technology Center, and the National Science Foundation under Grant 88-07498 for support. We also thank Lithco for supplying the organolithium compounds used in the preparations and Randy Shaver for help with the Gaussian analyses.

Supplementary Material Available: Figures showing the Curie-Weiss magnetic susceptibility behavior and the unit cell packing and tables listing X-ray crystal structure parameters, anisotropic thermal parameters, and H atom coordinates and isotropic thermal parameters (6 pages); a table listing observed and calculated structure factors (13 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

> Contribution from the Research Laboratory of Resources Utilization, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 4259 Nagatsuta, Midori-ku, Yokohama 227, Japan

# Preparation and Structure of Mononuclear Iron(III) Complexes [LFeCl<sub>3</sub>] and [LFe(N<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] (L = Hydrotris(1-pyrazolyl)borate and Hydrotris(3,5-dimethyl-1-pyrazolyl)borate)

Hideno Fukui, Masami Ito, Yoshihiko Moro-oka,\* and Nobumasa Kitajima\*

## Received December 27, 1989

The utility of tripod nitrogen ligands  $(HB(pz)_3)^-$  and  $(HB-pz)_3)^ (3,5-Me_2pz)_3)^-$  in synthesizing a variety of transition-metal complexes has been demonstrated in recent years.<sup>1</sup> The iron complexes ligated by these ligands are of interest especially from the bioinorganic point of view, because the rigid N3 ligand coordination mimics the multiimidazole coordination found often in the active site of non-heme iron proteins. The synthesis and characterization of a binuclear iron complex (HB(pz)<sub>3</sub>)FeO(OAc)<sub>2</sub>Fe(HB(pz)<sub>3</sub>) as a synthetic analogue for methemerythrin achieved by Lippard et al.<sup>2</sup> is a good example. However, the marked tendency to form a stable full-sandwich  $FeL_2$  is a serious problem of these ligands. Therefore, to date, a half-sandwich complex LFeX, is not known, whereas the corresponding iron complex LFeCl<sub>3</sub> with a similar tripod nitrogen ligand 1,4,7-triazacyclononane or 1,4,7-trimethyl-1,4,7-triazacyclononane was reported to be a good reactant for syntheses of a series of iron complexes.<sup>3</sup> We now found that the reaction of a binuclear iron(III) complex  $(Et_4N)_2(Fe_2OCl_6)$ with KHB(pz)<sub>3</sub> or KHB(3,5-Me<sub>2</sub>pz)<sub>3</sub> gives the mononuclear iron complex [LFeCl<sub>3</sub>]<sup>-</sup>. The syntheses and structures of the complexes and the azide derivative are described here.

#### Experimental Section

General Data. Unless otherwise stated, reactions were performed under argon. All reagents were used as received. MeCN was dried over  $CaH_2$  and distilled under an argon atmosphere.  $(Et_4N)_2(Fe_2OCl_6)$  (1) was prepared by the recently improved synthetic method.<sup>4</sup> KHB( $p_2$ )<sub>3</sub> and KHB(3,5-Me<sub>2</sub> $p_2$ )<sub>3</sub> were synthesized by the literature methods.<sup>5</sup> UV-vis and IR spectra were recorded on a Shimazu UV-260 instrument

(1) (2)

- Trofimenko, S. Prog. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 34, 115. (a) Armstrong, W. H.; Lippard, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 4837. (b) Armstrong, W. H.; Spool, A.; Papaefthymiou, G. C.; Frankel, R. B.; Lippard, S. J. Ibid. 1984, 106, 3653. (a) Wieghardt, K.; Pohl, K.; Gebert, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1983, 22, 727. (b) Wieghardt, K.; Pohl, K.; Ventur, D. Ibid. 1985, 24, 392. (c) Hartman, J. R.; Rardin, R. L.; Chaudhuri, P.; Pohl, K.; Wiesherdt, K.; Wiefer, H.; Bagaefthymiou, G. C.; Frankel, K.; Wiesherdt, K.; Weiter, J.; Bagaefthymiou, G. C.; Frankel, K.; Wiesherdt, K.; Weiter, J.; Bagaefthymiou, G. C.; Frankel, K.; Wiesherdt, K.; Weiter, J.; Bagaefthymiou, G. C.; Frankel, K.; K.; Kartin, K.; Kar (3) 392. (c) Hartman, J. K.; Rardin, K. L.; Chaudhuri, P.; Pohl, K.; Wieghardt, K.; Nuber, B.; Weiss, J.; Papaefthymiou, G. C.; Frankel, R. B.; Lippard, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 7387. (d) Chau-dhuri, P.; Winter, M.; Wieghardt, K.; Gehring, S.; Haase, W.; Nuber, B.; Weiss, J. Inorg. Chem. 1988, 27, 1564. (e) Drueke, S.; Wieghardt, K.; Nuber, B.; Weiss, J. Ibid. 1989, 28, 1414. (f) Drueke, S.; Wie-ghardt, K.; Nuber, B.; Weiss, J.; Fleischhauer, H.-P.; Gehring, S.; Haase W. I. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111. 8622. (4) Armstrong, W. H.; Lippard, S. J. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 981.
  (5) Trofimenko, S. Inorg. Synth. 1970, 12, 99.

Table I. Crystallographic Data for (Et<sub>4</sub>N)[(HB(pz)<sub>3</sub>)FeCl<sub>3</sub>] (2) and  $(Et_4N)[(HB(3,5-Me_2pz)_3)Fe(N_3)_3]$  (4)

Compound 2
orthorhombic, Pcab
$\lambda = 0.71068 \text{ Å}$
$\mu$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) = 9.12 cm <sup>-1</sup>
$2^\circ < 2\theta < 60^\circ$
no. of measd reflens = $7963$
no. of obsd reflens $(F_o > 3\sigma(F_o)) = 2166$
R = 0.0398
$R_{\rm w} = 0.0402$
Compound 4
monoclinic, Cm
$\lambda = 0.71068 \text{ Å}$
$\mu$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) = 4.78 cm <sup>-1</sup>
$2^{\circ} < 2\theta < 60^{\circ}$
no. of measd reflens = $2595$
no, of obsd reflens $(F_0 > 3\sigma(F_0)) = 1975$
R = 0.0547
$R_{\rm w} = 0.0576$

and a Hitachi 250-50 instrument, respectively. Elemental analyses were performed in the service department in the Tokyo Institute of Technology

(Et<sub>4</sub>N)[(HB(pz)<sub>3</sub>)FeCl<sub>3</sub>] (2). A 0.603-g (1.00-mmol) sample of 1 and 0.448 g (2.00 mmol) of KHB(pz)<sub>3</sub> were stirred in 20 mL of MeCN for 20 min. The mixture was filtered over Celite, and the volume of the filtrate was reduced to half under vacuum. Cooling the solution at -20 °C afforded 0.231 g of orange microcrystalline solids (yield 23%). IR (KBr):  $\nu$ (BH) 2500 cm<sup>-1</sup>. UV-vis (MeCN): 305 ( $\epsilon$  = 7600 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>), 350 nm (5200). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{17}H_{30}N_7BCl_3Fe: C, 40.39; H, 5.98;$ N, 19.40; Cl, 21.04. Found: C, 40.40; H, 6.10; N, 19.21; Cl, 21.66.

(Et<sub>4</sub>N)[(HB(3,5-Me<sub>2</sub>pz)<sub>3</sub>)FeCl<sub>3</sub>] (3). A 0.602-g (1.00-mmol) sample of 1 and 0.674 g (2.00 mmol) of KHB(3,5-Me<sub>2</sub>pz)<sub>3</sub> were stirred in 20 mL of MeCN for 1 h. The reaction mixture was filtered, and the volume of the brown filtrate was reduced to half under vacuum. Cooling this solution at -20 °C afforded 0.288 g of orange microcrystalline solids (yield 24%). IR (KBr):  $\nu$ (BH) 2554 cm<sup>-1</sup>. UV-vis (MeCN): 291 ( $\epsilon$ = 4800 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>), 394 nm (6400). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{23}H_{42}N_7BCl_3Fe$ : C, 46.85; H, 7.18; N, 16.63; Cl, 18.04. Found: C, 46.80; H, 7.48; N, 16.72: Cl. 18.31

 $(Et_4N)[(HB(3,5-Me_2pz)_3)Fe(N_3)_3]$  (4). Method A. A 0.602-g (1.00-mmol) sample of 1 and 0.675 g (2.00 mmol) of KHB(3,5-Me<sub>2</sub>pz)<sub>3</sub> were stirred in the presence of 0.520 g (8.00 mmol) of NaN<sub>3</sub> in 20 mL of MeCN for 3 h. The reaction mixture was filtered over Celite. The clear reddish brown filtrate was stored at -20 °C to give red microcrystalline solids (0.367 g, yield 30%). IR(KBr):  $\nu$ (BH) 2541 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $\nu$ (N<sub>3</sub>) 2073, 2048 cm<sup>-1</sup>. UV-vis (MeCN): 331 ( $\epsilon$  = 6200 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>), 441 (6800), 510 nm (5600). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{23}H_{42}N_{16}BFe: C, 45.34;$ H, 6.95; N, 36.78. Found: C, 45.30; H, 6.92; N, 36.82.

Method B. A 0.659-g (1.12-mmol) sample of 3 and 0.301 g (4.63 mmol) of NaN<sub>3</sub> were stirred in 30 mL of MeCN for 20 min. The formed precipitate was removed by filtration, and the filtrate was evacuated to dryness to afford 4 as red solids essentially in quantitative yield.

Structure Determinations. Crystals of 2 and 4 suitable for an X-ray analysis were grown from acetone and acetonitrile, respectively. The X-ray data were collected on a Rigaku four-circle diffractometer, Model AFC-5. The lattice parameters were determined by a least-square fit to the automatically centered 25 independent reflections with  $2\theta \lesssim 30^{\circ}$ . The crystal parameters and additional details of the data collections are given in Table I. The data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization. Secondary decay was not observed during the data collections for both complexes. The positions of the iron atoms were determined by direct methods. In both cases, the other non-hydrogen atoms were easily located by the subsequent weighted Fourier calculations. The difference Fourier map for 2 showed all of the hydrogen atoms, which were included for the refinements. For 4, all of the hydrogen atoms except the ones on the cation were found in the difference Fourier map and these were included for the calculations. The structures were refined by full-matrix least-squares methods. The weighting scheme was  $w = [\sigma^2(F_0) +$  $(pF_0)^2$ <sup>-1</sup> (p = 0.0 for 2 and 0.056 for 4). The calculations were carried out by CRYSTAN on a FACOM A-70 computer. The final positional parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms of 2 and 4 are listed in Tables II and III, respectively.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Despite our expectation at the outset of this work (generation of a novel  $\mu$ -oxo binuclear complex, [(HB(pz)<sub>3</sub>)FeOFe-

**Table II.** Positional Parameters and Equivalent Isotopic Thermal Parameters,  $B_{ex}$ , for  $(Et_4N)[(HB(pz)_3)FeCl_3]$  (2)

	····, - щ, ···· (		31 (-)	
atom	x/a	y/b	z/c	$B_{eq}, Å^2$
Fel	0.906 97 (5)	0.307 65 (3)	0.13595 (3)	2.69 (1)
CII	0.85963(10)	0.182 77 (7)	0.13869(10)	4.73 (4)
C12	0.85297 (10)	0.344 47 (7)	0.01000 (7)	3.84 (3)
Cl3	1.031 37 (10)	0.278 21 (10)	0.091 59 (10)	4.88 (4)
N1	0.94547 (25)	0.297 30 (23)	0.26226(23)	2.6 (1)
N2	0.941 37 (25)	0.423 87 (25)	0.158 47 (25)	2.8 (1)
N3	0.80012 (26)	0.34567(26)	0.197 49 (28)	3.6 (1)
N4	0.930 08 (26)	0.35017 (25)	0.32214 (25)	2.9 (1)
N5	0.92286 (26)	0.461 80 (23)	0.229 48 (25)	3.0 (1)
N6	0.801 32 (26)	0.39007 (25)	0.266 63 (28)	3.0 (1)
NIC	0.715 49 (26)	0.089 35 (25)	0.399 26 (28)	3.1 (1)
<b>B</b> 1	0.87987(41)	0.42003 (38)	0.299 36 (41)	3.4 (2)
C1	0.987 59 (37)	0.242 81 (35)	0.297 79 (35)	3.3 (2)
C2	0.99983 (44)	0.258 20 (40)	0.38144 (35)	3.9 (2)
C3	0.962 50 (38)	0.32624(35)	0.393 52 (35)	3.4 (2)
C4	0.98236(37)	0.47208 (35)	0.11220(38)	3.5 (2)
C5	0.991 47 (38)	0.541 45 (35)	0.15190 (43)	4.1 (2)
C6	0.95291 (40)	0.53323 (34)	0.22577 (38)	3.7 (2)
C7	0.72568 (38)	0.324 02 (38)	0.18794 (47)	4.4 (2)
C8	0.678 91 (46)	0.35296 (53)	0.25030(63)	5.6 (3)
C9	0.728 23 (43)	0.39406 (44)	0.297 37 (47)	4.6 (2)
CIC	0.721 20 (41)	0.17431 (37)	0.41831 (44)	3.9 (2)
C2C	0.79617 (59)	0.211 39 (53)	0.390 57 (65)	6.5 (3)
C3C	0.71486 (49)	0.07548 (49)	0.306 44 (43)	5.0 (2)
C4C	0.647 57 (65)	0.11084 (68)	0.25993 (53)	7.3 (3)
C5C	0.638 84 (41)	0.063 31 (38)	0.43877(43)	4.3 (2)
C6C	0.61995 (68)	-0.019 37 (50)	0.427 41 (65)	7.7 (4)
C7C	0.78519(43)	0.046 52 (43)	0.433 36 (46)	4.6 (2)
C8C	0.797 95 (54)	0.05688 (56)	0.52606 (59)	6.3 (3)

**Table III.** Positional and Equivalent Isotropic Thermal Parameters,  $B_{eq}$ , for  $(Et_4N)[(HB(3,5-Me_2pz)_3)Fe(N_3)_3]$  (4)

atom	x/a	y/b	z/c	$B_{eq}, Å^2$
Fe1	0.794 01	0.500 00	0.15345	3.02 (2)
B1	0.60294 (54)	0.500 00	-0.24018 (71)	3.3 (2)
NIA	0.81377 (47)	0.38375 (53)	0.30717(65)	5.2 (2)
N2A	0.765 44 (59)	0.308 27 (49)	0.295 79 (83)	6.3 (2)
N3A	0.71788 (114)	0.23219 (78)	0.284 30 (196)	13.5 (7)
NIB	0.95238(53)	0.500 00	0.246 59 (81)	4.4 (2)
N2B	1.021 92 (44)	0.500 00	0.389 27 (83)	3.9 (2)
N3B	1.090 89 (65)	0.500 00	0.522 69 (95)	6.2 (3)
NI	0.55194 (41)	0.500 00	-0.150 27 (68)	3.2 (2)
N2	0.61624 (50)	0.500 00	0.017 51 (74)	3.6 (2)
N3	0.67353 (28)	0.601 64 (28)	-0.191 20 (38)	3.3 (1)
N4	0.760 39 (31)	0.61582 (34)	-0.03019 (47)	3.1 (1)
NIC	1.021 51 (68)	0.500 00	0.868 10 (104)	6.0 (3)
Cl	0.35621 (57)	0.500 00	-0.385 55 (111)	5.5 (3)
C2	0.447 20 (56)	0.500 00	-0.208 24 (93)	4.1 (2)
C3	0.443 28 (59)	0.500 00	-0.07522 (106)	4.7 (2)
C4	0.551 23 (56)	0.500 00	0.06306 (87)	4.1 (2)
C5	0.59069(69)	0.500 00	0.23763 (109)	5.6 (3)
C6	0.58018 (59)	0.694 90 (53)	-0.462 39 (65)	5.7 (2)
C7	0.66647 (40)	0.68535(37)	-0.282 55 (52)	3.9 (1)
C8	0.75096 (44)	0.754 89 (40)	-0.179 98 (59)	4.4 (2)
C9	0.807 55 (40)	0.708 80 (41)	-0.024 23 (59)	3.7 (1)
C10	0.90521(47)	0.751 45 (52)	0.12865(68)	5.2 (2)
CIC	1.019 24 (93)	0.59963 (84)	0.769 30 (163)	10.6 (5)
C2C	0.817 47 (133)	0.500 00	0.65786 (228)	9.0 (7)
C3C	0.903 87 (102)	0.500 00	0.826 49 (157)	7.7 (5)
C4C	1.017 40 (117)	0.705 14 (80)	0.85656 (239)	13.2 (9)
C5C	1.098 45 (124)	0.500 00	1.035 67 (187)	8.7 (6)
C6C	1.22062 (166)	0.500 00	1.087 41 (292)	11.8 (9)

 $(HBpz_3)]^{2+}$ , the reaction of  $(Et_4N)_2(Fe_2OCl_6)$  (1) and  $KHB(pz)_3$  gives a mononuclear half-sandwich complex  $(Et_4N)[(HB(pz)_3)-FeCl_3]$  (2). The reaction with  $KHB(3,5-Me_2pz)_3$  yields also the corresponding complex  $(Et_4N)[(HB(3,5-Me_2pz)_3)FeCl_3]$  (3). In both cases, the yields were not very high, partly because of the significant formation of stable full-sandwich complexes  $FeL_2$  and  $FeL_2^{+,6,7}$  The prolonged reaction in particular causes the for-



Figure 1. ORTEP drawing of the crystal structure of the anion in 2.

Table IV. Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) for  $[(HB(pz)_3)FeCl_3]^-$ 

Distances				
Fe-Cl1	2.335 (2)	Fe-Cl2	2.325 (2)	
Fe-C13	2.291 (2)	Fe-N1	2.152 (4)	
Fe-N2	2.152 (4)	Fe-N3	2.175 (5)	
N1-N4	1.366 (6)	N2-N5	1.364 (6)	
N3-N6	1.363 (6)	B-N4	1.538 (8)	
B-N5	1.532 (8)	B-N6	1.529 (8)	
N1-C1	1.325 (7)	N2-C4	1.327 (8)	
N3-C7	1.330 (8)	N4-C3	1.346 (7)	
N5-C6	1.355 (7)	N6-C9	1.340 (9)	
C1-C2	1.395 (8)	C2–C3	1.366 (10)	
C4-C5	1.385 (9)	C5-C6	1.370 (9)	
C7–C8	1.380 (12)	C8-C9	1.342 (12)	
	An	gles		
Cl1-Fe-Cl2	98.13 (6)	C11-Fe-Cl3	96.44 (7)	
Cl2-Fe-Cl3	98.78 (6)	N1-Fe-Cl1	90.4 (1)	
N1-Fe-Cl2	167.0 (1)	N1-Fe-Cl3	89.9 (1)	
N1-Fe-N2	80.6 (2)	N1-Fe-N3	81.1 (2)	
N2-Fe-Cl1	168.4 (1)	N2-Fe-Cl2	89.6 (1)	
N2-Fe-Cl3	90.9 (1)	N2-Fe-N3	81.9 (2)	
N3-Fe-Cl1	89.5 (1)	N3-Fe-Cl2	89.2 (1)	
N3-Fe-Cl3	169.2 (1)	B-N4-N1	118.5 (4)	
B-N5-N2	119.8 (4)	B-N6-N3	119.6 (5)	
N4-B-N5	107.1 (5)	N4-B-N6	107.0 (5)	
N5-B-N6	109.0 (5)	B-N4-C3	132.9 (5)	
B-N5-C6	130.9 (5)	B-N6-C9	131.5 (5)	

mation of the byproduct FeL<sub>2</sub>. As a half-sandwich iron complex with tris(pyrazolyl)borate ligand, the only example reported so far is  $(HB(pz)_3)Fe(C_3F_7)(CO)_2$ , but its structure was not elucidated.<sup>8</sup> Therefore, the structure of **2** was determined by X-ray crystallography. The crystal structure of the anion in **2** is given in Figure 1 and the selected bond distances and angles are listed in Table IV. The iron has a slightly distorted octahedral coordination geometry. The distances between the iron and the nitrogens on the ligand are close to each other (2.15-2.18 Å). These are considerably longer than those found in Fe(HB(pz)\_3)<sub>2</sub>  $(1.96-1.98 \text{ Å})^6$  but are in the range of values found in (HB-(pz)\_3)FeO(OAc)<sub>2</sub>Fe(HB(pz)\_3) (2.13-2.34 Å).<sup>2</sup> Other distances, including the Fe-Cl distances, are not exceptional.

The reaction of FeCl<sub>3</sub> and KHB(pz)<sub>3</sub> (or KHB(3,5-Me<sub>2</sub>pz)<sub>3</sub>) in the presence of Et<sub>4</sub>NCl, which was expected as a more straightforward synthetic route, resulted in the sole formation of FeL<sub>2</sub> and FeL<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>. Therefore, the effectiveness of the binuclear complex 1 as a reactant for preparing the half-sandwich complexes 2 and 3 is striking. This may be indicative of the facile cleavage of the Fe–O bond rather than Fe–Cl in 1. On the other hand, the reaction of 1 and KHB(pz)<sub>3</sub> in the presence of NaOAc is known to give an acetate bridging  $\mu$ -oxo binuclear complex (HB(pz)<sub>3</sub>)FeO(OAc)<sub>2</sub>Fe(HB(pz)<sub>3</sub>).<sup>2</sup> The formation of 2 from 1 led us to the speculation that the binuclear complex is formed by a self-assembly of 2 rather than via unknown [(HB(pz)<sub>3</sub>)-

<sup>(7)</sup> Sharp, P. R.; Bard, A. Inorg. Chem. 1983, 22, 2689.

<sup>(8)</sup> King, R. B.; Bond, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 1334.



Figure 2. ORTEP drawing of the crystal structure of the anion in 4.

Table V. Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) for  $[(HB(3,5-Me_2pz)_3)_2Fe(N_3)_3]^-$ 

Distances				
Fe-N1A	2.014 (7)	Fe-N1B	2.032 (8)	
Fe-N2	2.224 (7)	Fe-N4	2.163 (5)	
NIA-N2A	1.159 (10)	N2A-N3A	1.158 (16)	
N1B-N2B	1.189 (8)	N2B-N3B	1.124 (9)	
N1N2	1.376 (8)	N1-C2	1.354 (11)	
N1-B	1.521 (13)	N3-N4	1.382 (4)	
N3-C7	1.354 (7)	N3-B	1.543 (6)	
C1-C2	1.492 (10)	C2-C3	1.391 (16)	
C3-C4	1.406 (9)	C4C5	1.499 (14)	
C4-N2	1.332 (15)	C6-C7	1.500 (6)	
C7-C8	1.386 (6)	C8-C9	1.401 (7)	
C9-C10	1.481 (6)	C9-N4	1.346 (7)	
	Angles			
N1-N2A-N3A	179.3 (1.6)	N1B-N2B-N3B	177.0 (1.2)	
N1A-Fe-N1B	93.0 (3)	Fe-N1A-N2A	135.1 (5)	
Fe-N1B-N2B	122.4 (8)	N2-Fe-N1A	92.6 (2)	
N2-Fe-N1B	172.0 (3)	N4-Fe-N1A	174.6 (2)	
N4-Fe-N1B	90.5 (2)	N2-Fe-N4	83.5 (2)	
B-N1-C2	130.5 (6)	B-N1-N2	119.8 (6)	
B-N3-C7	130.6 (3)	B-N3-N4	119.4 (4)	
N1-B-N3	108.9 (4)			

 $FeOFe(HB(pz)_3)]^{2+}$ . In fact, Wieghardt et al. reported that an aerobic treatment of LFeCl<sub>3</sub> with NaOAc gives LFeO-(OAc)<sub>2</sub>FeL.<sup>3a,3c</sup> However, attempts to synthesize (HB(pz)<sub>3</sub>)-FeO(OAc)<sub>2</sub>Fe(HB(pz)<sub>3</sub>) by treating 2 with NaOAc under various reaction conditions were all unsuccessful.

Although 2 and 3 were found to not be useful as a starting material for the synthesis of a binuclear iron complex, they may be suited as reagents for preparing a class of mononuclear iron complexes by substitution of the chloride. This possibility is demonstrated in the reaction of 3 and NaN<sub>3</sub> to give  $(Et_4N)$ - $[(HB(3,5-Me_2pz)_3)Fe(N_3)_3]$  (4). 4 is also obtained by the direct reaction of 1 and  $KHB(3,5-Me_2pz)_3$  in the presence of NaN<sub>3</sub>. A similar mononuclear azido complex LFe(N<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (L = 1,4,7-triazacyclononane and 1,4,7-trimethyl-1,4,7-triazacyclononane) was reported.<sup>3b</sup> The structure of  $[(HB(3,5-Me_2pz)_3)Fe(N_3)_3]$  anion of **4** is shown in Figure 2, and the bond lengths and angles are summarized in Table V. The complex contains a crystallographically imposed miller plane. One azide ion (N1B-N2B-N3B) lies on the Miller plane, whereas the other two (N1A-N2A-N3A and N1A'-N2A'-N3A') are related by the symmetric operation. The azide ion (N1B-N2B-N3B) positions itself along the axis formed by the boron and iron, whereas the other azide ions are almost perpendicular. The bond distances Fe-N1A and Fe-N1B are close to each other (ca. 2.0 Å) and are shorter than those between the iron and nitrogens from the tris(pyrazolyl)borate ligand (ca. 2.2 Å). The distances between the iron and azide nitrogens are slightly longer than one reported for an azido iron(III) porphyrin complex (TPP)Fe(N<sub>3</sub>)(py)<sup>9</sup> (TPP = tetraphenylporphyrinato, py = pyridine) (1.925 (7) Å). The Fe-N1A-N2A angle (135.1 (5)°) is higher than that of Fe-N1B-N2B (122.4 (8)°). The latter value is typical for azido transition-metal complexes whereas the former one is not; for instance, the angle found in (TPP)Fe(N<sub>3</sub>)(py) is 125.6 (7)°.<sup>9</sup> The spreading of the angle of Fe-N1A-N2A may be due to the steric hindrance of the ligand, since the azide ion (N1A-N2A-N3A or N1A'-N2A'-N3A') positions itself closer to the methyl groups from the tris(pyrazolyl)borate ligand than the other azide ion (N1B-N2B-N3B).

Acknowledgment. We are grateful for the support of the Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, and Science through Grant-in-Aids for Scientific Research (Nos. 62430018 and 01607003).

Supplementary Material Available: Tables SI-SV, listing crystallographic details, thermal and hydrogen atom parameters, and bond distances and angles for 2 and 4 (12 pages); Table SVI, listing observed and calculated structure amplitudes for 2 and 4 (11 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee 37235

<sup>31</sup>P and <sup>195</sup>Pt NMR Data of Several "T-Frame" Diplatinum Complexes That Exhibit Unusual Structure, Bonding, and Reactivity

Eduardo Baralt and C. M. Lukehart\*

### Received November 29, 1989

We reported recently the results of an initial, though comprehensive, study of the synthesis, structure, bonding, and reactivity of several new dinuclear complexes, including the diplatinum compounds 1a-g.<sup>1</sup> The molecular structures of complexes 1a,



1b, 1d, and 1f have been determined by X-ray diffraction.<sup>1a,2</sup> The more interesting results of this study are as follows. (1) The molecules possess a "T-frame" structure of  $C_s$  symmetry in which the principal coordination planes of the two platinum atoms have an orthogonal relative orientation. (2) The Pt-Pt distance decreases from 2.750 (2) Å for 1a to 2.685 (1) Å for 1f as the electronegativity of the ancillary set of ligands increases. (3) Theoretical calculations of the electronic structure of the cationic complex 1a indicate a large negative charge localization (nearly -1.0) on each platinum atom and a net repulsive interaction

<sup>(9)</sup> Adams, K. M.; Rasmussen, P. G.; Scheidt, W. R.; Hatano, K. Inorg. Chem. 1979, 18, 1892.

 <sup>(</sup>a) Baralt, E.; Boudreaux, E. A.; Demas, J. N.; Lenhert, P. G.; Lukehart, C. M.; McPhail, A. T.; McPhail, D. R.; Myers, J. B., Jr.; Sacksteder, L. A.; True, W. R. Organometallics 1989, 8, 2417-2430. (b) Afzal, D.; Lukehart, C. M. Organometallics 1987, 6, 546-550.

<sup>(2)</sup> Afzal, D.; Lenhert, P. G.; Lukehart, C. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 3050-3052.