absorption band in $[Ta_6Cl_{12}] (OMe)_2$. The same is observed for the strong doublet in the region of **400** cm-' originating from Ta-O stretching (from $OCH₃$ groups). These shifts should be the consequence of the shortening of the Ta-OMe terminal bond distances in the compounds containing the $[Ta_6Cl_{12}]^{4+}$ unit as compared to those compounds of the $[Ta_6Cl_{12}]^{2+}$ unit. This effect was previously observed and confirmed by the crystal structure determination for $[Nb_6Cl_{12}]^{n+}$ and $[Ta_6Cl_{12}]^{n+}$ $(n = 2-4)$ analogues.^{15,24} The deuteriated form of $Na_2[Ta_6Cl_{12}] (OMe)_6$ shows significant shifts of all CD_3 vibrations to lower wavenumbers, as expected.25

'H NMR Spectra. Proton magnetic resonance spectra of all $M'_{2}[Ta_{6}Cl_{12}] (OMe)_{6}$.6MeOH were recorded in highly concen-

(24) Koknat, F. W.; McCarley, R. E. *Inorg. Chem.* **1974,** *13,* **295.**

(25) Falk, M.; Whalley, E. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1961,** *34,* **1554.**

trated methanol- d_4 solutions. The spectrum of the lithium compound is shown in Figure 2. Between the methyl proton signal of the methanol at 3.30 ppm and hydroxyl proton signal at 5.09 ppm there is a sharp peak at 4.21 ppm, indicating the presence of protons of the methoxide ligands. The sharpness of this peak indicates the equivalency among the six methoxide ligands present. Slow exchange of the methoxide ligands with solvent methanol leads to a decrease in intensity of the signal at 4.21 ppm, which becomes noticeable after 1 h.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy through the Joint U.S.-Yugoslav Board for Scientific Cooperation, by the Research Council of S.R. Croatia, and by the US. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences Division, under Contract PN-739. We also thank the National Science Foundation for partial support (Grant CHE-8406822).

> Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60680

Preparation of Bis(trifluoromethyl)tellurium, Bis(trifluoromethyl)selenium, Bis(trifluoromethyl)diselenium, Tris(trifluoromethyl)antimony, Tris(trifluoromethyl)arsine, Bis(trifluoromethy1)arsenic Iodide, Tris(trifluoromethyl)phosphine, and (Trifluoromethy1)phosphorus Diiodide by Reaction of Bis(trifluoromethy1)mercury with the Group 5 and 6A (15 and 16) Halides

Edward **A.** Ganja, Cynthia D. Ontiveros, and John **A.** Morrison*

Received June 1, 1988

The reactions between Hg(CF,), and representative halides of the lower elements of main groups **5 (15)** and **6A (16)** have been investigated. At 155 °C the reaction between TeBr₄ and Hg(CF₃)₂ affords Te(CF₃)₂ in 92% yield. In 4.5 h at 210 °C SeBr₄ and Hg(CF₃)₂ form Se(CF₃)₂ and Se₂(CF₃)₂ in 67% and 3% yields, respectively; in 2.5 h at 170 °C they generate Se(CF₃)₂ and Se₂(CF₃)₂ in 26% and 57% yields, respectively. At 165 °C SbI₃ and Hg(CF₃)₂ produce Sb(CF₃)₃, 63%. The interaction of AsI₃ and Hg(CF₃)₂ can result in either As(CF₃)₃, 75%, or As(CF₃)₂I, 54%. Similarly, exposure of PI₃ to Hg(CF₃)₂ can synthesize either P(CF3),, **55%,** or P(CF,)I,, **37%.** In general, these reactions are more convenient than the classic route pioneered by Emeleus and his students, the interactions of trifluoromethyl iodide with the elements.

Introduction

Perfluoroalkyl derivatives of the late main-group elements, the elements of groups 5A (15) and 6A (16), are'currently under examination for a variety of reasons. The central thrust of various studies ranges from detailing the differences in reactivity afforded by the "substitution" of more electronegative perfluoroalkyl groups for perhydroalkyl substitutents in ligands like thiolates, alkoxides, and phosphides, e.g., $Mo(CO)_{3}(Cp)SCF_{3}$, $Re(C-t-Bu)(NAr)$ - $(OCMe(\bar{C}F_3)_2)_2^2$ and $Fe(CO)_2(Cp)P(CF_3)_2^3$ to studying multiply bonded compounds of the heavier atoms, e.g., $CF_3P=CF_2^4$ and $CF₃As=CF₂$ ⁵ to assessing high-valent oxo acids as fuel cell electrolytes, e.g., $(CF_3)_2P(O)OH$.⁶ Potential uses of perfluoroalkyl-substituted ligands include the fine tuning of the electronic properties of a metal complex by means of a judicious selection

25, 3177. Grobe, **J.;** Le Van, D. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1986,** *311,* **37.** of the groups attached to, for example, a phosphine, thereby enhancing the catalytic properties of the system, $\frac{7}{1}$ or the introduction of chiral centers by ligands such as $PMe(Ph)(CF_3)$.

Although a variety of alternative syntheses of the trifluoromethyl derivatives of the lower group 5 and 6A elements have been proposed, in practice the procedure almost universally employed is based upon that originally developed by Emeleus and his students during the period 1949-1958. These preparations involve the reactions of any of the elements P, As, Sb, S, and Se with $CF₃I$ at elevated temperatures, 165-285 °C, and high pressures, ca. 50 atm. $8-12$ While this type of reaction has been

- **(10)** Dale, **J.** W.; Emeleus, H. **J.;** Haszeldine, R. N.; Moss, **J.** H. *J. Chem. SOC.* **1957, 3708.**
- **(1 1)** Brandt, G. **A.** R.; Emeleus, H. **J.;** Haszeldine, R. **N.** *J. Chem.* **Soc. 1952, 2198.**
- **(12)** Dale, **J.** W.; Emeleus, H. **J.;** Haszeldine, R. N. *J. Chem. SOC.* **1958, 2939.**

Treichel, P. M.; Nakagaki, P. C. *Organometallics* **1986, 5, 711.**

Schrock, R. R.; Weinstock, I. A.; Horton, A. D.; Liu, A. H.; Schofield,
M. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1988, *110*, 2686.
Dobbie, R. C.; Mason, P. R. J. *Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* 1976, 189.

Steger, **B.;** Oberhammer. H.; Grobe, **J.;** Le Van, D. *Inorg. Chem.* **1986,**

Mahmood, T.; Shreeve, **J.** M. *Inorg. Chem.* **1986,25, 3128.** Cen, **W.;** Dong, *2.;* Huang, T.; **Su,** D.; Shreeve, **J.** M. *Inorg. Chem.* **1988, 27, 1376.**

⁽⁷⁾ See, for example: Grim, *S.* 0.; Sangokoya, *S.* A.; Colquhoun, **I. J.;** McFarlane, W.; Khanna, R. K. *Inorg. Chem.* **1986,25,2699.** Murdzek, **J.** S.; Blum, L.; Schrock, R. R. *Organometallics* **1988, 7, 436.**

⁽⁸⁾ Bennett, F. W.; Emeleus, H. J.; Haszeldine, R. N. J. Chem. Soc. 1953, 1565. Details of a related reaction, that between P_4 and CHF₂I, can be found in: Burg, A. B. *Inorg. Chem.* 1985, 24, 3342. **(9)** Brandt, **G. R. A.;** Emeleus, H. **J.;** Haszeldine, **R.** N. *J. Chem.* Soc. **1952,**

^{2552.}

repeatedly utilized to successfully generate desired trifluoromethyl derivatives of the elements detailed above, there are several drawbacks inherent to the method. Among the **less** desirable features of some of the various protocols are the uses of steel autoclaves, which often must be passivated by sacrificing the first run of the preparation, molten salt baths for relatively precise temperature control, expensive reagents, extensive recycling, and product separations, which may be lengthy.

Previously we have examined the ligand-exchange reactions between the mercurial $Hg(CF_3)_2$ and a variety of different types of metal halides.¹³⁻¹⁵ Although there are exceptions, for relatively electron-poor elements the thermal stability of the anticipated trifluoromethylated product is usually insufficient to withstand the temperatures required for the exchange between the mercurial and the metal halide to proceed at reasonable rates.¹⁴ The trifluoromethyl derivatives of the relatively electron-rich group *5* and 6A elements, however, are among the most stable known. Thermal decomposition is not observed even at temperatures far in excess of the $100-130$ °C that has been previously employed in successful ligand-exchange reactions utilizing $Hg(CF_3)_2$.^{16,17}

The overall objective of this study was to determine whether ligand-exchange reactions between $Hg(CF_3)$ ₂ and representative halides of the elements Te, Se, Sb, As, and P would occur to generate the trifluoromethyl derivatives of these elements in reasonable yield or if the presence of the (Lewis) acidic mercurial salts in the reaction mixtures might catalyze the decomposition of the $M-CF_3$ linkage (forming the metal fluoride), as has been previously observed, for example, in the more fully substituted (trifluoromethyl)germanes and -stannanes.^{16,17} An additional objective of this study was to examine the possibility of controlling the composition of the product mixture obtained by altering either the thermal conditions or the exposure times in order to enhance the amounts of partially substituted derivatives, compounds like As(CF_3)₂I or P(CF_3)I₂, that were isolated.

Since high-yield alternative syntheses of both $S(CF_3)_2$ and $S_2(CF_3)$ currently exist,^{18,19} the formation of these compounds by reactions with $Hg(CF_3)_2$ was not studied at this time. Examination of the bismuth trihalides was also deferred since Bi- $(CF₃)₃$ is known to decompose at 100 °C.²⁰

Experimental Section

General Procedures. Compound manipulations were carried out in glovebags, in gloveboxes, or with standard vacuum lines. Mass spectral data at 70 eV were recorded on an AEI MS-30 (double focussing) or on a Hewlett-Packard 5985A (quadrupole) instrument. The former operated with the source at ambient temperature, and the latter with the source at **200** "C. Volatile samples were introduced through a gas-phase inlet system (MS-30) or by injection onto a GC-MS column (HP **5985A);** less volatile solids were examined by means of solid probe techniques. Ambient temperature ^{19}F , ^{31}P , ^{13}C , ^{125}Te , and ^{77}Se NMR spectra were obtained from neat samples contained in sealed 4-mm tubes with IBM 200-SY or Bruker AM-400 spectrometers; external D₂O was employed as the lock solvent. Positive chemical shifts are deshielded relative to the standards, external CF_3CO_2H , 85% H_3PO_4 , $Si(CH_3)_4$, $Te(CH₃)₂$, and $Se(CH₃)₂$, respectively.

Bis(trifluoromethyl)mercury, formed from the thermal decarboxylation of $Hg(CF_3CO_2)_2$,¹³ was twice sublimed prior to use. Phosphorus triiodide and SbI, were prepared by interaction of the elements with **I2** in CH_2Cl_2 or C_6H_6 ; AsI₃ was generated from the oxide by reaction with KI in concentrated $HC1²¹$ The triiodides were purified by sublimation

- **(13)** Lagow, R. **J.;** Eujen, R.; Gerchman, L. L.; Morrison, J. **A.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1978,** *100,* **1722.**
- (14) Krause, L. J.; Morrison, J. A. *Inorg. Chem.* **1980**, 19, 604; J. Chem.
Soc., Chem. Commun. **1980**, 671; J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1981**, 103, 2995.
(15) Ontiveros, C. D.; Morrison, J. A. Organometallics **1986**, 5, 1446.
(16
-
- 177.
(17) Morrison, J. A. *Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem.* **1983**, 27, 293.
(18) Lawless, E. W.; Harman, L. D. *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* **1969**, 31, 1541.
Sauer, D. T.; Shreeve, J. M. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **1971**, 1, 1.
-
-
- **(19)** Emeleus, H. **J.;** Mac Duffie, D. E. *J. Chem. SOC.* **1961,** *2597.* Haas, **A.** *Chem. Ber.* **1965,** *98,* **1709.** See also: Haszeldine, **R.** N.; Kidd, **J.** M. *J. Chem. SOC.* **1953, 3219.**
- **(20)** Morrison, J. **A.;** Lagow, R. J. *Inorg. Chem.* **1977,** *16,* **1823. (21)** Bailar, J. **C.,** Jr. *Inorg. Synth.* **1939,** *1,* **103.**
-

Table I. Chemical Shifts (ppm) and Couplings (Hz) for Group **5** and **6A** Trifluoromethyl Compounds

group 5A (15)	$\delta(^{19}F)$	$\delta(^{13}C)$	$J_{\text{C-F}}$	group 6A (16)	$\delta(^{19}F)$	$\delta(^{13}C)$	J_{C-F}
$Sb(CF_1)$	35.4	132.8	359	$Te(CF_1)$,	54.5^{d}	105.9	352
$As(CF_1)$ $As(CF_3), I$ As(CF ₃)I ₂	28.6 23.9 18.9	128.3	340	$Se(CF_1)$, Se ₂ (CF ₃)	43.1 ^e 37.1^{j}	122.5 118.4	331 336
$P(CF_1)$ $P(CF_1),$ $P(CF_3)I_2$	24.1 ^a 18.8^{o} 14.2^{c}	126.6	316				

 $^a\delta(^{31}P) = -1.7$ ppm; $^2J_{P-F} = 85$ Hz. $^b\delta(^{31}P) = 0.8$ ppm; $^2J_{P-F} = 70$ Hz. $c \delta(^{31}P) = 57.1$ ppm; $^{2}J_{P-F} = 48$ Hz. $d \delta(^{125}Te) = 1368$ ppm; $^{2}J_{T_{P-F}}$ $= 32 \text{ Hz.}$ $\epsilon b(^{77}\text{Se}) = 718 \text{ ppm.}$ $\delta (^{77}\text{Se}) = 548 \text{ ppm.}$

at 30, 110, or 150 °C. Purity confirmation was by melting point determination. Tellurium tetrabromide and SeBr, were purchased from Strem Chemicals and used as obtained.

Reactions of Main Group 6 Halides with $Hg(CF_3)_2$ **. Formation of Te(CF,)2.** Under inert atmosphere, TeBr4, **1.1493** g **(2.57** mmol), and Hg(CF₃)₂, 2.6087 g (7.70 mmol), were placed into a cylindrical reactor fashioned from 20-mm Pyrex tubing. The reactor, 80 mm in length, was cooled to -196 °C, evacuated through an attached 4-mm Teflon valve, and then heated to 155 ± 5 °C in an oil bath containing silicone oil. After **2.5** h, the reaction was terminated and the volatile contents of the reactor were removed to a vacuum line and then fractionated. Most of the material (ca. **92%)** passing through the **-131** "C trap **(0.4444** g) was CF,Br. The product, bright yellow Te(CF3)2, **0.6268** g **(2.36** mmol), passed through a trap held at **-95** OC but was retained at **-1 16** "C. The isolated yield was **92%.** Confirming NMR data are contained in Table Mass spectrometry of the residual solid demonstrated the presence of $Hg(CF_3)_2$, $Hg(CF_3)Br$, and $HgBr_2$.

Formation of Se(CF₃)₂. Selenium tetrabromide, 0.8387 g (2.10 mmol), and Hg(CF₃)₂, 2.1328 g (6.30 mmol), were similarly loaded into a reactor and then heated to 170 ± 5 °C for 25 h. The reactor was cooled to -10 °C, and the products volatile at that temperature were fractionated on the vacuum line. Fluorocarbons were removed by passage through a -131 °C bath. The mixture retained in the -131 °C bath was fractionated at -116 °C. The material passing through this bath was clear, colorless Se(CF₃)₂. The yield of Se(CF₃)₂, 0.2054 g (0.947 mmol), was 45%, based upon the amount of SeBr₄ taken. The material held in the -116 °C bath was yellow Se₂(CF₃)₂, 0.0385 g (0.13 mmol), which formed in **12%** yield. Confirming NMR data are in Table I.

In a separate reaction SeBr₄, 0.6927 g (1.74 mmol) , and $Hg(CF_3)_2$, **1.7675** g **(5.22** mmol), were held at **180** "C for **12** h. Separation as above gave Se(CF₃)₂, 0.1251 g (0.577 mmol), 33%, and Se₂(CF₃)₂, 0.1095 g **(0.370** mmol), **43%.**

After 2.5 h at 170 °C, SeBr₄, 0.6588 g (1.65 mmol), and Hg(CF₃)₂, **1.6853** g **(4.98** mmol), gave Se(CF3)2, **0.0937** g **(0.432** mmol), **26%,** and Se2(CF,),, **0.1381** g **(0.467** mmol), **57%.**

In a fourth reaction SeBr₄, 0.7441 g (1.865 mmol), and $Hg(CF_3)_2$, **1.9198 g (5.670 mmol), were held at 210 °C for 4.5 h. Separation as** above resulted in Se(CF₃)₂, 0.2706 g (1.247 mmol), 67%, and Se₂(CF₃)₂, **0.0087** g **(0.029** mmol), **3%.**

Reactions of Main Group 5 Iodides with Hg(CF₃)₂. Formation of **Sb(CF₃)₃.** Antimony triiodide, 1.6752 g (3.33 mmol), and $Hg(CF_3)_2$, **3.9866** g **(1 1.77** mmol), were analogously introduced into a reactor, and the reagents were heated to 165 ± 5 °C. After every 2 h the reactor was cooled to -10 °C and the volatile contents were removed and fractionated at -63 °C. The material retained at that temperature was returned to the reactor and again heated to 165 °C; the material passing through the -63 °C bath was set aside until the end of the reaction. Although the vast majority of the stibines were produced during the **first 2 h,** the reaction was continued until no further Sb(CF3), was recovered, **8** h.

Separation at -131 °C yielded CF₃I. Although traces of (CF₃)₂SbI, ca. 2%, were also recovered, tris(trifluoromethyl)stibine was the major product. The colorless species, Sb(CF,),, **0.6899** g **(2.098** mmol), was isolated in **63%** yield based upon the amount of SbI, employed.

In a separate reaction SbI₃, 0.8958 g (1.78 mmol), and $Hg(CF_3)_2$, **0.8880** g **(2.62** mmol), were allowed to interact for a total of **1** h at **190** ^oC. The reactor was cooled to -10 ^oC, and all of the material that was volatile at that temperature was removed. Separation resulted in Sb(C-F,),, **0.1405** g **(0.43** mmol), **25%,** based upon Sb13. See Table I.

Formation of As(CF,),. Arsenic triiodide, **2.243** g **(4.92** mmol), and Hg(CF,)2, **2.500** g **(7.38** mmol), were separately placed into a reactor of the type described above, the dinitrogen was removed, and the vessel contents were heated to 165 °C for 2 h. The reactor was cooled to -10 OC, and the volatile contents were removed and fractionated at **-78** OC.

Table II. Trifluoromethylation Reactions of Main Group 5 and 6 Halides with Hg(CF₃)₂

			% yield	
reactants	major products	conditions: $t, h, T, °C$	$Hg(CF_3)_2 + MX_n$	$CF_1I + M^4$
		Group 6		
$Hg(CF_3)_2 + TeBr_4$	$Te(CF_3)_2$	2.5; 155	92	0 ^b
$Hg(CF_3)_2 + SeBr_4$ $Hg(CF_3)_2 + SeBr_4$ $Hg(CF_3)_2 + SeBr_4$ $Hg(CF_3)_2 + SeBr_4$	$Se(CF_3)_2 + Se_2(CF_3)_2$ $Se(CF_3)_2 + Se_2(CF_3)_2$ $Se(CF_3)_2 + Se_2(CF_3)_2$ $Se(CF_1)_2 + Se_2(CF_1)_2$	4.5:210 25:170 12:180 2.5; 170	67:3 45:12 33; 43 26:57	48;13c
		Group 5		
$Hg(CF_3)_2 + SbI_3$ $Hg(CF_3)_2 + SbI_3$	$Sb(CF_3)$ $Sb(CF_1)$	8:165 1:190	63 25	64
$Hg(CF_3)_2 + AsI_3$ $Hg(CF_3)_2 + AsI_3$ $Hg(CF_3)_2 + AsI_3$	$As(CF_3)$ $As(CF_3)_3$ $As(CF_3)_2I + As(CF_3)_3$	34: 165 2:210 137; 160	75 63 54:16	70
$Hg(CF_3)_2 + PI_3$ $Hg(CF_3)_2 + PI_3$ $Hg(CF_3)_2 + PI_3$	$P(CF_3)$ $P(CF_1)$ $P(CF_1)I_2 + P(CF_1)_2I$	68; 160 2:210 12:140	55 41 37;8	35

"Where possible, yield data for these reactions have been converted from those reported (yield based upon amount of CF_3I consumed)^{8-10,12} to yield based upon the amount of limiting reagent. ^b The formation of Te(CF₃)₂ from the interaction of CF₃I with Te has not been reported. ^cIn a flow reactor with a contact time of 120 s, Se and CF₃I are reported to yield Se(CF₃)₂, 15%, and Se₂(CF₃)₂, 65%.²⁸

The retained liquid was returned to the reactor, which was again heated to 165 $^{\circ}$ C. The procedure was repeated every 2 h for 34 h.

All of the recovered volatile material was separated by fractionation at -95 and -131 °C into As(CF₃)₃, 1.041 g (3.69 mmol), 75%, based upon AsI₃, and CF₃I. Very small amounts of As(CF₃)₂I and As(CF₃)I₂ were also observed (see below).

Alternatively, AsI₃, 1.5021 g (3.29 mmol), and $Hg(CF_3)_2$, 1.6793 g (4.96 mmol), were held at 210 °C for 2 h. Tris(trifluoromethyl)arsine, 0.5808 g (2.06 mmol), was then isolated in 63% yield **(see** Table I).

Formation of As(CF₃)₂I. Arsenic triicdide, 0.400 g (0.877 mmol), was combined with Hg(CF₃)₂, 0.457 g (1.35 mmol), in a 12 \times 200 mm reactor, and after evacuation, the vessel was heated to 160 °C for a total of 20 h. After every 2 h, all of the material that was volatile at -10 °C was removed from the reactor. The remaining contents of the vessel were then heated to 160 °C for 117 h, after which all of the volatile products were removed and combined with those previously obtained.

Separation by fractionation yielded bright yellow $As(CF_3)I_2$, 0.021 g (0.05 mmol), 6%, which was held in a -30 °C trap, colorless $\text{As(CF}_3)_{3}$, 0.040 g (0.14 mmol), 16%, which was retained in a -131 °C trap, and CF31, 0.121 g (0.62 mmol), 23%. The major component of the mixture, however, was $As(CF_3)_2I$, 0.161 g (0.47 mmol), 54% based upon AsI₃. See Table **I.**

Formation of P(CF₃)₃. Under N_2 , a reactor was loaded with PI₃, 2.002 g (4.86 mmol), and Hg(CF₃)₂, 2.470 g (7.29 mmol), the noncondensable gases were evacuated, and the reagents were heated to 160 °C for 68 h. At the termination of the reaction, the vessel was cooled to -10
°C and the volatile contents were removed to the vacuum line through **a** -78 °C bath. The material passing through the -78 °C trap was fractionated at -131 °C to remove CF₃I and PF₃ and then at -95 °C. The amount of $P(CF_3)$, that passed through the -95 °C trap, 0.636 g (2.67 mmol), corresponded to a 55% yield (see Table I).

Similarly, PI₃, 0.9424 g (2.29 mmol), and $Hg(CF_3)_2$, 1.1668 g (3.45) mmol), were heated at 210 °C for 2 h. Fractionation yielded $P(CF_3)_{3}$, 0.2215 **g** (0.931 mmol), 41%.

Formation of $P(CF_3)I_2$. Excess PI_3 , 0.354 g (0.859 mmol), and Hg - $(CF_3)_2$, 0.167 g (0.49 mmol), were placed into a reactor that was attached to a vacuum line, evacuated while at -196 °C, and then heated to 140 \degree C. Every 2 h, the contents of the vessel were cooled to $-10 \degree$ C and all products volatile at that temperature were removed, whereupon heating was resumed for another 2 h. After a total of 12 h the reaction ceased.

The dark red liquid recovered was separated, and the material that passed through a -10 °C bath but was held at -30 °C was identified as $P(CF_3)I_2$ (see Tables I and II). Based upon the moles of Hg(CF₃)₂ originally taken, the isolated yield of $P(CF_3)I_2$, 0.063 g, 0.18 mmol), corresponded to 37%. Small amounts of $P(CF_3)_2I$, 8%, were also isolated.

Results and Discussion

The isolated yields of the various trifluoromethylated derivatives that were prepared during the course of this study and the conditions under which they were formed have **been** collected in Table 11. For comparison, the yields of the compounds obtained from the interaction of $CF₃I$ with the elements at elevated temperatures are also presented in Table I1 **(see,** however, footnotes *a-c).* The most dramatic difference between the results of the two methods is that $Te(CF_3)_2$, which has not as yet been isolated from reactions between CF31 and the element, is easily prepared in **92%** yield from the reactions between the mercurial $Hg(CF_3)_2$ and TeBr₄. Generally, the yields of the other products reported here are somewhat greater than those obtained from the reactions of $CF₃I$ with the elements (see Table 11).

Collectively, all of these syntheses represent very convenient preparations of the trifluoromethylated compounds considered. Although we are aware of no reason that the reactions could not have been carried out in autoclaves, all of these experiments utilized vessels constructed from standard wall Pyrex tubing to which 4-mm Teflon valves had **been** fused. Aside from the desired trifluoromethylated derivatives, the major volatile products consisted of the appropriate trifluoromethyl halide, CF_3I or CF_3Br , and, for the group 5A (15) compounds, the trifluoromethylated derivatives containing fewer or, for $P(CF_3)I_2$ and $As(CF_3)_2I$, more CF, groups. Minor components, which were not always observed, included small amounts of SiF₄, traces of C_2F_4 and C_3F_6 , and, for the group 6A (16) compounds, CF_2Br_2 . Trifluorophosphine and AsF_3 were formed in small amounts in the PI₃ and AsI₃ reactions, respectively. Separation procedures involving fractional condensations with conventional slush baths proved adequate for purification.

All of the reactions are somewhat temperature sensitive, as is shown in Table II for $SeBr_4$, SbI_3 , AsI_3 , and PI_3 . With the exception of $\text{Se(CF}_3)_2$, which is further discussed below, the highest yields are obtained with less elevated temperatures but much longer reaction times. For $AsI₃$ and $PI₃$, the decrease in the isolated yields of the trisubstituted compounds is only about 10-15% even if the reaction temperature is increased by ca. 50 $°C.$ This is not the case for the SbI₃ reaction, however, since the product, $Sb(CF_3)$, begins to decompose near 180 °C. For the antimony reaction, reasonably precise temperature control is required.

Both the mass and the NMR spectra, the latter found in Table I, are in complete accord with the formulation of the compounds as expressed and, where available, with that found in the literature.^{$13,22-27$} As shown in Table I, the expected trends within this

- (22) Nixon, J. F. *J. Chem. SOC.* **1965, 777.** (23) Packer, K. J. *J. Chem. SOC.* **1963,** *960.*
-
- (24) Harris, R. K. *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **1963**, 10, 309.
(25) Dobbie, R. C.; Cavell, R. G. *Inorg. Chem.* **1967**, 6, 1450.
(26) Gombler, W.; Weiler, H. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **1980**, 15, 279. Gombler,
- **W.** *Z. Naturforsch.* **1981,** *368, 535.*
- **(27)** Marsden, C. J. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **1975,** *5,* **401.**
- (28) Kashcheeva, L. S.; Lavrent'ev, **A.** N.; Sochilin, E. G. *Zh. Obshch. Khim.* **1974,** *44,* 2108.

data set are evident. For example, other things being equal, ascending the periodic table results in a shielding of the ¹⁹F resonance of ca. $4-5$ ppm from $As(CF_3)_3$ to $P(CF_3)_3$ or from $As(CF_3)I_2$ to $P(CF_3)I_2$ and slightly more from $Sb(CF_3)_3$ to As- $(CF_3)_3$. Similarly, in the group 6A derivatives, i.e., from Te $(CF_3)_2$ to $\text{Se}(CF_3)_2$, the ¹⁹F resonance is shielded, here by 11 ppm. The 13C-F coupling constants decrease as the size of the central atom decreases. The mass spectra, which will be discussed separately, were as expected; they contain all of the ions anticipated for each compound including the appropriate molecular ion, the $(M - F)^+$ ion, and the $(M - CF_3)^+$ ion in each case. Ions of mass greater than the molecular ion were not observed.

For the group 5A elements one of the principal differences between the reactions of antimony and the others that were examined here is related to the observation that the activation energy for self-exchange (redistribution) reactions decreases as the group is descended.¹⁰ Thus, in addition to ligand interchanges between the antimony and the mercury centers like those depicted in eq 1 and 2, redistribution reactions between antimony centers, like that shown in *eq* 3, contribute significantly. Under the conditions employed here, this last reaction controls the product distribution.
 $Hg(CF_3)_2 + SbI_3 \rightarrow Hg(CF_3)I + Sb(CF_3)I_2$ (1)

$$
Hg(CF_3)_2 + SbI_3 \rightarrow Hg(CF_3)I + Sb(CF_3)I_2 \qquad (1)
$$

$$
Hg(CF_3)_2 + SbI_3 \rightarrow Hg(CF_3)I + Sb(CF_3)I_2 \qquad (1)
$$

\n
$$
Hg(CF_3)_2 + Sb(CF_3)I_2 \rightarrow Hg(CF_3)I + Sb(CF_3)_2I \qquad (2)
$$

\n
$$
2Sb(CF_3)_2I \rightarrow Sb(CF_3)_3 + Sb(CF_3)I_2 \qquad (3)
$$

$$
2\mathrm{Sb}(\mathrm{CF}_3)_2\mathrm{I} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sb}(\mathrm{CF}_3)_3 + \mathrm{Sb}(\mathrm{CF}_3)\mathrm{I}_2 \tag{3}
$$

The analogous redistribution reactions of phosphorus and arsenic are also well-known, but typically they occur much more slowly than the antimony reactions.¹⁰ This is the principal reason that arsenic and phosphorus derivatives which are only partially trifluoromethylated, e.g., $As(CF_3)_2I$ and $P(CF_3)I_2$, can be intercepted by periodically cooling the reactor to -10 °C (which retains the volatile $Hg(CF_3)_2$ within the vessel) and then removing the incompletely substituted species whereas, as yet, we have **been** unable to separate reasonable amounts of the partially substituted trifluoromethyl derivatives of antimony by a similar procedure.

In part, the higher yield of the group 6A (16) compound Te- $(CF_3)_2$, relative to the yield of Se $(CF_3)_2$, appears to be a reflection of the lesser stability of the Te-Te bond relative to that of the Se-Se bond. During the early stages of the $Te(CF_3)_2$ syntheses, the reactor contents assume a very deep red coloration similar to that associated with $Te_2(CF_3)_2$,¹³ but within a few minutes this hue fades, and then only the straw-yellow color of $Te(CF_3)_2$ is observed. However, by a judicious selection of the reaction conditions, substantial amounts of the monovalent $Se_2(CF_3)_2$, 57%, can be obtained. As shown in Table 11, the isolated amounts of the diselenide decrease substantially with longer reaction times or higher temperatures.

For both $TeBr_4$ and $SeBr_4$, redox reactions clearly occur at a very early stage of the transformation. Overall, this early stage can be represented as shown in eq 4. This first stage of the $\text{SeBr}_4 + \text{Hg}(\text{CF}_3)_2 \rightarrow \text{SeBr}_2 + \text{Hg}(\text{CF}_3)Br + \text{CF}_3Br$ (4)

$$
SeBr_4 + Hg(CF_3)_2 \rightarrow SeBr_2 + Hg(CF_3)Br + CF_3Br \quad (4)
$$

reaction consists of (at least) a two-step process, and whether the first step is the reduction of $SeBr₄$ by the elimination of bromine, which then rapidly cleaves the mercury-carbon bond in $Hg(CF_3)_2$, forming $Hg(CF_3)Br$ and CF_3Br , or whether the first step is the formation of $Se(CF_3)Br_3$ by ligand exchange, the product quickly eliminating CF_3Br , is currently unproven, although the latter is currently thought to be the case. Reductive sequences, similar in principle to that shown in *eq* **4,** would generate monovalent

group 6A (16) derivatives, as shown in *eq* 5a and 5b. 2SeBrz + Hg(CF3), - Se2Brz + Hg(CF3)Br + CF3Br (5a) 2Se(CF3)Br + Hg(CF3)z -

$$
\mathrm{Se}_2(\mathrm{CF}_3)_2 + \mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{CF}_3)\mathrm{Br} + \mathrm{CF}_3\mathrm{Br} \quad (5b)
$$

The fact that CF_3I is isolated from the reactions of the main group 5 iodides with $Hg(CF_3)_2$ indicates that reduction of these elements also occurs to some extent. Whether this is a side reaction or whether the reaction pathway to the trifluoromethylated products consists primarily of reduction followed by reoxidation of the group 5A center is currently under examination.

Aside from the present study, there have been only two reports of the formation of **trifluoromethyl-substituted** derivatives of the elements of either group $5A$ (15) or group $6A$ (16) using mercurials. Both involved the synthesis of trifluoromethyl group 6A species. In the first, the reaction of elemental selenium with $Hg(CO_2CF_3)_2$ yielded $Se_2(CF_3)_2$ in 16% yield.²⁹ During the second study, an attempt to generate Te(CF₃)₂ using Hg(CO₂C F_3)₂ and TeCl₄, the vessel exploded.³⁰ In this second report the interaction of TeCl₄ with Hg(CF₃)₂ was stated to form Te(CF₃)₂ in ca. 56% yield, but somewhat curiously, an examination of the reaction between TeBr₄ and Hg(CF₃)₂ was claimed to be unproductive. 30 In actuality, as demonstrated above, the interaction of TeBr4 with Hg(CF3), generates Te(CF3)2 in **92%** yield; the separation is trivial.

In summary, the reactions of $Hg(CF_3)_2$ with the representative halides of main groups 5 and 6 examined here provide very convenient syntheses of the trifluoromethylated derivatives of these elements. The advantages over previous methods include ease of handling of the reagents, all solids, less difficulty in the separation steps, and the convenience of employing standard oil baths and glassware during the reactions. An added advantage is that $CF₃CO₂H$, the source of the $CF₃$ group here, is much less expensive than CF_3I , the most common alternative source of the CF_3 functionality. 31

Acknowledgment. The financial assistance of the National Science Foundation is gratefully acknowledged.

Registry No. Hg(CF₃)₂, 371-76-6; TeBr₄, 10031-27-3; Te(CF₃)₂, 55642-42-7; SeBr₄, 7789-65-3; Se(CF₃)₂, 371-79-9; Se₂(CF₃)₂, 372-65-6; SbI₃, 7790-44-5; Sb(CF₃)₃, 432-05-3; AsI₃, 7784-45-4; As(CF₃)₃, 432-02-0; As(CF₃)₂I, 359-55-7; PI₃, 13455-01-1; P(CF₃)₃, 432-04-2; P(C-**F₃**)**I**₂, 421-59-0; **P**(CF₃)₂**I**, 359-64-8.

- **(30) Herberg, S.; Naumann, D.** *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1982, 492, 95.**
- (31) Recent prices (1988) from one typical supplier (Aldrich) are ca.
\$10.00/mol for CF₃CO₂H and ca. \$630/mol for CF₃I. From PCR: ca.
\$8.50/mol for CF₃CO₂H, ca. \$323/mol for CF₃I.

⁽²⁹⁾ Yaravenko, N. N.; Shemanina, V. N.; Gazieva, G. B. *Zh. Obshch. Khim.* **1959,** *29,* **942. See also: Emeleus, H. J.; Dum, M. J.** *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* **1965.27, 752.**