exhibit nearly identical electronic spectra, and the last two  $\frac{87517-34-8}{5}$ ,  $\frac{87494-87-9}{5}$ , 6, 87517-36-0; 4,5-Me<sub>2</sub>Im, 2302-39-8; systems exhibit nearly identical ESR spectra. Similar behavior BIM, 492-98-8; Me **is** exhibited by the corresponding complexes of BIBENZ, systems exhibit nearly identical ESR spectra. Similar behavior

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (Grant AM-16412 to H.J.S). We thank the Center for Computer and Information Services, Rutgers University, for providing computer time, and the Rutgers Research Council for partial support (to **K.K.-J.).** 

ordination geometry. 1, Cu(I1)-doped **2,** and methanolic **1 Registry NO. 1,** 87494-83-5; **2,** 87494-85-7; 3, 87508-96-1; **4,**  875 17-34-8; **5,** 87494-87-9; **6,** 8751 7-36-0; 4,5-Me21m, 2302-39-8; 7664-41-7; imidazole, 288-32-4; 1,2-cyclohexanedione, 765-87-7; glyoxal, 107-22-2.

> **Supplementary Material Available:** Tables of calculated hydrogen atom positions, anisotropic thermal parameters, observed and calculated structure factors, and deviations from least-squares planes for **1** and **2** (32 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

> > Contribution from Chevron Research Company, Richmond, California 94802

# **High-Field llB NMR of Alkali Borates. Aqueous Polyborate Equilibria**

### CHRISTOPHER G. SALENTINE

#### Received March 3, *1983*

The 127- and 160-MHz <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectra of aqueous solutions of  $KB_3O_8$ -4H<sub>2</sub>O,  $K_2B_3O_8(OH)$ -2H<sub>2</sub>O,  $K_2B_4O_7$ -4H<sub>2</sub>O, and  $NaB_3O_8.5H_2O$  are reported. Separation of all three signals in solutions of the MB<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (M = Na, K) pentaborates resulted in calculation of the formation constants for B(OH)<sub>4</sub>- and the polyborates B<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(OH)<sub>4</sub>- and B<sub>5</sub>O<sub>6</sub>(OH)<sub>4</sub>-. All solid samples yielded substantial concentrations of  $B_3O_3(OH)_4^-$  and low-to-moderate concentrations of  $B_5O_6(OH)_4^-$  in aqueous solution, both detected easily by their resonances at 13 and 1 ppm, respectively. The presence of  $B_5O_6(OH)_4$  in solutions of pH up to 9.7 was unexpected. The  $B_4O_5(OH)_4^{2-}$  ion, though certainly present at pH 9.7, was not detected in these studies. Variable-temperature spectra from *5* to 80 *OC* and concentration-dependent spectra supported previous observations of the dissociation of the polyborate complexes at high temperature or high dilution. The  $B_5O_6(OH)_4$  ion gives one signal in its spectrum apparently due only to the tetrahedral boron atom. The trigonal boron resonances for this ion were not observed.

The structural and solution chemistry of the hydrated alkali and alkaline-earth borates remains a topic of current interest.<sup>1</sup> largely due to a history of conflicting reports that are not yet totally resolved. A variety of experimental methods have unequivocally established the existence of polyborate anions in aqueous solution; $<sup>2</sup>$  these ions also occur as discrete entities</sup> in the solid state, but the relationship between solid and solution structures is complex due to rapid interconversions of polyborates in solution. The pioneering work of Ingri<sup>3</sup> yielded formation constants from potentiometric titration for the major species postulated to be present in solution. Spectroscopic techniques subsequently lent support with more direct evidence; aqueous polyborate equilibria were studied by <sup>11</sup>B NMR<sup>4,5</sup> and more recently by Raman spectroscopy.<sup>6,7</sup> The latter proved successful, and formation constants for  $B_3O_3(OH)<sub>4</sub>$ ,  $B_4O_5$ - $(OH)<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>$ , and  $B<sub>5</sub>O<sub>6</sub>(OH)<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>$  were reported:<sup>6</sup>

$$
B(OH)_3 + OH^- \rightleftharpoons B(OH)_4 - K_1 \tag{1}
$$

$$
2B(OH)_3 + B(OH)_4 = B_3O_3(OH)_4 - 3H_2O \t K_3 \t (2)
$$

$$
2B(OH)_3 + 2B(OH)_4^- \rightleftharpoons B_4O_5(OH)_4^{2-} + 5H_2O \quad K_4 \tag{3}
$$

$$
4B(OH)_3 + B(OH)_4 = B_5O_6(OH)_4 - 6H_2O \qquad K_5 \qquad (4)
$$

Previous  $^{11}$ B NMR studies<sup>4,5</sup> of the polyborate equilibria at 14 and 80 **MHz** were limited by the signal broadening typically associated with this method. We now report new  $^{11}\text{B}$ 

- Chemistry,"; Longmans, Green and Co.: London, 1980; Vol. 5, Part<br>A, Supplement 1, pp 259–71, 409–17.<br>Ingri, N. *Sven. Kem. Tidskr.* 1963, 75, 199 and references therein.<br>Momii, R. K.; Nachtrieb, N. H. *Inorg. Chem.* 1967,
- 
- 
- Smith, H. D., Jr.; Wiersema, R. J. *Inorg. Chem.* **1972**, 11, 1152.<br>Maya, L. *Inorg. Chem.* **1976**, 15, 2179.
- $(6)$
- Maeda, M.; et al. J. *Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* **1979,** *41,* **1217.**

Table I. <sup>11</sup> B NMR Data

compd	conen, М	temp, °C	chem shift, ppm <sup>a</sup> (% rel area)
KB, O, 4H, O	0.15	5	18.1 (63.1), 12.6 (29.7), 0.8(7.2)
	0.15	25	17.9 (60.4), 12.8 (34.8), 0.9(4.8)
	0.10	25	17.6 (66.6), 13.0 (31.6), 0.9(1.8)
	0.05	25	16.7, 12.7
	satd	41	17.7, 13.0, 0.9
	satd	83	16.9, 1.7
$K, B, O$ (OH) $2H, O$	0.2	22	11.7 (97.0), 0.9 (3.0)
	0.4	25	11.7 (91.0), 0.9 (9.0)
	satd	6	11.5, 0.6
	satd	61	12.7, 1.9
K, B, O, 4H, O	0.2	5	11.9, 7.5 (98.4), 1.0 (1.6)
	0.2	25	12.2, 8.3 (99.0), 0.9 (1.0)
	0.4	25	$11.6, 7.6$ (98.2), 0.9 (1.8)
	0.6	41	10.0, 1.4
	0.6	61	10.3
	0.6	80	10.8
NaB, O <sub>s</sub> ·5H, O	0.15	5	18.7(65.6), 13.1(26.1), 1.2(8.3)
	0.15	25	18.2 (62.8), 13.0 (32.3), 1.1(4.9)
	0.30	25	18.7 (62.8), 13.2 (24.6), 1.1(12.6)
	0.40	25	18.9 (58.7), 13.2 (24.9), 1.0(16.4)

<sup>*a*</sup> Referenced to external Et<sub>2</sub>O.BF<sub>3</sub>; solvent is H<sub>2</sub>O.

NMR data at 127 and 160 MHz. The higher field strengths provided excellent resolution of signals with concomitant identification and quantification of species not previously possible by NMR. We also include the first variable-temperature <sup>11</sup>B NMR study of the polyborate equilibria. This report should clarify, at least in part, some of the conflicting data reported on aqueous polyborate chemistry over the past several decades.<sup>1f</sup>

**0020-1669/83/1322-3920\$01.50/0** *0* 1983 American Chemical Society

<sup>(</sup>a) Christ, C. L.; Clark, J. R. *Phys. Chem. Miner.* 1977, 2, 59. (b) Wan, S. G. C.; Clark, J. R. Am. Mineral. 1978, 63, 160. (c) Corti, H.; et al. *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Faraday Trans. 1* 1980, 76, 2179. (d) Silins, E.; Schwa



**Figure 1.** <sup>11</sup>**B** NMR spectra (127 MHz) of  $KB_5O_8$ <sup>-4</sup>H<sub>2</sub>O at 25 °C, with concentrations **as** indicated.

#### **Results and Discussion**

 $KB_3O_8.4H_2O$ . Figure 1 shows the effect of boron concentration on polyborate formation in solutions of potassium pentaborate at 25 °C. This data supports previous work<sup>4,5</sup> in the assignment of the resonances at 18, 13, and 1 ppm to  $B(OH)_3/B(OH)_4$ ,  $B_3O_3(OH)_4$ , and  $B_5O_6(OH)_4$ , respectively. $8$  The pentaborate anion concentration at 0.05 M  $KB_5O_8 \cdot 4H_2O$  is essentially zero, while the triborate anion concentration is still appreciable. This is in close agreement with the temperature-jump studies of Eyring et al., $9$  who found no  $B_5$  anion at 0.2 M total boron concentration and a very small amount at 0.3 M. Further dilution would also decrease the  $B_3$  anion concentration, eventually to an immeasurable amount. It is interesting to point out that the boron enters the solution from the solid state<sup>10</sup> entirely in the form of the  $B_5O_6(OH)_4$ <sup>-</sup> ion. Over 90% dissociates by hydrolysis to give the triborate and monoborate species. Table I lists the  $^{11}B$ NMR data for all the alkali borates reported here.

At this point a discussion of the source of the two polyborate resonances is in order. The resonance at 13 ppm arises from the  $B_3O_3(OH)_4$  ion, which undergoes a rapid exchange to equalize all three boron sites as discussed by Momii and Nachtrieb.<sup>4</sup> This exchange, involving a somewhat bulky molecule, naturally results in a broad NMR resonance as observed. The average boron environment is 67% trigonal, and the chemical shift is likewise about one-third of the distance from pure trigonal ( $\sim$  19 ppm) to pure tetrahedral ( $\sim$  2 ppm).<sup>5</sup> The resonance at 1 ppm is due to the  $B_5O_6(OH)_4$  ion. It has a narrower line width with a chemical shift about 1 ppm *upfield* of pure  $B(OH)_4$ . The line width differences are shown most clearly in the spectrum of sodium pentaborate (Figure 6). In light of these observations, it is reasonable to assume that this resonance arises from the tetrahedral boron atom in



**Figure 2.** <sup>11</sup>**B** NMR spectra (160 MHz) of 0.4 M  $K_2B_5O_8(OH)\cdot 2H_2O$ at  $5 \text{ °C}$  (top) and 0.4 M  $K_2B_4O_7$ -4H<sub>2</sub>O at  $5 \text{ °C}$  (bottom).

the pentaborate anion and that this anion does not undergo a rapid exchange of all five boron atoms on the NMR time scale. Previous workers<sup>4</sup> assigned this resonance to all five boron atoms in  $B_5O_6(OH)_4$ , though they could offer no mechanism for the exchange. That assumption, based on data at 14 MHz, must now be considered unlikely. From this arises the possibility of a separate resonance from the four trigonal boron atoms in  $B_5O_6(OH)_4^-$  and the question of its location. It is not likely to fall under the triborate peak, as this peak represents an environment of 33% tetrahedral boron. It may either fall close to the monoborate peak or be too broad to observe. In connection with this, Lal and Petch<sup>11</sup> measured the electric quadrupole coupling constants for the tetrahedral and trigonal boron sites in the pentaborate anion and found that the triangular borons have *eqQ/h* values over 1 order of magnitude higher than that of the unique tetrahedral boron atom. Since line width is proportional to the square of the quadrupole coupling constant, it is likely that the trigonal boron resonance in the pentaborate anion is sufficiently quadrupolar broadened to prevent its observation. Related to this, it is interesting that an additional broad resonance around 19 ppm was observed in the low-temperature 160-MHz spectra of the  $K_2B_4$  and  $K_2B_5$  salts (discussed below) as shown in Figure 2. The chemical shift corresponds to a trigonal boron environment, although the origin of this broad resonance is unknown and could arise from another polyborate species. To summarize the assumptions used in the following calculations, the 1 ppm resonance was assigned to one boron atom in  $B_5O_6(O$ - $H$ )<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> and the 18 ppm resonance to  $B(OH)_{3}/B(OH)_{4}$ <sup>-</sup> only.

The complete resolution of all the polyborate resonances in 0.15 M  $KB_5O_8$ .4H<sub>2</sub>O made possible a straightforward calculation of the formation constants  $K_1, K_3$ , and  $K_5$ . The spectrum directly yielded  $[B(OH)_3] + [B(OH)_4^-]$   $(c_1 + c_2)$ ,  $[B_3O_3(O H$ <sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>] (c<sub>3</sub>), and  $[B_5O_6(OH)_4$ <sup>-</sup>] (c<sub>5</sub>).<sup>12</sup> The formation constants were calculated by using pH 7.80 for 0.15 M  $KB_5O_8.4H_2O$ at 25 °C<sup>13</sup> and the charge balance equation  $c_0 = [KB_5O_8]$ 

<sup>(8)</sup> These chemical shifts differ from those of ref 5 for two reasons: (1) use of a different standard, Et<sub>2</sub>O-BF<sub>3</sub>, and (2) reversal of the sign of the **chemical shift. Peaks upfield from the reference are now given negative**  chemical shift values in <sup>11</sup>B NMR.

**<sup>(9)</sup> Anderson, J. L.; Eyring, E. M.; Whittaker, M. P.** *J. Phys. Chem.* **1964, 68, 1128.** 

**<sup>(10)</sup> Zachariasen, W. H.; Plettinger, H. A.** *Acto Crysrollogr.* **1963,** *16,* **376.** 

<sup>(11)</sup> Lal, K. C.; Petch, H. E. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1965**, 43, 178. **(12)** The following equations were used:  $c_1 + c_2 = 5c_0$  (percent

<sup>(12)</sup> The following equations were used:  $c_1 + c_2 = 5c_0$  (percent of total boron<br>in B<sub>1</sub> peak), where  $c_0$  = initial concentration of KB<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O = 0.15<br>M,  $c_3 = {^5}/{{_3}c_0}$  (percent of total boron in B<sub>3</sub> peak),  $c$ of total boron in B<sub>s</sub> peak if it were 5 times the area).



**Figure 3.** I'B NMR spectra (127 MHz) of saturated, aqueous  $KB_5O_8.4H_2O.$ 

 $4H_2O$  =  $c_2 + c_3 + c_5$  to determine  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ . The concentrations measured at  $c_0 = 0.150$  M were  $c_1 = 0.333$  (24) M,  $c_2$  = 0.0468 (52) M,  $c_3$  = 0.0730 (37) M, and  $c_5$  = 0.0302 (15) **M**, yielding  $K_1 = (2.23 \pm 0.41) \times 10^5$ ,  $K_3 = 14.1 \pm 4.3$ , and  $K_5 = 52.5 \pm 23.8.$ 

The temperature-dependent  $^{11}B$  NMR spectra of a saturated solution of  $KB_5O_8.4H_2O$  are shown in Figure 3. Titration experiments established that higher temperatures cause dissociation of polyborate anions.<sup>14</sup> Although the spectra seem to support a coalescence at higher temperatures due to rapid exchange, it is possible that polyborate dissociation is also involved.

 $K_2B_5O_8(OH)\cdot 2H_2O$ . The solid-state structure of the dibasic pentaborate<sup>15</sup> contains the  $B_5O_6$  ring system and differs from that of potassium pentaborate  $(KB_5)$  in that these rings are polymerized through external B-O-B bridges in the  $K_2B_5$  salt. Hydrolysis of these bridges would yield the  $B_5O_6(OH)_5^{2-}$  ion as the species formed initially in solution. This ion would lose OH<sup>-</sup> to form the more stable  $B_5O_6(OH)_4^-$  ion.

The temperature-dependent  ${}^{11}B$  NMR spectra of dibasic potassium pentaborate are shown in Figure 4. Unfortunately, at this K:B ratio the  $B(OH)_3/B(OH)_4$ <sup>-</sup> resonance is shifted upfield just to the point of overlap with the  $B_3O_3(OH)_4$  signal at both 127 and 160 MHz. The polyborate temperature dependence here is qualitatively similar to that of the KB<sub>5</sub> salt. In this case, the broadest lines occur at low temperature and the high-temperature singlet is outside the chemical shift range of the two low-temperature **peaks.** A dissociation is thus more reasonable than a rapid exchange process, though the data cannot clearly distinguish between these two possibilities. A variable-temperature Raman study would nicely complement

(15) Marezio, M. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Crystallogr. Cryst. Chem. **1969,** *825,* 1787. -



Figure 4. <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectra (127 MHz) of saturated, aqueous  $K<sub>2</sub>B<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub>(OH) $\cdot 2H<sub>2</sub>O$ .$ 



**Figure 5.** <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectrum (160 MHz) of 0.2 M  $K_2B_4O_7$ -4H<sub>2</sub>O at 25 **OC.** 

this work and provide more definitive evidence regarding polyborate dissociation.

The resonance at 1 ppm indicates appreciable concentration of the  $B_5O_6(OH)_4$ <sup>-</sup> ion in solutions of the  $K_2B_5$  salt (pH 9.00, independent of concentration).<sup>16</sup> In a sodium system, Ingri<sup>3</sup> concluded that the pentaborate ion would be absent at this pH and the dominant polyborate would be the  $B_4O_5(OH)_4^{2-}$  ion (vide infra).

**K<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>O<sub>7</sub>-4H<sub>2</sub>O.** Figure 5 shows the 160-MHz spectrum of **0.2 M** potassium tetraborate." **At** this **K:B** ratio, the **B-**   $(OH)_{3}/B(OH)_{4}$ <sup>-</sup> resonance is between the triborate and pentaborate resonances at 12 and 1 ppm, respectively. The resolution of all three peaks at 160 MHz was a considerable improvement over the spectra at 80 MHz,<sup>5</sup> which showed only shoulders on the large monoborate resonance. Surprisingly, the pentaborate ion was detected in 0.2 M  $K_2B_4O_7$ -4H<sub>2</sub>O (pH 9.34)<sup>18</sup> at 25  $\degree$ C, though as expected its concentration was diminished compared to that at pH 9.00.

- (17) Marezio, M.; Plettinger, H. **A,;** Zachariasen, W. H. *Acta* Crystallogr. **1963,** *16,* 975.
- (18) **US.** Borax and Chemical Corp. Technical Data Sheet IC-9, 1979.

<sup>(13)</sup> **US.** Borax and Chemical Corp. Technical Data Sheet IC-10, 1983. The various constants were calculated as follows:  $K_1 = c_2/c_1 [OH^-]$ ,  $K_3 = c_3/c_1^2c_2$ ,  $K_5 = c_5/c_1^4c_2$ ,  $K_w = 10^{-14}$ .

<sup>(14)</sup> **(a)** Spessard, J. E. *J.* Inorg. Nucl. Chem. **1970,** 32, 2607. (b) Mesmer, R. E.; Baes, C. F.; Jr.; Sweeton, F. H. Inorg. Chem. **1972,** *11,* 537.

**<sup>(16)</sup>** Carpini, G. Bull. SOC. Chim. Fr. **1955, 22,** 1327.



**Figure 6.** <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectrum (160 MHz) of 0.3 M  $NaB_5O_8.5H_2O$ at  $25 °C$ .

Table **11.** Borate Ion Formation Constants

	[MB, O <sub>s</sub> ],			
cation		$pK$ .	pK,	$pK_{s}$
K	0.15	$-5.35$	$-1.15$	$-1.72$
Na	0.15	$-5.39$	$-1.05$	$-1.64$
Na	0.30	$-6.00$	$-0.49$	$-1.23$
Na	0.40	$-6.26$	$-0.42$	$-1.16$

No resonance attributable to the tetraborate dianion could be detected in these solutions. At **pH** 9.0-9.5 this ion is the most abundant polyborate in solution according to Ingri.<sup>3</sup> Its invisibility here could be a result of exchange effects.

The temperature-dependent  $127-MHz$  <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectra of 0.6 M  $K_2B_4O_7$ -4H<sub>2</sub>O paralleled those of the other systems. The triborate and monoborate resonances collapsed to a single line at 41 °C (width at half-height 700 Hz), which sharpened with increased temperature. At 61 °C the pentaborate resonance at 1 ppm disappeared, and at 80 °C the signal width at half-height was 180 Hz.

NaB<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O. The 127- and 160-MHz <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectra of sodium pentaborate were qualitatively similar to those of the potassium salt *(see* Figure 6). Data at 0.15 M were used to calculate  $c_1 = 0.342$  (25) M,  $c_2 = 0.0518$  (49) M,  $c_3 =$ 0.0675 (34) M, and  $c_5 = 0.0307$  (15) M and the formation constants  $K_1 = (2.45 \pm 0.41) \times 10^5$ ,  $K_3 = 11.1 \pm 3.2$ , and  $K_5$  $= 43.3 \pm 18.8$  at 25 °C. Within experimental error, these values are equivalent to those obtained in the potassium system. We conclude, as did Barrés,<sup>19</sup> that the alkali cation has very little, if any, effect on the formation equilibria at 25 °C.

The high solubility of sodium pentaborate allowed spectral collection at 0.3 and 0.4 M. The relative pentaborate anion concentration increased progressively at the higher boron concentrations while the relative triborate anion concentration decreased as compared to 0.15 M (Table I). At 0.3 M,  $c_1$  =  $= 0.126$  (6) M,  $K_1 = (9.96 \pm 1.89) \times 10^5$ ,  $K_3 = 3.10 \pm 0.99$ , and  $K_5 = 16.8 \pm 7.9$  (25 °C). At 0.4 M,  $c_1 = 0.607$  (51) M,  $= (1.80 \pm 0.41) \times 10^6$ ,  $K_3 = 2.66 \pm 0.97$ , and  $K_5 = 14.3 \pm 1.5$ 7.6 (25 "C). All the equilibrium data are summarized in Table II. At 0.15 M  $MB_5O_8$  concentration, we obtained pK<sub>1</sub> values slightly lower than those found by Momii and Nachtrieb<sup>4</sup>  $(-5.0, Na)$  and Barrés<sup>19</sup> (-5.08, K) but comparable within experimental error to Ingri's value<sup>3</sup>  $(-5.31, Na)$ . Generally, our values for  $K_3$  and  $K_5$  (0.15 M MB<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub>) are about 50% to 1 order of magnitude lower than those reported previously by spectroscopic methods.<sup>4,6</sup> However, inspection of Table II reveals an important point often overlooked in previous studies: *The formation "constants" are a function of total boron concentration.* This is to be expected from the increase in the ionization constant of boric acid with concentration<sup>1f,2</sup> ( $K_a$  = 0.534 (42) M,  $c_2$  = 0.0925 (104) M,  $c_3$  = 0.0818 (41) M,  $c_5$  $c_2 = 0.102$  (15) M,  $c_3 = 0.100$  (5) M,  $c_5 = 0.198$  (10) M,  $K_1$ 

 $4.6 \times 10^{-10}$  at 0.1 M B(OH)<sub>3</sub> and  $408 \times 10^{-10}$  at 0.75 M  $B(OH)$ <sub>3</sub>). Increasing K<sub>n</sub> would correspondingly increase K<sub>1</sub> at higher concentrations, as experimentally observed (Table II). It follows that increasing  $K_1$  would decrease  $K_3$  and  $K_5$ , also as observed.

Interestingly, one reaction seems independent of concentration, the hydrolysis of the pentaborate ion to produce the triborate ion:

$$
B_5O_6(OH)_4^- + 3H_2O \rightleftharpoons B_3O_3(OH)_4^- + 2B(OH)_3 \quad K_{35} \text{ (5)}
$$

Table II shows that  $pK_{35}$  ( $pK_3 - pK_5$ ) is, within experimental error, equal at all concentrations.

It is interesting also that the pentaborate ion showed a temperature effect opposite from that of the triborate ion in the 5-25 °C range. Above 25 °C, both ions seemed to dissociate as the temperature rose. In both the sodium and potassium systems at 0.15 M  $MB_5O_8 \cdot xH_2O$ ,  $c_3$  decreased  $\sim$  25% while  $c_5$  increased  $\sim$  45% in progressing from 25 to 5  $\degree$ C. Since  $c_1$  also decreased slightly at 5  $\degree$ C compared to the case at 25 °C, the reverse of eq 5 may be favored at low temperature. However, other sources for the additional B<sub>5</sub>- $O_6(OH)_4$ <sup>-</sup> produced at lower temperature cannot be ruled out. In any case, the difference in low-temperature behavior between the triborate and pentaborate anions is clearly evident.

The combined sodium and potassium data obtained here by <sup>11</sup>B NMR can most directly be qualitatively compared to the data of Maya,<sup>6</sup> who measured polyborate ion concentrations in a sodium system (with no added salts)<sup>20</sup> by Raman spectroscopy at 25 °C. Maya detected the pentaborate anion only up to pH 8.0 whereas it was detected up to at least pH 9.7 by <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectroscopy. The Raman and <sup>11</sup>B NMR data both established the presence of the  $B_3O_3(OH)_4^-$  anion in solution in the pH range 7.0-9.0. The tetraborate dianion,  $B_4O_5(OH)_4^2$ , was detected by Raman spectroscopy in the pH range 8.0-10.0 in agreement with Ingri.<sup>3</sup> Apparently, the <sup> $1$ </sup>B NMR signals for this ion are either very broad or are not observable by this method due to time-scale limitations.

The lack of detection of polyborates other than the  $B_3^-$  and  $B_5$ <sup>-</sup> ions, with the resulting simplistic assumptions that only these ions are present, limits the overall accuracy of equilibrium data determined by <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectroscopy. Despite these limitations, it has been shown that <sup>11</sup>B NMR can be a powerful tool and a useful supplementary method in the study of aqueous boron chemistry.

### **Experimental Section**

Physical Measurements. The 126.9-MHz<sup>11</sup>B spectra were obtained with an instrument designed by Professor F. A. L. Anet of UCLA. The 160.4-MHz <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker WM-**500** instrument at the California Institute of Technology. Spectra are referenced to external  $Et_2O·BF_3$ . The chemical shift of 0.5 M boric acid was +19.3 ppm at 22 **"C.** The NMR solvent was deionized water, boiled to expel CO<sub>2</sub> and cooled under nitrogen. Spectra of all the borates were recorded at both 127 and 160 MHz. The relative areas were measured by integration of the 160-MHz spectra, where peak separation was excellent and agreed within a few percent to values estimated from the 127-MHz spectra. Due to the nature of the spectra, a generous **f5%** relative error was assigned to all peak areas, which is reflected in the errors expressed in the concentrations and equilibrium constants. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Nicolet MX-1 FTIR instrument.

**Materials.** Potassium pentaborate,  $KB_5O_8A_1 + 2O_6$ , and potassium tetraborate, K<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>O<sub>7</sub>.4H<sub>2</sub>O, were obtained from U.S. Borax and Chemical Corp. and **used** as received. A sample of sodium pentaborate, NaB508.5H20, was obtained from **U.S.** Borax Research. Boric acid

<sup>(20)</sup> The data of **Ingri3 was** obtained at various ionic strengths, all **in** the presence of added salts such **as NaCIO,.** This has a significant effect on formation constants<sup>19</sup> as compared to aqueous solutions of pure solid borates or  $NaB(OH)_4/B(OH)_3$  mixtures.

and potassium hydroxide were purchased from Mallinckrodt. Literature methods<sup>21</sup> served as a guide for the preparation of the elusive  $K_2B_5O_8(OH)\cdot 2H_2O$ . It is difficult to prepare; we found it necessary to introduce seed crystals to the supersaturated  $K_2B_5$ " solution to prevent formation of a glass. Even this was not always successful. Identification and purity of the microcrystalline product were checked by X-ray powder crystallography.22 The infrared spectrum is distinct from those of other potassium borates and not previously reported in the literature: 3513 m, br; 1636 w; 1445 m, sh; 1385 s; 1361 s; I237 s; 1 I82 w; 1090 m; 1056 m; 979 vs; 928 s; 848 m; 820 w; 752

(21) (a) Carphi, G. *C. R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci. 1954,239,* 1500. (b) CarNni, G.; Haladjian, J.; Pilard, M. Bull. *SOC. Chim. Fr. 1960,* 1634. (22) TolEdano, P. Bull. *SOC. Chim. Fr. 1966,* 2302.

w; 745 w; 729 w; 702 w; 630 w; 610 w; 596 vw; 555 vw; 498 vw; 463 vw  $cm^{-1}$  (KBr disk).

**Acknowledgment.** We thank Professor F. A. L. Anet and Professor M. F. Hawthorne at UCLA for providing access to the NMR spectrometer and Dr. David Busby and Dr. Paul Behnken for the 127-MHz spectra. We also gratefully acknowledge Professor S. I. Chan and Dr. Luciano Mueller for use of the Southern California Regional NMR Facility, supported by NSF Grant No. CHE 79-16324, and Dr. William G. Woods at U.S. Borax Research Corp. for a sample of sodium pentaborate.

 $B_5O_6(OH)_4$ , 17927-69-4; <sup>11</sup>B, 14798-13-1. **Registry No.** B(OH)<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, 15390-83-7; B<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(OH)<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, 17927-68-3;

Contribution from the Chemistry Department, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada V5A 1S6

## **Crystal Structure and Vibrational Spectra of (TePh<sub>2</sub>)HgI<sub>2</sub>**

F. **W.** B. EINSTEIN, C. H. **W.** JONES,\* T. JONES, and R. D. SHARMA

#### Received *March* 7, *I983*

The structure of  $(TePh<sub>2</sub>)HgI<sub>2</sub>$  has been determined by single-crystal X-ray crystallography. The compound crystallizes in the space group  $P_2$ ,/c with  $a = 14.827$  (2)  $\hat{A}$ ,  $b = 13.438$  (3)  $\hat{A}$ ,  $c = 15.245$  (2)  $\hat{A}$ ,  $\beta = 90.32$  (1)°,  $Z = 8$ ,  $d_{\text{cal}} =$ 3.220 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, and  $d_{\text{obs}d} = 3.19$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>. Intensities were measured for 3987 independent reflections (20  $\leq$  45°), of which 2734 were classed as observed  $[I \geq 2.3\sigma(I)]$  and used in subsequent structure determination and refinement  $(R = 0.043)$ . The molecule was found to exhibit a novel tetrameric structure involving two different types of iodine bridges. Low-wavenumber vibrational data for the  $(TePh_2)HgX_2$  series (where X = Cl, Br, or I) were also collected and are discussed in relation to the  $(TePh<sub>2</sub>)Hgl<sub>2</sub>$  structure.

### **Introduction**

The literature contains numerous reports of **1** : 1 complexes formed between mercuric halides,  $HgX_2$  (X = Cl, Br, or I), and neutral donor ligands **(L)** containing group 5B (N, P, *As,*  or Sb) and group 6B (S, Se, or Te) donor atoms.<sup>1-29</sup> For many

- $(1)$ Dean, P. A. W. *Prog. Znorg. Chem. 1978, 24,* 109.
- $(2)$ Evans, R. C.; Mann, F. G.; Peiser, H. *S.;* F'urdie, D. *J. Chem. Soc. 1940,*  1209.
- $(3)$ (a) Ahuja, I. *S.;* Rastogi, P. *J. Chem. SOC. A 1970,* 2161. (b) Ahuja, I. *S.;* Singh, R. J. *Znorg. Nucl. Chem. 1974, 36,* 1505. Bernard, M. A.; Busnot, F.; Lequerler, J. F. *Thermochim. Acta 1975,*
- *12,* 387.
- Mom, F. G.; Langhout, J. P. *Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas 1973, 92,*  996.
- Coates, G. E.; Ridley, D. *J. Chem. SOC. 1964,* 166.
- Deacon, G. B.; Green, J. H. S.; Harrison, D. J. *Specfrochim. Acta, Part A 1968, 24A,* 1921.
- 
- Schmidbaur, H.; Rathlein, **K.** H. *Chem. Ber. 1973, 106,* 2491. Alyea, E. C.; Skelton, D. A.; Goel, R. G.; Ogini, W. G. *Can. J. Chem. 1977, 55,* 4227.
- Biscarni, P.; Nivellini, G. D. *J. Chem. SOC. A 1969,* 2206.
- Ferguson, J. E.; Loh, K. *S. Ausf. J. Chem. 1973, 26,* 2615.
- (a) Marcotrigiano, G.; Battistuzzi, R. *Znorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett. 1972,*  8, 969. **(b)** Marcotrigiano, G.; Peyronel, G.; Battistuzzi, R. *J. Znorg.*  Nucl. Chem. 1975, 37, 1675. (c) Marcotrigiano, G. Z. Anorg. Allg.<br>Chem. 1975, 417, 75. (d) Marcotrigiano, G. Ibid. 1976, 422, 80. (e)<br>Marcotrigiano, G.; Battistuzzi, R. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1974, 36, 3719.
- Aitken, G. B.; Duncan, J. L.; McQuillan, G. P. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton*  Trans. *1972,* **2103.**  Dance, N. *S.;* Jones, C. H. W. *J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, 152,* 175.
- Bell, N. A.; Goldstein, M.; Jones, T.; Nowell, I. W. *J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun. 1976,* 1039.
- Bell, N. A.; Goldstein, M.; Jones, T.; Nowell, I. W. *Inorg. Chim. Acta*
- *1978,* L169. (a) Bell, N. A.; Goldstein, M.; Jones, T.; Nowell, I. W. Inorg. Chim.<br>Acta 1980, 43, 87. (b) The weakness of interdimer interaction in<br> $\alpha$ -(P-n-Bu<sub>3</sub>)HgCl<sub>2</sub> is perhaps confirmed by the fact that the  $\beta$ -form<br>reported i geometry.
- (a) Bell, N. A.; Goldstein, M.; Jones, T.; Nowell, I. W. *Znorg. Chim. Acta* 1981,  $48$ , 185. (b) It has been established for the  $(\overrightarrow{PR_3})HgCl_2$  series (where  $R = Ph$ ,  $n-Bu_3$ , Et, or Me) that the P-Hg-Cl, angle is dependent mainly upon donor strength of the PR<sub>3</sub>, rather than on secondary packing effects.<br>Bell, N. A.; Goldstein, M.; Jones, T.; March, L. A.; Nowell, I. W. *Inorg.*
- *Chim. Acta 1982, 61,* 83.

years the solid-state structure of these compounds was thought to be that of a discrete dimeric halogen-bridged molecule in which Hg atoms lie in a tetrahedral environment and donor ligands lie mutually trans with respect to one another (i).



More recent studies have indicated that in addition to the discrete dimeric arrangement<sup>15,17,19-23</sup> other more associated structures also occur.<sup>15-18,24-28</sup>

The  $(2,4,6\text{-Me}_3$ py)HgCl<sub>2</sub><sup>24</sup> and  $(PEt_3)HgCl_2^{15,18}$  molecules exist as continuous chlorine-bridged chains in which mercury atoms lie in trigonal-bipyramidal environments (ii). The compounds  $(C_4H_8S)HgCl<sub>2</sub><sup>27</sup>$  and  $(PMe<sub>3</sub>)HgCl<sub>2</sub><sup>15,18</sup>$  have "pseudoionic" structures in which [L-Hg-Cl]' and C1- "ions" are alternately arranged giving rise to continuous chlorinebridged chains (iii). The  $\alpha$ -form of  $(P-n-Bu_3)HgCl_2$ , in the

- (20) Glasser, L. *S.;* Ingram, L.; King, M. G.; McQuillan, G. P. *J. Chem. Soc. A 1969,* 2501.
- (21) Brotherton, P. D.; Epstein, J. M.; White, A. H.; Willis, A. C. *J. Chem. SOC., Dalton Trans. 1974,* 2341.
- (22) Holy, N. L.; Baenziger, N. C.; Flynn, R. M.; Swenson, D. C. *J. Am. Chem. SOC. 1976, 98,* 7823.
- (23) Authier-Martin, M.; Beauchamp, A. L. *Can. J. Chem. 1977, 55,* 1213.
- (24) Kulpe, S. *2. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1967, 349,* 314. (25) Bell, N. A.; Goldstein, M.; Jones, T.; Nowell, I. W. *Acfa Crystallogr.,*
- *Sect. B* **1980**, *B36*, 710.<br>
(26) Authier-Martin, M.; Hubert, J.; Rivest, R.; Beauchamp, A. L. Acta (26) Authier-Martin, M.; Hubert, J.; Rivest, R.; Beauchamp, A. L. *Acfa Crystallogr., Sect. B 1978, B34,* 273.
- 
- 
- (27) Branden, C. I. *Ark. Kemi* 1964, 22, 495.<br>(28) Kozarek, J.; Fernando, Q. *Inorg. Chem.* 1973, 12, 2129.<br>(29) Dalziel, J. A. W.; Holding, A. F. C.; Watts, B. E. *J. Chem. Soc. A* 1967, 358.
- (30) Beurskens, P. T.; Bosman, W. P. J. H.; Cras, J. A. *J. Crysr.* Mol. *Sfrucf. 1972, 95,* 157.
- (31) White, J. G. *Acta Crystallogr. 1963, 16,* 397.