inorg. Chem.*
- **(IO)** Buckingham, D. **A.;** Olsen, I. I.; Sargeson, **A.** M. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1966, 88, 5443-5447.**

 $[(NH₃)₅CoOS(CH₃)₂](ClO₄)₃·H₂O₁⁶ [(NH₃)₅CoOP(OCH₃)₃](Cl \ddot{O}_4$)₃. $\ddot{H_2O}$,⁶ [(NH₃)₅CoOC(NH₂)₂](S₂O₆)_{3/2}·1.5H₂O,⁶ [(NH₃)₅Co- $(OC(NH_2)N(CH_3)_2)](S_2O_6)_{3/2}$ ¹.5H₂O,⁹ [(NH₃)₅CoONO](CIO₄)₂,² and $[(NH₃)₅CoNO₂](ClO₄)₂·H₂O²$ were synthesized by standard methods. The nitrito complex was stored under a dry atmosphere at -15 °C in the absence of light to prevent isomerization to the nitro isomer and was always used within 24 h of its synthesis. The urea- O and the dimethylurea- O salts were freshly prepared; they slowly accumulate aquapentaammine impurity on storage. The other complexes were air-dried and stored in the dark until required, except the sulfonate derivatives (stored in P_2O_5 under vacuum) and the hydrated trimethyl phosphate and Me₂SO complexes (air-dried and used freshly). All the complexes gave satisfactory analyses for C, H, N, and, where appropriate, I, F, P, and C1. Ion-exchange chromatography (Sephadex) and ¹H NMR spectroscopy^{6,8} were used to establish the absence of trace impurities, particularly $[(NH₃)₅CoOH₂]³⁺.$

The $NO₂$ competition experiments were peformed with use of a standardized technique to enable a reliable comparison of the results. To solid reactant (\sim 200 mg) was added 1.00 M NaNO₂ (25 mL, 0.10 M in NaOH) at 25 °C with vigorous stirring. It dissolved quickly and reacted $(\geq 10t_{1/2}$ in each case). After 1.0 min, NH₄Cl (1.0 g) was added to quench the reaction (pH \sim 9). The product mixture was diluted to **300** mL with ice water and quickly sorbed on Sephadex in a 15×5 cm column jacketed at 2° C. After it was washed with water (2 × 400 mL, 0 °C) followed by 0.01 M acetic acid (250 mL), the column was eluted with 0.5 M NaCl $(AR, pH \sim 3.5, 0.01 M)$ acetic acid). The $[(NH₃)₅CoNO₁²⁺$ and $[(NH₃)₅CoNO₂²⁺$ ions were quickly eluted together, followed by and well separated from $\left[\text{(NH}_3),\text{CoOH}_2\right]^{3+}$. The eluates were quickly warmed to 25 °C and their volumes and visible spectra promptly recorded. The spectra of the nitrito/nitro bands were repetitively scanned and extrapolated to zero time to correct for some nitrito to nitro isomerization, which is slight but significant at 25 °C $(t_{1/2} 167 \text{ min})$.^{2a} Subsequently samples of this band were heated to 60–70 °C for 30 min (in the absence of light) and **cooled,** and their visible and UV spectra were recorded to enable the determination of the total [Co] in the nitrito/nitro mixture (as $[(NH₃)₅CoNO₂]²⁺$). In separate experiments, it was shown that authentic $[(NH₃)₅CoONO]²⁺$ isomerizes quantitatively to $[(NH₃)₅CoNO₂]$ ²⁺ in 0.5 M NaCl (pH 3.5) under these conditions, with no competitive aquation to $[(NH₃)₅CoOH₂]³⁺$, as found previously.2

The base hydrolysis of the urea- O type complexes produces, along with O- and N-bonded $[(NH₃)₅Co(NO₂)]²⁺$ and $[(NH₃)₅CoOH]²⁺$, the N-bound complexes $[(NH₃)₅Co(NHCoNH₂)]²⁺ (7%)$ or $[(NH₃)₅Co(NHCON(CH₃)₂)]²⁺ (3%)¹² With use of the authentic$ urea-N complexes mixed with the nitro and nitrito complexes, it was shown in separate experiments that these ions elute well behind the $[(NH₃)₅Co(NO₂)]²⁺$ complexes and together with $[(NH₃)₅CoOH₂]³⁺$, provided the eluent is sufficiently acidic (but not too acidic, since the nitrito complex is destroyed in strong H^2 —hence the use of 10⁻² M acetic acid). Indeed, the N-bonded isomers ($pK_a \approx 2.5$) protonate and isomerize $(t_{1/2} \approx 1 \text{ min})$ to their urea-O forms (3+ ions) in the time $(52 h)$ required for the chromatography and spectrophotometric measurements, and their presence as such in the aqua bands was corrected for by using the known extinction coefficients.^{9,12}

The following molar extinction coefficients were **used** to determine [Co] in the eluates: $[(NH_3)_5CoONO]^{2+}$, $\epsilon_{325} = 151$; ϵ_{490} ^{max} = 47.7.¹⁰ One of the several isosbestic points in the spectra of the nitrito and nitro isomers $(\epsilon_{484} 66.6)$ was used to check on the total [Co] in isomeric mixtures. $[(NH_3)_5CoNO_2]^{2+}$, ϵ_{457} ^{max} 95.6, ϵ_{325} ^{max} = 1730;² $[(NH_3)_5CoOH_2]^{3+}$,

Results and Discussion

The nitrito complex $[(NH₃)₅CoONO]²⁺$ is known to isomerize slowly $(t_{1/2} = 167 \text{ min}, 25 \degree \text{C})$ but completely to the nitro form $[(NH₃)₅CoNO₂]²⁺$ in aqueous solution.^{2a} Recently we noted that this reaction has a base-catalyzed path $(k_{OH} = 5.9)$ \times 10⁻³ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, 25 °C, μ = 1.0 M),² and it seems likely that in previous attempts^{10,13} to measure NO_2^- competition for the

(12) Fairlie, D. P.; Jackson, W. G., results to be submitted for publication.
(13) Birus, M.; Reynolds, W. L.; Pribanić, M.; Ašperger, S. Proc. Int. Conf.
Coord. Chem. 1974, 16, No. 3.6.

⁽⁴⁾ Jackson, **W. G.;** Lawrancc, G. **A.; Lay,** P. **A.;** Sargeson, **A. M.** *J. Chem.* **Soc.,** *Chem. Commun.* **1982.70-72.**

⁽¹ 1) Jackson, **W.** G.; Begbie, C. **M.;** Randall, **M.** L., submitted for publi- cation in *Inorg. Chim. Acta.*

Table I. Comparison of Present and Previous Results for the Capture of NO₂⁻ during the Base Hydrolysis of $[(NH₃)₅CoX]ⁿ⁺$ in **1.00** M NaNO,/O.l M OH- at **25** "C

leaving	% total nitrite capture ^a				
group, X	\mathbf{h} .	revised ^c	present ^d		
CI^-	4.2				
Br ⁻	5.0				
I٠	4.5				
SCN-	5.5 $(4.0)^e$				
NO ₃	5.1	6.4, 6.0, 6.8, 6.4 (av 6.4 ± 0.4)	7.5 ± 0.4		
$OS(CH_3)$,	7.8 ± 1.0	8.1, 8.2, 8.5 (av 8.3 ± 0.2)	8.8 ± 0.4		
CH ₃ SO ₃		6.6, 6.6 (av 6.6 ± 0.4)	6.6 ± 0.4		
CF, SO,		5.6, 6.2 (av 5.9 ± 0.4)	7.0 ± 0.4		

 Σ (CoNO₂²⁺ + CoONO²⁺); other product is CoOH²⁺. Reported to be only $CoNO₂²⁺.^{10,13,14}$ c Jackson, W. G., previous unpublished data. $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (CoNO_2^{2+} + CoONO^{2+})$ was measured as $CoNO_2^2$ ⁺ at 457 nm (ϵ 95.6) by allowing all the COONO'+ in the eluates (pH **4)** to spontaneously isomerize to CoNO_2^{2+} (24 h in the dark, 25 °C, $> 8t_{1/2}$; $t_{1/2} = 167$ min). considered much more accurate than the "revised" numbers because CoNO₂²⁺ was estimated with use of ϵ_{325} 1730 rather than *E,,,,* **95.6;** i.e., the latter absorbance data are more accurate. *e* Uncorrected value. The other reaction pathway (24%) , $\cos CN^{2+} \rightarrow \cos NS^{2+}$, does not involve a net substitution reaction.¹⁴ Mean values, from Table **11.** The "present" values are

base hydrolysis of $[(NH₃)₅CoX]^{nt}$ in OH⁻/NO₂⁻ media, some of the 0 isomer initially formed would have isomerized to the N isomer. It is also known that the O (but not the N) isomer is readily cleaved by acid to give $[(NH₃)₅CoOH₂]$ ³⁺ and $HNO₂$ (by N-O rather than Co-O bond rupture);² hence, any strong acid used in the elution of the complexes from cation-exchange resin would prevent the detection of the nitrito isomer. Finally, depending upon the time elapsed between the absorption of the product mixture on columns and measurement of the spectra of the eluates, much of any nitrito isomer formed would have isomerized to the nitro form by the spontaneous pathway, particularly in experiments conducted at \sim 25 °C. It is therefore not surprising that only the nitro form of $[(NH₃)₅Co(NO₂)]²⁺$ has been reported^{10,13} as the product of NO_2^- competition in base hydrolysis. Despite these difficulties, the results for *total* $NO₂^-$ capture *(O plus N*) isomer) presented previously and in this work (Table I) do not differ substantially, presumably because in the earlier work there was little loss of nitrito complex through the use of acid, and some of the ON0 complex had isomerized to the N form. Where they do differ, the previous numbers are lower; the nitrito isomer is less strongly absorbing at the wavelength employed to measure the nitro isomer (457 nm, a maximum for $[(NH_3)_5CoNO_2)]^{2+}$).

In the present study, $NO₂⁻$ competition was determined for $[(NH₃)₅CoX]ⁿ⁺$ reacted for a standard time (1.0 min, 25 °C) in 1.00 M $\text{NaNO}_2/0.1$ M NaOH. Seven different leaving groups were used, and the complexes were chosen such that they were all completely hydrolyzed $(>10t_{1/2})$ under the conditions. Subsequent base-catalyzed oxygen to nitrogen linkage isomerization was slight $(\sim)3.5\%$ of total initial $NO₂ - O$ capture) and was readily corrected for. We were unable to separate the isomers by ion-exchange chromatography, but the isomer ratio for the single 2+ band from Sephadex was defined accurately with use of the absorption at 325 nm $(\epsilon_{\text{ONO}} 151, \epsilon_{\text{NO}} 1730)$. The total [Co] in the band was determined with use of **t** 1730 and the absorption of the

Table II. Product Distributions for the Capture of the Oxygen and Nitrogen of NO₁⁻ in the Base Hydrolysis of $[(NH_3)_5Cox]^{n+}$ in 1.00 M NaNO₂/0.1 M NaOH at 25 °C

X	O/N ratio ^{a}					
		$%$ ONO	% NO ₂	$\Sigma(NO_2)^b$	$%$ ONO	% NO ₂
CF ₃ SO ₃	1.8	4.2	2.4	6.6	66 ± 3	34 ± 3
	1.9	4.6	2.4	7.0		
	2.0	4.7	2.4	7.1		
	2.0	4.9	2.4	7.3		
av	1.9 ₃			7.0 ± 0.4^d		
CH ₃ SO ₃	1.8	4.2	2.4	6.6	67 ± 3	33 ± 3
	1.7	4.1	2.4	6.5		
av	1.7 ₅			6.6 ± 0.4		
NO ₃	2.0	5.0	2.6	7.6	67 ± 3	33 ± 3
	2.0	4.9	2.5	7.4		
av	2.0			7.5 ± 0.4		
(CH ₃) ₂ SO	$1.6\,$	5.4	3.5	8.9	63 ± 3	37 ± 3
	1.8	5.6	3.1	8.7		
av	1.7			8.8 ± 0.4		
PO(OCH ₃) ₃	1.4	4.3	3.1	7.4	61 ± 3	39 ± 3
	1.8	5.0	2.8	7.8		
	1.5	4.9	3.3	8.2		
	1.5	5.0	3.3	8.3		
av	1.5 ₅			7.9 ± 0.4		
$OC(NH_2)_2^e$	2.0	5.5(5.0)	2.8(2.5)	8.3(7.5)	66 ± 3	34 ± 3
	1.8	5.2(4.7)	2.9(2.6)	8.1(7.3)		
av	1.9			8.2 ± 0.4		
$OC(NH_2)N(CH_3)_2^e$	$1.8\,$	5.1(5.0)	2.8(2.7)	7.9(7.7)	64 ± 3	36 ± 3
	$1.8\,$	5.4(5.2)	2.9(2.9)	8.3(8.1)		
\texttt{av}	1.8			8.1 ± 0.4		
mean	1.8 ± 0.2				64.5 ± 2.5	35.5 ± 2.5
cor^r	2.0 ± 0.2				66.7 ± 2.5	33.3 ± 2.5

Initial ratio of CoONO²⁺/CoNO₂²⁺; after 1.0-min reaction in 0.1 M OH⁻/ $(\mu = 1.1 \text{ M}, 25 \text{ °C})$. % $\%$ CoONO²⁺ + % CoNO₂²⁺; other product is CoOH²⁺. ^c Percent of total CoONO²⁺ + CoNO₂²⁺. ^d Estimated error. ^e Values in parentheses are those observed; they required correction
correction for some O to N linkage isomerization of the urea complexes case of the unsubstituted thea). The corrected values then are appropriate to the metal substitution (Co-O cleavage) path. The COONO⁻¹ and CoNO₂²⁺ rearrangement (3.5%) that occurs in the (common) and $t_{1/2} = 19.6$ min). 1.0-min reaction time by the base-catalyzed route²⁸ $(k_{\text{OH}} = 5.9 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for $[OH^-] = 0.1 \text{ M}, \mu = 1.1 \text{ M}, 25 \text{ °C}, k_{\text{obsd}} = 5.9 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}$

same sample following complete isomerization to the nitro form **(60 OC,** 30 min).

The results are presented in Table 11. The most striking fact is that the unstable 0 rather than the stable **^N**isomer is the major product in each case $(O/N \approx 2.0/1)$. This result parallels that found for the **NCS-** system, where the preferred competitor is the **S** atom, which yields the thermodynamically unstable thiocyanato linkage isomer $(S/N \approx 3/1).^{6,14}$ The general trend in the competition process is that the best competitors are those least solvated by the solvent.^{6,7} For example, the strong **bases OH-, F,** and **NH3** are poor competitors, while NO₃, which is a very weak base, is a good competitor.¹¹ The rate-determining step in the collapse of the $[(NH₃)₄(NH₂)$ -**Col2+** five-coordinate intermediate can still be argued as the abstraction of the competing anion from the solvation sheath for the bulk of the anions. However, the nitrite competition data (Table II) are atypical since in $HNO₂$ the proton is known to reside on oxygen. There are other anomalies among the competitors. Azide ion, for example, is a much stronger base than chloride ion and is nonetheless a better competitor. The nature of the donor atom clearly exerts some effect, and in the case of $NO₂⁻$ this would also seem to be the case. Also the 0 center although more basic would be preferred on statistical grounds alone (2/1), which implies that the 0 and **^N** atoms are equally effective in capturing the intermediate.

The second feature of the results (Table 11) is the consistently higher competition afforded by the **3+** substrates, i.e. neutral leaving groups $(8.3 \pm 0.5 \cdot (4)\%)$, as opposed to the 2+ ions $(7.0 \pm 0.5 (3)%)$, albeit the differences are not pronounced. This trend parallels that found for other competitors $(Y^{m-} = S_2O_3^{2-15} SO_4^{2-1}$, $O_2CCH_3^-$, SCN⁻, and N₃⁻) with a range of $2+$ and $3+$ substrates (and one $1+$ reactant, $Y^m =$

N₁⁻).⁶ It is discussed in detail elsewhere, and it suffices to note here that the result is consistent with a reactive five-coordinate intermediate, $[(NH₃)₄(NH₂)_{C0}]²⁺$, which does not survive for a time sufficient to *completely* equlibrate with the bulk environment and establish its own ion atomsphere, i.e., one appropriate to a $2+$ ion. It reacts with an inherited ion atmosphere, and therefore there is a *slight* but definite dependence of the degree of anion competition on the charge of the complex ion. It cannot be asserted that the competition numbers are different for different leaving groups within each set of complexes of contant formal charge $(2+$ or $3+)$ since they agree within experimental error.

The most significant feature of the results is the very constant O-isomer/N-isomer ratio $(67 \pm 3\% \text{ O}, 33 \pm 3\% \text{ N})$. This is independent of the nature of the leaving group, even where it involves a variation in charge and the *total* NO₂⁻ competition varies. The result is expected of a reaction where the product-determining step is the collapse of a reactive intermediate $[(NH₃)₄(NH₂)_{C0}]²⁺$ and where the O- and N-bonded isomers of NOz- are captured from the *same* ion atmosphere. Indeed, the **NO2-** competition data strongly support the case for such an intermediate, since the leaving groups of varying size, charge, and bonding geometry exert **no** effect and must be presumed absent at the product-determining step. There is now a need to test the generality of **this** result with use of other ambidentate competitors. Results for $S_2O_3^{2-}$, where *S*- and 0-bonded isomers are captured, will be communicated shortly,15 and we are presently looking at *S* and **N** capture of **SCN-** for a wider variety of substrates. The present (limited) data indicate a difference in **S/N** product ratios for **2+** and 3+ substrates,^{6,14} and this warrants a closer investigation.

Registry No. NO₂⁻, 14797-65-0; $[(NH₃)₅CoO₃SCF₃](ClO₄)₂$, 76024-69-6; $[(NH₃)₅CoO₃SCH₃](ClO₄)₂$, 76024-71-0; $[(NH₃)₅Co-$ **ONO₂**](CIO₄)₂, 15156-24-8; [(NH₃)₅CoOS(CH₃)₂](CIO₄)₃, 51667-**94-8;** $[(NH_3)_5CoOP(OCH_3)_3]$ $(CIO_4)_3$, 15041-41-5; $[(NH_3)_5CoOC-$ (**NH₂**)₂](S₂O₆)_{3/2}, 75522-61-1; [(**NH₃**)₃Co(OC(**NH₂**)N-**(CH3)2](S206)3/2, 84623-22-3.**

⁽¹⁴⁾ Buckingham, D. A.; Creaser, I. I.; **Sargeson, A. M.** *Inorg. Chem.* **1970,** *9,655461.*

⁽¹⁵⁾ Fairlie, D. P.; Jackson, W. G.; Randall, **M. L., submitted for publication in** *Inorg. Chim. Acto.*