Contribution from the Institut fur anorganische Chemie, Universitat Bern, CH-3000 Bern 9, Switzerland, and Lehrstuhl fur Anorganische Chemie I, Ruhr-Universitat, D-4630 Bochum 1, West Germany

# **Site-Selective Luminescence and Excitation Spectroscopy in Tris(p-hydroxo)bis[ (1,5,9-triazacyclododecane)chromium(III)] Tribromide Dihydrate**

Hans Riesen,<sup>1a</sup> Christian Reber,<sup>1a</sup> Hans U. Güdel,\*<sup>1a</sup> and Karl Wieghardt<sup>1b</sup>

*Received January 23, 1987* 

Single crystals of the title compound were studied by low-temperature absorption and site-selective luminescence and excitation spectroscopy. The luminescence spectra of the two inequivalent crystallographic sites could selectively be excited whereby the luminescence lines were considerably narrowed. Thus an accurate determination of the ground-state splitting was possible. The exchange parameter *J* was found to be slightly but significantly different for the two sites:  $J = -49.5$  cm<sup>-I</sup> (site A) and -52.5 cm<sup>-1</sup> (site B). The exchange splitting of the ground and the singly excited states is compared with that found in tris( $\mu$ hydroxo)bis [( 1,4,7-trimethyl- **1,4,7-triazacyclononane)chromium(III)]** triperchlorate. Exchange parameters are reduced by approximately 20% in the title compound.

#### **Introduction**

The structural, electronic, and magnetic properties of  $\mu$ -hydroxo binuclear chromium(II1) complexes have been intensively investigated in recent years.<sup>2-4</sup> In contrast to the case for mono-(hydroxo)- and bis(hydrox0)-bridged complexes the first tris- (hydroxo)-bridged chromium(III) complex was synthesized only recently.<sup>5</sup> A detailed analysis of the highly resolved optical A detailed analysis of the highly resolved optical spectrum of tris( $\mu$ -hydroxo)bis $[(1,4,7-$ trimethyl-1,4,7-triazacyclononane)chromium(III)] triperchlorate (in the following abbreviated by  $[9](CIO_4)_3$ ) has been possible.<sup>6,7</sup> In the title complex the ligand **1,4,7-trimethyl-l,4,7-triazacyclononane** is replaced by the larger 1,5,9-triazacyclododecane but the Cr(OH)<sub>3</sub>Cr bridge is essentially the same. The two dinuclear complexes will be abbreviated by  $[9]^{3+}$  and  $[12]^{3+}$  in the following. The title compound,  $[12]Br_3.2H_2O$ , crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group *P2,2,2,,* and the trigonal symmetry of the dinuclear complex is slightly distorted.8 The three OH bridges are no longer exactly equivalent. There are two crystallographically nonequivalent sites of the complex cation in the crystal. Magnetic susceptibility measurements have revealed<sup>8</sup> that the exchange coupling is smaller by approximately 25% in  $[12]Br_3.2H_2O$  than in  $[9](ClO_4)_3$ . However, a bulk technique such as a susceptibility measurement is not able to reveal differences between inequivalent sites. Thus, if the exchange splittings of the ground state are different for the two inequivalent binuclear complexes in  $[12]Br<sub>3</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O$ , only averaged values are determined. In contrast, site-selective spectroscopy and the technique of luminescence line narrowing<sup>9</sup> allow a very precise probing of the ground-state splitting of individual sites and even subsets of sites. This was recently shown for two other dinuclear chromium(III) complexes:  $[(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>CrOHCr(N-$ H<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>]Cl<sub>5</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>10</sup> and [(bispicam)Cr(OH)<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)Cr(bispi- $~\text{cam}$ )]S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>.3H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>11</sup> (bispicam = bis(2-pyridylmethyl)amine).

The present work is an illustration of the potential of such spectroscopic techniques. The ground-state energy splittings of the binuclear complexes in  $[12]Br_3.2H_2O$  are evaluated and discussed. A comparative analysis of the exchange splittings in the singly excited states of  $[12]Br_3.2H_2O$  and  $[9](ClO_4)$ , is given. Orbital exchange parameters are determined and discussed.

- (a) Universitat Bern. (b) Ruhr-Universitat Bochum.  $(1)$
- Hodgson, D. J. In *Magneto-Structural Correlations in Exchange Coujled'Systems;* Will&, R. D., Ed.; D. Reidel: Dordrecht, Tie Netherlands, 1985; **p** 497. Riesen, H.; Godel, H. U. *Znorg. Chem.* **1986,** *25,* **3566.**
- 
- 
- Decurtins, **S.;** GUdel, H. U. *Znorg. Chem.* **1982,** *21,* 3598. Wieghardt, **K.;** Chaudhuri, P.; Nuber, B.; Weiss, J. *Znorg. Chem.* **1982,**  *21,* **3086.**
- Riesen, H.; GGdel, H. U.; Chaudhuri, P.; Wieghardt, **K.** *Chem. Phys. Lert.* **1984,** *110,* 552.
- 
- Riesen, H.; Giidel, H. U. *Mol. Phys.* **1987,** *60,* 1221. Weighardt, **K.;** Guttmann, M.; Ventur, D.; Gebert, W. *2. Anorg. AUg.*
- Chem. 1985, 527, 33.<br>Yen, W. M., Selzer, P. M., Eds.; Laser Spectroscopy of Solids;<br>Springer-Verlag: Heidelberg, West Germany, 1981.<br>Reisen, H.; Güdel, H. U. Mol. Phys. 1986, 58, 509.  $(9)$
- 
- Riesen, H.; Giidel, H. U. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1987,** *133,* L129.

#### **Experimental Section**

 $[12]Br_3.2H_2O$  was prepared as described elsewhere.<sup>8</sup> Crystals suitable for optical spectroscopy were grown from a 0.2 M aqueous solution of NaBr. The crystals were checked by powder X-ray diffraction. The diagrams were found to agree with the structure published in ref 8.

Absorption spectra were recorded on a Cary 17 spectrometer. The samples were cooled in a helium flow tube.<sup>12</sup> A Kr<sup>+</sup> ion laser (Coherent CR-500K) and a dye laser (Spectra-Physics 375; spectral bandwidth, 30 **GHz;** dye DCM Exciton in propylene carbonate/ethylene glycol **(2:3))**  pumped by an **Art** ion laser (Spectra Physics 166) were used as excitation sources for luminescence and excitation spectra. In these experiments a liquid-helium-bath cryostat (Oxford Instruments MD4) was used to cool the sample.

### **Results**

In Figure 1 we show the temperature dependence of the absorption spectrum of [12]Br<sub>3</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O in the region of  ${}^4A_2{}^4A_2 \rightarrow$  ${}^{2}E^{4}A_{2}$ ,  ${}^{2}T_{1}{}^{4}A_{2}$  excitations. Three distinct temperature dependences, which are due to a Boltzmann population of the exchange-split spin levels  $S = 0$ , 1, 2 in the  ${}^4A_2{}^4A_2$  ground state, are clearly recognized. The peaks are labeled accordingly. The absorption spectrum consists of two overlapping individual spectra corresponding to the two inequivalent binuclear chromium(II1) complexes. For comparison the absorption spectrum of  $[9](C1O<sub>4</sub>)$ , is presented in Figure 2 in the same spectral region and also at three temperatures. Similar features can be seen in the spectra of the two compounds.  $[9](CIO<sub>4</sub>)$ , crystallizes in the hexagonal space group  $P6_3/m$ ,<sup>13</sup> containing only one site for the binuclear cation. Due to this high symmetry, the sharpness of the lowest energy excitations, and the complete polarization of all prominent transitions, a detailed analysis of the absorption spectrum of this compound is much easier than for  $[12]Br<sub>3</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O$ . Our interpretation of the title compound will therefore rely heavily on ref where the spectrum of  $[9](CIO<sub>4</sub>)$ , was analyzed.

The luminescence spectrum of  $[12]Br_3.2H_2O$  can be separated into the individual spectra of site A (higher in energy) and site B (lower in energy) by selective excitation as is illustrated in Figure 3. **As** a further result of the selective excitation, luminescence lines are considerably narrowed at low temperatures. The quantum efficiency of the luminescence is only on the order of 1% at 1.5 **K** for either site. This is usually found for complexes containing high-frequency vibrations.<sup>14</sup> The luminescence is rapidly quenched with increasing temperature.

In Figure 4 we show individual excitation spectra of the two rapidly quenched with increasing temperature.<br>In Figure 4 we show individual excitation spectra of the two<br>sites in the region of the cold  ${}^4A_2{}^4A_2 \rightarrow {}^2E^4A_2$ ,  ${}^2T_1{}^4A_2$  transitions<br>teacher with the 7 K absorpt together with the **7** K absorption spectrum. The excitation spectra were obtained by monitoring the luminescence at  $14431.2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (site A) and  $14301.8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (site B) (cf. Figure 3). The selectivity is more complete in the spectrum of site A. Vibronic sidebands of the strongest electronic transition in the luminescence spectrum

- **(13)** Hodgson, D. J., private communication.
- (14) Riesen, H. Ph.D. Thesis, in preparation.

0020-1669/87/1326-2747\$01.50/0 © 1987 American Chemical Society

<sup>(12)</sup> Ferguson, J. In *Electronic States* of *Inorganic Compouhds: New Ex-perimental Techniques;* Day, P., Ed.; D. Reidel: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1975; p 59.



Figure 1. Unpolarized crystal absorption spectra of  $[12]Br_3.2H_2O$  in the region of  ${}^4A_2^4A_2 \rightarrow {}^2E_1A_2$  excitations. Spin quantum numbers<br>of the agent of  ${}^4A_2^4A_2 = 2E_1A_2$  excitations. of the ground levels are indicated. Polarized spectra of this (unidentified) crystal face showed practically no dichroism.



**Figure 2.** Polarized absorption spectra of  $[9](CIO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$  in the same spectral region as for Figure 1: (a)  $\sigma(E_{\perp c})$ ; (b)  $\pi(E_{\parallel c})$ .

of site A, which coincide with the electronic transition of site B at 14301.8 cm-I, are likely responsible for this. The sharp lowest energy transitions in these spectra were **used** to get the selectively excited luminescence spectra as is illustrated in Figure 3. **In** the region of these lowest **energy** transitions the excitation spectra are sharp and comparable to the absorption spectra of  $[9](CIO_4)$ . **Discussion** 

**Ground-State Exchange Parameters. In** Figure 5 we schematically represent the relevant transitions in our site-selective spectroscopy and luminescence line narrowing experiments. The situation shown is typical for an antiferromagnetically coupled tris( $\mu$ -hydroxo) binuclear chromium(III) complex: the lowest energy excited level is a spin triplet  $(S^* = 1)$ . At sufficiently low temperatures only the  $S = 0$  ground-state level is populated. We used the lowest energy  $S = 0 \rightarrow S^* = 1$  transition to selectively **excite** the luminescence spectra of the two inequivalent sites. The broadening of the luminescence lines is partially resolved by using these selective excitations, clearly demonstrating that it is due to inhomogeneity. Crystal defects and slight modifications in the



Figure 3. Nonselectively excited (Kr<sup>+</sup> ion laser line at 19 196 cm<sup>-1</sup>) luminescence spectrum (--) in comparison with the spectra excited at 14411.7 cm<sup>-1</sup> (---, S = 0 -> S\* = 1, site B) and 14540.5 cm<sup>-1</sup> (---, S = 0 -> S\* = 1, site A) at 1.5 K. *v* denotes a 200-cm<sup>-1</sup> vibrational side of  $= 0 \rightarrow S^* = 1$ , site A) at 1.5 K. v denotes a 200-cm<sup>-1</sup> vibrational sideband of the  $S^* = 1 \rightarrow S = 1$  transitions. The laser lines used for the selective excitation are included.



Figure 4. Excitation spectra of [12]Br<sub>3</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O at 4.2 K. The luminescence line  $S^* = 1 \rightarrow S = 1$  was observed at 14301.8 cm<sup>-1</sup> (---, site B) and at  $14431.2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  ( $\text{-}-\text{-}$ , site A). The 7 K absorption spectrum is shown for comparison.



Figure **5.** Schematic representation of the site-selective luminescence and luminescence line-narrowing experiments.  $\Delta v_i(A)$  and  $\Delta v_i(B)$  indicate the inhomogeneous broadening of the  $S = 0 \leftrightarrow S^* = 1$  transition of the two sites.  $v_1$  is the laser frequency.

neighborhood of the complex are the likely reasons for the inhomogeneous broadening.<sup>9</sup> The fact that luminescence spectra of site **A** and site **B can** selectively be excited under **CW** excitation in the temperature range 1.5-15 **K** shows that energy transfer

Table I. Energies (in cm<sup>-1</sup>) of Selectively Excited Luminescence Lines within the Inhomogeneously Broadened Transitions of Sites **A**  and B'

excitation energy (laser) $S = 0 \rightarrow S^* = 1$	$S^* = 1$ $S = 1$	$S^* = 1 \rightarrow$ $S = 2$	site	J	j
14 5 4 0 . 5	14 430.3	14 2 2 0 . 5		$-49.4$	1.8
14 5 4 5 .4	14436.1	14 2 2 6 .0		$-50.1$	1.4
14 5 49.1	14439.9	14 2 29.8	А	$-50.1$	1.4
14.551.9	14 444.1	14 2 3 5 . 2		$-50.3$	1.0
14 5 5 6 . 4	14449.1	14 24 2.5		$-49.3$	1.3
14 407.2	14 294.0	14 075.4 \		$-52.4$	1.3
14411.7	14 299.0	14080.6		$-52.6$	1.2
14414.9	14 30 3.0	14083.9	в	$-53.4$	0.8
14421.2	14 3 09.4	14091.7		$-52.7$	1.0
14426.5	14314.8	14097.8		$-52.4$	1.1

'The parameters *J* and *j* were calculated by using **eq <sup>1</sup>**

between the two sites is not competitive with the nonradiative deactivation rate of the excitation at these temperatures. The same is true for the near-resonant spectral energy transfer<sup>9</sup> between subsets within the inhomogeneous width of a given site. This can be directly concluded from the luminescence line narrowing.<sup>10</sup>

From the energy differences between the exciting laser frequency  $(S = 0 \rightarrow S^* = 1)$  and the two luminescence lines  $S^* =$  $1 \rightarrow S = 1$  and  $S^* = 1 \rightarrow S = 2$  the exchange parameters *J* and *j* can be calculated according to the eigenvalues of the well-known Hamiltonian **(1).** The results are summarized in Table I. The

$$
\hat{\mathcal{H}} = -2J(\vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_2) - j(\vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_2)^2
$$
 (1)

two sites A and B have significantly different *J* values. The differences between the subsets of a given site, on the other hand, are within the experimental error. The smaller *J* value for site A is reasonable since the Cr-Cr separation was found to be slightly different for the two sites, 2.667 vs. 2.653 **A** for sites A and B, respectively.<sup>8</sup> The susceptibility measurement yielded  $J = -48$  $\pm$  2 cm<sup>-1</sup> and  $j = 2$  cm<sup>-1.8</sup> The power of the spectroscopic technique lies in the higher accuracy of the exchange parameters, and, perhaps more importantly, in the ability to selectively determine the parameters of individual sites.

**Exchange Splittings in Singly Excited States.** The overlap of the two individual spectra of sites A and B in the absorption spectrum complicates the situation considerably. Except for the very lowest excitations all the bands consist of superimposed transitions. In comparison with the case for  $[9](ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$  there is the additional complication that, as a result of the lower symmetry, the number of vibrational sidebands is much larger. Therefore, even the selective excitation spectra of sites A and B (Figure **4)**  are much less informative than the absorption spectrum of [9](ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>. However, by comparing the spectra of  $[12]Br_3.2H_2O$ and  $[9](CIO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$ , and by making use of the detailed analysis of  $[9] (ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$ , we arrive at a reliable estimate of the relevant orbital exchange parameters.

First of all we note that the total energy range covered by the  ${}^{2}E^{4}A_{2}$  and  ${}^{2}T_{1}{}^{4}A_{2}$  singly excited states is approximately 15% smaller in  $[12]Br_3.2H_2O$  than in  $[9](ClO_4)_3$  (Figures 1 and 2). This may be the result of slightly different  ${}^{2}E$  and  ${}^{2}T_{1}$  single-ion energies or slightly different orbital exchange parameters. Since the ground state *J* is approximately 20% smaller in  $[12]Br<sub>3</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O$ , we suspect the latter and assume equal single-ion energies. In [9](ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> the <sup>4</sup>A<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup>E and <sup>4</sup>A<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup>T<sub>la±</sub> singly excited states were found to be strongly mixed by the exchange interactions. Energies of the pair levels in these excited states are given in terms of the single-ion energies and the two orbital exchange parameters *J,*  and  $J_e$ . These exchange parameters can be expressed in terms of one-electron transfer integrals  $h(i \leftarrow j)$  and the electron-transfer energy **U:l5** 

$$
J_{a} = J(t_{2\chi0}t_{2\chi0}t_{2\chi0}t_{2\chi0}) \simeq -2(h(t_{2\chi0} \leftarrow t_{2\chi0}))^{2}/U
$$
  
\n
$$
J_{e} = J(t_{2\chi\pm}t_{2\chi\pm}, t_{2\chi\pm}t_{2\chi\pm}) \simeq -2(h(t_{2\chi\pm} \leftarrow t_{2\chi\pm}))^{2}/U
$$
 (2)



**Figure 6.** Calculated energy pattern in the region of the  ${}^{2}E^{4}A_{2}$  and  ${}^{2}T_{1}^{4}A_{2}$  pair states.<sup>7</sup> Pattern I corresponds to the situation as found in  $[9]$ (ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>. Patterns II and III were calculated by using the same single-ion energy differences  $R({}^2T_{1a\neq}) - R({}^2E)$  and  $R({}^2T_{1a0}) - R({}^2E)$  but reducing the exchange parameters to **81%** and **76%,** respectively. The absolute energy of **I1** and I11 was chosen such that the calculation reproduces the experimental energies of the lowest energy 3E", **3E'** levels of sites **A** and B, respectively.

 $t_{2x0}$  and  $t_{2x\pm}$  are trigonally quantized t<sub>2</sub> orbitals. In [9](ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>  $J_e$  was found to be 1-3% of  $J_a$ . The corresponding calculated energy splitting pattern, which is in very nice agreement with the experiment, is shown in column I of Figure 6. For the calculations in columns I1 and I11 the exchange parameters were reduced by the factors 1.23 and 1.32, respectively, so as to roughly reproduce the observed energy splittings of sites B and A in  $[12]Br<sub>3</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O$ . This procedure is only approximate, because the  ${}^{2}E$  and  ${}^{2}T_{1}$  single-ion energies were assumed to be the same as in  $[9](CIO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$ .

In conclusion we can say that the exchange interactions in  $[12]Br_3.2H_2O$  are weaker than in  $[9](ClO_4)_3$  by approximately 20%. This is true for the ground-state exchange parameter *J* as well as the orbital parameters  $J_a$  and  $J_e$  derived from the excited-state splittings.

**In** both tris(hydrox0)-bridged Cr(II1) complexes *J,* dominates *J,* by roughly 2 orders of magnitude. This is qualitatively understood on the basis of simple overlap arguments. The trigonal a orbitals are pointing in the Cr-Cr direction; they are thus favorably oriented for direct overlap. On a more quantitative level, *J,* and *J,* can be related to energy differences of bonding and antibonding molecular orbitals built from the a and e magnetic orbitals **on** the two Cr(II1) ions. Using an extended Huckel calculation to estimate MO energies, we obtained  $J_a/J_c = 30$  for  $[9](CIO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$ .<sup>7</sup> This is in exact agreement with the experimental ratio obtained for  ${}^{2}T_{1a0}{}^{4}A_{2}$ , which is clearly fortuitous. But there is not doubt about the general trend.

The reduction of exchange parameters by approximately 20% in  $[12]Br_3.2H_2O$  compared to those in  $[9]$ (ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> can be correlated with differences in the molecular structure as follows. According to the X-ray diffraction results and considering standard deviations, the Cr-Cr separations lie within the range  $2.66 \pm 0.01$  Å in both compounds. Cr-0 distances range from 1.98 to 2.05 *8,* in [12]Br3.2H20,8 compared to a Cr-0 bond length of 1.98 *8,* in  $[9]$  (ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>.<sup>13</sup> Accordingly, Cr-O-Cr angles are somewhat smaller in  $[12]Br_3.2H_2O$ , and the oxygen atoms are further removed from the Cr-Cr axis. This leads to a reduction of  $J_a$  despite the equal Cr-Cr separation. The a molecular orbitals are not combinations of pure metal d orbitals. They have contributions from oxygen

s and p orbitals, which are altered by the change in Cr-0 bond lengths. The term "direct exchange" for  $J_a$  is misleading in this respect. We should also realize that a change of only 0.01 **A** in the Cr-Cr separation can result in a 10% change of  $J_a$  and  $J$ . This is the result of recent experiments with  $[9](CIO<sub>4</sub>)$ <sub>3</sub> under hydrostatic pressure.<sup>16</sup> A difference of 0.01 Å in the Cr-Cr sep-

(16) Riesen, H.; Giidel, H. U., submitted for publication in *J. Chem. Phys* 

aration between  $[9] (ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$  and  $[12] Br<sub>3</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O$  cannot be ruled out on the basis of the X-ray diffraction results. It may account for part of the observed difference in *J.* 

**Acknowledgment.** We acknowledge financial support of this work by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

**Registry No.** [12] Br<sub>3</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O, 109306-30-1.

Contribution from the Istituto di Teoria e Struttura Elettronica, CNR, 00016 Monterotondo Scalo (Roma), Italy, and Institut fiir anorganische Chemie, Universitat Bern, CH-3000 Bern 9, Switzerland

# **Optical Spectroscopy of One- and Two-Dimensional Ionic Magnets of Cr<sup>2+</sup>: CsCrCl<sub>3</sub>,**  $(CH_3)_4NCrCl_3$ ,  $(CH_3)_4NCrBr_3$ ,  $CrCl_2$ ,  $(C_2H_5NH_3)_2CrCl_4$ , and  $(C_2H_5NH_3)_2CrBr_4$

Carlo Bellitto,<sup>†</sup> Hans Brunner,<sup>†</sup> and Hans U. Güdel<sup>\*†</sup>

*Received March 5. 1987* 

The title compounds were synthesized and grown as single crystals. Absorption spectra were measured between 10 and 300 K in the visible and near-infrared range. Spin-allowed transitions were assigned to the near-IR bands. The linear-chain compounds  $ACrX_3$  ( $A = Rb^+$ ,  $Cs^+$ ,  $(CH_3)_4N^+$ ;  $X = Cl^-$ ,  $Br^-$ ) and  $CrCl_2$  show a large number of sharp spin-forbidden bands between 16000 and  $24000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , whereas the layer compounds  $A_2CrX_4$  ( $A = Rb^+$ ,  $Cs^+$ ,  $C_8H_{2n+1}NH_3^+$ ;  $X = CI^-$ ,  $Br^-$ ) show only two band systems and 24 000 cm<sup>-1</sup>, whereas the layer compounds  $A_2CrX_4$  ( $A = Rb^T$ ,  $Cs^T$ ,  $C_nH_{2n+1}NH_3^T$ ;  $X = C\Gamma$ , Br) show only two band systems<br>around 16 000 and 19 000 cm<sup>-1</sup>. This difference is interpreted in terms of an exchange in A<sub>2</sub>CrX<sub>4</sub> is due to a lack of orbital overlap between the magnetic orbitals on nearest-neighbor  $Cr^{2+}$  ions. It is thus correlated to the observed ferromagnetic ground state in these compounds. In contrast, the crystal structures of ACrX<sub>3</sub> and CrCl<sub>2</sub> are more favorable for orbital overlap. As a consequence, these compounds are antiferromagnets and e forbidden excitations within the ground-state electron configuration.

### **1. Introduction**

Ternary chromium(I1) halides of the general composition  $ACrX_3$  (A = Rb<sup>+</sup>, Cs<sup>+</sup>, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>N<sup>+</sup>; X = Cl<sup>-</sup>, Br<sup>-</sup>) crystallize in structures derived from the well-known hexagonal CsNiC1, structure.<sup>1-4</sup> Since Cr<sup>2+</sup> is subject to  $E \otimes e$  Jahn-Teller distortions, the local coordination geometry is approximately *D4h.*  Structural phase transitions resulting from a cooperative Jahn-Teller effect have been observed and investigated in RbCrCl<sub>3</sub>,  $CsCrCl<sub>3</sub>$ , CsCrBr<sub>3</sub>, and  $(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>NCrCl<sub>3</sub><sup>1</sup>$  In the high-temperature phase the directions of the elongated Cr-CI bonds are considered to be disordered along the chains, thus retaining the overall hexagonal symmetry of the  $CsNiCl<sub>3</sub>$  structure. The low-temperature phases correspond to superstructures with ordered directions of the elongated Cr-C1 bonds and correspondingly lower symmetry space groups. The magnetic and caloric properties of ACrX, compounds show typical features of one-dimensional antiferromagnets.<sup>2-5</sup> (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>NCrCl<sub>3</sub> (TMCC) shows a transition to three-dimensional magnetic order at  $7 K<sup>2</sup>$  In this respect it resembles  $(CH_3)_4NMnCl_3$  (TMMC), the prototypical one-dimensional antiferromagnet.

Detailed single-crystal optical spectra have been reported for  $RbCrCl<sub>3</sub>$  and  $CsCrCl<sub>3</sub>$  as well as their diluted analogues  $RbMg_{1-x}Cr_xCl_3$  and  $CsMg_{1-x}Cr_xCl_3$ .<sup>1,6-8</sup> Some authors have assumed local  $O_h$  symmetry in the assignment of the spin-allowed absorption bands, while other analyses are based on the more realistic assumption of a local  $D_{4h}$  distortion.<sup>1,8</sup> Spin-forbidden bands have only been interpreted in *Oh.* 

 $CrCl<sub>2</sub>$  has a chain structure with edge-sharing octahedra along the *c* axis of the orthorhombic unit cell.9 The elongated Cr-CI bonds are not involved in the bridging between nearest-neighbor  $Cr<sup>2+</sup>$  ions in the chains.<sup>10</sup> A transition to three-dimensional antiferromagnetic order was observed at 20 K. Unpolarized single-crystal absorption spectra in the near-infrared and visible region have been reported and interpreted.<sup>11</sup>

Ternary chromium(II) halides of the composition  $A_2CrX_4$  (A =  $Rb^{+}$ , Cs<sup>+</sup>, RNH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>; X = Cl<sup>-</sup>) crystallize in a distorted  $K_2NiF_4$ layer structure. Recently several  $A_2CrBr_4$  compounds (A =  $C_2H_{2n+1}NH_3^+$ ) have been synthetsized and characterized.<sup>12,13</sup> They are all two-dimensional ferromagnets. As a result of the ferromagnetic exchange coupling within the layers they exhibit very interesting and quite unique physical properties at low temperatures. Their structural, magnetic, and spectroscopic properties have therefore been studied in much more detail than for the corresponding  $CrX_2$  and  $ACrX_3$  compounds.<sup>14</sup> Optical spectroscopists have focused on two absorption band systems, which show a very distinct temperature dependence typical of two-dimensional ferromagnets.

The visible part of the absorption spectrum of  $Cr^{2+}$  with chloro or bromo coordination consists of spin-forbidden transitions. The

- Bellitto, C.; Dessy, G.; Fares, V.; Fiorani, D.; Viticoli, *S. J. Phys. Chem.*   $(2)$ *Solids* **1984, 45,** 1129.
- Bellitto, C.; Fiorani, D.; Viticoli, *S. Inorg. Chem.* **1985,** *24,* 1939.
- Ackermann, J.; Cole, G. M.; Holt, *S.* L. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1974,** *8,*
- 323. Hatfield, W. E.; **Estes,** W. E.; Marsh, **W.** E.; Pickens, M. W.; ter Haar, L. **W.;** Weller, R. **R.** In *Extended Linear Chain Compounds;* Miller, J. *S.,* Ed.; Plenum: New York, 1983; Vol. 3, **p** 43.
- McPherson, G. L.; Kistenmacher, T. J.; Folkers, J. B.; Stucky, G. D. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1972,57,** 3771. Alack, N. W.; Putnik, C. F.; Holt, *S.* L. *Inorg. Chem.* **1976,** *25,* 3175.
- 
- Reinen, D.; Friebel, C. Struct. Bonding (Berlin) **1979**, 37, 1.
- Tracy, **J.** W.; Gregory, N. W.; Lingafelter, E. C.; Dunitz, J. D.; Mez, H.-C.; Rundle, R. **E.;** Scheringer, C.: Yakel, H. L.; Wilkinson, M. K. *Acta Crystallog.* **1961,** *14,* 927.
- Cable, J. W.; Wilkinson, M. K.; Wollan, E. 0. *Phys. Rev.* **1960,** *128,*  950.
- Rosseinsky, D. R.; Dorrity, I. **A.** *J. Phys. Chem.* **1977,** *81,* 2672.
- Babar, M. **A.;** Larkworthy, L. F.; Yavari, **A.** *J. Chem. SOC., Dalton*   $(12)$ *Trans.* **1981,** 27.
- Bellitto, **C.;** Filaci, P.; Patrizio, **S.** *Znorg. Chem* **1987,** *26,* 191.
- Day, P. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **1986, 54-57,** 1442.
- Janke, **E.; Wood,** T. E.; Ironside, **C.;** Day, P. *J. Phys.* **C1982,** *15,* 3809.

CNR Rome.

Crama, W. **J.** Ph.D. Thesis, Rijksuniversiteit Leiden, 1980.

<sup>\*</sup> Universitat Bern.