Kinetic Investigation of the Cerium(1V) Oxidation of the Bis(p-oxo)bis(oxomolybdenum(V)) Ion

GREGORY A. CHAPPELLE,¹¹ ANITA MACSTAY,[†] S. T. PITTENGER,[†] K. OHASHI,[§] and K. W. HICKS*[†]

Received August 3, *1983*

The reaction between bis(μ -oxo)bis(oxomolybdenum(V)), M_0 ₂²⁺, and Ce(IV) has been studied in acidic perchlorate media via stopped-flow techniques. The reaction is rapid and quantitative, and the stoichiometry corresponds to Mo^V₂ + 2Ce(IV) $\frac{H^*}{2}$ Mo^{VI}₂ + Ce(III). The observed rate law for the reaction for $[M_0Q_4^{2+}] > [Ce$ \sim Mo^{VI}₂ + Ce(III). The observed rate law for the reaction for $[Mo_4O_4^{2+}] > [Ce(IV)]$ is $-d[Ce(IV)]/2dt$ $= k_2[\text{Mo}_2\text{O}_4^{2+}][\text{Ce}(\text{IV})]$, where $k_2 = k_{2h}K_h/([H^+] + K_h)$. The rate constant, k_{2h} , was found to be 2.73 \times 10⁴ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ and $K_h = 0.46$ M $(\mu = 2.0$ M, $T = 25.0$ °C). The observed rate constant was unaffected by added products or Cl⁻ (<5 \times 10^{-3}) but increased slightly with ionic strength. Evidence for an ion pair formed between the aquomolybdenum(V) dimer and Ce(IV) is presented.

Introduction

In the investigation of the aquomolybdenum (V) dimer, $(H_2O)_6Mo_2O_4^{2+}$, oxidation by several strong oxidizing agents¹ $(Fe(phen)_3^{3+}$ (1.06 V), IrCl₆²⁻ (1.017 V), and $[((NH₃),Co)₂-O₂]$ ⁵⁺ $(\mu-O₂^-)$ $(1.0 \text{ V}),$ ^{2,3} the reaction with Fe(phen), 3^+ and IrCl₆²⁻ was characterized by a rate law, rate $= k_1[\text{Mo}_2\text{O}_4^{2+}] + k_2[\text{Mo}_2\text{O}_4^{2+}][\text{Ox}]$, that showed a pathway independent of oxidant and another pathway that involved the oxidizing agent. The rate constants for the oxidant-independent steps were very slow $(IrCl₆²⁻, 1.48 \times 10⁻⁶ M⁻¹ s⁻¹;$ Fe(phen)₃³⁺, 1.55 \times 10⁻⁶ M⁻¹ s⁻¹) while the oxidative pathways were more rapid (IrCl₆²⁻, 0.140 M⁻¹ s⁻¹; Fe(phen)₃³⁺, 34.0 M⁻¹ s⁻¹). The *µ*-superoxide complex, $[((NH_3)_5Co)_2-O_2]^{5+}$ $(\mu-O_2^-)$, showed only the nonoxidative step $(4.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$. Ce-(IV), a strong oxidant (1.73 V) , $4a,b$ is capable of quantitatively oxidizing the aquomolybdenum(V) dimer to $Mo(VI)$ in 2 M $HClO₄$ ⁵ It is of some interest to determine whether a rate expression similar to those of $Fe(phen)₃³⁺$ and $IrCl₆²⁻$ or for $MnO₄$ redox oxidation of the aquomolybdenum(V) dimer⁶ is present with the use of the stronger oxidant. The presence of a partially dissociated dimer species was inferred from the nonoxidative pathway of the $Ir(IV)$ and $Fe(III)$ work but was not observed in the more rapidly reacting Mn(VI1).

Experimental Section

Stock solutions of the $bis(\mu\text{-oxo})bis(\alpha x o m o y b d e num(V))$ complex were prepared by (1) reduction of a $Na₂MoO₄/3$ M HCl solution by shaking with metallic mercury^{6,7} (the solutions were filtered free of Hg and Hg₂Cl₂ and stored at 5 °C over fresh Hg) and (2) dissolving $(NH_4)_2$ MoOCl₅ (gift from Climax Molybdenum Co.) in 1 M HCl. The dimer/HCl solutions were diluted with distilled water to $[H^+]$ $<$ 0.1 M, deoxygenated with N₂, and allowed to aquate in the dark overnight. The aquation time did not appear to influence the results.

The dimer was collected on a Dowex 50-X8 (50-100 mesh) column in the H⁺ form and washed free of Cl⁻ with deoxygenated distilled water. The $Mo_{2}O_{4}^{2+}$ ion was eluted with either 1.0 or 2.0 M HClO₄ $(\mu = 2.0 \text{ M} \text{ (NaClO}_4))$ and diluted to the proper reaction conditions with deoxygenated HClO₄/NaClO₄ solutions of known concentrations. Deoxygenation retarded the decomposition of the dimer solutions. The dimer concentration was determined at $\lambda = 384$ nm ($\epsilon = 103$ M⁻¹) cm^{-1}).¹ Total Mo was determined by the H_2O_2 method.⁸

Cerium(IV) perchlorate stock solutions were prepared by diluting an aliquot of $H_2Ce(CIO_4)_6/6$ M HClO₄ (G. F. Smith Chemical Co.) with distilled water or standardized $HCIO₄/NaClO₄$ solutions to obtain the proper $[H^+]$, ionic strength, and $[Ce(IV)]$. The stock $Ce(IV)$ solution was standardized against As₂O₃.

Several experiments were attempted by using trifluoromethanesulfonic acid. This acid was eliminated as it was slowly oxidized by $Ce(IV)$.

⁸Ibaraki University

Stock solutions of Ce(II1) and Mo(V1) were prepared by dissolving $Ce(NO₃)₃·H₂O$ (G. F. Smith Chemical Co.) and $Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O$ (Baker analyzed reagent) in **2** M HC104.

The reaction was followed by measuring the decrease in absorbance of the reaction mixture with time at 384 nm on an Aminco-Morrow stopped-flow apparatus interfaced to a computer.⁹ The choice of λ = 384 nm and excess dimer was necessary as the initial and final absorbance values at $\lambda = 290$ and 384 nm, for reaction conditions where $[Ce(IV)] \gg [dimer]$, were both high and provided only a small absorbance change. The **t** values for reactants and products at 384 nm are as follows $(M^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$: $Mo_{2}O_{4}^{2+}$, 103 \pm 5; Ce(IV), 225 \pm $8;^{10b}$ Mo(VI), 1.5; Ce(III), ~0. The observed absorbance change was due primarily to the decrease in $[Ce(IV)]$.

Results

The stoichiometry of the reaction was determined via spectrophotometric titrations at 384 nm by reacting a constant known concentration of $Mo_{2}O_{4}^{2+}$ with varying known concentrations of Ce(IV). The $[HClO₄]$ was varied from 1.0 to 3.0 M in these experiments. The ratio of mol of $Ce(IV)$ consumed/mol of $\text{Mo}_2\text{O}_4^{2+}$ initially present was determined by observing the decrease in absorbance of the dimer at 384 nm. Plots of absorbance vs. [Ce(IV)] data yielded a value of 1.97 \pm 0.05 for the stoichiometric ratio $[Ce(IV)]/[Mo₂O₄²⁺]₀$, indicating the overall reaction can be represented by
 $\text{Mo}_2\text{O}_4^{2+} + 2\text{Ce}(IV) + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow$

$$
\mathrm{Mo}_{2}\mathrm{O}_{4}^{2+}+2\mathrm{Ce}(\mathrm{IV})+4\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O}\rightarrow
$$

 $2Mo(VI) + 2Ce(III) + 8H⁺$

The dependence of the reaction rate on initial concentrations of $Mo_{2}O_{4}^{2+}$ and $Ce(IV)$ was determined by observing the rate of disappearance of absorbance at 384 nm while varying Ce(1V) concentration. The reaction was conducted under pseudo-first-order conditions keeping the dimer in excess $(Mo(V)$ concentration 10 times that of the Ce (IV) used). The rate constants obtained at various reactant concentrations $([H^+] = \mu = 2.0 M (HClO₄), T = 25.0 °C)$ under pseudofirst-order conditions are summarized in Table I.

The reaction traces showed first-order behavior for 3-4 half-lives and showed no deviation when Ce(II1) or Mo(V1)

- (1) G. R. Cayley, R. *S.* Taylor, R. K. Wharton, and A. G. Sykes, Inorg. *Chem.,* 16, 1377 (1977).
- (2) Y. Sasaki, *Bull. Chem. SOC. Jm, 50,* 1939 (1977). (3) A. G. Sykes and J. A. Weil, Prog. Inorg. *Ch'em.,* **13,** 47 (1970).
-
- (4) (a) L. G. Sillen and A. E. Martell, Eds., *Spec. Publ.-Chem. Soc.,* **No. ¹⁷**(1964); **(b)** M. S. Sherril, C. B. King, and R. C. Spooner, J. *Am.*
- *Chem. SOC., 65,* 170 (1943). (5) A. I. Busey, "Analytical Chemistry of Molybdenum" Humphrey Science Publishers, Ann Arbor, MI, 1969.
- (6) R. McAllister, K. W. Hicks, et al., Inorg. *Chem.,* **21,** 4098 (1982). (7) N. H. Furman and W. M. Murray, Jr., J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* **58,** ¹⁶⁸⁹ (1936).
-
- (8) G. Telep and D. F. Boltz, *Anal. Chem.* **22,** 1030 (1950). (9) **J.** W. Moore, K. W. Hicks, **et** al., *TrAc, Trends Anal. Chem. (Pers.*
- *Ed.*), 2, 74 (1983).
(a) C. F. Baes, Jr., and R. E. Mesmer, "The Hydrolysis of Cations", (10) (a) C. F. Baes, Jr., and R. E. Mesmer, "The Hydrolysis of Cations",
Wiley, New York, 1976; (b) H. G. Offner and D. A. Skoog, Anal.
Chem., 38, 1520 (1966); (c) M. G. Adamson, F. S. Dainton, and P. Glentworth, *Trans. Faraday SOC.,* **61,** 689 (1965)

^{&#}x27;Eastern Michigan University. 'Based in part on: Chappelle, G. A,, M.S. Thesis, Eastern Michigan University, 1982.

Table I. Rate Constants for the Mo₂O₄²⁺/Ce(IV) Reaction $([H^+] = \mu = 2.0 M (HClO₄), T = 25.0 °C)$

10^3 \times	10^4 \times	10^3 \times	10^3 \times	
$[M_0_2O_4^2]_0$	$[Ce(IV)]_0$,	$[Mo(IV)]_0$	$[Ce(III)]_0$,	
M	M	M	M	k_{obsd} , s ⁻¹
1.12	2.20			5.99 ± 0.04^a
1.23	1.49			7.32 ± 0.06
1.15	1.49			5.45 ± 0.04
1.90	2.96	0.00		11.2 ± 0.42
	2.96	1.74		11.4 ± 0.42
	2.96	8.00		9.5 ± 0.10
2.31	2.20			12.4 ± 0.16
2.37	4.37			11.5 ± 0.34
3.25	2.20			15.9 ± 0.44
	3.75			16.4 ± 0.86
	7.80			14.6 ± 0.63
3.42	5.22	0.00		16.2 ± 0.50
		2.25		15.5 ± 0.44
		4.10		15.6 ± 0.63
3.50	6.90		0.00	18.6 ± 0.78
	6.90		0.376	18.8 ± 0.62
	6.90		0.940	17.0 ± 0.28
	6.90		1.30	17.1 ± 0.36
3.60	5.00			17.0 ± 0.70
3.85	4.30	0.00		22.9 ± 0.84
	4.30	8.00		18.0 ± 0.55
	4.30	24.00		16.6 ± 0.73
4.00	8.40	0.00		21.4 ± 0.26
		4.90		18.4 ± 0.24
		9.90		17.0 ± 0.22
		14.80		15.9 ± 0.36
4.20	8.10	0.00		23.5 ± 0.30
		2.50		23.7 ± 0.24
		5.00		23.2 ± 0.72
		7.50		20.4 ± 0.54
5.25	3.9			25.2 ± 0.44
5.34	6.8			27.1 ± 0.60
8.00	4.04	0.00		44.3 ± 0.75
		6.87		43.1 ± 0.87
		10.10		39.7 ± 0.62

 4 [C1⁻]₀ = 0, 7.7 × 10⁻³, 15.4 × 10⁻³ M.

Figure 1. k_{obsd} vs. $[Mo_2O_4^{2+}]_0$ ($\lambda = 384$ nm, $[H^+] = \mu = 2$ M $(HCIO₄), T = 25.0 °C).$

were initially present. The plot of k_{obsd} (s⁻¹) vs. $[Mo₂O₄²⁺]₀$ was linear with a zero intercept (Figure 1; Table I) and yielded a rate law of the form $-d[Ce(IV)]/2dt = k_{obs} [Ce(IV)]$, where $k_{\text{obsd}} = k_2 [\text{Mo}_2\text{O}_4^{2+}]$. The second-order rate constant was found to equal $5.25 \pm 0.42 \times 10^3$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹

The dependence of the rate constant, k_{obs} , on $[Mo(VI)]$ and [Ce(III)] was determined by reacting various amounts of $Mo(VI)$ or $Ce(IV)$ while holding $[dimer]_0$ and $[Ce(IV)]_0$ constant. This was accomplished by adding the desired concentrations of $Na₂MoO₄$ or $Ce(NO₃)₃$ solutions in 2 M HClO₄ to the Ce(1V) flask. It was observed that the rate did not

Table II. Hydrogen Ion Dependence $(T = 25.0 \degree C,$ $\mu = 2.0 M (NaClO₄$)

Figure 2. $1/k_2$ vs. [H⁺] $(\mu = 2.0 \text{ M } (\text{NaClO}_4/\text{HClO}_4), T = 25.0$ **"C).**

depend upon $[Mo(VI)]_0$ up to approximately 5×10^{-3} M. However, as the amount of Mo(V1) introduced was increased beyond 5×10^{-3} M, the rate showed a definite decrease. The first-order rate constant, k_{obsd} , did not appear to be affected by the presence of Ce(II1) or C1-.

Hydrogen Ion Dependence. The effect of the hydrogen ion concentration **on** the rate was determined by varying the acidity while maintaining a constant ionic strength, $\mu = 2.0$ M (HClO₄/NaClO₄) (Table II). A plot of $1/k_2$ (k_2 = the second-order rate constant) vs. [H⁺] was linear with a nonzero intercept (Figure 2). This indicated the hydrogen ion dependence data were of the form $k_2 = (k_{20}H^+) + k_{2h}K_h)/([H^+]$ + K_h). Here K_h is the hydrolysis constant for the reaction Ce⁴⁺ $+ H_2O \rightleftharpoons CeOH^{3+} + H^+$. If $k_{20}[H^+] \ll k_{2h}K_h$, the equation reduces to the form $k_2 = k_{2h}K_h/([H^+] + K_h)$, which can be plotted as $1/k_2 = 1/k_{2h} + [\tilde{H}^+] / k_{2h} K_h$. Here the (intercept)⁻¹ 10^4 s⁻¹. This provides a calculated value of 0.46 M for K_h . Literature values for K_h range from 0.2 to 12 M.^{4a,b,10} A plot of k_2 vs. $[H^+]^{-1}$ showed curvature at low $[H^+]$. $= k_{2h} = 2.73 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $(\text{slope})^{-1} = k_{2h} K_h = 1.25 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$

Ionic strength studies $[H^+] = 0.60 M$, $\mu = 0.6-1.16$; $[H^+]$ $= 0.80$ M, $\mu = 1.0-2.0$) showed a slight increase in k_2 with increasing μ . An increase in k_2 is expected for a reaction system in which the charge product is of the $Z_a^+ Z_b^+$ type.

Detection of an Intermediate. Although the observed kinetic traces obtained from a stopped-flow spectrophotometric scan taken between 285 and 500 nm gave **no** direct evidence of the formation of an intermediate, a series of experiments was conducted to investigate its possible existence. $Ce(IV)$ is known to complex with a variety of reactants.^{11,12} This effort was also prompted by the observation that calculations of the absorbance expected upon mixing, A_0^c , were consistently lower than A_0 values observed in the kinetic traces. Normally, the observed A_0 value for a given set of reactant concentrations is lower than that predicted. This absorbance difference is due to reaction of the initial substances while being mixed and

^{(1 1) (}a) A. McAuley, *Coord. Chem. Reo.,* **5,245 (1970); (b) M. Knoblowitz, J. I. Morrow, et al.,** *Inorg. Chem.,* **15, 2847 (1976).**

⁽¹²⁾ T. W. Newton and G. M. Arcand., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* **75,2449 (1953).**

Figure 3. A_0 vs. $[Ce(IV)]_0$ for six dimer solutions. The intercept of each line corresponds to A_0^D ; the absorbance at $t = 0$ corresponds to that due only to dimer.

transported to the observation cell. The molar absorption coefficients of both reactants and products used were determined with the stopped-flow instrument at $\lambda = 384$ nm. These values correlated well with corresponding molar absorption values obtained with the recording spectrophotometer.

Plots of A_0 vs. $[Ce(IV)]_0$ (Figure 3), taken under a variety of reaction conditions ([H'], molarity of reactants and products, and temperature), were linear with increasing $[Ce(IV)]_0$. *A*₀ represents absorbance at *t* = 0. However, the slopes of the lines were consistently higher than the value of $\epsilon_{Ce^{4+}}$ determined experimentally. The plots of A_0 vs. $[Ce(III)]_0$ or $[Mo(VI)]_0$ (at constant initial dimer and Ce(IV) concentrations) gave slopes for $\epsilon_{Ce^{3+}} \approx 0 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $\epsilon_{Mo^{6+}} = 18.6$ \pm 4 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹.

Plots of A_n vs. added $[Mo(VI)]_0$ for the same group of reactants gave $\epsilon_{Mo^{6+}} = 4.3 \pm 1.5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Absorbance data taken at A_{∞} represent the reacted solutions at infinite time. This value for $\epsilon_{M0^{6+}}$ is comparable to that obtained under nonreaction conditions $(1.5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$. Experiments in which solutions of $Ce(IV)$ and $Mo(VI)$ were mixed in both the stopped-flow and DK-2A apparatus showed an increase in absorbance over that expected if the absorbance of the individual solutions were additive.

In an attempt to determine the composition of these absorbing species, two Job's plot experiments was conducted: (1) on the stopped-flow apparatus using $Mo_{2}O_{4}^{2+}$ and $Ce(IV)$ as the reactants; (2) on the DK apparatus using $Mo(VI)$ and Ce(IV). Both experiments showed a break at $\chi_{Ce} = 0.8$ and correspond to the ratio of **4 Ce(IV)/Mo** species. Spectral scans of the $Mo(VI)/Ce(IV)$ mixtures did not show a spectral envelope different from that of Ce(IV), nor was "molybdenum blue"¹³ observed in any of these experiments. Efforts to detect an absorbing species in the near-IR region (650-900nm) using the stopped-flow technique were negative. Thus, the interaction of Ce(IV) with either $Mo^V₂$ or $Mo^V₂$ (Mo(VI) is a dimer at the acidities used¹⁴) gives rise to a complex of similar composition. Ce(1V) has been shown not to polymerize at the concentrations used in this kinetic study¹⁰ but might well form

a Number of experimental points used to determine line in parentheses. b cc = correlation coefficient.

Figure 4. A_0^D vs. $[Mo_2O_4^{2+}]_0$. The slope of the line represents ϵ_{dimer} .

dimers at the concentrations used in the Job's plot studies.^{10a} Thus, the apparent indication of a 4:1 complex. We have also observed that VO_2^+ interacts with both $Mo^V₂$ and Mo(VI) to give a nonadditive increase in the absorbance of the resulting mixture. **I5**

These observations suggested the formulation

$$
A_0 = \epsilon_{\text{D}}[D]_0 + \epsilon_{\text{Ox}}[\text{Ox}]_0 + \epsilon_{\text{X}}[\text{X}]_0 \tag{1}
$$

to account for the presence of another absorbing substance. Here, A_0 = initial absorbance value, and ϵ_D , ϵ_{Ox} , and ϵ_X = molar absorption coefficients of the dimer, oxidant (Ce(IV)), and absorbing intermediate. $[D]_0$, $[Ox]_0$, and $[X]_0 = \text{molar}$ concentrations of the dimer, oxidant, and absorbing intermediate present at $t = 0$.

Assuming the 1:1 complex dominates under the pseudofirst-order conditions used

$$
D + Ox \xrightarrow[K_{\text{eq}}]{} X
$$
 (2)

where

Ź

$$
K_{\text{eq}} = \frac{[X]}{([D]_0 - [X])([Ox]_0 - [X])}
$$
(3)

If $[D]_0 \gg [Ox]_0$, then $([D]_0 - [X]) \simeq [D]_0$ and
 K $[D]_0 [Ox]_0$

$$
[X] = \frac{1 + K_{eq}[D]_0}{1 + K_{eq}[D]_0}
$$
 (4)

Substitution of eq **4** into eq 1 leads to eq 5. The third term of eq 5 is of the form derived in the Benesi-Hilderbrand equation.¹⁶

$$
A_0 = \epsilon_{\text{D}}[D]_0 + \left[\epsilon_{\text{Ox}} + \frac{\epsilon_{\text{X}}(K_{\text{eq}}[D]_0)}{(1 + K_{\text{eq}}[D]_0)}\right][\text{Ox}]_0 \quad (5)
$$

Least-squares plots of A_0 vs. $[Ce(IV)]_0$ for nine dimer solutions (Figure 3) gave intercept ($\epsilon_D[D]_0$) and slope values

⁽¹³⁾ L. McKean and M. T. **Pope,** Inorg. *Chem.,* **13,** 747 (1974). (14) J. J. Cruywagen, J. B. B. Heynes, and E. F. C. H. Rohwer, *J.* Inorg. *Nucl. Chem.,* **40,** 53 (1978).

⁽¹⁵⁾ F. Ali-Issa, M.S. Thesis, Eastern Michigan University, 1983. (16) H. A. Benesi and J. H. Hilderbrand, *J.* Am. *Chem. Sor.,* **71,** ²⁷⁰³

^{(1949);} W. B. Person, *ibid.,* **87,** 167 (1965).

Figure 5. Slope values from eq 5 vs. $[Mo₂O₄²⁺]₀$.

listed in Table III. The intercept values, A_0^D , represent the absorbance present at $t = 0$ due only to dimer. A plot of these intercept values vs. [dimer]_0 (Figure 4) gave 98.7 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ for ϵ_D and agreed with literature value (103 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹)¹ when corrected for the path length of the stopped-flow reaction cell. **A** plot of slope values, $\epsilon_{0x} + [\epsilon_X K_{eq}[\mathbf{D}]_0 / (1 + K_{eq}[\mathbf{D}]_0)]$, vs. [dimer]₀ was linear (Figure 5) and not curved as predicted by eq 5. This suggested that $K_{eq}[D]_0 \ll 1$, such that slope $\approx \epsilon_{0x} + \epsilon_{x}K_{eq}[D]_{0}$. A similar relationship was shown by Kochi in the study of alkylmetal-iodine charge-transfer complexes. **l7** Such linearity would be obtained for weak complexes at low donor concentrations. The value for ϵ_{0x} (196 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) is in reasonable agreement with that obtained under nonreaction conditions. Little can be said about the composit term $\epsilon_X K_{eq}$ $(15.8 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-2} \text{ cm}^{-1})$, as the Bensi-Hilderbrand treatment does not provide a means of determining either term separately. However, if it is assumed that $K_{eq} \simeq 0.1-1$ M⁻¹, the $\epsilon_X \simeq$ $(1.6-16) \times 10^3$ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹, not unreasonable values for such an interaction.

Discussion

The results from the study of the oxidation of $Mo₂O₄²⁺$ by Ce(1V) appear best viewed in terms of a rapid ion pair (charge-transer) formation between the aquomolybdenum(V) dimer and Ce(IV), followed by a one-electron-redox step to produce a mixed-valence dimer, Mo^v-Mo^{v₁. While the} structure of $Mo_{2}O_{4}(H_{2}O)_{6}^{2+}$ (Mo^v-Mo^v) is known,¹⁸ that of the mixed-valence Mo^v-Mo^{v₁} species is not. However, the results of this study do provide an indication of the type of species involved along the reaction pathways.

The absence of a term in the observed rate expression that is independent of oxidant concentration and the observation that chloride ion did not effect the reaction rate points to the retention of the two oxo bridges during the oxidation process. In the other oxidative studies of the aquomolybdenum(V) dimer with Fe(phen)₃³⁺, IrCl₆²⁻, and $[(\overline{NH}_3)_5\overline{Co}(\mu$ -O₂⁻)Co- $(NH₃)₅$ ⁵⁺, the oxidant-independent term in the general rate expression, rate = k_d [Mo₂O₄²⁺] + k_{0x} [Mo₂O₄²⁺][Ox] (rate $= k_d \left[M o_2 O_4^{2+} \right]$ for μO_2^{-} , μO_2 was interpreted to indicate the presence of a dimeric $Mo(V)$ species in which one of the oxo bridges was broken. The Cl⁻ was felt to facilitate bridge cleavage by substituting for coordinated H_2O , thus providing an acceleration of the electron-transfer process. These reactions were also accelerated by Mo(V1).

The inverse $[H^+]$ independence is due to $Ce(IV)$ hydrolysis, with $CeOH(H₂O)₅³⁺$ being much more reactive than Ce- $(H₂O)₆⁴⁺$. The range of values obtained by various investigators suggest that in 2 M $HClO₄ CeOH³⁺$ predominates. From the p K_a value for $[Mo_2O_4(\text{hedta})(H_2O)]$ of 3.25 (H3hedta = **N-(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediamine-N,N',N'** triacetic acid),¹⁹ the Mo(V) dimer is present in the acid form, $Mo₂O₄(H₂O)₆²⁺$, under the conditions of this study. A general equation for the reaction can be written as The p K_a value for $[M_2O_4(\text{Heu}(\alpha)/H_2O)]$ of
edta = N-(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediamine-N,N
etic acid),¹⁹ the Mo(V) dimer is present in the acid fo
 $D_4(H_2O)_6^{2+}$, under the conditions of this study. A ger
tion for the

$$
\text{Ce}(IV) + \text{Mo}^{V_2} \xrightarrow[k_{\text{eq}]} \text{CT complex} \xrightarrow{k_{\text{cloud}}} \text{products}
$$

and a more detailed description can be written (Scheme I).

(18) S. P. Cramer, H. B. Gray, et al., J. *Am.* Chem. **SOC., 105, 799 (1983). (19)** Y. Sasaki and R. Kawamura, Bull. Chem. *SOC. Jpn,* **54, 3379 (1981).** **Scheme I**

The subsequent redox, $Mo^V-Mo^{V1} \rightarrow 2Mo(VI)$, and dimerization steps to give the final products are presumed to be rapid and parallel previous $Mo_{2}O_{4}^{2+}$ redox systems.

Experiments performed in H_2SO_4 media contrasted those done in HClO₄, as they showed a linear increase in k_{obsd} with [H'], with a near-zero intercept (Table IV).

The observed rate expression was of the form

$$
-d[Ce(IV)]/dt = 2k_{HSO_4}[H^+][Mo_2O_4^{2+}][Ce(IV)]
$$

Since Ce(1V) is known to form complexes in acidic sulfate media,^{11b} the mechanism (Scheme II) can accommodate the observed behavior. $k_{HSO_4} = (K_{C_6}/K_a)k_{SO_4} = 1.10 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-2}$ s^{-1} ; $k_{SO_4} = 1.10 \times 10^{4} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

Scheme I1

$$
H^+ + SO_4{}^{2-} \rightleftharpoons HSO_4{}^- 1/K_a = 3.45 M^{-1}
$$

 $HSO₄⁻ + Ce(H₂O)⁴⁺ \rightleftharpoons Ce⁴⁺HSO₄⁻ + H₂O$ $K_C_c' = 0.29$

$$
Ce^{4+}HSO_4^- + Mo_2O_4^{2+} \xrightarrow{RDS}
$$

$$
11 + 504 - 11504 - 1764 = 5.43 \text{ N}.
$$

\n
$$
14^{-} + \text{Ce}(H_2O)^{4+} = \text{Ce}^{4+} \text{HSO}_4^{-} + H_2O \quad K_{Ce'} = 0.29
$$

\n
$$
\text{HSO}_4^{-} + \text{Mo}_2O_4^{2+} \xrightarrow{\text{RDS}} \text{Ce(III)} + \text{Mo}^V - \text{Mo}^{VI} \quad k_{SO_4}
$$

\n
$$
\text{Mo}^V - \text{Mo}^{VI} + \text{Ce(IV)} \xrightarrow[\text{rapid}]{\text{rad}} \text{Ce(III)} + 2\text{Mo(VI)}
$$

Comparison of the rate constants in HSO_4^- and ClO_4^- media shows the reaction of $Mo_{2}O_{4}^{2+}$ with CeHSO₄³⁺ is slightly less rapid than with CeOH³⁺. Presumably the larger $HSO₄$ prevents close approach to $Mo₂O₄²⁺$. Both species, however, are more reactive than the pathways observed in the $Mo₂O₄²⁺/MnO₄$ reaction and in reaction of the aquo dimer with Fe(phen)₃³⁺, IrCl₆²⁻, and μ -O₂⁻. It appears that the Mo(V) dimer reacts rapidly via an inner-sphere mechanism with Ce(1V) or with other oxidants that have a preference for that pathway. The solvent molecules coordinated to $Mo₂O₄²⁺$ are noted to exchange rapidly,²⁰ allowing a vacant site for complexation by the inner-sphere oxidant. The rate constants, $k_{2h} = 2.73 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $k_{\text{SO}_4} = 1.10 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, are very similar to that found in the study of complexation of the aquomolybdenum(V) dimer by SCN⁻ ($k = 2.9 \times 10^4$ M⁻¹ s^{-1} ,²¹ further suggesting that the removal of a water molecule from the cis position may be a controlling factor in these reactions.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported in part by funds from NSF-URP Grant No. 20320 (S.T.P.).

Registry No. Ce, 7440-45-1; $Mo_2O_4^{2+}$, 54429-28-6.

~ ~~

⁽¹⁷⁾ S. Fukuzumi and J. K. Kochi, J. Phys. Chem., **84, 608 (1980).**

⁽²⁰⁾ **R. Kent Murmann,** *Inorg. Chem.***, 19,** 1765 (1980). **(21) Y. Sasaki, R. S. Taylor, and A. G. Sykes**, *J. Chem. So*

⁽²¹⁾ Y. Sasaki, R. *S.* Taylor, and A. G. Sykes, J. Chem. **SOC.,** *Dalton Trans.,* **396 (1975).**