III and Tables SI and SII (supplementary material).

Extended Hückel MO Calculations. The calculations on the model compound  $(NH_3)(PH_3)_3MCl$ , M = Co, Ni, were performed by using the program ICON<sup>23</sup> and the routine FMO for the fragment orbital analysis.<sup>24</sup> The atomic parameters for N, C, H, Cl, Co, and Ni were taken from ref 25 and those for P from ref 26. M-P, M-Cl, N-H, and P-H were fixed

- (23) Hoffmann, R. J. Chem. Phys. 1963, 39, 1397. Hoffmann, R.; Lipscomb, W. N. Ibid. 1962, 36, 3179. (24) Fujimoto, H.; Hoffmann, R. J. Phys. Chem. 1974, 78, 1167.
- Albright, T. A.; Hofmann, P.; Hoffmann, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 7546. (25)

at 2.25, 2.30, 1.1, and 1.1 Å, respectively.

Acknowledgment. Thanks are expressed to Franco Cecconi for drawings.

**Registry No.** (np<sub>3</sub>)CoBr, 93684-10-7.

Supplementary Material Available: Listings of observed and calculated structure factors, final thermal parameters (Table SI), and hydrogen coordinates (Table SII) (12 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

(26) Dubois, D. L.; Hoffmann, R. Nouv. J. Chim. 1977, 1, 479.

Contribution from the Max-Planck-Institut für Festkörperforschung, D-7000 Stuttgart 80, West Germany

# $Nb_{6}I_{8}(NH_{2}CH_{3})_{6}$ : The Lowest Oxidation State in a Niobium Cluster Compound and Its **Topochemical Formation**

F. STOLLMAIER and A. SIMON\*

Received May 1, 1984

 $Nb_6I_{11}$  reacts topochemically with a solution of methylamine in ethanol, yielding a product with the composition  $Nb_6I_8(NH_2CH_3)_6$ . The diamagnetic behavior as well as structural details and analytical data is consistent with a 22-electron cluster system containing the metal in the lowest oxidation state (1.33+) observed in niobium clusters until now. Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>8</sub>(NH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub> crystallizes in the triclinic space group PI, with Z = 2 and lattice constants a = 1031.5 (5) pm, b = 1104.4 (5) pm, c = 1522.0 (10) pm,  $\alpha = 82.00$  (5)°,  $\beta$  = 80.17 (5)°, and  $\gamma$  = 81.05 (5)°. The structure contains two crystallographically independent clusters that exhibit distinctly different geometries. The mean Nb-Nb distances are identical for both clusters (d(Nb-Nb) = 275 pm).

## Introduction

The compound  $Nb_6I_{11}$  consists of a three-dimensional network of Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>8</sub> clusters linked via I bridges.<sup>1,2</sup> The structure has two interesting aspects: (a)  $Nb_6I_8$  clusters occur in spite of the pronounced electron deficiency. Only 19 instead of 24 electrons are available for M-M bonding in the Nb<sub>6</sub> octahedron. (b) The I bridges are strained, especially those involved in bonding layers of the MoX<sub>2</sub> type structure (Mo<sub>6</sub>X<sub>8</sub>X<sub>4/2</sub>X<sub>2</sub>) according to Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>8</sub>I<sub>4/2</sub>I<sub>2/2</sub>.<sup>1,2</sup> Both characteristics are responsible for an interesting physical property of the compound, namely the spin-crossover transition occurring at 274 K.<sup>2-4</sup> Both characteristics are also reflected in a peculiar topochemical reaction.

Crystals of  $Nb_6I_{11}$  react with aqueous ammonia, gaining volume along the [0, 1, 0] direction and splitting into extremely thin lamellae within seconds. As the orientation of the lamellae is parallel to the  $MoX_2$  type layers in the crystal of  $Nb_6I_{11}$ , this "accordion" reaction has been interpreted in terms of a  $(I_{2/2})$  bond breakage.<sup>1</sup> To prove this assumption, it must be shown that intermediate or final products of the reaction still contain the same kind of clusters as  $Nb_6I_{11}$  itself.

Unfortunately, the reaction product of  $Nb_6I_{11}$  and aqueous ammonia quickly decomposes to yield a white amorphous precipitation. Working with liquid ammonia in closed ampules obviously results in a similar topochemical reaction. After some weeks, large dark brown crystals form, but these easily become amorphous and cannot be characterized further.<sup>5</sup> Fortunately the topochemical reaction also occurs with amines. The primary product of the reaction of  $Nb_6I_{11}$  with a solution of  $CH_3NH_2$  in

ethanol gradually dissolves, and dark brown crystals form that are stable at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. Single-crystal X-ray and conventional chemical analyses result in a composition  $Nb_6I_8(NH_2CH_3)_6$  for this compound, which in fact still contains the  $Nb_6I_8$  cluster.

#### **Experimental Section**

Preparations. Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>8</sub>(NH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub> is prepared from 0.5 g of Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>11</sub> and 20 mL of a 20% solution of CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>2</sub> in ethanol (dried and deoxygenated with Oxisorb<sup>6</sup> at room temperature. The reaction starts at once, leading to a suspension of brown lamellae (phase I) in a brown solution. The lamellae slowly vanish, and after about 6 days a mixture of two solids has formed that consists of black and in thin layers dark red platelets of hexagonal shape (title compound) covered by light brown amorphous solid (phase II). Frequent shaking of the solution during preparation helps to separate the two phases. The crystals of the title compounds are isolated by repeated washings with the mother liquor. Attention is necessary as the amorphous component (phase II) is pyrophoric when dry

 $Nb_6I_8(NH_2CH_3)_6$  is air sensitive and has to be handled under an inert atmosphere. It is only slightly soluble in alcohols, e.g. ethanol, slowly decomposing to light brown amorphous products. Analysis of C, H, N, and I was by combustion with V2O5 and Nb by ICP. Anal. Found (calcd): C, 4.14 (4.10); H, 1.73 (1.72); N, 4.80 (4.78); I, 56.6 (57.7); Nb, 31.7 (31.7). Reaction of  $N_6I_{11}$  and propylamine leads to the analogous compound.

 $Nb_6I_8(NH_2C_3H_7)_6$  is prepared from 2 g of  $Nb_6I_{11}$  and 10 mL of dry propylamine at room temperature. The reaction starts at once, leading to a dark red solution. After 4 days, the solution is decanted cautiously from a small amount of fine deposit. By diffusion of 2-propanol into the dark solution, brown crystals precipitate. No amorphous products are observed in the reaction with  $C_3H_7NH_2$ , but the oxidized product of the disproportionation reaction is soluble in the system. Anal. Found (calcd): C, 12.22 (11.22); H, 3.10 (2.82); N, 4.64 (4.36); I, 51.8 (52.7); Nb, 28.7 (28.9).

Investigations of Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>8</sub>(NH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub>. Thermal Behavior. Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>8</sub>(NH<sub>2</sub>- $CH_3)_6$  was thermally decomposed at a basis pressure of  $10^{-6}$  torr

(6) Krauss, H. L.; Stach, H. DBP 166 7129, 1968.

Simon, A.; von Schnering, H. G.; Schäfer, H. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1967, 355, 295. Imoto, H.; Simon, A. Inorg. Chem. 1982, 21, 308. (1)

<sup>(3)</sup> Finley, J. J.; Nohl, H.; Vogel, E. E.; Imoto, H.; Camley, R. E.; Zevin, V.; Andersen, O. K.; Simon, A. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1981, 46, 1472

Finley, J. J.; Camley, R. E.; Vogel, E. E.; Zevin, V.; Gmelin, E. Phys. Rev. 1981, B24, 1323. (4)

<sup>(5)</sup> C. Bauspiess, Dissertation, Münster, 1977.

Table I. Final Positional Parameters for Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>8</sub>(NH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub>

Atom	x	У	Z				
NL1	-0.0766 (3)	0.3511 (3)	0.5081 (2)				
Nb2	0.1685(3)	0.3987 (3)	0.5201 (2)				
NЬЗ	-0.0441 (3)	0 - 4913 (2)	0-6321 (2)				
Nb4	0.5236(3)	-0.1636 (2)	0.0681 (2)				
NЬ5	0.3140(3)	0.0208(3)	0.0584 (2)				
NL6	0.5468(3)	0.0708(3)	0.0965 (2)				
11	-0.3178(2)	0.4475 (2)	0.6257 (2)				
12	0.0436(2)	0.2271 (2)	0.6634(1)				
13	0.2162(2)	0.5564 (2)	0.6476 (2)				
14	0.1481 (2)	0.2430 (2)	0.3829(2)				
15	0.2765(3)	-0.2286 (2)	0.0280 (2)				
16	0.3300 (2)	0.2734(2)	0.0898 (2)				
17	0.7761 (3)	-0.1193 (2)	0.1133(2)				
18	0,3821 (3)	-0.0765 (2)	0.2365(1)				
N 1	-0.177 (3)	0.162(3)	0.526 (2)				
N2	0.385(3)	0.284 (3)	0.551 (2)				
N3	-0.113(2)	0 • 477 (2)	0.791 (2)				
N4	0.560(3)	-0.358 (3)	0.158(2)				
N 5	0.087 (3)	0.031 (3)	0.129(2)				
N6	0.629(4)	0-159(3)	0.215(3)				
C1	-0-186 (4)	0-090 (4)	0 • 454 (3)				
C2	0-422 (5)	0.160(4)	0.542 (3)				
C3	-0.020 (3)	0.468 (3)	0.855 (2)				
C4	0.582(4)	-0.474 (4)	0.133(3)				
C5	0.007 (5)	0.139(5)	0.163(4)				
C6	0.556(5)	0 - 1 94 (5)	0.290 (4)				

(NETZSCH thermobalance STA 429, tantalum crucible, orifice of 1mm diameter, content of Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>8</sub>(NH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub> about 30 mg, heating rate 5 °C/min in connection with a BALZERS quadrupole mass spectrometer QMG 511).

The decomposition of  $Nb_6I_8(NH_2CH_3)$  starts at 100 °C. The first weak plateau is reached at 150 °C. The weight loss of 3.3% corresponds to a loss of two molecules of  $CH_3NH_2$  per formula unit. A more pronounced plateau is reached at 230 °C, corresponding to a loss of two more  $CH_3NH_2$  ligands (3.2%). The product still has the shape of the original crystal but is amorphous to X-rays (phase III; see below).

Further loss of methylamine is observed up to 360 °C, but no plateau occurs. Release of iodine is rapidly increasing above 290 °C. At ca. 900 °C a plateau corresponding to a total weight loss of 68.1% occurs (roughly equivalent to  $4 I_2 + 6 NH_2CH_3$ ). The residue consists of Nb<sub>2</sub>C (carbon could originate from NH2CH3) according to its Guinier pattern compared with no. 15-147 of the ASTM file. The compound analytically proved to be free of nitrogen.

Magnetic Behavior. The magnetic susceptibility of Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>8</sub>(NH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub> was determined by the Faraday method between -240 and +20 °C. No field dependence was observed up to 10 kG. The molar susceptibility varies between  $\chi_{mol} = +8 \times 10^{-6} (33 \text{ K}) \text{ and } -2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} (291 \text{ mol}^{-1})$ K), which means that the sample is nonmagnetic within experimental error (0.04  $\mu_B$  per Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>8</sub>(NH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub> cluster). With a rough estimate of the diamagnetic contribution (Nb<sup>1.33+</sup>  $\sim$ -35 × 10<sup>-6</sup>, I<sup>-</sup> -50 × 10<sup>-6</sup>, <sup>7</sup>  $CH_3NH_2 - 27 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-18}$ ) a temperature-independent paramagnetic value  $\chi_{\text{TIP}} \approx +770 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$  is obtained.

Photoelectron Spectroscopy. The photoelectron spectra (Al K $\alpha$ , He I) of Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>8</sub>(NH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub> and Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>8</sub>(NH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)<sub>6</sub> were recorded on a Leybold-Heraeus spectrometer LHS-10. The results are given and discussed elsewhere.9

X-ray Investigations. Amorphous Products (Phases I-III). The diffuse patterns (Mo K $\alpha$ ) of phases I and III exhibit four intense structured maxima centered around d = 3.4, 2.1, 1.3, and 1.0. These maxima closely correspond to the maxima of the Fourier transform in the patterns of crystalline  $Nb_6I_{11}$  and  $Nb_6I_8(NH_2CH_3)_6$  and reflect the presence of Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>8</sub> clusters in all phases. In contrast, phase II yields a diffuse pattern with hardly any observable structure.

Powder Investigation. Powder patterns (modified Guinier technique;<sup>10</sup> 27 hkl with 4.7°  $< \theta < 21.3^{\circ}$ ) lead to the lattice constants a = 1031.5(5) pm, b = 1104.4 (5) pm, c = 1522.0 (10) pm,  $\alpha = 82.00$  (5)°,  $\beta = 80.17$  (5)°,  $\gamma = 81.05$  (5)°, space group  $P\overline{1}$ , Z = 2,  $\rho = 3.484$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>. From temperature-dependent powder patterns (+20 to -100 °C) a sharp



Figure 1. Unit cell of Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>8</sub>(NH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub> (ORTEP plot<sup>27</sup>).



Figure 2. Independent Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>8</sub>(NH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub> molecules. Atoms are drawn as ellipsoids of 50% probability.

and reversible phase transition at ca. -50 °C could be elucidated. Obviously the phase transition is of first order, as a single crystal of Nb<sub>6</sub>- $I_8(NH_2CH_3)$  is irreversibly destroyed when cooled below -55 (5) °C.

Single-Crystal Investigation. Single crystals were sealed in glass capillaries under argon and measured on a P21 diffractometer (SYN-TEX). The lattice constants were identical with the given values within  $3\sigma$ ; the crystal system was checked by precession photographs. A total of 4090 intensities were recorded at room temperature (Mo K $\alpha$ ,  $\omega$ -2 $\theta$ mode,  $2\theta \leq 44^\circ$ , scan range 1°, variable scan speed between 0.75 and 29.3°/min), out of which 2870 had  $I \leq 2\sigma(I)$  and were used for the calculations (program system SHELXTL, version 311). An empirical absorption correction ( $\psi$  scan,  $\mu = 87.46$  cm<sup>-1</sup>) was applied. The structure was solved by direct methods. Final reliability factors R = $\sum |F_{o}| - |F_{c}| / \sum |F_{o}| = 0.080$  and  $R_{w} = [\sum w(|F_{o}| - |F_{c}|)^{2} / \sum w|F_{o}|^{2}]^{1/2} = 0.074$  ( $w = 1/\sigma^{2}(F_{o}) + (0.0011F_{o})^{2}$ ) were reached. The protons could not be located and were omitted in the calculations. Atomic form factors used correspond to neutral atoms.<sup>12,13</sup> A final difference map was flat to  $\pm 1.6$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>. The atomic parameters are summarized in Table I.

### **Results and Discussion**

Description of the Crystal Structure. A projection of the crystal structure of  $Nb_6I_8(NH_2CH_3)_6$  is shown in Figure 1. Relevant distances are summarized in Table II. The unit cell contains two crystallographically independent Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>8</sub>(NH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub> units around the inversion centers. The structure is characterized by Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>8</sub> clusters consisting of  $Nb_6$  octahedra in the environments of  $I_8$ cubes. Six methylamine ligands occupy corner positions of the Nb<sub>6</sub> core. The clusters are not linked in the structure of Nb<sub>6</sub>-

- Cromer, D. T.; Mann, J. B. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Cryst. Phys. Diffr., Theor. Gen. Crystallogr. 1968, A24, 321. (12)
- (13) Cromer, D. T.; Libermann, D. J. Chem. Phys. 1970, 53, 1891.

Simon, A. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1967, 355, 311. Haberditzel, W. "Magnetochemie"; Akademie-Verlag: Berlin, 1968.

ί R Ί (9) Geyer-Lippmann, J.; Simon, A.; Stollmaier, F. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.

<sup>(11)</sup> Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXTL, Version 3 (unpublished), 1981

Table II. Interatomic Distances (Å) and Angles  $(deg)^{\alpha}$ 

Interatomic	distances
-------------	-----------

NH-1	- Nh3 269.5(5)	16 - N66	291 - 1 (4)	15	- 16e	413.2(4)	N6	- I6	385.5(44)
Nb2	- N63 269.7 (4)	16 - Nb4	292.0(4)	15	- I7e	413.9(3)	N6	- 18	386+0 (40)
N b 1	- N62 270.2(5)	16 - N65	292.9(4)	15	- I <b>8</b>	416-1 (4)			
N 6 1	- N62: 277.7(4)			16	- 17e	410-5(4)	C1	- I3e	398.0 (42)
N 6 1	- N638 280-5(4)	17 - N66	292.9(4)	I 6	- 18	419.2(3)	C1	- 14	399.2(43)
N <sub>b</sub> 1	- N638 287.0(4)	17 - Nb4	293.2(5)	17	- 18	416-0(4)	C1	- 12	480.6(50)
		17 - Nb5a	293.3(4)				C1	- I1	492. 5 (46)
Nb5	- N66 271.7(5)	-		N 1	- 12	355.3(35)			
N64	- N66 273.6(4)	18 - N64	293.2(3)	N 1	- I1	367.5(32)	C2	- 14	394,9(52)
N64	- N65 273.8(4)	18 - N65	293.3(4)	N 1	- I3a	380.8(30)	C2	- 12	402.7 (47)
Nb6	- N648 274.4(4)	18 - N66	295.5(4)	N 1	- 14	383.2(29)	C2	- I1a	478.2 (42)
Nb 5	- N66a 277.5(4)						C2	- 13	491.5 (44)
Nb5	- Nb4. 280.6(4)	N61 - N1	243.4 (35)	N2	- 13	360.2(32)			
		N62 - N2	247.5 (30)	N2	- 12	374.9(30)	C3	- 13	375.6 (28)
I1	- N61 295.2 (4)	N63 - N3	239.2 (29)	N2	- Ite	377.9(30)	C3	- 12	412.0 (34)
I I	- Nb3 295.7(4)	N64 - N4	239.4(30)	N2	- 14	394.0(35)	C3	- I4a	470.6 (30)
Ī1	- Nb2# 296.3(4)	N65 - N5	239.6(29)				C3	- I1	507.1(39)
		N66 - N6	248.8 (48)	N3	- 12	361.0(25)			
12	- N63 291.5(3)			N3	- I1	362.7 (29)	C4	- 16a	383.6 (42)
12	- N61 292-8 (4)	N1 - C1	146.4 (60)	N3	- 14e	379.5 (24)	C4	- I5	420.5(41)
12	- N62 294.2 (3)	N2 - C2	138.3 (54)	N3	- 13	386.3(22)	C4	- 17	462-1 (47)
		N3 - C3	146.3 (44)				C4	- I <b>B</b>	489.9(43)
13	- N62 292-8(4)	N4 - C4	136.1 (57)	N4	- 18	360.1(31)			
13	- N63 294-0(4)	N5 - C5	144.6 (61)	N4	- 17	363.2(34)	C5	- I <b>6</b>	378.9(54)
13	- Nb1a 296.1(4)	N6 - C6	132.6 (69)	N4	- 16e	377.8(29)	C5	- I7e	443.0 (56)
				N4	- I5	379.4 (32)	C5	- 18	444.5(51)
14	- N62 293.7(4)	11 - 12	420.3(3)				C5	- 15	502.9(51)
14	- N61 294-8 (3)	II - I3a	411.7-(3)	N5	- 15	358.6(31)			
14	- Nb3a 295.5(3)	II - I4a	405.3(3)	N5	- I <b>8</b>	365.9(32)	C6	- 18	395.6(61)
		12 - 13	425.2 (3)	N5	- 17m	376.8 (29)	C6	- I6	406.6(61)
15	- N64 293.4(5)	12 - 14	420.7 (2)	N5	- 16	386.5(34)	C6	- 17	473.5(56)
15	- N65 294.9(4)	13 - 14a	411.9(3)				C6	- 15.	483.6 (58)
15	- Nb6= 297.3(4)			N6	- 17	363.5(36)			
				N6	- I5m	366.5(43)			

Angles

Nb 3	-	N b 1	-	Nb2	60.0(1)	Nb6	-	Nb5	-	N 6 4	60.2(1)	N 6 4	-	15	-	Nb 5	55.5(1)
N63a		N b 1	-	Nb 2	63.2(1)	N b 6	-	N b 5	-	Nb6a	88.6(1)	N64	-	15	-	Nb6a	55.4(1)
N63	-	N 6 1	-	Nb3a	91.9(1)	Nb6m	-	Nb 5	-	N 6 4	59.5(1)	Nb 5	-	15	-	Nb6a	55.9(1)
Nb2	-	N 6 1	-	N62e	91 - 4 (1)	Nb4m	-	Nb 5	-	N b G	59.7(1)						
N62a	-	N 6 1		Nb 3	62.8(1)	N64	-	Nb 5	÷	Nb4a	89.7(1)	Nb6	-	16	-	Nb4a	56.1(1)
N628	-	N61	-	Nb3m	57.8(1)	Nb6a	-	Nb 5		Nb4a	58.7(1)	Nb 6	-	16	-	Nb 5	55.5(1)
												Nb4a	-	16	-	Nb 5	57.3(1)
N63	-	Nb2	-	Nb1	59.9(1)	Nb 5	-	Nb6	-	N 6 4	60.3(1)			• -			
N63a	-	Nb2	-	Nb1	61.6(1)	N65m	-	Nb6	-	N64	61.8(1)	Nb6	_	17	_	N 6 4	55.6(1)
Nb3	_	Nb2	_	Nb3a	90.4(1)	Nb5	-	N66	-	N65m	91.4(1)	N66	_	17	_	Nh5a	56.5(1)
N61	-	Nb2	-	Nb1m	88.6(1)	Nb4	-	N66	-	Nb4a	91 (1)	N64	-	17	_	Nh5a	57.2(1)
Nbla	-	Nb2	-	Nb 3	60.4(1)	Nb4m	-	N66	-	N65	61.2(1)			••			0112(1)
N61a	-	Nb2	-	Nb3a	57.0(1)	Nb4m	-	N66	-	Nb5a	59.5(1)	Nh.4	_	18	_	NK 5	55.7(1)
												Nh4	_	18	-	NL6	55.4(1)
N 6 1	-	N63	_	Nb2	60.1(1)	N61	_	11	-	N b 3	54.3(1)	N6.5	-	18	_	NL6	55.0(1)
N61	_	N63	-	Nb1a	88.1(1)	N61	-	TI		Nb2a	56.0(1)						0010(1)
N61	_	N63	-	Nb2a	59.8(1)	N63	_	11	_	Nb2a	58.0(1)	C1	_	N 1	_	NL 1	126.0 (23)
Nb2	-	N63	_	Nb1a	60.6(1)							•					12010 (20)
Nh2	_	N63		Nb2a	89.6(1)	N63	_	12		N b 1	54.9(1)	62	-	N2	_	Nh2	123.4 (30)
Nh1a		Nb3	_	Nh2a	56.9(1)	N63	_	12	_	Nb2	54.8(1)	02				1102	12014(00)
					••••	N 6 1	_	12	_	Nb2	54.8(1)	63	_	N.3	-	NK3	122.9(16)
Nb6	-	N 6 4	_	N65	59.5(1)							00		110		1100	122.0 (10)
Nb6	_	N 6 4	-	Nb6a	88.9(1)	N62	_	13	_	N b 3	54.7(1)	64	_	N 4	_	Nh.4	129.3(26)
Nb6a	_	Nb 4	-	N65	60.1(1)	Nb2	_	13	-	Nbla	56.3(1)	•••					
Nb 5a	~	Nb4	_	Nb6	60.8(1)	Nb3	-	13	-	Nbla	56.8(1)	65	_	N.5	_	Nh.5	125.3(29)
Nb 5	-	Nb 4	-	Nb 5a	90.3(1)												. 20.0 (20)
Nb6a	_	N64		Nb5a	58.6(1)	Nb2	-	14		N 6 1	54.7(1)	C6	_	N6	-	N66	125.6 (36)
						Nb2	-	14	-	N63a	58.3(1)						
						N <sub>b</sub> 1	-	14	-	Nb3a	56.7(1)						
						· - ·											

a Atoms labeled with "a" are derived from the asymmetric unit by inversion symmetry.

 $I_8(NH_2CH_3)_6.$  One therefore would not expect considerable influence of the crystal lattice on the detailed geometry of the Nb<sub>6</sub> units as in the case of, e.g., Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>11</sub>.

Surprisingly, the crystallographically independent  $Nb_6I_8$  clusters exhibit quite distinct differences in the structure of  $Nb_6I_8(N-1)$   $H_2CH_3)_6$ . The cluster made up of Nb1, Nb2, and Nb3 is the more distorted one, the Nb–Nb distances ranging from 270 to 287 pm. Distances in the cluster containing Nb4, Nb5, and Nb6 range from 272 to 281 pm. As shown in Figure 2 atoms Nb1, Nb2, and Nb3 (Nb1a, Nb2a, Nb3a) are arranged in equilateral triangles, forming

# Topochemical Formation of Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>8</sub>(NH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub>

an elongated trigonal antiprism. The triangles are shifted in a parallel mode, Nb1 and Nb1a approaching the pseudo-3-fold axis. Due to this shift the distance d(Nb2a-Nb3) is elongated to the largest extent in that cluster. In contrast, the cluster containing the atoms Nb4, Nb5, and Nb6 is characterized by a quadratic arrangement of the atoms Nb4, Nb6, Nb4a, and Nb6a. Atoms Nb5 (and Nb5a), which represent the apices of the distorted Nb<sub>6</sub> octahedron, are shifted away from the pseudo-4-fold axis toward atoms Nb4 and Nb6 (Nb4a, Nb6a).

In principle, the different geometries could be due to different valence electron concentrations in the two types of clusters. Partial replacement of CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>2</sub> by CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sup>-</sup> can be ruled out from the results of the structure investigation, more precisely from Nb-N distances and thermal parameters of N atoms. But, an additional H atom located inside one of the Nb<sub>6</sub> units as in  $HNb_6I_{11}$  and HCsNb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>11</sub>, not detectable by X-ray or conventional chemical analyses, could result in different valence electron concentrations.

Magnetic measurements contradict this assumption, because  $Nb_6I_8(NH_2CH_3)_6$  is essentially nonmagnetic. The result cannot be due to antiferromagnetic coupling between the well-separated clusters but gives evidence for an even number of electrons in M-M bonding states that are all spin paired in both cluster types in accordance with the expectation for 22-electron systems. As with other  $M_6X_8$  or  $M_6X_{12}$  clusters the diamagnetic contributions are nearly compensated by a temperature-independent paramagnetism.<sup>4,7,14</sup> Less decisive, yet in agreement with the magnetic results, are the results of ESCA measurements.9 The bond energies (BE) of the Nb 3d<sup>5/2</sup> levels of different niobium iodides  $NbI_n$  (n = 5, 4, 2.67, 1.83) and niobium metal are linearly dependent on the oxidation number n. The relation is  $BE(3d^{5/2})$ = 201.8 + 1.07n (eV). The measured bond energies of the Nb  $3d^{5/2}$  levels in the compounds Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>8</sub>(NH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub> (203.3 eV) and  $Nb_6I_8(NH_2C_3H_7)_6$  (203.1 eV) are in good agreement with the value 203.2 eV calculated from the above given relation with n= 1.33+. Last but not least, the assumption of 22-electron cluster systems is consistent with, though not proved by, the Nb-Nb distances in  $Nb_6I_8(NH_2CH_3)_6$ . The averaged distances are significantly shorter than in any other compound containing Nb<sub>6</sub> units and, within standard deviations, identical for both cluster types ( $\bar{d} = 275 \text{ pm}$ ). Mean distances in Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>11</sub> and CsNb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>11</sub> are 285 and 282.5 pm, respectively.<sup>1,17</sup>

An exponential bond length-bond strength function<sup>15</sup> as suggested by Hönle and Yvon for the interpretation of Mo-Mo distances in Chevrel compounds<sup>16</sup> yields bond order sums  $\sum n_i$  (calculated from  $n = [d(1)/(d(n))^5$ , with a single-bond distance  $d(1) = 270.8 \text{ pm for niobium}^{17}$ ) as follows: Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>11</sub> (9.5 electron pairs) 9.36 (300 K) and 9.43 (110 K); CsNb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>11</sub> (10 electron pairs) 9.63; Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>8</sub>(NH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub> (11 electron pairs) 11.05 (cluster Nb1, Nb2, Nb3) and 11.08 (Nb4, Nb5, Nb6). Corbett<sup>18</sup> analyzed a great number of transition-metal cluster compounds and showed that a well-defined correlation between number of electrons occupying metal-metal bonding states, sum of Pauling bond order per electron pair PBO/e [calculated from  $d(n) = d(1) - 0.6 \log n$ (n) (n = bond order, d(1) = single-bond distance)], and metalmetal distances exists. This relation leads for the compounds containing  $Nb_6I_8$  to a wider range of bond order sums [PBO/e ranging from 0.76 for  $Nb_6I_{11}$  (300 K) to 0.98 for  $Nb_6I_8$ - $(NH_2CH_3)_6].$ 

The distortion of Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>8</sub> clusters in Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>8</sub>(NH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub> is not comparable to that in the rhombohedral high-temperature Chevrel phases  $Mo_6S_8M$  (M = Pb, Ba)<sup>19,20</sup> containing the isoelectronic  $Mo_6S_8$  clusters. The latter are elongated along a 3-fold axis, and the deviation of distances from a mean Mo-Mo distance is significantly smaller than in the case of the niobium compound. In the triclinic low-temperature phases of Ni<sub>0.66</sub>Mo<sub>6</sub>Se<sub>8</sub>,<sup>21</sup> Ni<sub>0.84</sub>- $Mo_6Te_8^{22}$  and  $Cu_{1,8}Mo_6S_8^{23}$  the deviation is however of the same order of magnitude as in the niobium compound. The regularity as well as the rigidity of the  $M_6$  unit is enhanced when the maximum number of 24 electrons is reached in the unit. In contrast to  $Nb_6I_{11}$  the isostructural phases  $Mo_6Cl_{10}S^{24}$  and  $Li_4$ - $\operatorname{Re}_6 S_{11}^{25}$  hardly show any distortion of the ideal M<sub>6</sub> octahedra, leading to the conclusion<sup>25</sup> that the distortion of  $M_6X_8$  clusters is "mainly caused by different degrees of occupation of the electronic states" and interconnection (or, more generally, intercluster contacts) are less important. The structure of Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>8</sub>- $(NH_2CH_3)_6$  demonstrates that even rather weak van der Waals interactions between adjacent clusters may lead to geometrically different but energetically more or less equivalent arrangements of the metal atoms. The strain acting on the clusters in  $Nb_6I_8$ - $(NH_2CH_3)_6$  is visible with some of the non-metal positions. As one would expect, the Nb-I distances lie in a rather narrow range (between 291 and 297 pm). The scatter is significantly smaller than with the Nb-Nb distances. The mean Nb-I distance is significantly larger (+12 pm) than in the structure of  $Nb_6I_{11}$ . This result is easily understood in terms of the lower niobium oxidation state in  $Nb_6I_8(NH_2CH_3)_6$ . As a consequence, the intracluster I-I distances on the average are larger than in  $Nb_6I_{11}$  (between 405 and 425 pm), although the  $Nb_6$  core is smaller. Obviously it is the approach between Nb and I atoms rather than I and I atoms that determines the dimension of the cluster. Interestingly, the shortest intercluster I-I distance (410 pm) occurs with atoms I2 and I7. Atom I2 follows the elongation of the cluster containing Nb1, Nb2, and Nb3 along the pseudo-3-fold axis and the position of I7 is intimately related to the characteristic distortion of the cluster containing Nb4, Nb5, and Nb6.

The mean Nb-N distance is 243 pm, which again is significantly longer than in compounds of Nb in a higher oxidation state like NbOCl<sub>2</sub>(OEt)(bpy)<sub>2</sub> (232 pm).<sup>26</sup> Some influence of packing effects is also recognizable in the arrangement of the amine ligands, i.e. the limited rotational freedom relative to the Nb-N axes. According to expectation, the CH<sub>3</sub> group normally settles in the sterically favored position above the edge centers of the I<sub>8</sub> cubes. But, some of the C atoms (C3, C4, C5) rather approach cube corners, leading to the short C-I distances around 380 pm. The orientations of the amine ligands are not related to any of the pseudosymmetries found for the arrangements of atoms Nb1, Nb2, and Nb3 and Nb4, Nb5, and Nb6.

Acknowledgment. The experimental help of and discussions with R. Kremer, C. Mensing, E.-M. Peters, and W. Hönle are gratefully acknowledged. Thanks are due to the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for financial support.

**Registry No.** Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>8</sub>(NH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub>, 93683-43-3; Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>8</sub>(NH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)<sub>6</sub>, 93714-40-0; Nb<sub>6</sub>I<sub>11</sub>, 12196-47-3.

Supplementary Material Available: Listings of all calculated atomic coordinates and thermal parameters and of observed and calculated structure factor amplitudes  $(h, k, l, F_0, F_c)$  (18 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

- Nohl, N.; Klose, W.; Andersen, O. K. "Topics in Current Physics"; (20)Fischer, O., Maple, M. B., Eds.; Springer-Verlag: Heidelberg, 1982; Vol. 33, Chapter 6.
- Guillevic, J.; Grandjean, D. J. Solid State Chem. 1973, 6, 335.
- (22) Hönle, W.; Yvon, K. Z. Kristallogr. 1983, 162, 103.
   (23) Baillif, R.; Yvon, K.; Flükiger, R.; Müller, J. J. Low Temp. Phys. 1979, 37. 231.
- (24) Perrin, C.; Sergent, M.; le Traon, F.; le Traon, A. J. Solid State Chem. 1978, 25, 197.
- (25)
- (26)
- Bronger, W.; Miessen, H. J. J. Less. Common. Met. 1982, 83, 29. Kamenar, B.; Prout, C. K. J. Chem. Soc. A. 1970, 2379. Johnson, C. K. "ORTEP", Report ORNL-3794; Oak Ridge National (27)Laboratory: Oak Ridge, TN, 1965.

<sup>(14)</sup> Spreckelmeyer, B. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1968, 358, 147.
(15) Brown, I. D. In "Structure and Bonding in Crystals"; O'Keeffe, M., Navrotsky, A., Eds.; Academic Press: New York, 1981; Vol. II, p 1.
(16) Hönle, W.; Flack, H. D.; Yvon, K. J. Solid State Chem. 1983, 49, 157.
(17) Imoto, H.; Corbett, J. D. Inorg. Chem. 1980, 19, 1241.
(18) Corbett, J. D. J. Solid State Chem. 1981, 39, 56.
(19) Yvon, K. "Topics in Current Physics"; Fischer, O., Maple, M. B., Eds.; Springer Varlog, Heidelberg, 1981, Vol. 22, Chemter 2.

Springer-Verlag: Heidelberg, 1981; Vol. 32, Chapter 3.