Contribution from the Laboratoire de Chimie et Biochimie Pharmacologiques et Toxicologiques (UA **400** CNRS), Université René Descartes, 45 rue des Saints-Pères, 75270 Paris Cédex 06, France, Institut Le Bel, Laboratoire de Cristallochimie (ERA 08 CNRS), Université Louis Pasteur, 67070 Strasbourg Cédex, France, and Laboratoire de Spectrochimie des Elements de Transition (ERA **672),** Universite de Paris-Sud, **91405** Orsay Cedex, France

Iron-Porphyrin-Nitrene Complexes: Preparation, Properties, and Crystal Structure of Porphyrin-Iron(II1) Complexes with a Tosylnitrene Inserted into an Fe-N Bond

Jean-Pierre Mahy,[†] Pierrette Battioni,[†] Gustave Bedi,[†] Daniel Mansuy,*[†] Jean Fischer,[‡] Raymond Weiss,*[†] and Irene Morgenstern-Badarau[§]

Received May 19, 1987

Iron(III)-porphyrins (porphyrin = $P = TPP$, TTP, and TpCIPP) react with $[(tosplimino)iodo]benzene$, $PhI=NTs$ (1), to give the corresponding tosylimido-bridged iron-porphyrin complexes Fe(P)(NTs)(Cl) (P = TPP, **2a;** P = TpCIPP, **2b;** P = TTP, **2c;** $Ts = \text{toyl} = p\text{-tolylsub}$. These complexes have been isolated as pure crystalline solids in high yields. The structure of complex **2s** has been fully established by an X-ray structure analysis. It is a pentacoordinate complex where the tosylnitrene moiety is inserted into an iron-pyrrole nitrogen (N(p)) bond. The Fe-N(Ts) **(1.951 A)** and N(Ts)-N(p) **(1.371 A)** distances are both characteristic of single bonds. The coordination geometry of the iron center is well-described as a distorted trigonal bipyramid with the Cl and N(Ts) atoms and one pyrrole nitrogen lying in the equatorial plane. The porphyrin ring is severely distorted, the pyrrole ring bonded to the NTs ligand making a dihedral angle of 29.9° with the plane containing the three pyrrole nitrogens bonded to the iron. Magnetic susceptibility measurements on the crystalline complex 2a $(\mu = 5.6 \pm 0.1 \mu_B)$, from 40 to 300 K) or on its solution in CDCl₃ (μ = 5.8 \pm 0.1 μ_B at 293 K) as well as EPR (g = 4.28) and ¹H NMR studies on complex 2a are in favor of a well-defined high-spin ferric $(S = \frac{5}{2})$ state for this complex together with a rhombic symmetry in the d orbitals of the iron

Scheme I.

High-valent oxo-iron-porphyrin complexes have been proposed as active intermediates in the catalytic cycle of peroxidase¹ and cytochrome **P-450.2** Model oxo-iron(1V)-porphyrin complexes have been prepared and identified by different spectroscopic $techniques.³$ Their nitrogen and carbon analogues, respectively the nitrene-⁴ and carbene-iron-porphyrin⁵ complexes, have been

The Internet and calculate the number of all elements. The result is placed and fully characterized.

\n
$$
F e^{IV} = F e^{IV
$$

The one-electron-oxidation products of iron(IV)-oxo complexes are much more reactive and have only been obtained at low temperature; several spectroscopic studies made on one of them indicate a (porphyrin) $+Fe^{IV}=O$ structure.^{3h-j,6-8} The oneindicate a (porphyrin)⁻⁺ $Fe^{+} = O$ structure.³⁰ In the one-
electron-oxidation products of the carbene complexes $Fe^{II} \leftarrow :CRR'$
could have been the corresponding $Fe^{III} \leftarrow :CRR' \leftarrow Fe^{V} \leftarrow CPR'$ carbene complexes. However, the one-electron-oxidation product of the carbene complex $Fe^{II}(TPP^9)$ $[C=CC_{6}H_{5}Cl)_{2}]$ has been isolated and fully characterized as an iron(II1) complex where the carbene moiety is inserted between the iron and a pyrrole nitrogen atom.¹⁰ The oxygen analogue of this carbene-bridged complex, which could be derived from an isomerization of (porphyrin)Fe^V=O or (porphyrin)^{*+} Fe^{IV}=O complexes^{10a,11a,b} and could have a Fe^{III}-O-N structure, has been proposed as an active species in hydrocarbon oxidations catalyzed by ironporphyrins^{11c} or as an intermediate in the oxidative degradation of these catalysts in such reactions.^{10b} In fact, evidence has been recently provided for the formation of such a N-bridged oxoiron-porphyrin complex upon reaction of an iron(II1)-porphyrin with *m*-chloroperoxybenzoic acid at $0 °C$.¹²

The nitrogen analogues of iron(V)-oxo or -carbene complexes, the (porphyrin)Fe^V=NR complexes, have never been observed but could be the active intermediates in the transfer of the tosylimino moiety of [(tosylimino)iodo] benzene, PhI=NTs **(l),** to alkanes¹³ or alkenes¹⁴ catalyzed by iron(III)-porphyrins.

[†] Université René Descartes.

We have recently reported¹⁵ preliminary results showing that, upon aziridination of alkenes by PhI=NTs **(1)** catalyzed by

- Dunford, H. B.; Stillman, J. *S. Coord. Chem. Reo.* **1976,** *19,* **187.**
- (a) Ullrich, V. *Top. Curr. Chem.* 1979, 83, 68. (b) White, R. E.; Coon,
M. J. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 1980, 49, 315. (c) Guenguerich, F. P.;
MacDonald, T. L. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1984, 17, 9–16. (d) Groves, J. T **In** *Cytochrome P-450;* Ortiz de Montellano, P. R., Ed.; Plenum: New **York, 1986;** pp **1-26.**
- (a) Chin, D. H.; Balch, **A.** L.; La Mar, G. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980, 102, 1446-1448.** (b) Chin, D. H.; La Mar, G. N.; Balch, **A.** L. *J. Am Chem. SOC.* **1980,102,4344-4349.** (c) Chin, D. H.; La Mar, G. N.; Balch, **A.** L. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1980,102,5945-5947.** (d) La Mar, G. N.; De Ropp, J. S.; Latos-Grazynski, L.; Balch, **A.** L.; Johnson, R. B.; Smith, K. M.; Parish, D. W.; Cheng, R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 782-787. (e) Balch, A. L.; Chan, Y. W.; Cheng, R. J.; La Mar, G. N.; Latos-Grazynski, L.; Renner, M. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, *106,* **7779-7785.** *(f)* Balch, **A.** L.; La Mar, G. N.; Latos-Grazynski, L.; Renner, M. W.; Thanabal, **V.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1985, 107, 3003-3007.** *(9)* Schappacher, M.; Weiss, R.; Montiel-Montoya, R.; Trautwein, **A.;** Tabard, **A.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1985,107,3736-3738.** (h) Calderwood, T. **S.;** Lee, W. **A.;** Bruice, T. C. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1985, 107, 8272-8273.** (i) Calderwood, **T.** *S.;* Bruice, T. C. *Inorg. Chem.* **1986, 25, 3723-3724. Q)** Groves, J. T.; Gilbert, J. *A. Inorg. Chem.* **1986, 25, 125-127.**
- (a) Mansuy, D.; Battioni, P.; Mahy, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 4487-4489. (b) Mahy, J. P.; Battioni, P.; Mansuy, D.; Fisher, J.; Weiss, R.; Mispelter, J.; Morgenstern-Badarau, I.; Gans, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1984,** *106,* **1699-1706.**
- (a) Mansuy, D. Pure Appl. Chem. 1980, 52, 681–690. (b) Mansuy, D.; Lange, M.; Chottard, J. C.; Guérin, P.; Morliere, P.; Brault, D.; Rougee, M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1977, 648–649. (c) Mansuy, D.; Lange, M.; Chotta (e) Mansuy, D.; Guérin, P.; Chottard, J. C. J. Organomet. Chem. **1979,** *171*, 195-201. (f) Guérin, P.; Battioni, J. P.; Chottard, J. C.; Mansuy, D. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1981, 218, 201-209.** (g) Battioni, J. P.; Chottard, J. C.; Mansuy, D. *Inorg. Chem.* **1982, 21, 2056-2062.**

*^f*Universite Louis Pasteur.

⁵ Université de Paris-Sud.

Table I. Compared IR, UV-Visible, and **'H** NMR Spectroscopic Data of Complexes 2

^a In CDCI₃ at 20 °C (relative to Me₄Si). ^{*b*}H₀, H_n, and H_p are the ortho, meta, and para protons of the meso-phenyl groups. ^cOf the porphyrin ring.

 $Fe^{III}(TPP)(Cl)$, the starting porphyrin was totally converted into a Fe III complex, for which a bridged Fe-NTs-N structure was proposed on the basis of its elemental analysis, spectral properties, and ability to form N-NHTs-TPPH upon acidic demetalation.¹⁶

This paper reports (i) the X-ray structure determination of the Fe^{III}(TPP)(NTs)(Cl) complex 2a, which establishes definitively the Fe^{III}-NTs-N structure, (ii) the preparation of several Fe^{III}(porphyrin)(NTs)(Cl) bridged complexes, and (iii) an analysis of the electronic and magnetic properties of these complexes by magnetic susceptibility measurements and EPR and 'H NMR spectroscopy, which shows a rhombically distorted high-spin (S $=$ ⁵/₂) iron(III) electronic configuration for them in solution as well as in the crystalline state.

Results

Preparation of TosylimideBridged Iron(III) Porphyrin Chloride Complexes (2a-c). The reaction of chloro(meso-tetraphenylporphyrinato)iron(III), Fe(TPP)(Cl), 10^{-2} M in anhydrous $CH₂Cl₂$, with solid PhI=NTs¹⁷ (4 equiv relative to Fe(TPP)(Cl)) in the presence of molecular sieves, in order to avoid the easy hydrolysis of PhI=NTs or of a transient Fe=NTs complex,¹⁴ led to the complete formation of the tosylimido-bridged iron-porphyrin complex Fe(TPP)(NTs)(Cl) $(2a)$ within 15 min at 20 °C.

Complex **2a** was obtained as purple crystals in 80% yield after precipitation by pentane and recrystallization from CH_2Cl_2 /

- (6) (a) Groves, J. T.; Haushalter, R. C.; Nakamura, M.; Nemo, T. E.; Evans, B. J. J. Am. *Chem. SOC.* 1981, 103, 2884-2886. (b) Penner-Hann, J. E.; McMurry, T. J.; Renner, M.; Latos-Grazynski, L.; Eble, K. *S.;* Davis, I. M.; Balch, A. L.; Groves, J. T.; Dawson, J. R.; Hogson, K. 0. J. *Biol. Chem.* 1983,258, 12761-12764. (c) Boso, B.; Lang, L.; McMurrv. T. J.: Groves. J. T. J. *Chem. Phvs.* 1983. 79. 1122-1126.
- (7) Balch, **A:** L.; Latos-Grazynski, L.; Renner, **M.** W. J. Am. *Chem. SOC.*
- 1985, 107, 2983-2985. (8) Groves, J. T.; Watanabe, Y. J. Am. *Chem. SOC.* 1986, 108, 507.
- TPP, TpCIPP, and TTP are the dianions of *meso*-tetraphenylporphyrin, *meso*-tetrakis(*p*-chlorophenyl)porphyrin, and *meso*-tetra-*p*-tolyl-
- porphyrin, respectively.

(10) (a) Chevrier, B.; Weiss, R.; Lange, M.; Chottard, J. C.; Mansuy, D. J.

Am Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 2899-2901. (b) Latos-Grazynski, L.; Cheng,

R. J.; La Mar, G. N.; Balch, A. L. J. Am Chem. Soc Cheng, R. J.; Balch, A. L. *Inorg. Chem.* 1982, 21, 4143.
- (1 1) (a) Tatsumi, K.; Hoffman, R. *Inorg. Chem.* **1981,20,** 3771-3784. (b) Strich, A.; Veillard, A. *Nouu.* J. *Chim.* 1987, 7, 347. (c) Jorgensen, K. A. J. Am. *Chem. SOC.* 1987, 109,698-705.
- (12) Groves, **J.** T.; Watanabe, Y. J. Am. *Chem. SOC.* 1986,108,7836-7837. It is noteworthy that several oxo-bridged metalloporphyrin complexes with metals different from iron have been recently prepared and com-pletely characterized: Balch, A. L.; Chan, Y. W.; Olmstead, M. M.; Renner, M. W. J. Am. *Chem SOC.* 1975,107,2393-2398. Balch, **A. L.; Chan, Y. W.; Olmstead, M. M. J. Am. Chem Soc.1985, 107, L.; Chan, Y. W.; Olmstead, M. M. J. Am. Chem Soc.1985, 107,**
- (13) (a) Breslow, R.; Gellman, *S.* H. J. *Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.* 1982, 1400. (b) Breslow, R.; Gellman, S. H. *J.* Am. *Chem. SOC.* 1983, 105, 6728.
- (14) Mansuy, D.; Mahy, J. P.; Dureault, **A,;** Bedi, G.; Battioni, P. J. *Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.* 1984, 1161-1163.
- (15) Mahy, J. P.; Battioni, P.; Mansuy, D. *J.* Am. *Chem. SOC.* 1986, 108, 1079-1080.
- (16) Callot, H. J.; Chewier, B.; Weiss, R. J. Am. *Chem. SOC.* 1978, 100, 4733-4741.
- (17) Yamamada, Y.; Yamamoto, T.; Okawara, M. *Chem. Left.* 1975, 361-362.

Table 11. Selected Bond Distances (A), Angles (deg), and Averages with Their Estimated Standard Deviations

		Fe Coordination Sphere							
$Fe-N1$	2.075(4)	$Fe-C1$	2.259(2)						
$Fe-N17$	2.064(4)	2.070(2) $N-N23$	1.371(6)						
$Fe-N$	1.951(5)	Fe ··· N23	2.467(4)						
$Fe-N11$	1.969(4)	1.960(3)							
$Cl-Fe-N$	117.6(1)	$N1-Fe-N23$	86.3(2)						
$Fe-N-N23$	94.3(3)	$N11-Fe-N17$	91.3(2)						
$N1-Fe-C1$	93.3(1)	$N11-Fe-N23$	158.3(2)						
$N11-Fe-Cl$	117.7(1)	$N17-Fe-N23$	87.1(2)						
$N17-Fe-Cl$	96.5(1)	$N1-Fe-N$	84.3 (2)						
$N1-Fe-N11$	90.9(1)	$N11-Fe-N$	124.6(2)						
$N1-Fe-N17$	167.6(2)	$N17-Fe-N$	84.3 (2)						
Pyrrole Rings ^a									
N_P-C_α	1.386(2)	$C_{\beta}-C_{\beta}$	1.355(4)						
$C_{\alpha}-C_{\beta}$	1.427(3)	$C_{\alpha}-C(m)$	1.398(3)						
		N1, N11, N17 rings	N23 ring						
$N_P - C_\alpha - C_\beta$		108.4(2)	105.1(3)						
C_{α} -N _P -C _a	107.4(2)								
C_{α} - C_{β} - C_{β}	111.3(4) 107.9(2) 109.1(4)								
C_{α} –C(m)– C_{α}	.125.7(3)	127.9(3)							
$N_{\rm P}$ -C _a -C(m)	125.8(2)	126.2(3)							
C_{α} -C(m)-C(phe)	117.4(2)	113.9(3)							
Phenyl Rings ^a									
$C(m)-C(phe)$	1.494(4)	$C(\text{phe})-C(\text{phe})$	1.381(2)						
		$(C-C-C)$ 120.0 (1)							
Tosylimido Group									
S-N	1.633(5)	$S-CT1$	1.772(7)						
$S-O1$	1.414(4)	$\langle C-C \rangle$	1.364(4)						
$S-O2$	1.423(5)	$CT4-CT7$	1.49(1)						
$Fe-N-S$	148.5(3)	$N-S-O2$	110.0(3)						
$N23-N-S$	117.1(4)	$O1 - S - O2$	120.1(3)						
$N-S-CT1$	105.6(2)	$(C-C-C)$	120.0(2)						
$N-S-O1$	104.8(3)								

 aC_a , C_β , $C(m)$, and $C(phe)$ stand respectively for the α , β , methine, and phenyl carbon atoms of the macrocycle.

CH₃OH. The other Fe(porphyrin)(NTs)(Cl) complexes 2b (porphyrin = TpCIPP9) and **2c** (porphyrin = TTP9) have been prepared by the same method in 95 and 90% yields, respectively (Scheme I).

Mass Spectrometry and IR and UV-Visible Spectroscopy Characteristics of Complexes 2. Mass Spectrometry. The spectrum of complex **Za,** obtained at **220** "C by the chemical ionization technique, (NH₃, negative ions) showed a M - 1 peak at $m/e = 871$ and a major fragment at $m/e = 836$ corresponding respectively to $Fe(TPP)(NTs)(Cl)$ and $Fe(TPP)(NTs)$. Thus it appears that complex **2a** loses readily its axial chloride ligand, as do other **chloro(porphyrinato)iron(III)** complexes.l* However, it did not release its nitrene NTs ligand, contrary to what was already observed under the same conditions for the $Fe^H(por$ phyrin)(NNC₉H₁₈) complexes,^{4b} which contained a nitrene ligand bound only to the iron **by** its nitrogen atom.

^(1 8) Budzikiewicz, H. In *The Porphyrins;* Dolphin, D., Ed.; Academic: New York, 1978; **Vol.** 3, pp 441-446.

Figure **1. ORTEP** plot of the molecule of complex **2a.** Thermal ellipsoids are scaled to enclose 50% of the electronic density. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. The numbering scheme used is as follows: Nl-C24, porphyrin skeleton atoms, C25-C30, phenyl ring bonded to C_6 between N1 and N11 pyrrole rings; C31-C36, phenyl ring bonded to C18 between N17 and N23 pyrrole rings; C43-C48, phenyl ring bonded to C24 between N23 and N1 pyrrole rings.

IR Spectroscopy. The IR spectrum (KBr) of complex **2a** was very similar to those of $Fe^{II}(TPP)$ and $Fe^{III}(TPP)(Cl)$. However, it exhibited additional bands characteristic of the axial ligands of the iron. First, two intense bands characteristic of the tosyl substituent of the nitrene ligand appeared at 1154 cm⁻¹ $(v_{as}(SO_2))$ and 1095 cm⁻¹ $(\nu_s(SO_2))$. These bands were located respectively at 1235 and 1135 cm⁻¹ in the spectrum of PhI= $NTs¹⁷$ and at 1305 and 1155 cm⁻¹ in that of p-toluenesulfonamide.¹⁷ Coordination of the nitrene to $Fe(TPP)(Cl)$ thus results in an important lowering of the $v_{as}(\text{SO}_2)$ and $v_s(\text{SO}_2)$ frequencies. Another band at 345 cm^{-1} has been assigned to a Fe-Cl stretching vibration. Accordingly, this band is absent in the spectrum of $Fe(TPP)(py)₂$ and Fe^{II}(TPP) and is replaced by a new band at 270 cm⁻¹ (ν -(Fe-Br)) when C1 is replaced by Br in Fe(TPP)(NTs)(Br).¹⁹ The Fe-Cl band of complex 2a appears 35 cm⁻¹ lower than the Fe-Cl band of Fe(TPP)(Cl).²⁰ Table I shows that the positions of the 1154-, 1095-, and 345-cm-' bands are very similar in complexes **2b** and **2c.**

UV-Visible Spectroscopy. The UV-visible characteristics of complexes **2** are compared in Table I. Their spectra are very similar to those of i ron $\text{III}(N\text{-}alk$ yl-TPP) complexes such as $[Fe^{III}(N\text{-}CH_3\text{-}TPP)(Br)]^+X^-(\lambda_{max} (CHCl_3) = 423,528,560,630,$ 680 nm).21

X-ray Structure of Complex 2a. Single crystals of complexes $2a-c$ were obtained by slow evaporation of $CH₃OH-CH₂Cl₂$ solutions at room temperature. Analysis of suitable single crystals of complex **2a** showed that it cocrystallyzes with a $(Cl⁻,H₃O⁺)$ ion pair and a CH₃OH molecule.

Figure 1 shows the molecule as it exists in the crystal together with the labeling scheme **used.** Individual bond lengths and angles are given in Table 11.

As shown in Figure 1, the tosylimido moiety is inserted into the Fe-N23 bond of chloroiron(II1) meso-tetraphenylporphyrin. The iron-nitrogen (N(Ts)) distance (1.951 (5) **A)** is in agreement with a single Fe-N bond,²² and the N-N23 distance $(1.371(6))$ Å) is equally characteristic of a single nitrogen-nitrogen bond.²²

The iron atom is five-coordinate and is bonded to the three pyrrole nitrogens of the porphyrin ring N1, N11 and N17, to the nitrene ligand nitrogen N, and to a chlorine atom. The pyrrole nitrogen N23 seems no longer bonded to the iron as shown by the long Fe-N23 distance (2.467 (4) **A;** Table **11).** Consequently, the distance between the iron atom and the pyrrole nitrogen N11

(22) Nugent, **W. A,;** Haymore, B. L. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1980.31, 123-175.**

(1.969 (4) **A)** is slightly shorter than the two other iron-pyrrole nitrogen distances: Fe-N1 (2.075 (4) **A)** and Fe-N17 (2.064 (4) A). These two distances are similar to those observed for the iron-pyrrole nitrogen bond of high-spin $(S = \frac{5}{2})$ iron(III)porphyrin complexes (Fe-N(p) = 2.070 Å^{23}), but they are longer than those measured in the intermediate-spin $(S = \frac{3}{2})$ N-bridged iron(III)-porphyrin-carbene complex Fe^{III}(TPP)(C=CAr₂)(C $(Fe-N(p) = 1.990 \text{ Å})$.^{10a,d}

The Fe-Cl distance of 2.259 (2) **8,** is slightly longer than that present in Fe^{III}(TPP)(Cl) (2.193 (3) \AA)²³ and somewhat shorter than that observed in the N-bridged iron-porphyrin-carbene complex (Fe-Cl = 2.299 Å).^{10a,d} These variations of the Fe-Cl distances are most probably related to the distortions of the macrocycle that appear by insertion of a carbene or a nitrene moiety into a M-N(p) bond of a metalloporphyrin.^{10a,d,24}

The iron center, the pyrrole nitrogen N11, the nitrene nitrogen N, and the chlorine atom are coplanar, and the bond angles in this pean plane around the iron center are close to 120' (Table 11). Moreover, this mean plane is almost normal to the plane defined by the three pyrrole nitrogens N1, N11, and N17 bonded to the metal, the corresponding dihedral angle being 91.1°. thus, the coordination geometry of the iron center present in **2a** is best described as a distorted trigonal bipyramid with N11, N, and C1 lying in the equatorial plane. The pyrrole nitrogen N23 also lies very close to this equatorial plane.

Because of the insertion of the nitrene moiety in the Fe-N23 bond, the porphyrin macrocycle is severely distorted. The individually planar pyrrole rings bearing respectively the $N1$, $N11$, N17, and N23 nitrogen atoms make dihedral angles of 9.6, 5.8, 8.5, and 29.9' with the plane containing the three pyrrole nitrogens N1, N11, and N17 bonded to the metal. This distortion is, however, less important than that present in the N-bridged tosylimido-Ni(II)-TPP complex in which the dihedral angle between the mean plane of one pyrrole ring and the mean plane of the four pyrrole nitrogens is as large as 40.5°.16 Whereas in the N-bridged carbene-iron(III)-porphyrin complex Fe^{III}(TPP)(C=CAr₂)(Cl) the four pyrrole nitrogens are almost coplanar,^{10a,d} in **2a** the pyrrole nitrogen N23, not bonded to the iron, lies 0.44 **8,** above the plane containing N1, N11, and N17. At the opposite end, the iron atom lies 0.21 **A** below this plane toward the chlorine atom.

The distortion of the porphyrin skeleton seems to have very little or no effect at all on the π -electron delocalization in the porphyrinato core. Indeed, the distances between the porphyrin carbons (C_{α} , C_{β} , and C_{β} being the α - and β -pyrrole and meso carbons, respectively) C_{α} - C_{β} (1.427 (3) Å), C_{α} - $C_{\rm m}$ (1.398 (3) A), and $N(p)-C_{\alpha}$ (1.386 (2) A) are almost identical with those found in nondistorted porphyrin complexes such as Fe(TPP)- $(pip)_2^{25}$ (pip = piperidine). This has also been observed in the previously described porphyrin derivatives in which a carbene or a nitrene moiety is inserted into a metal-pyrrole nitrogen bond.^{10,16,24}

Concerning the nitrene ligand, the sulfur atom is contained in the plane defined by the C1, N11, Fe, and N atoms but neither the oxygen atoms 01 and 02 nor the CT (Ph) carbons are contained in this plane. Indeed, the value of the N-S-CT1 angle (105.6°) is far from 180° as well as that of the O1-S-O2 angle, which is 120.1° .

Electronic and Magnetic Properties of Complex 2. Magnetic Susceptibility Measurement. The temperature dependence of the magnetic moment of the crystalline complex **2a** is shown in Figure 2. In the higher temperature range $(T > 40 \text{ K})$, the magnetic moment is constant and equal to $5.6 \pm 0.1 \mu_B$. As the temperature approaches 4 K, the moment drops to 4.9 μ _B. Compound 2a thus behaves in the crystalline state as expected for a sextuplet spin state $(S = \frac{5}{2})$. A similar value of 5.8 \pm 0.1 μ_B has been found

- York, 1978; Vol. 3, p 463.

(24) (a) Chevrier, B.; Weiss, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 2985. (b)

Johnson, A. W.; Ward, D. J.; J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1976, 720.

(c) Johnson, A. W.; Ward, D. J.; Batten, P.; Hamil
- **(25)** Hoard, **J.** L. *Ann.* N. *Y. Acad. Sei.* **1973,** *206,* **18.**

⁽¹⁹⁾ Fe(TPP)(NTs)(Br) was synthesized by the method **used** for complexes **Za-c,** from the reaction of PhI=NTs **(1)** with Fe(TPP)(Br).

⁽²⁰⁾ Alben, J. **0.** In *The Porphyrins;* Dolphin, D., Ed.; Academic: New York, **1978;** Vol. 3, **p** 332.

⁽²¹⁾ Balch, **A.** L.; La Mar, G. N.; Latos-Grazynski, L.; Renner, M. W. *Inorg. Chem.* **1985,** *24,* **2432-2436.**

⁽²³⁾ Scheidt, R. W. In *The Porphyrins;* Dolphin, D., Ed.; Academic: New

Figure 2. Temperature dependence of the magnetic moment of complex **2a** (crystalline state).

Figure 3. EPR spectrum of complex **2a** (powder) at **4** K.

by the Evans method26 for the magnetic moment of complex **2a** 0.2 M in CDCl₃ at 34 °C.

EPR Spectroscopy. The EPR spectrum of complex **2a** (powder) at 4 K (Figure 3) exhibits a signal centered at $g = 4.28$ as a main feature. This result together with those from magnetic susceptibility measurements leads to a $S = \frac{5}{2}$ spin-state assignment for the ground state of complex **2a** and indicates a rhombic symmetry around its iron. Two very weak signals, which probably belong to another iron(II1) species in very small amounts, appear at $g = 6$ and $g = 2.67$.

'H NMR Spectroscopy. The 'H NMR spectrum of complex **2a** in CDCl₃ at 20 °C is shown in Figure 4. The assignments of its signals have been done by comparison of the spectra of complexes **2a** and **2b** (Table I) and those of $Fe(TPP-d_{20})(NTs)(Cl)$ and $Fe(TPP-d_8)(NTs)(Cl)$, the analogues of 2a prepared respectively from a tetraphenylporphyrin completely deuteriated in the phenyl rings $(TPP-d_{20})^{27}$ or in the pyrrole rings $(TPP-d_8)^{27}$

Figure 4. ¹H NMR spectrum of complex **2a** $(10^{-2} M \text{ in CDCl}_3 \text{ at } 20 \text{ °C})$; δ (CH₃)₄Si; **X** = impurities).

Figure 5. Thermal dependence of the chemical shifts (6 referenced to Me4Si) of the protons of complex **2a** (for the labeling of hydrogens, see Figure **4).**

In the spectrum of the TPP- d_8 complex, the signals at 89.5, 84.5, 81.7, and -28.4 ppm, which are each integrated for 2 H, are lacking. These signals have thus been assigned to the pyrrole protons. The signals at 12.70, 11.22, 10.93, 10.30, 6.85, 6.10, 4.10, and 3.92 ppm, which are lacking in the spectrum of the $TPP-d_{20}$ complex, are due to the protons of the phenyl rings of the meso-tetraphenylporphyrin. The signals at 6.85 and 3.92 ppm, which are absent in the spectrum of **2b** (Table I), have consequently been assigned to the para protons of the phenyl rings. Concerning the other signals of the phenyl ring protons, those at 6.10 and 4.10 ppm, integrated each for 2 **H,** are considerably broader than those at 12.70, 11.22, 10.90, and 10.30 ppm, which are also each integrated for **2 H.** These properties are expected for the ortho and meta protons of the phenyl rings, respectively, since the ortho protons are closer to the paramagnetic center than the meta protons. Two other very broad signals, corresponding

⁽²⁶⁾ Evans, D. F. *J. Chem. SOC.* **1959, 2003.**

 (27) (TPP)H₂-d₂₀ and (TPP)H₂-d₈ were respectively prepared by condensation of **pentadeuteriobenzaldehyde** and pyrrole and by condensation of benzaldehyde and pentadeuteriopyrrole in CH₃CH₂COOD by the usual technique: Adler, A. D.; Longo, F. R.; Finarelli, J. D.; Goldmacher, J.; **Assour,** J.; Korsakoff, L. J. *Org. Chem.* **1%7,** *32,* **476.** They were found completely deuteriated on the phenyl rings and **on** the pyrrole rings, respectively ('H NMR and mass spectroscopy). Their dianions correspond respectively to the formulas meso-tetrakis(pentadeuteriophenyl)porphyrinate $(C_{44}H_8D_{20}N_4)$ and *meso*-tetraphenylporphyrinate- d_8 (C₄₄H₂₀D₈N₄).

⁽²⁸⁾ (a) Sam, J. R.; Tsin, T. B. In The *Porphyrins;* Dolphin, D., Ed.; Academic: New York, 1979; Vol. IV, pp 425–478. (b) Scheidt, R. W.;
Reed, C. A. Chem. Rev. 1981, 81, 543–555. (c) Mispelter, J.; Mom-
enteau, M.; Lhoste, J. M. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1978, 57, 405–409.
(29) (a) Felton, R. H.; Ow

Fager, J. *Ann.* N. *Y. Acad. Sci.* **1973,** *206,* **504-514.** (b) Hoard, J. L.; Cohen, G. H.; Glick, M. D. *J.* Am. *Chem. SOC.* **1967,89, 1992-1996.**

Table III. Spectroscopic and Structural Data of Different Iron-Porphyrin Complexes Compared to Those of 2a

			¹ H NMR	EPR			
complex	S	μ_{eff}, μ_{B}	$\delta(H(pyr))$ (Me ₄ Si)	T . K		$Fe-N(p)$, \AA	ref
Fe ^H (TPP)		4.4	4.2			1.972	28
$FeH(TPP)(NNC9H18)$		5.1	66.8			2.096	4b
$Fe^{III}(TPP)(C=CAr_2)(Cl)$ (bridged)	3/	3.94	$21.3, -21.0, -28.9, -42.1$	4	4.64, 3.55, 2.03	1.990 ^a	10
$FeIII(TPP)(NTs)(Cl)$ (2a, bridged)		5.8	$89.5, 84.5, 81.7, -28.4$	4	4.28	2.036 ^a	this work
Fe ^{III} (TPP)(Cl)		5.9	79.4	4	5.66, 2.03	2.060	29
$[Fe^{III}(N\text{-}CH_3\text{-}TPP)(Cl)]$ ⁺ Cl ⁻			128, 92, 79, 2.4	77	5.86, 2.16		21
$Fe^{III}(TMP)(O)(OCOAr)$ (bridged)		5.4		273	4.3		12
$Fe^{IV}(TPP)(O)(1-CH_3-Im)$		2.9					3a.d

 α Average of the three Fe-N(p) bond lengths in those complexes.

to the other four ortho protons, have not been precisely located. However, it seems that they are superimposed on the signals of the meta protons as indicated by a total integration of 12 H for the signals between 14 and 10 ppm.

The last two signals at 14.32 and 13.7 ppm are the only ones to be present both in the TPP- d_8 complex and in the TPP- d_{20} complex. They have thus been assigned to the protons of the nitrene ligand. The broader signal at 14.32 ppm is integrated for 2 H and has **been** assigned to the ortho protons of the tosyl group, which are closer to the paramagnetic center than its meta protons. The signal at 13.71 ppm is integrated for **5** H and corresponds to the meta protons and the $CH₃$ protons of the tosyl group.

The temperature dependence of the chemical shifts of the protons of complex 2a between -80 and +40 °C corresponds to the Curie law (Figure **5).** This is in agreement with a monomeric iron-porphyrin complex in a well-defined paramagnetic noninteracting state. As shown in Table I, the ¹H NMR characteristics of complexes **2a-c** are very similar.

Discussion

Compounds **2** are the first described iron-porphyrin complexes bearing a nitrene ligand inserted into an iron-pyrrole nitrogen bond.¹⁵ It is however noteworthy that nitrene-bridged complexes of Cu-, **Zn-,** and Ni-porphyrins have been previously prepared and that the X-ray structure of one of them, the Ni complex, has been described.¹⁶

Complexes **2** have been prepared by a simple technique that has never been used so far for the synthesis of transition-metalnitrene complexes.22 It involves the one-step reaction at room temperature of a nitrene donor, [(tosylimino)iodo] benzene, PhI=NTs (1), a nitrogen analogue of iodosylbenzene, PhI=O, with iron(III)-porphyrins. Though the precise mechanism of this reaction remains unknown, it is reasonable to think, by analogy with the mechanism generally admitted now for the reactions between $PhI=O$ and iron(III)-porphyrins,⁶ that $PhI=NTs$ transfers its nitrene moiety to the iron-porphyrin to give the iron(\.')-nitrene complex FeV(NTs)(TPP)(Cl) **(3)** (Scheme 11). This species could be the active intermediate in the tosylimido aziridination of alkenes¹⁴ or tosylimido amidation of alkanes.¹³ It is unstable at room temperature and could isomerize with the nucleophilic assistance of a pyrrole nitrogen to yield the nitrene-bridged complex **2** (Scheme 11).

The X-ray structure of complex **2a** clearly shows that the nitrene NTs moiety is inserted in the Fe-N23 bond of $Fe^{III}(TPP)(Cl)$. Indeed, Fe and N23 are not bonded, as indicated by the large Fe-N23 distance. For comparison, metal-nitrogen bond lengths for complexes of transition metals generally range between 2.13 1 and 1.801 Å for bond orders between 1 and $2.\overline{2}^2$ Consequently, the iron is pentacoordinate, its coordination geometry being a distorted trigonal bipyramid with an equatorial plane containing the nitrene nitrogen N and two pyrrole nitrogens N1 and N11. **A** similar geometry has also been observed in the carbene-bridged complex Fe(TPP)(C=CAr₂)(Cl).^{10a,d}

Several spectroscopic data of various iron-porphyrin complexes that are characteristic of different spin and oxidation states are compared to those of complex **2a** in Table 111. The characteristics of complex **2a** are very similar to those of previously reported high-spin pentacoordinate iron(II1)-porphyrin complexes, but very different from those of high-spin ferrous ($\overline{S} = 2$), intermediate-spin

ferrous ($S = 1$), intermediate-spin ferric ($S = \frac{3}{2}$), and low-spin Fe(IV) $(S = 1)$ complexes. When they are taken together, the magnetic moment $\mu = 5.8 \pm 0.1 \mu_B$, the EPR signal at $g = 4.28$, the Fe-N(p) distance 2.036 **A,** and the NMR shifts of the pyrrole protons (δ = 89.5, 84.5, 81.7, -28.4 (vs Me₄Si)) firmly establish a high-spin ferric $(S = \frac{5}{2})$ state for complex **2a**. The appearance **of** four signals integrated for 2 H each for the pyrrole protons is characteristic of a **C,** symmetry for complex **2a.** Its plane of symmetry contains two opposite pyrrole nitrogens N11 and N23, the nitrene ligand nitrogen, and the sulfur atoms (Figure 4). Similar splitting and chemical shifts of the pyrrole proton signals have been already observed in other iron-porphyrin complexes retaining a C_s symmetry such as $[Fe(N-CH_3-TPP)(Cl)]+X^{-21}$ (Table 111).

A rhombic symmetry of the iron d orbitals has been found for complex 2a, as shown by the EPR signal at $g = 4.28$. Such a rhombic symmetry has also been found for the iron d orbitals of other carbene-bridged $(Fe(TPP)(Cl)(C=CAT_2))^{10}$ or oxo-bridged $(Fe(TMP)(OCOAr)(O))^{12}$ iron(III)-porphyrin complexes $(g =$ 4.64, 3.55, 2.03 and $g = 4.3$, respectively; Table III). It is noteworthy that, in iron(II1)-N-alkyl-TPP complexes such as $[Fe^{III}(N-CH₃-TPP)(Cl)]⁺Cl⁻$, the iron is coordinated with an octahedral symmetry and exhibits EPR signals at *g* = 5.86 and $2.16²¹$ (Table III). Since (i) the magnetic moments measured at room temperature for crystalline **2a** $(\mu = 5.6 \pm 0.1 \mu_B)$ and for its solution in CDCl₃ (μ = 5.8 \pm 0.1 μ _B) are identical and (ii) the UV-visible spectrum of powdered $2a$ ($\lambda = 435, 521, 559, 692$ nm) is very similar to that of complex $2a 10^{-5}$ M in CH_2Cl_2 ,³⁰ it is likely that complexes **2** have similar structures in solution and in the solid state.

As a conclusion, if we come back to the analogy between oxo-, carbene-, and nitrene-bridged iron-porphyrin complexes (see the introduction of this paper), it is noteworthy that both the αx^2 and nitrene-bridged iron complexes exhibit a high-spin Fe(II1) $(S = \frac{5}{2})$ structure whereas the carbene-bridged iron complex is the only one to involve an intermediate Fe(III) $(S = \frac{3}{2})$ spin state:

(30) The powder of complex **2a** shows broader bands generally red-shifted as usually found when passing from complexes in solution to powdered
samples.^{4b,10c}

Table IV. Positional Parameters of Each Atom of Complex 2a^a

Estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses. Starred values are for atoms refined isotropically. Anisotropically refined atoms are given in the form of the isotropic equivalent thermal parameters defined as $\binom{4}{3}a^2B_{22} + c^2B_{33} + ab(\cos \gamma)B_{12} + ac(\cos \beta)B_{13} + bc(\cos \alpha)B_{23}$.

The difference is presumably related to the greater strength of the Fe–C bond compared to that of the Fe–O and Fe–N bonds. **A** similar difference has been previously reported for the relative strengths of the Fe-N and Fe-C bonds in porphyrinato-Fe- (II) -nitrene⁴ and -Fe (II) -carbene⁵ complexes exhibiting an axial symmetry. The spin state of the Fe(II)-nitrene complexes $(S =$ 2) is higher than that of the Fe(II)-carbene complexes $(S = 0)$.

Experimental Section

Physical Measurements. UV-visible spectra were obtained on an Aminco DW2 spectrometer. Infrared spectra were recorded as KBr pellets on a Perkin-Elmer 783 infrared spectrophotometer. Mass spectra were recorded on a Ribermag apparatus. EPR spectra were recorded with a Bruker ER-200D spectrometer operating at the X-band frequency of 9.46 GHz and fitted with an helium continuous-flow Oxford Instruments cryostat. Polycrystalline samples of complex 2a were mounted in standard 4-mm quartz EPR tubes. 'H NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker EM 250 spectrometer operating at 250 MHz and fitted with a temperature regulation system. Elemental analyses were performed by the service central de microanalyse at Gif-sur-Yvette, France.

Magnetic Susceptibility Measurements. Variable-temperature (4-300 K) magnetic susceptibilities were measured with a Faraday magnetic balance, equipped with an helium continuous-flow Oxford Instruments cryostat. Measurements were run on powdered samples of about 10 mg. The applied magnetic field was in the range 0.1-0.7 T. The independence of the susceptibility from the magnetic field was checked at room temperature. Mercury **tetrakis(thiocyanato)cobaltate(III)** was used as a susceptibility standard. The magnetic data were corrected for the molecular diamagnetism estimated to -860×10^{-6} cgsu from free porphyrin base measurements³¹ and Pascal's rules.

X-ray Analysis. Suitable single crystals of complex 2a were obtained by slow evaporation of methanol-methylene chloride solutions at room temperature. A systematic search in reciprocal space using a Philips PW1100/16 automatic diffractometer showed that crystals of **2a** belonged to the monoclinic system.

The unit-cell dimensions and their standard deviations were obtained and refined at -100 °C with Cu K_a radiation ($\lambda = 1.5405$ Å) by using 25 carefully selected reflections, the standard Philips software, and a

locally built low-temperature device. Final results: $C_{52}H_{42}N_5O_4SCl_2Fe$, *M_r* 959.76, *a* = 25.456 (8) Å, *b* = 10.488 (3) Å, *c* = 33.452 (10) Å, *β*
= 91.84 (2)°, *V* = 8884.7 Å³, *Z* = 8, d_{calof} = 1.43 g cm⁻³, μ = 47.20 cm⁻¹, $F(000) = 3976$, space group $C2/c$ or *Cc*.

A nearly parallelepipedic crystal of dimensions 0.16 **X** 0.17 **X** 0.24 mm was cut out from a cluster of crystals, glued at the end of a glass wire, and mounted on a rotation-free goniometer head. All quantitative data were obtained from a Philips PW1100/16 four-circle automatic diffractometer, controlled by a P 852 computer, using graphite-monochromated radiation and standard software at -100 °C. The vertical and horizontal apertures in front of the scintillation counter were adjusted so as to minimize the background counts without loss of net peak intensity at the 2σ level. The total scan width in the $\theta/2\theta$ flying step scan used was $\Delta\theta = 1.1 + 0.143$ tan θ° with a step width of 0.05° and a scan speed of 0.024° s⁻¹. A total of 6430 $h, k, \pm l$ reflections were recorded (4° < θ $<$ 57 \degree). The resulting data set was transferred to a PDP11/60 computer, and for all subsequent computations, the Enraf-Nonius SPD/PDP package was used,³² with the exception of a local data-reduction program.

The standard reflections measured every 1 h during the entire datacollection period showed no significant trend.

The raw step-scan data were converted to intensities by using the Lehmann-Larson method³³ and then corrected for Lorentz, polarization, and absorption factors; the last was computed by using the empirical method of Walker and Stuart³⁴ since indexation was not possible (absorption factors between 0.65 and 1.52). A unique data set of 3756 reflections having $I > 3\sigma(I)$ was used for determining and refining the structure.

The structure was solved by direct methods using Multan³⁵ in space group C2/c on the basis of *1Ehkll* statistics. After refinement of the

⁽³²⁾ Frenz, B. A. In *Computing in Crystallography;* Schenk, H., Olthof-Azekamp, R., Van Koningsfeld, H., Bassi, G. C., **Eds.;** Delft University **Press:** Delft, The Netherlands, 1978; pp 64-71.

⁽³³⁾ Lehmann, M. **S.;** Larson, F. K. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Cryst. Phys., Dgfr., Theor. Gen. Crystallogr.* **1974,** *A30,* 580-584.

⁽³⁴⁾ Walker, N.; Stuart, D. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr.* **1983,** *A39,* 158-166.

^{(35) (}a) Germain, G.; Main, P.; Woolfson, M. M. Acta Crystallogr., Sect.
B: Struct. Crystallogr. Cryst. Chem. 1970, B26, 274. (b) Germain,
G.; Main, P.; Woolfson, M. M. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Cryst. Phys., *Diffr., Theor. Gen. Crystallogr.* **1971,** *A27,* 368.

heavy atoms, a difference-Fourier map revealed maxima of residual electronic density close to the positions expected for hydrogen atoms of the porphyrin and the tosyl group; they were introduced in structure factor calculations by their computed coordinates (C-H = 0.95 **A)** and isotropic temperature factors of $B(H) = 1 + B_{eq}(C)$ \hat{A}^2 but not refined. $CH₃OH$ and water hydrogens were omitted. Full least-squares refinement minimizing $\sum w(|F_0| - |F_c|)^2$ converged to $R(F) = 0.058$ and $R_w(F)$ $= 0.087$ with $\sum w(F^2) = (\sigma^2(\text{counts}) + (pI)^2)^{-1}$. The unit-weight observation was $\overline{1.73}$ for $p = 0.08$. A final difference map revealed no significant maxima. The scattering factor coefficients and anomalous dispersion coefficients come respectively from ref 36 and 37.

Table IV gives the X-ray coordinates of each atom of complex **2a. Reagents and Solvents.** Methylene chloride was purified by distillation from P_2O_5 and kept over molecular sieves. Pentane and methanol for crystallization were used as supplied by Prolabo (purissimum grade) [(tosylimino)iodo] benzene, PhI=NTs **(l),** was synthesized according to an already described procedure¹⁷ and stored at $0 °C$, in the dark, under argon to avoid decomposition. Fe(TPP)(Cl), Fe(TTP)(Cl), and Fe- $(TpClPP)(Cl)$ were prepared according to literature procedures.^{38,39}

Synthesis of Complexes 2a-c: Tosylimido-Bridged Iron(II1) meso - **Tetraphenylporphyrin Chloride, Fe"'(TPP)(NTs)(CI) (24. A** solution of 0.07 g of $Fe^{III}(TPP)(Cl)$ in 10 mL of CH_2Cl_2 at 20 °C was added

(37) Cromer, D. T.; Waber, J. T. *International Tables for X-ray Crystal-lography;* Kynoch: Birmingham, England, 1974, Vol. IV; Table 2.3.1.

(38) (a) Barnet, G. H.; Hudson, M. F.; Smith, K. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1973,30,2887-2888. (b) Adler, A. D.; **Longo,** F. R.; Kampas, F.; Kim. **J.** *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* 1970, 32, 2443-2445.

(39) Fuhrop, J. H.; Smith, K. M. In *Porphyrins and Metalloporphyrins;* Smith, K. M., Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1975; pp 769-774.

under argon to 0.15 g of solid PhI=NTs, in the presence **of** molecular sieves. After 15 min of stirring, the formation of complex **2a** was complete. The solution was then filtered, and complex **2a** precipitated as a red-brown powder with ca. 20 mL **of** pentane. Purple shining cristals of **2a** were obtained with a 80% yield (0.07 g) after recrystallization from $CH_2Cl_2-CH_3OH$ (2:1). Anal. Calcd for $C_{51}H_{35}N_5O_2SFeCl$: C, 70.15; H, 4.04; N, 8.02; **S,** 3.67; C1, 4.06. Found: C, 69.94; H, 4.24; N, 8.20; **S,** 3.62; CI, 3.87.

Tosylimido-Bridged Iron(UI) *meso* **-Tetrakis@ -chloropbenyl)porphyrin Chloride, Felll(TpCIPP)(NTs)(C1) (2b). 2b** was prepared as described above, by starting from 0.084 g of Fe $^{\text{m}}$ (TpClPP)(Cl) and 0.15 g of PhI=NTs (0.096 g, yield 95%). Anal. Calcd for C₅₁H₃₁N₅SO₂Cl₅Fe: C, 60.59; H, 3.09; N, 6.92; **S,** 3.17. Found: C, 60.45; H, 3.36; N, 6.30; s, 3.45.

Tosylimido-Bridged Iron(II1) meso-Tetra-p -tolylporphyrin Chloride Felll(TTP)(NTs)(C1) (2c). 2c was prepared by starting from 0.076 g of $Fe^{III}(TTP)(Cl)$ and 0.15 g of PhI=NTs (0.083 g, yield 90%). Anal. Calcd for C₅₅H₄₃N₅SO₂C1Fe: C, 71.09; H, 4.66; N, 7.54; S, 3.45. Found: C, 70.92; H, 4.89; N, 7.80; **S,** 3.61.

The other characteristics of complexes **2a-c** (mass, UV-vis, 'H NMR, and IR spectral data) are included in the tables.

Registry No. 1, 55962-05-5; **2a,** 11 1468-47-4; **2b,** 11 1468-48-5; **2c,** 11 1468-49-6; Fe(TPP)(Cl), 16456-81-8; Fe(TpCIPP)(CI), 36965-70-5; Fe(TTP)(Cl), 19496-18-5; Fe(TPP)(NTS)(Br), 11 1468-50-9; Fe(TP-P)(Br), 25482-27-3.

Supplementary Material Available: Thermal parameters *(CJj)* for all anisotropic atoms (Table V), positional parameters for hydrogen atoms (Table VI), a full set of bond lengths (Table VII), and a full set of bond angles (Table VIII) (8 pages); observed and calculated structure factor amplitudes $(X10)$ for all observed reflections (Table IX) (16 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Contribution from the USDA/ARS Western Regional Research Center, Albany, California 947 10, and Department of Chemistry, Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, Florida **3343** 1

Correlation of Redox and Spectroscopic Properties in Seven-Coordinate Oxomolybdenum(VI) Hydroxylamido Catecholato Complexes

Stephen F. Gheller,^{1a} William E. Newton,*^{1a} Ligia Pabon de Majid,^{1b,c} Julie R. Bradbury,^{1b,d} and Franklin **A.** Schultz*lb,e

Received May 28, I987

Seven-coordinate MoO(cat)(ONR₂)₂ complexes (R = Me, Et, Bz) are prepared by reaction of cis-MoO₂(ONR₂)₂ with catechol and its 4-nitro, 4-methyl, and 3,5-di-tert-butyl derivatives. Correlations among the electrochemical and IR, UV-visible, and ⁹⁵Mo NMR spectroscopic properties of these compounds are reported and contrasted with the behavior of compositionally similar NMR spectroscopic properties of these compounds are reported and contrasted with the behavior of compositionally similar
MoO(cat)(S₂CNEt₂)₂ species. Both series of complexes undergo Mo-centered reductions and catech between the difference in Mo- and catechol-centered redox potentials $(\Delta E_{\text{redox}})$ and the energy (hv_{ct}) of the LMCT; however, values of $\Delta E_{\rm redox}$ and $h\nu_{\rm ct}$ are consistently larger for MoO(cat)(ONR₂)₂. This result implies that R₂NO⁻ interacts more strongly than $Et₂NCS₁⁺$ with the $[MoO(cat)]²⁺ center, thus producing a greater separation between the filled catcholate and vacant Mo orbitals$ involved in the electrochemical and spectroscopic transitions. The MoO(cat)(ONR₂)₂ (R = Me, Et) complexes exhibit a ⁹⁵Mo NMR chemical shift that correlates linearly with the wavelength of the LMCT in accord with shielding theory for heavy nuclei. A secondary correlation exists between δ ⁽⁹⁵Mo) and the Mo-centered reduction potentials, apparently as a result of the relationship between electrochemical and optical properties. Solid-state FT/IR spectra of the 4-nitro and 3,5-di-tert-butyl derivatives of $MO(cat)(ONR₂)₂$ show two Mo=O stretching frequencies between 915 and 930 cm⁻¹. These are attributed to the two geometric isomers that become possible when an unsymmetrically substituted catechol ligand is bound to $[MoO(ONR₂)₂]$ ²⁺.

Introduction

Interest in oxomolybdenum compounds derives, in part, from the presence of this functionality at the active site of molybdenum hydroxylase enzymes.² Discovery of a pterin ring in the cofactor of these enzymes³ raises the possibility that this organic unit may participate in electron transfer with the metal center, possibly during catalysis. Thus, we have become interested in oxomolybdenum complexes with redox-active ligands. Recently, we described⁴ the preparation and electrochemical characterization
of a series of oxomolybdenum(VI)-catecholate complexes with

⁽³⁶⁾ Cromer, D. T.; Waber, J. T. *International Tables for X-ray Crystal- lography;* Kynoch: Birmingham, England, 1974; Vol. IV, Table 2-2b.

of a series of **oxomolybdenum(V1)-catecholate** complexes with (1) (a) USDA/ARS Western Regional Research Center. **(b)** Florida Atlantic University. (c) NSF Research Opportunity Award participant from the Department **of** Chemistry, University of Cayey, Cayey, PR 00633. (d) Present address: Department of Chemistry, Washington University, St. Louis, MO 63130. (e) Present address: Department of Chemistry, Indiana University-Purdue University at Indianapolis, Indianapolis, IN 46223.

^{(2) (}a) Garner, C. D.; Bristow, S. In Molybdenum Enzymes, Spiro, T. G., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1985; Chapter 7. (b) Holm, R. H.; Berg, J. M. Pue Appl. Chem. N. H. Berg, J. New Republic Republic Republic Republic Republic Re Academic: New York, 1975; Vol. 12, Chapter 6. 213, 167.

^{(3) (}a) Johnson, J. L.; Hainline, B. E.; Rajagopalan, K. V.; Arison, B. H. J. Biol. Chem. 1984, 259, 5414. (b) Johnson, J. L.; Rajagopalan, K. V. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1982, 79, 6856. (c) Cramer, S. P.; Siefel, E.

^{(4) (}a) Bradbury, J. R.; Schultz, F. A. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 4408. (b)
Bradbury, J. R.; Schultz, F. A. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 4416. (c)
Schultz, F. A. J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem. 1986,