and is a reaction possibility long recognized.

The slow rate of reaction of \overline{O}_2 with V(II) in CH₃CN is not unexpected in view of the high oxidation potential (1.25 V). That the reaction takes place at a noticeable rate suggests strongly that oxidation by O_2 is assisted by bond formation as suggested above. Quite unexpected is the slow rate of reaction of $V(\tilde{II})$ in CH₃OH as compared to that in H20. **A** contributing factor may well be steric demands of the methyl groups, which would interfere with bond formation through the faces of the octahedron. Equally unexpected is the observation that in neither $CH₃CN$ or $CH₃OH$ does $[VOV]^{4+}$ appear as an intermediate product in a recognizable amount.

The dominant product of the reaction of epoxides and of O_2 with $V(II)$ in $CH₂Cl₂$ or DME as solvent is $[VOV]⁴⁺$. To learn whether this species is the immediate product of the reaction of *O2* with V(11) or whether V(IV) is the immediate product, which then reacts with $V(II)$ to form $[VOV]^{4+}$, two kinds of experiments were performed. In one approach, the order of mixing for the most reactive epoxide, namely that derived from styrene, was reversed. The usual procedure was to add the epoxide to a solution of $V(11)$, an arrangement that favors the reaction of $V(IV)$ as a primary product with V(I1). Reversing the order of mixing did not lead to a substantial reduction in the yield of the binuclear ion. The experiment however must be regarded as inconclusive because we cannot be sure that the reaction of $V(II)$ with epoxide is rapid enough to make this simple strategy effective. In the second approach, efforts were made to measure the rate of reaction of **V(I1)** with V(IV), the latter prepared by the reaction of V(I1) with O_2 . Reaction was continued, passing O_2 until the color of $[VOV]^{\frac{3}{4}}$, which is the product first formed, was depleted-this leaves a solution that is a clear blue, characteristic of VO^{2+} —or in some cases, some residual $[VOV]^{4+}$ was left. The interaction of $V(II)$ with VO^{2+} thus prepared is slow enough so that we could be certain that in the case of the more reactive epoxides, $[VOV]^{4+}$ is indeed a direct product of the reaction, were it not for the fact that unexpected complications were encountered in the studies.

One of these complications was namely that when clear blue solutions of VO²⁺ were used, in some cases a considerable amount of $V(II)$ was consumed before the color characteristic of $[VOV]^{4+}$ appeared. This effect can be attributed to the presence of some

V(V) in such solutions, as is indicated also by experiments in which I- is used as reducing agent. More difficult to understand is the observation that as the $V(II)$ is increased in proportion to VO^{2+} kept in excess, the concentration of [VOV]⁴⁺, making due allowance for the effect of dilution, does not increase linearly. Rather a saturation effect is observed, as would be expected for a system in which there is a measurable equilibrium between reactants and products, so that the end points is obscured. Reasonable conjectures are that $V(II)$ and VO^{2+} , or mononuclear $V(III)$, are in equilibrium with $[VOV]^{4+}$, but as described elsewhere,¹⁶ the effects expected on dilution, if a simple equlibrium such as

$$
VO^{2+} + V(II) = [VOV]^{4+}
$$

were involved, are not observed. Finally, measurements of the rate of reaction show complex kinetics. In experiments with $V(II)$ in excess, the rate is almost independent of the concentration of $V(II)$ (over the range 10^{-5} – 10^{-2} M) while when $V(IV)$ is in excess, the reaction shows an induction period.

We conclude that we cannot be certain of the physical state of either $[VOV]^{4+}$ or VO^{2+} in these solutions, and one or both may be polynuclear. **As** a result, we can reach no conclusion **on** the issue that motivated us to undertake these experiments, because there is a real possibility that V(IV), as first produced, is different from V(IV) as it is present in the aged solutions we have dealt with in the experiments just mentioned, which we have not reported in detail.

It needs to be acknowledged that the composition of the first sphere of coordination of $V(I\bar{I})$ in the solvents acetonitrile, pyridine, and acetone with triflate as the counter ion is not known. These solvents, being non-protic, do not greatly stabilize the free anion, and thus, while we have tacitly assumed that in acetonitrile, for example, the cation exists as $V(CH_3CN)_6^{2+}$, the anions may in fact be ligated to the metal ion. This issue is now under investigation.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. CHESS1 1658. M.S. derived major salary support from the University of Nagoya, Nagoya, Japan.

Contribution from the Department of Physical and Inorganic Chemistry, University of Adelaide, South Australia 5001, Australia

Complexation of Lithium(1) and Silver(1) by 4,7,13,16-Tetraoxa- 1,10-diazabicyclo[8.8.2]eicosane in a Range of Solvents. A 'Li Nuclear Magnetic Resonance and Potentiometric Titration Study

Amira Abou-Hamdan and Stephen F. Lincoln*

Received June 19, 1990

Complexation of Li⁺ and Ag⁺ by the clamlike cryptand 4,7,13,16-tetraoxa-1,10-diazabicyclo[8.8.2]eicosane (C22C₂) to form the cryptate [Li.C22C2]+ has been studied in seven solvents by **7Li NMR** spectroscopy and potentiometric titration. A considerable variation in the $[LIC22C_2]^+$ and $[Ag.C22C_2]^+$ stability constants (shown as the respective log $(K/dm^3 \text{ mol}^{-1})$ values at 298.2 K after each solvent) occurs with solvent variation: acetonitrile $(7.8, 9.4)$, acetone $(8.9, 13.1)$, water $(<2, 6.0)$, methanol $(4.0, 1.0)$ 10.2), dimcthylformamide (3.5, 9.4), diethylformamide (3.1, 8.2), and pyridine (4.0, 5.0). The exchange of Li+ on [Li.C22C₂]+ falls within the ⁷Li NMR time scale in methanol, dimethylformamide, and diethylformamide, in which the monomolecular
decomplexation process is characterized by $k_d(298.2 \text{ K}) = 971 \pm 42$, 240 \pm 7, and 916 \pm 28 s⁻¹ In acctonitrilc, acetone, and pyridine the exchange rate **is** in the very slow regime of the 7Li **NMR** time scale close to the solvent boiling point and in the very fast regime in water close to the freezing point. These data are discussed in the context of the effects of cryptand structure and solvent characteristics on cryptate lability and stability.

cloalkancs, or cryptands, to form cryptates has generated substantial interest since Lehn first reported the cryptands.¹⁻¹⁹ We (2) Lehn, J.-M. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1978, 11, 49-57.

Introduction have been particularly interested in the relationship between Complexation of alkali-metal ions by (polyoxa)diazabicy-

cryptate structure, stability, and lability and herein report a kinetic

-
- **(3)** Lehn, J.-M. *J. Inclusion fhenom.* **1988, 6,** 351-396. **(4)** Cahen, Y. M.; Dye, J. L.; Popov, **A.** I. *J. fhys. Chem.* **1975, 79,**

⁽¹⁾ Lehn, J.-M. *Struct. Bonding (Berlin)* **1973**, *16*, 1–69. (4) Cahen, Y. 1289-1291.

Figure 1. Structure of $[Li.C22C₂]$ ⁺ viewed almost perpendicular to the N-N axis. The atomic coordinates are taken from the crystal structure¹⁹ and **all** atoms are shown as spheres of appropriate radii. The structural diagram was generated by using the program **SCHAKAL?'**

Chart 1. Diaza Crown Ethers and Cryptand Structures

and equilibrium study of [Li.C22C₂]⁺, (4,7,13,16-tetraoxa-1,10**diazabicyclo[8.8.2]eicosane)lithium(I),1s~'9** and its Ag+ analogue in a range of solvents, which affords a systematic comparison with the complexation of $Li⁺$ and Ag⁺ by related cryptands and diaza crown ethers shown in Chart I. The cryptand C22C₂ has an unusual clamlike structure resulting from the shortness of the C_2 arm, as is shown in the space-filling representation of $[Li.C22C₂]+$ (Figure I) generated from X-ray diffraction data.19,20 It is seen that Li^+ in $[Li.C22C_2]^+$ is sited deep in the throat of the cryptand, which contrasts with the solid-state structures of $[Li.C21C₅]+$ and $[Li.C211]^+$ in which Li^+ is centrally sited in the approximately spherical cavities of the cryptands.^{15,16} An additional feature of $C22C₂$ is that its bicyclic structure contains one arm that possesses

- (5) Cshen. Y. M.: Dye. J. L.: Popov. A. **1.** J. *Phys. Chem.* 1915. 79, 1292-1 295.
- Popov, A. I. Pure *Appl. Chem.* 1979, 51, 101-110.
- **(7)** Shamripur. M.: **Popav.** A. 1. J. *Phys. Chem.* 1986. 90, 5997-5999. (8) Cor. B. G.; Schneider, H.; Stroka, I. *1.* J. *Am. Chrm. Sw.* 1918. *100.* 4746-4749.
- (9) Cox. *8.* G.: Garcia-Ross. J.: Schneider. H. J. *Am. Chem.* **Soe. 1981,** *103.* 1054-1059.
- **(IO)** Cox. **B.** G.: Garcia-Rosa, **1.:** Sehncidcr, H. J. *Am. Chem. Sw.* **1981,** *103.* 1384-1389.
- (I I) Car. 8. G.: Schneider. 1.: Sehneidcr, **H.** Be?. Bumen-Ges. *Phys. Chem.* 1980, 84, 470-474.
- **(12)** Lincoln. S. F.: Breretan. 1. M.: Spotswd, T. M. J. *Chem.Sw.,* For*aday Tram. I* 1985.81. 1621-1610.
- (13) Lincoln. S. F.: Horn. E.: **Snow.** M. R.: Hambley. T. W.: Brereton, 1. M.:Sootswd.T. M. J. *Chem. Sw.. Dolron Tlons.* 1986. 1075-1080.
- (14) Lincoin. **S. F;** Bierelon. 1. M.; Spotwood, T. M. J. Am *Chem. Sw.* 1986. *108.* 8134-8138.
- (15) Abou-Hamdan, A.; Hambley, T. W.; Hounslow, A. M.; Lincoln, S. F. *J. Chem.* **Sw..** *Dolron Trans.* 1901,498-492.
- (16) Moras. **D.:** Wciss. R. *Aero Crystollogr..* **Secr.** *B: Slrurr. Crysrollogr. Cryst. Chem.* **1973**, *B29*, 400-403.
- **(17)** Lincoln. S. F.: Abu-Hamdan, A. *Inorg. Chcm.* 1990.29.1584-3589. (18) Alfheim, T.; Dale, J.; Krautwurst, K. D. *Acta Chem. Scand.* 1986, *840*, *4*0–49.
- (19) Groth. **P.** *Arra Chem. Scad* 1985, *A39.* 68-72.
- *(20)* Kcller. E. **SCHAKAL** lnstitut ftf Anarganisehe Chemic der Univcrsitat Freiburg. Freiburg. FGR.

no donor atoms, as does the structure of C21C₅. Thus C21C₅ and $C22C₂$ represent intermediate structural stages between the diaza crown ethers C21 and C22 and the cryptands C21 I, C221, and C222, in which all three arms possess donor atoms. The effects of these differences on the stability.and lability of [Li. $C22C_2$ ⁺ and $[Ag.C22C_2]$ ⁺ in a range of solvents are examined in this study.

Experimental Section

The cryptand C22C, **was** prepared as described previously." Lithium perchlorate (Fluka) **was** vacuum-dried at 153-363 **K** for 48 h and **was** then stored **over P,O,** under vacuum. Water was triply distilled. Acetonitrile. methanol. dimethylformamide, pyridine, dimethyl sulfoxide, and propylene carbonate were purified and dried by literature methods²¹ and **were** stored under nitrogen over Linde 3A **molecular** sieves in the cases of methanol and acetonitrile and **over** Linde 4A molecular sieves for the other solvents. The water content of these solvents was **below** the Karl Fischer detection level of approximately 50 ppm. Solutions of LiClO₄ and C22C₂ were prepared under dry nitrogen in a glovebox. For ⁷Li NMR studies these solutions **were** degassed and sealed under vacuum in **5-mm** NMR tubes that **were** coaxially mounted in **IO-mm** NMR tubes containing either D₂O, acetone-d₆, or dimethyl-d₆, sulfoxide, which provided the deuterium **lock** signal.

'Li NMR spectra were run at 116.59 MHz **on** a Bruker CXP-300 spectrometer. An average of 1000 transients were accumulated in a 2048 data base over a 8000-Hz spectral width for each solution prior to Fourier transformation. The solution temperature was controlled to within ± 0.3 **K** by using a Bruker B-VT **1000** temperature controller. The Fouriertransformed spectra were subjected to complete line shape analysis 22 on a VAX **11.780** system to obtain kinetic data. The temperature-dependent 'Li line widths and chemical shifts employed in the line shape analysis were extrapolated from **low** temperatures where **no** exchangeinduced modification occurred.

Stability constants for $[Ag.C22C_2]^+$ were determined through duplicate potentiometric titrations of 25 cm' of **IO-' mol** dm" AgCIO, solution with 10^{-2} mol dm⁻³ C22C₂ solution. These data were employed in the derivation of the stability constants of $[Li.C22C₂]+$ from data obtained from a competitive potentiometric titration of 25 cm³ of 10⁻³ mol dm⁻³ AgCIO₄ solution with a solution 10^{-2} mol dm⁻³ in both LiCIO₄ and $C22C₂$, as described in the literature.⁸ The titrations were carried out under dry nitrogen in a thermostated (298.2 \pm 0.01 K) titration vessel connected to a thermostated reference **vessel** by **a** salt bridge. The reference and titration electrodes **were** silver, and the reference solution was 10⁻² mol dm⁻³ AgCIO₄ in the solvent under investigation. For a given titration the titration and reference vessels and the salt bridge contained solutions made up in the same solvent. and all solutions. including that titrated into the titration vessel, were 0.05 mol dm⁻³ in Et₄NCIO₄. An Orion Research SA **720** digital analyzer **was** used to measure changes in potential.

Results and Discussion

Cryptate Stability. The complexation of $Li(I)$ and $Ag(I)$ by $C22C₂$ was studied in seven solvents (Table I) selected to provide a range of electron-donating abilities, as indicated by their Gutmann donor numbers (D_N) , ²³⁻²⁵ and also to provide a contrast between the effects of oxygen and nitrogen donor solvents. It is seen that in these solvents the stability of $[Li.C22C_2]$ ⁺ is substantially less than that of $[Ag.C22C_2]^+$ and that the difference in stability is less in the nitrogen donor solvents acetonitrile and pyridine. This is consistent with a combination of the tendency for nondirectional-bonding Li⁺ to show a preference for oxygen donor atoms and that of directional-bonding $Ag⁺$ (which has a tendency to form two strong coaxial bonds) to show a preference for nitrogen donor atoms.^{26,27} Thus the smaller difference in stability in the nitrogen donor solvents reflects the tendency *of* Ag+ to bond less effectively with oxygen donor atoms than with nitrogen donor atoms, with the consequence that a nitrogen donor

- **(21)** Perrin. **D. D.;** Aramaego, W. L. F.: Perrin, **D.** R. *Purificorion* of *Lnborolory Chemirols.* 2nd *ed,* **Pergamon:** Oxford, U.K.. 1980. (22) Lincoln, S. F. *Pmg. Reorr. Kina* 1911, 9, 1-91.
-
- (23) .. Gutmann. **V.** *Cwrdinarion Chemistrv in Nonooueous Soluriom:* Springer-Verlag: Wien. 1968.
- (24) Erlich. R. H.: Roach, E.: **Paw.** A. 1. J. *Am. Chtm.* **Sac.** 1910, 92. 49894990.
- (25) Dewitte. W. **1.: Popov.** A. **1.** J. *Solurion Chem.* 1916. *5.* 231-240. **(26)** Cotton. **F.** A.: Wilkinson. G. *Aduanced Inorganic Chrmisrry;* Interscience: **New** York. 1980.
- (27) Buschmann. H.-I. *Inorg. Chim. Arlo* 1985. 102, 95-98.

Table I. Stability Constants^a for the Complexation of Li⁺ and Ag⁺ by 4,7,13,16-Tetraoxa-1,10-diazabicyclo[8.8.2]eicosane (C22C₂) and Other Cryptands and Diaza Crown Ethers at 298.2 **K**

		$log (K/dm3 mol-1)$							
solvent	D_N^b	[Li.C22C ₂] ^{+c}	$[Li.C21C_5]^{+d}$	$[Li.C211]$ ⁺	$[Li.C221]$ ⁺	$[Li.C222]$ ⁺	$[Li.C21]$ ⁺	[Li.C22] $+$	
acetonitrile	14.1	7.8 ± 0.1	4.15	>10	10.33	6.97		4.39	
acetone	17.0	8.9 ± 0.1						2.13	
water	$18.0(33.0)^g$	\leq 2		5.5	2.50	0.98			
methanol	$19.0(23.5)^{s}$	4.0 ± 0.1	3.01	8.04	5.38	2.6			
dimethylformamide	26.6	3.5 ± 0.1	1.80	6.99	3.58			~ 0.0	
diethylformamide	30.9	3.1 ± 0.1							
pyridine	33.1	4.0 ± 0.1						0.43	
		$log (K/dm^3 mol^{-1})$							
solvent	$D_{\rm N}^{\ b}$	[Ag.C22C ₂] ^{+c}	$[Ag.C21C_5]^{+d}$	$[Ag.C211]^{+e}$	$[Ag.C221]^{+e}$	$[Ag.C222]$ ⁺	$[Ag.C21]$ ⁺	$[Ag.C22]^+$	
	14.1	9.4 ± 0.1	4.29	7.70	11.24	8.99			
acetonitrile acetone	17.0	13.1 ± 0.1							
water	$18.0(33.0)^{g}$	6.0 ± 0.1		8.5	10.6	9.6		7.90 ^h	
methanol	$19.0(23.5)^{g}$	10.2 ± 0.1	7.69	10.60	14.64	12.20	7.63'	10.02^{t}	
dimethylformamide	26.6	9.4 ± 0.1	5.23	8.60	12.41	10.07			
diethylformamide	30.9	8.2 ± 0.1							

^a In 0.05 mol dm⁻³ Et₄NCIO₄ supporting electrolyte unless otherwise indicated. ^bGutmann donor number from ref 23. ^cThis work. ^{*d*} Reference 17. Reference 9 and references therein. (Shamsipur, M.; Popov, A. **1.** *Inorg. Chim. Acra* **1980,** *43,* 243-247. In this study *I* = 0.0025 mol dm-3 (LiCIO₄). ⁸ In refs 24 and 25 it has been suggested that $D_N = 33.0$ and 23.5 are more appropriate in water and methanol solutions than $D_N = 18.0$ and 19.0, respectively, obtained in 1,2-dichloroethane solution where t ^h Arnaud-Neu, F.; Spiess, B.; Schwing-Weill, M. J. Helv. Chim. Acta 1977, 60, 2633-2643. In 0.1 mol dm⁻³ Et₄NClO₄ supporting electrolyte.

solvent can compete more strongly with a cryptand for Ag⁺ than can an oxygen donor solvent. This is also reflected in the variation of stability with the nature of the solvent. Thus for $[Li.C22C_2]^+$ stability tends to decrease as D_N increases. The apparently anomalous position of water in the sequence is resolved when a D_N value = 33.0 is employed, which is probably more appropriate to aqueous solutions than $D_N = 18.0^{24.25}$ On this basis the stability of $[Li.C22C_2]^+$ is higher than expected in pyridine, for which D_N = 33.1, and may indicate that the incorporation of the nitrogen donor atom in the ring structure causes steric hindrance which decreases the solvating power of pyridine. While the stability sequence for $[Ag.C22C_2]^+$ in the oxygen donor solvents is similar to that for $[Li.C22C_2]^+$, the stability of $[Ag.C22C_2]^+$ is decreased in acetonitrile and pyridine as a consequence of the greater ability of these nitrogen donor solvents to compete with $C22C_2$ for Ag⁺.

Generally, stabilities increase in the sequence $[Li.C21C_s]+ \sim$ $[Li.C22]^+$ < $[Li.C222]^+$ < $[Li.C22C_2]^+$ < $[Li.C221]^+$ < $[Li.$ C211]⁺, consistent with the six donor atoms of C211 and the optimal fit of Li⁺ $(r = 76 \text{ pm})^{28}$ into the C211 cavity $(r \sim 80 \text{ m})$ pm)¹ in *inclusive* [Li.C211]⁺, generating the greatest stability. The increase in stability $[Li.C222]^+$ < $[Li.C221]^+$ indicates that the effect of the decrease in electrostatic interaction with Li+ resulting from the decrease in the number of donor atoms from 8 in C222 to **7** in C221 is offset by the smaller cavity size of C221, with the consequence that $[Li.C22]$ ⁺ is the more stable cryptate.
Similarly, the increase in stability $[Li.C22]$ ⁺ < $[Li.C22C_2]$ ⁺ < [Li.C21 I]+ indicates the influence of ligand stereochemistry on ligands with the same number and type of donor atoms whereby the flexible partial cavity formed when monocyclic C22 binds Li+ confers less stability than the preformed cavities of the two cryptands.

The number **of** donor atoms is more influential in determining the relative stabilities of the $Ag⁺$ species as exemplified by the sequence $[Ag.C21]^+ \sim [Ag.C21C_5] < [Ag.C22]^+ \sim [Ag.C211]^+$ sequence $[Ag.C21]^{+} \sim [Ag.C21C_s] \le [Ag.C22]^{+} \sim [Ag.C211]^{+} \sim [Ag.C22C_s]^{+} \le [Ag.C222]^{+} \le [Ag.C221]^{+}$ in methanol. (The sequence $[Ag.C222]^{+} \le [Ag.C221]^{+}$ may indicate the superimposition of steric effects as Ag⁺ ($r=115$ pm)²⁸ fits the C221 cavity $(r \sim 110$ ppm)¹ optimally while it fits the C222 cavity $(r \sim 140$ pm) loosely, and in consequence, $[Ag.C221]$ ⁺ should gain an enhancement of stability over $[Ag.C222]$ ⁺.) The stabilities of the **Ag+** complexes decrease in acetonitrile, both in absolute magnitude and relative to the analogous Li⁺ complexes, as a consequence

of the ability of this nitrogen donor solvent to compete with ligands for Ag⁺ to a greater extent than do oxygen donor solvents. In the common oxygen donor solvents studied, methanol and dimethylformamide, the Li⁺ cryptates are less stable than their Ag⁺ analogues, but the difference in stability is less for [M.C211]+. This probably reflects the increased stability characterizing [Li.C211]+ because of its *inclusive* structure, whereas the larger $Ag⁺$ is unlikely to form an inclusive cryptate with C211 and gain this enhanced stability. A combination of the inclusive nature of [Li.C211]+ and the greater ability of a nitrogen donor solvent to compete for Ag+ accounts for the reversal of stability to $[Li.C211]^+$ > $[Ag.C211]^+$ found in acetonitrile.

Generally, it appears that variation in the character of the cryptands, diaza crown ethers, metal ions, and solvent interactions with the free and complexed metal ions are dominant factors determining the variations in stability of cryptates and diaza crown ether complexes. However, there is evidence that direct interactions between some solvents and cryptands²⁹ and crown ethers³⁰ can occur, and it may be that the solvational changes of the cryptands and diaza crown ethers and solvent influence on conformational changes occurring in the complexation processes also contribute to the variation of stability with the nature of the solvent, but such contributions are not readily identified in this study.

Cryptate Lability. In methanol, dimethylformamide, and diethylformamide complete line shape analysis²² of the temperature-dependent coalescence of the 'Li resonances arising from solvated Li⁺ and $[Li.C22C_2]^+$ (Figure 2) yields τ_c , the mean lifetime of Li^+ in $[Li.C22C_2]^+$, for solutions whose compositions are given in Table II. (For a given solution $\tau_c/X_c = \tau_s/X_s$, where τ_s is the mean lifetime of Li⁺ in the fully solvated state and X_c and *X,* are the corresponding mole fractions.) It is seen **from** Figure 3 that the temperature variations of τ_c for each of the solutions studied for a given solvent are indistinguishable. Thus the mean lifetime of $[Li.C22C2]^+, \tau_c (=1/k_d, \text{ where } k_d \text{ is the})$ decomplexation rate constant), is independent of the concentration of solvated Li+ (Table **II),** consistent with the nonparticipation of solvated Li⁺ in the rate-determining step of the predominant pathway for Li^{+} exchange on $[Li.C22C2]^{+}$, and the operation of a monomolecular mechanism for the decomplexation of Li+ from

⁽²⁸⁾ Shannon, R. D. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Cryst. Phys., Diffr,, Theor. Gen. Crystallogr. 1916, A32,* 751-767.

⁽²⁹⁾ Schmidt, **E.;** Tremillon, **J.-M.;** Kintzinger, J.-P.; Popov, **A. I.** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983,** *105,* 7563-7566.

⁽³⁰⁾ Mosier-Boss, P. **A,; Popov, A. I.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1985,** *107,* 61 68-6 174.

Table II. Lithium Ion Exchange on [Li.C22C₂]⁺ in Methanol, Dimethylformamide, and Diethylformamide and Solution Composition and Kinetic Parameters^a

soln	solvent	$[Li^*_{solv}],$ mol dm ⁻³	$[Li.C22C_2^+]$, mol dm ⁻³	k_d (240.0 K), s^{-1}	$k_d(298.2 \text{ K})$, s^{-1}	$\Delta H_{\rm d}$ ⁺ , kJ mol ⁻¹	ΔS_d [*] . $J K^{-1}$ mol ⁻¹
	methanol	0.01001	0.0093	37.7 ± 0.6	972 ± 40	31.0 ± 0.4	-84.0 ± 2.6
ii		0.0073	0.0113	37.4 ± 0.3	860 ± 42	29.9 ± 0.5	-88.7 ± 3.1
iii		0.0128	0.0075	37.9 ± 0.4	1022 ± 61	31.7 ± 0.4	-81.1 ± 1.6
i-iii				37.9 ± 0.4	971 ± 42	31.0 ± 0.4	-83.9 ± 1.8
	dimethylformamide	0.0117	0.0112		235 ± 7	21.9 ± 1.5	-126 ± 5
ii		0.0071	0.0132		246 ± 9	22.5 ± 1.2	-124 ± 4
iii		0.0143	0.0060		240 ± 7	23.1 ± 1.5	-120 ± 5
i-iii					240 ± 7	22.5 ± 1.3	-124 ± 5
	dicthylformamide	0.0138	0.0068	216 ± 4^{b}	938 ± 20	26.7 ± 0.6	-98 ± 2
ii		0.0113	0.0093	232 ± 9	913 ± 62	24.8 ± 1.3	-105 ± 5
iii		0.0070	0.0136	202 ± 2	879 ± 22	26.8 ± 0.4	-99 ± 2
i-iii				216 ± 4	916 ± 28	26.7 ± 0.6	-99 ± 2

^a Errors represent one standard deviation from the least-squares fit of the experimental τ_c data to eq 2. ^bAt 265.0 K.

Table III. Kinetic Parameters for Li⁺ Exchange on [Li.C22C₂]⁺ and Other Cryptates in a Range of Solvents

solvent	D_N^a	$10^{-5}k_c(298.2 \text{ K})^b$ dm^{3} mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	k_d (298.2 K), s^{-1}	ΔH_{d}^{\dagger} , kJ mol ⁻¹	ΔS_d^* , $J K^{-1}$ mol ⁻¹	
		$[Li.C22C2]+c$				
acetonitrile	14. l		< 10			
methanol	$19.0(23.5)^d$	97.1	971 ± 42	31.0 ± 0.4	-84.0 ± 2.6	
dimethylformamide	26.6	7.60	240 ± 7	22.5 ± 1.2	-124 ± 5	
dicthylformamide	30.9	11.5	916 ± 28	26.7 ± 0.6	-98.6 ± 2.3	
		$[Li.C21C_5]^{+e}$				
methanol	$19.0 (23.5)^d$	0.221	21.6	36.1	-98.4	
dimethylformamide	26.6	0.073	116	38.4	-76.5	
dicthylformamide	30.9	0.149	210	27.8	-108	
		$[Li.C211]$ ⁺				
methanol	$19.0 (23.5)^d$	4.8∕	4.4×10^{-3}			
dimethylformamide	26.6	1.278	0.0130	64.4	-64.8	
		$[Li.C221]$ ^{+h}				
methanol	19.0 $(23.5)^d$	192	78.4	23.8	-129	
		$[Li.C222]$ ⁺				
acetonitrile	14.1	39200	420 ^h	11.6	-157	
methanol	19.0 $(23.5)^d$		>300'			

^aGutmann donor numbers from ref 23. $b k_c = K/k_d$ where K values appear in Table I. This work. The errors represent one standard deviation obtained from a linear regression analysis of the temperature dependence of experimental τ_c data through eq 2. The exchange mechanism in acetonitrile is not known, and thus the limiting value of k_d (=1/ τ_c) in acetonitrile provides a simple comparison with k_d characterizing [Li.C222]⁺, which exchanges through a monomolecular mechanism. This alterna ref 8. *8* Data from ref 5. ^h Data from ref 7.

Figure 2. Typical exchange-modified 116.59-MHz ⁷Li NMR spectra of a diethylformamide solution of $LIClO₄$ (0.0206 mol dm⁻³) and $C22C₂$ $(0.0068 \text{ mol dm}^{-3})$. Experimental temperatures and spectra appear to the left of the figure, and the best fit calculated line shapes and corresponding τ_c values appear to the right. The resonance of $[Li.C22C_2]^+$ appears upfield from that of solvated Li⁺.

Figure 3. The temperature variation of τ_c for Li⁺ exchange on [Li. C22C₂]⁺ in methanol, dimethylformamide, and diethylformamide. For each solvent, data points for solutions i-iii are represented by circles, triangles, and squares, respectively. The solid lines represent the best fits of the combined data for each trio of solutions to eq 2.

the cryptand, as shown in eq 1, in which k_c is the complexation

rate constant. The kinetic parameters for the decomposition
\n
$$
Li^{+} + C22C_{2} \frac{k_{c}}{k_{d}} [Li.C22C_{2}]^{+}
$$
\n(1)

$$
k_{\rm d} = 1/\tau_{\rm c} = (k_{\rm B}T/h) \exp(-\Delta H_{\rm d}^* /RT + \Delta S_{\rm d}^* / R) \quad (2)
$$

of $[Li.C22C_2]^+$ (Table II) are derived from the temperature variation of τ_c through eq 2, in which all symbols have their usual meaning.

In acetonitrile, acetone, and pyridine the rate of exchange of Li⁺ between the solvated and $[Li.C22C_2]$ ⁺ environments is too slow to cause any significant broadening of the separate 7Li resonances characterizing these environments close to the solvent boiling point temperature. However, a conservative lower limit for $\tau_c(298.2 \text{ K}) \sim 100 \text{ ms}$ may be estimated in acetonitrile, acetone, and pyridine, respectively, by calculating a τ_c that would broaden the $[Li.C22C_2]^+$ observed resonance width by a factor of **I .5.** In water a single narrow resonance is observed for solutions containing $[Li.C22C_2]^+$ and solvated Li^+ at temperatures close to the freezing point, consistent with exchange being in the very fast limit of the NMR time scale. This variation in lability with the nature of the solvent is similar to that observed for other cryptates, for which it is generally found that the magnitude of k_d increases with solvent D_N and is much more dependent on the nature of the solvent than is that of k_c , consistent with the transition state being more similar to the solvated metal ion and the free cryptand than to the cryptate. $9.14,17$

The $[Li.C22C_2]^+$ data may be compared with those characterizing [Li.C21C5]+, [Li.C2I I]+, [Li.C221]+, and [Li.C222]+, for which monomolecular decomplexation mechanisms also operate in the solvents listed in Table **111.5~7~'7** (Sometimes a bimolecular exchange mechanism operates as observed for [Li.C221]+ in acetonitrile and propylene carbonate, consistent with solvents of higher dielectric constants favoring a bimolecular transition state for some cryptates.⁷) The more open and flexible structure of

 $C22C_2$ probably accounts for the greater k_c and k_d values characterizing $[Li.C22C_2]^+$ by comparison with those of the relatively rigid *inclusioe* [Li.C2I **1]+,** in which Li+ is bound by the same number and type of donor atoms. Although $C21C_5$ has one less donor than $C22C_2$, its relative rigidity also confers a lower lability on [Li.C21C5]+ (which exists in an *exclusiue-inclusiue* equilibrium in solution)¹⁷ than C22C₂ does on [Li.C22C₂]⁺. As size increases, cryptand flexibility also increases and the optimum fit of Li+ to the cryptand cavity is lost with the consequence that the labilities of $[Li.C221]$ ⁺ and $[Li.C222]$ ⁺ approach that of $[Li.C22C₂]$ ⁺.

Conclusion

The open and flexible structure of $C22C_2$ results in $[Li.C22C_2]^+$ being less stable and more labile than *inclusiue* [Li.C21 I]+, which has the same number and type of donor atoms but which has a more rigid structure. However, as a consequence of its clamlike structure, $C22C_2$ is more able to approach optimum bonding distances with Li⁺ than is C222, despite the latter cryptand possessing more donor atoms, with the consequence that [Li. $C22C_2$ ⁺ is more stable and of a similar or lesser lability than [Li.C222]+, depending on the solvent. These comparisons illustrate the interplay of the effects of the number of donor atoms and cryptand flexibility which produce variations in cryptate stability and lability. A major factor producing the greater stability of $[Ag.C22C₂]⁺$, by comparison with that of $[Li.C22C₂]⁺$, is the greater ability of $Ag⁺$ to bind to nitrogen donor atoms. This also explains the decrease in this stability difference observed in acetonitrile for this and other cryptate pairs that occurs as a consequence of the ability of acetonitrile to solvate **Ag+** more strongly, by comparison to oxygen donor solvents, than is the case for Li+.

Acknowledgment. We thank A. H. Hounslow for her assistance in the NMR measurements and the Australian Research Council and the University of Adelaide for supporting this research. The award of a Commonwealth Postgraduate Award to A.A.-H. is gratefully acknowledged.

> Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, University of Otago, **P.O.** Box 56, Dunedin, New Zealand

Importance of Ion Association in the Induced Reactions of Cobalt (111)-Acido Complexes. 7. The Hg²⁺-Assisted Reaction of t -[Co(tren)(NH₃)X]²⁺ (X = Cl⁻, Br⁻) in **Aqueous Solution**

David **A.** Buckingham,* Charles R. Clark, and Wayne S. Webley

Received *June 12, 1990*

The Hg²⁺-promoted reaction of ι -[Co(tren)(NH₃)X]²⁺ ions (hereafter ι -CoX²⁺, X = Br, Cl) in NaY electrolytes of varying type $(Y = NO_1^-, ClO_4^-, CF_3SO_3^-)$ and concentration $([Y^-] = 0.1.0 \text{ M}; [NO_3^-] + [Y^-] = 1.0 \text{ M})$ follows the rate law $k_{obs} = (k_0K_3^+)$ $+ k_y K_y K_{\text{Hg}}[Y^-] [Hg]_T/(1 + K_{\text{Hg}}[Y^-] + (K_0 + K_y)[Hg]_T)$, in which k_0 and k_y represent first-order rate constants for aquation and anation of the associated species t -CoXHg⁴⁺ and t -CoXHg^{Y3+}, respectively. Reaction products are correlated by using the same expression with *t*-CoXHg⁴⁺ forming only CoOH₂³⁺ and *t*-CoXHgY³⁺ forming both CoOH₂³⁺ and CoY²⁺ products (57% CoONO²⁺ for X = Br, Cl; \sim 20% CoOSO₂CF₃²⁺ for X = Br). Both the rate and products are almost independent of the type and concentration of electrolyte cation (Na⁺, K⁺, Ba²⁺, Sr²⁺, Mg²⁺, La³⁺). Comparison is made with the analogous *t*-CoSCN²⁺
+ Hg²⁺ reaction (in which CoNCS²⁺ is an additional product¹), and it is sugge occurring bcfore breaking of the Co-X bond with little or no discrimination between entering groups. Rate data for the spontaneous hydrolysis of t-CoX²⁺ species (X = Cl, Br, CF₃SO₃, NO₃) are given.

Introduction

In a previous article,¹ we showed that the Hg^{2+} -assisted reaction of t -[Co(tren)(NH₃)SCN]²⁺ (hereafter designated CoSCN²⁺ (1)) results in both the entry of solvent giving $CoOH₂³⁺$ and the

1 (X = **SCN,** Br, **Cl)**

isomerization of coordinated thiocyanate giving CoNCS2+ *(eq* I). Previous to our investigation, Adegite, Orhanovic, and Sutin² had

⁽I) Buckingham, D. **A.;** Clark, C. **R.;** Gaudin, **M. J.** *Inorg. Chem.* **1988, 27, 293.**