Articles

Contribution from the Fachbereich Chemie, Universität Dortmund, 4600 Dortmund, FRG, and Department of Chemistry, University of Helsinki, 00100 Helsinki, Finland

Palladium-1-Methylcytosine Chemistry: N3 and N3,N4 Metal Binding to 1-Methylcytosine and an Unexpected Trans \rightarrow Cis Isomerization of Two (NH₃)₂Pd^{II} Entities

Michael Krumm,^{1a} Ilpo Mutikainen,^{*,1b,c} and Bernhard Lippert^{*,1a}

Received April 27, 1990

A series of complexes of Pd(II) with the model nucleobase 1-methylcytosine (1-MeC) has been prepared and studied by spectroscopic (¹H NMR, IR, Raman) and X-ray methods: $trans-[Pd(NH_3)_2(1-MeC)_2]X_2 \cdot nH_2O$ (X = NO₃⁻ (1a); ClO₄⁻ (1b)), [Pd(1-MeC)_4]X_2 \cdot nH_2O (X = NO₃⁻, n = 2 (2a)); X = Cl⁻, n = 7 (2b)), $cis-[(NH_3)_2Pd(1-MeC)_2Pd(NH_3)_2](NO_3)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ (3), $Pd(1-MeC^{-})_{2}4H_{2}O(4)$, and $[Pd(NH_{3})(1-MeC)_{3}](NO_{3})_{2}(5)$. Metal binding in 1, 2, and 5 is through N(3) of 1-MeC, whereas N(3) and the deprotonated N(4) position are involved in metal binding in 3 and 4. While 1, 2, and 5 are obtained in a planned and predictable fashion, formation of 3 and 4 is not readily rationalized. In particular, the reaction of 1a with trans-[Pd- $(NH_{3})_{2}(H_{2}O)_{2}]^{2+}$, which gives 3 with *cis*- $(NH_{3})_{2}Pd^{II}$ entities and head-tail arranged 1-methylcytosinato ligands, is unexpected. The behavior of 1, 5 and *trans*- $[Pd(1-MeC)_{2}(D_{2}O)_{2}]^{2+}$ in D₂O at 40-45 °C is rather complex and points to extensive scrambling of all ligands. The structures of 1a, 2a, and 3 have been determined by X-ray crystallography. 1a: monoclinic system, space group $P_{1/n}$, a = 6.804 (2) Å, b = 10.292 (3) Å, c = 12.952 (3) Å, $\beta = 102.05$ (2)°, V = 887.0 (4) Å³, Z = 2. 2a: triclinic system, space group P_{1} , a = 9.138 (1) Å, b = 12.670 (3) Å, c = 14.137 (3) Å, $\alpha = 80.50$ (2)°, $\beta = 74.14$ (2)°, $\gamma = 87.89$ (1)°, V = 1552.9 (5) Å³, Z = 2. 3: monoclinic system, space group $P2_1/n$, a = 10.015 (2) Å, b = 17.094 (3) Å, c = 14.216 (2) Å, $\beta = 101.72$ (2)°, V = 2383.0 (9) Å³, Z = 4. The structures were refined to R = 0.022 (1a), 0.058 (2a), and 0.059 (3) and R_w = 0.024 (1a), 0.060 (2a), and 0.061 (3). The Pd--Pd distance in the dinuclear cation of 3 is 2.948 (1) Å.

Introduction

Palladium(II) amine complexes have widely been applied in studies concerned with the interactions of metal ions with nucleobases, the constituents of nucleic acids.²⁻¹³ Compared to Pt(II),¹⁴ the number of structurally characterized Pd(II) nucleobase complexes is rather limited, however.¹⁵⁻²⁰ A major advantage of Pd(II) over corresponding Pt(II) species are the

- (1) (a) University of Dortmund. (b) University of Helsinki. (c) Present address: Brookhaven National Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, Upton, NY.
- (2) (a) Martin, R. B. In Platinum, Gold, and Other Metal Chemotherapeutic Agents; Lippard, S. J., Ed.; ACS Symposium Series 209; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1983; 231 and references
- cited therein. (b) Martin, R. B. Acc. Chem. Res. 1985, 18, 32. (3) Moller, M. R.; Bruck, M. A.; O'Connor, T.; Armatis, F. J., Jr.; Knolinski, E. A.; Kottmair, N.; Tobias, R. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 4589.
- (a) Ménard, R.; Zador, M. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1986, 123, L23. (4) Menard, R.; Phan Viet, M. T.; Zador, M. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1987, 136,
- (5) Pneumatikakis, G. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1982, 66, 131.
 (6) Nelson, D. J.; Yeagle, P. L.; Miller, T. L.; Martin, R. B. Bioinorg. Chem. 1976, 5, 353.

- Chem. 1976, 5, 555.
 Lim, M.-C. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1981, 43, 221.
 Ettore, R. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1977, 25, L 9.
 Dehand, J.; Jordanov, J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1977, 1588.
 Kong, P.-C.; Rochon, F. D. Can. J. Chem. 1981, 59, 3293.
 (a) Hadjiliadis, N.; Pneumatikakis, G. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1977, 1610 (Chem. Soc.). (10) ίií) 1987, 1691. (b) Pneumatikakis, G.; Hadjiliadis, N.; Theophanides, T. Inorg. Chem. 1978, 17, 1915.
- (12) Breet, E. L. J.; van Eldik, R. *Inorg. Chem.* 1987, 26, 2517.
 (13) Uchida, K.; Toyama, A.; Tamura, Y.; Sugimura, M.; Mitsumori, F.; Furukawa, Y.; Takeuchi, H.; Harada, I. *Inorg. Chem.* 1989, 28, 2067.
- (14) For a review, see, e.g., Lippert, B. Prog. Inorg. Chem. 1989, 37, 1.
 (15) Sinn, E.; Flynn, C. M., Jr.; Martin, R. B. Inorg. Chem. 1977, 16, 2403.
 (16) Beck, W. M.; Calabrese, J. C.; Kottmair, N. D. Inorg. Chem. 1979, 18,
- Micklitz, W.; Müller, G.; Huber, B.; Riede, J.; Rashwan, F.; Heinze, J.; Lippert, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 7084.
 Micklitz, W.; Riede, J.; Huber, B.; Müller, G.; Lippert, B. Inorg. Chem.
- 1988, 27, 1979. Micklitz, W.; Sheldrick, W. S.; Lippert, B. Inorg. Chem. 1990, 29, 211. Sabat, M.; Satyshur, K. A.; Sundaralingam, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
- (20) 1983, 105, 976.

considerably faster reaction kinetics of the former.²¹ The chemistries of both d⁸ metal ions are generally considered to be very similar or identical. While available evidence indeed suggests that the coordination chemistries of $en M^{II}$ (M = Pt or Pd) are identical, there has been at least one recent report^{4b} on the migration of dienPd^{II} from N3 to N4 in cytidine which lacks evidence for a similar reaction of dienPt^{II.22} Some of the results presented in this paper concerning the reactions of trans-(NH₃)₂Pd^{II} strongly call for more scepticism in this respect. In fact, the here reported trans \rightarrow cis isomerization of two (NH₃)₂Pd^{II} entities is a rare case in d⁸ metals coordination chemistry,^{23,24} although we note that it has been observed for $Pd(NH_3)_2X_2$ species before.²³ Our results may also be of relevance with regard to attempts to prepare Pd analogues of Pt compounds displaying antitumor activity in that the structural similarities should not be overemphasized in designing Pd compounds with suspected activity.

Our initial goal was the preparation of trans-[(NH₃)₂Pd(1- MeC_{2}^{2+} (1-MeC = model nucleobase 1-methylcytosine, $C_5H_7N_3O$) and the study of the behavior of the exocyclic groups of the palladated 1-MeC toward additional metal electrophiles. At an early stage, it became evident that reaction of trans- $(NH_3)_2PdCl_2$ with 2 equiv of 1-MeC is rather complex and by no means readily leads to the expected bis(1-methylcytosine) product. Rather, a complicated array of products is formed. While we are unable as yet to fully interpret the reaction products,

- See e.g.: (a) Elding, L. I.; Olsson, L.-F. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1986, 117, 9 and references cited therein. (b) Pienaar, J. J.; Kotowski, M.; van Eldik, R. Inorg. Chem. 1989, 28, 373 and references cited therein. (21)
- (22) We note, however, that with trans-Pt(IV) complexes we have observed similar reactions. Cf.: Lippert, B.; Schöllhorn, H.; Thewalt, U. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 6616.
- (23) (a) Coe, J. S.; Lyons, J. R. Inorg. Chem. 1970, 9, 1775. (b) Appleton, T. G.; Hall, J. R.; Ralph, S. F.; Thompson, C. S. M. Aust. J. Chem. 1988, 41, 1425.
- An isomerization of *trans*-Cl₂PtL(Me₂SO) to the corresponding cis isomer has been observed in Me₂SO as a solvent for L = purine nu-(24) cleobases, but these reactions appear less dramatic because of the lable: Pt-Cl bond and the use of Me₅SO as a solvent. Cf. (a) Kong, P.-C.; Iyamuremye, D.; Rochon, F. D. *Biolnorg. Chem.* 1976, 6, 83. (b) Reily, M. D.; Wilkowski, K.; Shinozuka, K.; Marzilli, L. G. *Inorg. Chem.* 1985, 24, 37.

Experimental Section

Starting Materials. 1-Methylcytosine,²⁵ K_2PdCl_4 ,²⁶ trans-Pd-(NH₃)₂Cl₂,²⁷ and trans-Pd(1-MeC)₂Cl₂¹⁵ were prepared by published 1-Methylcytosine,²⁵ K₂PdCl₄,²⁶ trans-Pdmethods; trans-[Pd(NH₃)₂(H₂O)₂](NO₃)₂ was obtained via reaction of trans-Pd(NH₃)₂Cl₂ with 2 equiv of AgNO₃ (H₂O, 1 h 22 °C, in the dark) and filtration of AgCl.

trans-[Pd(NH₃)₂(1-MeC)₂](NO₃)₂ (1a). trans-Pd(NH₃)₂Cl₂ (4 mmol) was suspended in water (200 mL), and AgNO₃ (8 mmol) was added. After the mixture was stirred for 1 h at 22 °C in the dark, AgCl was removed by filtration and 1-MeC (8 mmol) was added to the yellow solution (pH 3.7) with stirring. After 18 h at 40 °C and cooling to room temperature, 141 mg of a reddish brown byproduct was filtered off, with the filtrate (pH 6.6) concentrated to 25 mL by rotary evaporation and allowed to evaporate. After 6 days, 1.33 g (63%) of yellow cubes of 1a were isolated and dried in air. Anal. Calcd for PdC₁₀H₂₀N₁₀O₈: C, 22.93; H, 4.04; N, 26.74. Found: C, 23.10; H, 4.10; N, 26.40.

trans-[Pd(NH₃)₂(1-MeC)₂](ClO₄)₂ (1b). Reaction of trans-Pd-(NH₃)₂Cl₂ with 2 equiv of 1-MeC in H₂O (30 min, 45 °C), evaporation to dryness and recrystallization from an aqueous solution of NaClO4 (20-fold excess, evaporation at 4 °C) produced 1b as a yellow, microcrystalline material in 23% yield. Anal. Calcd for PdC₁₀H₂₀N₈O₁₀Cl₂: C, 20.37; H, 3.42; N, 19.00. Found: C, 20.70; H, 3.30; N, 18.90. The identity of the cations of 1b and 1a was confirmed by IR analysis.

 $[Pd(1-MeC)_4](NO_3)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ (2a). To a solution of trans-Pd(1-MeC)₂Cl₂ (1 mmol) in water (100 mL) were each added 2 equiv of AgNO₃ and 1-MeC with stirring. The pH of the mixture was raised from 4.5 to 7.5 by means of 1 N NaOH and the mixture kept at 40 °C for 18 h with daylight excluded. The sample was then brought to 22 °C, AgCl filtered off and the yellow solution concentrated to a 15-mL volume by rotary evaporation. Yellow, transparent cubes of 2a were harvested in 56% yield after 10 days of slow evaporation. The crystals, which according to X-ray analysis contain 2 water molecules per heavy metal, lose water when kept in air. Dehydrated samples analyze without water. Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₂₈N₁₄O₁₀Pd: C, 32.91; H, 3.87; N, 26.86. Found: C, 32.50; H, 3.70; N, 26.75.

Attempts to obtain a tris(1-methylcytosine) compound by reaction of trans-Pd(1-MeC)₂Cl₂ with 1 equiv of AgNO₃ and 1 equiv of 1-MeC failed and instead produced 2a and the starting compound.

[Pd(1-MeC)₄]Cl₂·7H₂O (2b). A mixture of K₂PdCl₄ (0.74 mmol) and 1-MeC (3.7 mmol) in water (15 mL) was stirred for 5 h at 22 °C, the cloudy mixture filtered, and the solution concentrated to a 5-mL volume. Evaporation at 22 °C gave large, yellow crystals of 2b, which rapidly lose water of crystallization when brought into the air. Only the first batch obtained (after 3 days) was free of starting material 1-MeC. Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{42}N_{12}O_{11}Cl_2Pd$: C, 29.87; H, 5.26; N, 20.90. Found:²⁸ C, 29.70; H, 4.55; N, 21.15.

 $cis - [(NH_3)_2Pd(1-MeC^-)_2Pd(NH_3)_2](NO_3)_2 + 2H_2O(3)$, trans-Pd-(NH₃)₂Cl₂ (1 mmol) was converted to the diaqua species by addition of AgNO₃ (2 mmol) (40 mL H₂O, 1 h, 22 °C, daylight excluded), AgCl was filtered off, and a solution of 1a in water (50 mL) was added. The pH (3.70) was adjusted to 8 by means of 1 N NaOH. After 5 h at 22 °C (pH 6.2) the orange-yellow solution was concentrated to 20 mL and allowed to evaporate. After 8 days reddish brown, transparent crystals of 3 were isolated in 32% yield and briefly dried in air. Anal. Calcd for $C_{10}H_{28}N_{12}O_{10}Pd_{2}$: C, 17.42; H, 4.09; N, 24.39. Found: C, 17.35; H, 4.15; N, 23.60.

Pd(1-MeC)2.4H2O (4). A poorly soluble, orange-brown to pink product of composition Pd(1-MeC⁻)2-4H2O (4) was obtained on various occasions (cf. text). Anal. Calcd for $C_{10}H_{20}N_6O_6Pd$: C, 28.15; H, 4.72; N, 19.69. Found: C, 28.60; H, 4.50; N, 19.55. The structure of this compound is unclear at present. According to ¹H NMR spectroscopy (Me₂SO-d₆), 4 contains 1-MeC⁻ ligands.

[Pd(NH₃)(1-MeC)₃](NO₃)₂ (5). A 0.95-mmol sample of 1a and 1 mmol of 1-MeC were dissolved in water (100 mL) and the yellow solution (pH 6.6) was kept at 40 °C for 68 h. After filtration of some 4, the solution (pH 7) was concentrated to 20 mL and allowed to crystallize. After 5 days at 22 °C, yellowish white needles of 5 were isolated in 26% yield and dried in air. Anal. Calcd for $C_{15}H_{24}N_{12}O_9Pd$: C, 28.93; H, 3.88; N, 26.99. Found: C, 28.80; H, 3.85; N, 27.00.



Figure 1. Low-field ¹H NMR spectrum (D₂O, pD 6.4) of products obtained from reaction of trans-(NH₃)₂PdCl₂ with 2 equiv of 1-MeC.

Instrumentation. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AM 300 and Bruker AC 200 FT NMR spectrometers. pD values were determined by use of a glass electrode and addition of 0.4 to the meter reading. IR spectra (KBr pellets) were taken on Perkin-Elmer 580 B and Bruker IFs 113v FT spectrometers, Raman spectra on a Coderg T 800 with argon (514.5 nm) or krypton laser (647.1 nm) excitation. The water content of 3 was established by thermogravimetry (Thermo 2000, Mettler).

X-ray Crystallography. The X-ray measurements of all compounds were carried out at room temperature on a Nicolet F3 single-crystal diffractometer using graphite-monochromated Mo K α radiation. Crystal data and other parameters of data collections are given in Table I. The intensity data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects and for absorption by ψ -scan method (3). The structures were solved by Patterson method (SHELX-86)²⁹ and completed by Fourier techniques. The hydrogen atoms were located in difference maps. The non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic temperature factors. Hydrogen atoms were refined as isotropic in 1a. In 2a and 3, the hydrogen atoms were riding with the non-hydrogen atom at a distance of 1.08 Å and constant $U_{iso} = 0.05 \text{ Å}^2$. Both in 2a and 3 one of the NO₃⁻ groups was partly disordered, with rotation about a N-O bond (2a) and the N atom (3), respectively. Attempts to find unique static disorder models for the disordered nitrates failed and gave unreasonable bond lengths and angles and poor contacts only. Anisotropic refinement was tried in every stage but did not produce reasonable results. Therefore the nitrates were refined as idealized rigid groups. In 3, the hydrogen atoms of water molecule O8 could not be located. The SHELX-7630 package was used for the calculations. Tables II-IV contain the atomic coordinates. The isotropic temperature factors were calculated according to U_{eo} = $\frac{1}{3\sum_{i}\sum_{j}U_{ij}a_{i}^{*}a_{i}^{*}a_{j}a_{j}}$. The scattering factors³¹ for neutral atoms were used, and corrections for anomalous scattering³² were applied to all atoms. The planes were calculated by using MPLN³³ and drawings were done by ORTEP³⁴ using a VAX 8650 computer.

Results and Discussion

Reaction of trans-(NH₃)₂PdCl₂ with 1-MeC. The reaction of trans-(NH₃)₂PtCl₂ with 1-MeC proceeds in a clean way, leading to both 1:1 and 2:1 compounds, viz. trans-[(NH₃)₂Pt(1-MeC)-Cl]Cl and trans-[(NH₃)₂Pt(1-MeC)₂]Cl₂. ¹H NMR spectroscopic data for the two compounds (doublets for H5 and H6 in the case of the 1:1 complex, two doublets each of unequal intensities due to hindered rotation in the case of the 2:1 compound)³⁵ are consistent with expectation. In contrast, reaction of trans-(NH₃)₂PdCl₂ with 1-MeC (2 equiv, 40 °C, 18 h) is much more complex (Figure 1). In the aromatic region of the ¹H NMR spectrum (D₂O, pD 6.4) four groups of H5 and H6 resonances are to be distinguished which, from comparison with Pt(II)

- (30)mination. University of Cambridge, England, 1976. Cromer, D. T.; Mann, J. B. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A 1968, 24, 321.
- (32) International Tables for X-ray Crystallography; Kynoch: Birmingham,
- England, 1974; Vol. 4. Truter, R. M.; Vickery, B. L. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1973, 6, 323, Pro-(33) ram No. 124.
- Johnson, C. K. ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794; Oak Ridge National Laboratory: Oak Ridge, TN, 1965. Krizanovic, O.; Pesch, F. J.; Lippert, B. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1989, 165, (34)
- (35) 145.

⁽²⁵⁾ Kistenmacher, T. J.; Rossi, M.; Caradonna, J. P.; Marzilli, L. G. Adv. Mol. Relax. Interact. Processes 1979, 15, 119.
(26) Piccinin, A.; Toussaint, J. Bull. Soc. R. Sci. Liege 1967, 36, 122.
(27) Kauffmann, G. B.; Tsai, J. H. Inorg. Synth. 1966, 8, 234.
(28) Discrepancy in H values due to method of analysis: sample was Ar-

purged (dehydrated) in automatic elemental analyzer after weighing.

Sheldrick G. M. SHELX-86. A Program for Crystal Structure Deter-mination. University of Göttingen, Gottingen, Federal Republic of (29) Germany, 1986. Sheldrick G. M. SHELX-76. A Program for Crystal Structure Deter-

Table I. Crystal Data for 1a, 2a, and 3

		1a	2a	3
fw514.73766.96M809.32cryst size0.30 × 0.120.3 × 0.15 × 0.100.4 × 0.3 × 0.15cryst systmonoclinictriclinicmonoclinicspace group $P_{2_1/n}$ P_1 $P_2_{1/n}$ a, A 6.804 (2)9.138 (1)10.015 (2)b, A 10.292 (2)12.670 (3)17.094 (3)c, A 12.952 (3)14.137 (3)14.216 (2)a, deg9080.50 (2)90β, deg102.05 (2)74.15 (2)101.72 (2)γ, deg9087.89 (1)90V, A^3 887.0 (4)1552.9 (5)2383.0 (9)Z224d_mex.8 g cm ⁻³ 1.841.501.91d_mex.8 g cm ⁻³ 1.891.92μ(Mo Kα), cm ⁻¹ 11.06.916.8F(000), e4887161360radiationMo KαMo KαMo Kα λ, \bar{A} 0.710690.710690.71069monochromatorgraphitegraphite ω scan speed, deg min ⁻¹ 1.5-29.32.0-29.31.5-29.32 θ_{max} , deg4,-3,-14,-1,2-3,8,4temp, °C21212121abs cornonenoneempiricalno. of reflons measd211261745409no. of uniq, reflens184053885228 R_{max} 0.010.040.04no. of obd., reflens (I > 3 σ(I))1452602209	formula	C ₁₀ H ₂₀ N ₁₀ O ₈ Pd	C ₂₀ H ₂₈ N ₁₄ O ₁₀ Pd·2H ₂ O	C ₂₀ H ₂₄ N ₁₂ O ₈ Pd ₂ ·2H ₂ O
cryst size $0.30 \times 0.20 \times 0.12$ $0.3 \times 0.15 \times 0.10$ $0.4 \times 0.3 \times 0.15$ cryst systmonoclinictriclinicmonoclinicspace group P_1/n $P1$ $P_{2_1/n}$ a, A 6.804 (2) 9.138 (1) 10.015 (2)b, A 10.292 (2) 12.670 (3) 17.094 (3)c, A 12.952 (3) 14.137 (3) 14.216 (2)a, deg 90 80.50 (2) 90 β , deg 102.05 (2) 74.15 (2) 101.72 (2) γ , deg 90 87.89 (1) 90 V , A^3 887.0 (4) 1552.9 (5) 238.0 (9) Z 2 4 d_{anic} g cm ⁻³ 1.84 1.50 1.91 d_{maxi} g cm ⁻³ 1.89 1.92 μ (Mo K α), cm ⁻¹ 11.0 6.9 16.8 $F(000)$, e 488 716 1360 radiationMo K α Mo K α Mo λ , A $0.710.69$ $0.710.69$ $0.710.69$ monochromatorgraphitegraphitegraphitescan speed, deg min ⁻¹ $1.5-29.3$ $2.0-29.3$ $1.5-29.3$ $2\theta_{maxi}$ deg 53 50 53 h,k,l range $+9,+13,\pm17$ $+11,\pm16,\pm17$ $+12,+21,\pm17$ test reflens $1,-2,-1$ $2,-1,2$ $1,-3,0$ 21.3 $3,-1,3$ $0,-5.5$ $4,-3,-1$ $4,-3,-1$ $4,-1,2$ $-3,8,4$ test reflens 1.200 $0.980-1.000$ $0.787-1.000$ no.	fw	514.73	766.96	809.32
cryst systmonoclinictriclinicmonoclinicspace group $P2_1/n$ $P1$ $P2_1/n$ $P2_1/n$ a, A 6.804 (2) 9.138 (1) 10.015 (2) b, A 10.292 (2) 12.670 (3) 17.094 (3) c, A 12.952 (3) 14.137 (3) 14.216 (2) a, deg 90 80.50 (2) 90 β, deg 102.05 (2) 74.15 (2) 101.72 (2) γ, deg 90 87.89 (1) 90 γ, deg 1.84 1.50 1.91 $d_{ato: g} cm^{-3}$ 1.89 1.92 μ (Mo K $\alpha), cm^{-1}$ 11.0 6.9 16.8 $radiation$ $Mo K\alpha$ $Mo K\alpha$ $Mo K\alpha$ λ, A 0.71069 0.71069 0.71069 $monochromatorgraphite\varphi\varphiscan speed, deg min-11.5-29.32.0-29.31.5-29.32\theta_{max}, deg535053h, k, l$	cryst size	$0.30 \times 0.20 \times 0.12$	$0.3 \times 0.15 \times 0.10$	$0.4 \times 0.3 \times 0.15$
space group P_2/n P_1 P_2/n P_2/n a, A $6.804 (2)$ $9.138 (1)$ $10.015 (2)$ b, A $10.292 (2)$ $12.670 (3)$ $17.094 (3)$ c, A $12.952 (3)$ $14.137 (3)$ $14.216 (2)$ a, deg 90 $80.50 (2)$ 90 β, deg $102.05 (2)$ $74.15 (2)$ $101.72 (2)$ γ, deg 90 $87.89 (1)$ 90 V, A^3 $887.0 (4)$ $1552.9 (5)$ $2383.0 (9)$ Z 2 2 4 $d_{auter}, g cm^{-3}$ 1.84 1.50 1.91 $d_{mead}, g cm^{-3}$ 1.89 1.92 μ (Mo Ka), cm ⁻¹ 11.0 6.9 16.8 $F(000), e$ 488 716 1360 radiationMo K α Mo K α Mo K α λ, A 0.71069 0.71069 0.71069 monochromatorgraphitegraphite $graphite$ scan width, deg 1 1 1 scan speed, deg min ⁻¹ $1.5-29.3$ $2.0-29.3$ $1.5-29.3$ $2\theta_{max}$, deg 53 50 53 $h_{n}^{-3}, -1$ $4, -1, 2$ $-3.8, 4$ temp, °C 21 21 21 $ab s cornonenoneempiricalrel transm0.970-1.0000.980-1.0000.78-5.5a_{n}^{-3}, -14, -1, 2-3.8, 4temp, °C211261745409no. of uniq, reficms184053885228$	cryst syst	monoclinic	triclinic	monoclinic
a, Å6.804 (2)9.138 (1)10.015 (2)b, Å10.292 (2)12.670 (3)17.094 (3)c, Å12.952 (3)14.137 (3)14.216 (2)a, deg9080.50 (2)90 β , deg102.05 (2)74.15 (2)101.72 (2) γ , deg9087.89 (1)90 $V, Å^3$ 887.0 (4)1552.9 (5)2383.0 (9) Z 224 $d_{aubr. g} cm^{-3}$ 1.841.501.91 $d_{mast. d} s cm^{-3}$ 1.891.92 μ (Mo K α), cm ⁻¹ 11.06.916.8 $F(000), e$ 4887161360radiationMo K α Mo K α Mo K α $\lambda, Å$ 0.710 690.710 690.710 69monochromatorgraphitegraphitegraphitescan speed, deg min ⁻¹ 1.5-29.32.0-29.31.5-29.3 $2\theta_{mast. d}$ deg min ⁻¹ 1.5-29.32.0-29.3<	space group	$P2_1/n$	ΡĪ	$P2_1/n$
b, Å10.292 (2)12.670 (3)17.094 (3)c, Å12.952 (3)14.137 (3)14.216 (2)a, deg9080.50 (2)90 β , deg102.05 (2)74.15 (2)101.72 (2) γ , deg9087.89 (1)90 $V, Å^3$ 887.0 (4)1552.9 (5)2383.0 (9) Z 24 $d_{max}, g \ cm^{-3}$ 1.841.501.91 $d_{max}, g \ cm^{-3}$ 1.891.92 μ (Mo K α), cm ⁻¹ 11.06.916.8F(000), e4887161360radiationMo K α Mo K α $\lambda, Å$ 0.710690.71069monochromatorgraphitegraphitescan ω ω scan speed, deg min ⁻¹ 1.5-29.32.0-29.3 $2\theta_{max}, deg$ 535053 $h, k, l \ range$ $1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 2 - 1 - 2 - 1 - 2 - 1 - 2 - 1 - 2 - 1 - 2 - 1 - 3 - 2 - 3 - 2 - 3 - 3 - 2 - 3 - 3 - 3$	a, Å	6.804 (2)	9.138 (1)	10.015 (2)
c, Å12.952 (3)14.137 (3)14.216 (2) α , deg9080.50 (2)90 β , deg102.05 (2)74.15 (2)101.72 (2) γ , deg9087.89 (1)90 V , Ų887.0 (4)1552.9 (5)2383.0 (9) Z 24 d_{aler} , g cm ⁻³ 1.841.501.91 d_{mast} , g cm ⁻³ 1.891.92 μ (Mo K α), cm ⁻¹ 11.06.916.8 $F(000)$, e4887161360radiationMo K α Mo K α Mo K α λ , Å0.710690.710690.71069scan speed, deg min ⁻¹ 1.5-29.32.0-29.31.5-29.3 $2m_{axi}$, deg535053 h,k,l range+9,+13,±17+11,±16,±17+12,+21,±17test refices1,-2,-12,-1,21,-3,0 $2l_{1,3}$ 3,-1,30,-5,5 $4,-3,-1$ 4,-1,2-3,8,4temp, °C212121abs cornonenoneempiricalrel transm0.970-1.0000.980-1.0000.787-1.000no. of refices121261745409no. of uniq. refices124261745409no. of obsd. refices ($l > 3\sigma(l)$)145636822299re of obsd. refices ($l > 3\sigma(l)$)145636822299re of obsd. refices ($l > 3\sigma(l)$)145636822299	b, Å	10.292 (2)	12.670 (3)	17.094 (3)
α , deg9080.50 (2)90 β , deg102.05 (2)74.15 (2)101.72 (2) γ , deg9087.89 (1)90 V , A^3 887.0 (4)1552.9 (5)2383.0 (9) Z 224 d_{abc} , g cm ⁻³ 1.841.501.91 d_{abcs} , g cm ⁻³ 1.841.501.91 d_{abcs} , g cm ⁻³ 1.841.501.92 μ (Mo K α), cm ⁻¹ 11.06.916.8 $F(000)$, e4887161360radiationMo K α Mo K α Mo K α Λ , Λ 0.710 690.710 690.710 69monochromatorgraphitegraphitegraphitescan ω ω ω ω scan width, deg111scan speed, deg min ⁻¹ 1.5-29.32.0-29.31.5-29.3 $2\theta_{max}$, deg535053 h, k, l range $+9, +13, \pm17$ $+11, \pm16, \pm17$ $+12, +21, \pm17$ test reflors $1, -2, -1$ $2, -1, 2$ $1, -3, 0$ $2, 1, 3$ $3, -1, 3$ $0, -5, 5$ $4, -3, -1$ $4, -1, 2$ $-3, 8, 4$ temp, °C212121abs cornoneempiricalrel transm0.970-1.0000.980-1.0000.787-1.0000.787-1.000no. of reflors measd211261745409no. of uniq. reflors184053885228 R_{int} 0.010.040.04no. of obsd. reflors ($l > 3\sigma(l)$	c, Å	12.952 (3)	14.137 (3)	14.216 (2)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	α , deg	90	80.50 (2)	90
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	β , deg	102.05 (2)	74.15 (2)	101.72 (2)
$V, Å^3$ 887.0 (4)1552.9 (5)2383.0 (9) Z 224 $d_{auk}, g cm^{-3}$ 1.841.501.91 $\mu(Mo K\alpha), cm^{-1}$ 11.06.916.8 $F(000), e$ 4887161360radiationMo K\alphaMo K\alphaMo K\alpha $\lambda, Å$ 0.710 690.710 690.710 69monochromatorgraphitegraphitegraphitescan width, deg111scan speed, deg min ⁻¹ 1.5-29.32.0-29.31.5-29.3 $2\theta_{max}, deg$ 535053 h,k,l range+9,+13,±17+11,±16,±17+12,+21,±17test reflens1,-2,-12,-1,21,-3,0 $2,1,3$ 3,-1,30,-5,5 $4,-3,-1$ 4,-1,2-3,8,4temp, °C212121abs cornonenonenoneno. of uniq. reflens184053885228 R_{int} 0.010.040.04no. of obsd. reflens ($l > 3\sigma(I)$)145636822299no. of obsd. reflens ($l > 3\sigma(I)$)145636822299	γ , deg	90	87.89 (1)	90
Z2224 $d_{maxd}, g \text{ cm}^{-3}$ 1.841.501.91 $d_{maxd}, g \text{ cm}^{-3}$ 1.891.92 $\mu(Mo \ K\alpha), \text{cm}^{-1}$ 11.06.916.8F(000), e4887161360radiationMo $K\alpha$ Mo $K\alpha$ Mo $K\alpha$ λ, A 0.710 690.710 690.710 69monochromatorgraphitegraphitegraphitescan ω ω ω scan speed, deg min ⁻¹ 1.5-29.32.0-29.31.5-29.3 $2\theta_{max}, deg$ 535053 h,k, l range+9,+13,±17+11,±16,±17+12,+21,±17test reflens1,-2,-12,-1,21,-3,0 $2,1,3$ 3,-1,30,-5,5 $4,-3,-1$ 4,-1,2-3,8,4temp, °C212121abs cornonenonenonerel transm0.970-1.0000.980-1.0000.787-1.000no. of reflens measd211261745409no. of uniq. reflens184053885228 R_{int} 0.010.040.04no. of obsd. reflens $(I > 3\sigma(I))$ 145636822299no. of colsd. reflens $(I > 3\sigma(I))$ 145636822299no. of van ender1472502201	V, Å ³	887.0 (4)	1552.9 (5)	2383.0 (9)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ζ	2	2	4
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$d_{\rm calc}, {\rm g \ cm^{-3}}$	1.84	1.50	1.91
$\mu(Mo \ K\alpha), cm^{-1}$ 11.06.916.8 $F(000), e$ 4887161360radiationMo $K\alpha$ Mo $K\alpha$ Mo $K\alpha$ λ, \dot{A} 0.710 690.710 690.710 69monochromatorgraphitegraphitegraphitescan ω ω ω scan speed, deg min ⁻¹ 1.5-29.32.0-29.31.5-29.3 $2\theta_{max}, deg$ 535053 h, k, I range+9,+13,±17+11,±16,±17+12,+21,±17test reflens1,-2,-12,-1,21,-3,0 $2,1,3$ 3,-1,30,-5,54,-3,-1 $4,-3,-1$ 4,-1,2-3,8,4temp, °C212121abs cornonenoneempiricalrel transm0.970-1.0000.980-1.0000.787-1.000no. of reflens measd211261745409no. of uniq. reflens184053885228 R_{int} 0.010.040.04no. of obsd. reflens ($I > 3\sigma(I)$)145636822299ro. of bas. reflens ($I > 3\sigma(I)$)145636822299	$d_{\rm meand}$, g cm ⁻³	1.89	•••	1.92
$F(000), e$ 4887161360radiationMo K α Mo K α Mo K α Mo K α λ, \dot{A} 0.710 690.710 690.710 69monochromatorgraphitegraphitegraphitescan ω ω ω scan speed, deg min ⁻¹ 1.5-29.32.0-29.3 $2\theta_{max}, deg$ 535053 h,k,l range $+9,+13,\pm17$ $+11,\pm16,\pm17$ $+12,+21,\pm17$ test reflens $1,-2,-1$ $2,-1,2$ $1,-3,0$ $2,1,3$ $3,-1,3$ $0,-5,5$ $4,-3,-1$ $4,-1,2$ $-3,8,4$ temp, °C212121abs cornonenoneempiricalrel transm0.970-1.0000.980-1.0000.787-1.000no. of reflens measd211261745409no. of uniq. reflens184053885228 R_{int} 0.010.040.04no. of obsd. reflens ($I > 3\sigma(I)$)145636822299no. of bas. reflens ($I > 3\sigma(I)$)145636822299	$\mu(Mo K\alpha), cm^{-1}$	11.0	6.9	16.8
radiationMo KαMo KαMo KαMo Kα λ, \dot{A} 0.710 690.710 690.710 69monochromatorgraphitegraphitegraphitescan ω ω ω scan speed, deg min ⁻¹ 1.5-29.32.0-29.31.5-29.3535053 λ, k, l range+9,+13,±17+11,±16,±17test reflens1,-2,-12,-1,21,-3,0 $\lambda, -3, -1$ 4,-1,2-3,8,4temp, °C212121abs cornonenoneempiricalrel transm0.970-1.0000.980-1.0000.787-1.000no. of reflens measd211261745409no. of uniq. reflens184053885228 R_{int} 0.010.040.04no. of obsd. reflens ($I > 3\sigma(I)$)145636822299	F(000), e	488	716	1360
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	radiation	Μο Κα	Μο Κα	Μο Κα
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	λ, Å	0.71069	0.71069	0.71069
scan ω ω ω ω scan width, deg111scan speed, deg min ⁻¹ 1.5-29.32.0-29.31.5-29.3 $2\theta_{max}$, deg535053 h,k,l range+9,+13,±17+11,±16,±17+12,+21,±17test reflens1,-2,-12,-1,21,-3,0 $2,1,3$ 3,-1,30,-5,5 $4,-3,-1$ 4,-1,2-3,8,4temp, °C212121abs cornonenoneempiricalrel transm0.970-1.0000.980-1.0000.787-1.000no. of reflens measd211261745409no. of uniq. reflens184053885228 R_{int} 0.010.040.04no. of obsd. reflens ($I > 3\sigma(I)$)145636822299no. of summer173502201	monochromator	graphite	graphite	graphite
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	scan	ω	ω	ω
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	scan width, deg	1	1	1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	scan speed, deg min ⁻¹	1.5-29.3	2.0-29.3	1.5-29.3
h,k,l range $+9,+13,\pm17$ $+11,\pm16,\pm17$ $+12,+21,\pm17$ test reflens $1,-2,-1$ $2,-1,2$ $1,-3,0$ $2,1,3$ $3,-1,3$ $0,-5,5$ $4,-3,-1$ $4,-1,2$ $-3,8,4$ temp, °C 21 21 21 abs cornonenoneempiricalrel transm $0.970-1.000$ $0.980-1.000$ $0.787-1.000$ no. of reflens measd 2112 6174 5409 no. of uniq. reflens 1840 5388 5228 R_{int} 0.01 0.04 0.04 no. of obsd. reflens $(I > 3\sigma(I))$ 1456 3682 2299	$2\theta_{\rm max}$, deg	53	50	53
test reflens $1,-2,-1$ $2,-1,2$ $1,-3,0$ $2,1,3$ $3,-1,3$ $0,-5,5$ $4,-3,-1$ $4,-1,2$ $-3,8,4$ temp, °C 21 21 21 abs cornonenoneempiricalrel transm $0.970-1.000$ $0.980-1.000$ $0.787-1.000$ no. of reflens measd 2112 6174 5409 no. of uniq. reflens 1840 5388 5228 R_{int} 0.01 0.04 0.04 no. of obsd. reflens $(I > 3\sigma(I))$ 1456 3682 2299	h,k,l range	+9,+ 13, ± 17	+11,±16,±17	$+12,+21,\pm 17$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	test reflens	1,-2,-1	2,-1,2	1,-3,0
$4,-3,-1$ $4,-1,2$ $-3,8,4$ temp, °C212121abs cornonenoneempiricalrel transm $0.970-1.000$ $0.980-1.000$ $0.787-1.000$ no. of reflens measd2112 6174 5409no. of uniq. reflens184053885228 R_{int} 0.01 0.04 0.04 no. of obsd. reflens $(I > 3\sigma(I))$ 145636822299		2,1,3	3,-1,3	0,-5,5
temp, °C212121abs cornonenoneempiricalrel transm $0.970-1.000$ $0.980-1.000$ $0.787-1.000$ no. of reflens measd2112 6174 5409no. of uniq. reflens184053885228 R_{int} 0.01 0.04 0.04 no. of obsd. reflens ($I > 3\sigma(I)$)145636822299		4,-3,-1	4,-1,2	-3,8,4
abs cor none none empirical rel transm $0.970-1.000$ $0.980-1.000$ $0.787-1.000$ no. of reflens measd 2112 6174 5409 no. of uniq. reflens 1840 5388 5228 R_{int} 0.01 0.04 0.04 no. of obsd. reflens $(I > 3\sigma(I))$ 1456 3682 2299	temp, °C	21	21	21
rel transm $0.970-1.000$ $0.980-1.000$ $0.787-1.000$ no. of reflcns measd2112 6174 5409 no. of uniq. reflcns1840 5388 5228 R_{int} 0.01 0.04 0.04 no. of obsd. reflcns $(I > 3\sigma(I))$ 1456 3682 2299 $ra of parameter173602201$	abs cor	none	none	empirical
no. of reficns measd2112 6174 5409no. of uniq. reficns184053885228 R_{int} 0.010.040.04no. of obsd. reficns $(I > 3\sigma(I))$ 145636822299re of parameter173503201	rel transm	0.970-1.000	0.980-1.000	0.787-1.000
no. of uniq. reflens184053885228 R_{int} 0.010.040.04no. of obsd. reflens $(I > 3\sigma(I))$ 145636822299ro. of parameter173502201	no. of reflens measd	2112	6174	5409
R_{int} 0.010.040.04no. of obsd. reflens $(I > 3\sigma(I))$ 145636822299no. of parameter173503201	no. of uniq. reflens	1840	5388	5228
no. of obsd. reflens $(I > 3\sigma(I))$ 1456 3682 2299	R _{int}	0.01	0.04	0.04
no of nomen 172 502 201	no. of obsd. reflens $(I > 3\sigma(I))$	1456	3682	2299
no. or params 1/5 502 291	no. of params	173	502	291
<i>R</i> ^a 0.022 0.058 0.059	R ^a	0.022	0.058	0.059
Ry ^b 0.024 0.059 0.061	R ^b	0.024	0.059	0.061
$\Delta \rho_{fin}(max/min)$, e Å ⁻³ +0.54/-0.35 +1.84/-0.84 +1.04/-0.99	$\Delta \rho_{\rm fin}(\rm max/min), e Å^{-3}$	+0.54/-0.35	+1.84/-0.84	+1.04/-0.99

 ${}^{a}R = \sum (||F_{o}| - |F_{c}||) / \sum |F_{o}|. \quad {}^{b}R = [\sum w(||F_{o}| - |F_{c}||)^{2} / \sum wF_{o}^{2}]^{1/2} \text{ with } w = 1/\sigma^{2}(F_{o}).$

Table II. Fractional Atomic Coordinates and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters $(Å^2)$ of 1a with Esd's Given in Parentheses

atom	x/a	y/b	z/c	U _{eq}
Pd	0.0000 (0)	0.0000 (0)	0.0000 (0)	0.0270 (1)
N2	0.2374 (4)	0.0270 (2)	0.1233 (2)	0.037 (1)
N1	0.2548 (3)	0.2711 (2)	0.1727 (1)	0.028 (1)
C1	0.4082 (5)	0.2620 (3)	0.2369 (2)	0.041 (2)
C2	0.2170 (3)	0.1616 (2)	0.1188 (1)	0.027 (1)
O 2	0.3157 (3)	0.0624 (1)	0.1194 (1)	0.040 (1)
N3	0.0622 (3)	0.1689 (1)	0.0656 (1)	0.025 (1)
C4	0.0431 (3)	0.2795 (2)	0.0636 (1)	0.030 (1)
N4	0.1944 (4)	0.2808 (3)	0.0133 (2)	0.045 (2)
C5	0.0040 (4)	0.3914 (2)	0.1158 (2)	0.036 (1)
C6	0.1506 (4)	0.3824 (2)	0.1704 (2)	0.033 (1)
N6	0.4002 (3)	0.3553 (2)	0.1337 (2)	0.042 (1)
O3	0.2687 (4)	0.3267 (2)	0.1812 (2)	0.071 (2)
O4	0.4734 (3)	0.2705 (2)	0.0878 (2)	0.064 (2)
05	0.4522 (6)	0.4669 (3)	0.1331 (5)	0.146 (3)
H 1	0.402 (5)	-0.343 (3)	-0.281 (3)	0.048 (10)
H2	0.373 (5)	-0.198 (4)	-0.288 (3)	0.053 (10)
H3	0.525 (6)	-0.245 (4)	-0.196 (3)	0.059 (11)
H4	-0.226 (5)	-0.213 (3)	0.011 (2)	0.034 (9)
H5	-0.259 (5)	-0.356 (4)	-0.017 (3)	0.048 (10)
H6	-0.063 (6)	-0.471 (3)	-0.115 (3)	0.048 (10)
H7	0.181 (5)	-0.458 (4)	-0.205 (3)	0.044 (9)
H8	0.218 (6)	0.012 (3)	0.177 (3)	0.050 (11)
H9	0.350 (9)	0.004 (4)	0.104 (5)	0.084 (17)
H10	0.237 (6)	-0.094 (4)	0.135 (3)	0.055 (13)

chemistry, are assigned to H6 of $(1-\text{MeC-}N^3)\text{Pd}$ (ca. 7.5–7.7 ppm), H5 of $(1-\text{MeC-}N^3)\text{Pd}$ (ca. 5.85–6.15 ppm), H6 of $(1-\text{MeC-}N^3,N^4)\text{Pd}$ (ca. 6.8–7 ppm) and H5 of $(1-\text{MeC-}N^3,N^4)\text{Pd}$ (ca. 5.6–5.8 ppm). Resonances due to free 1-MeC are expected around



Figure 2. ORTEP diagram of the cation of trans-[Pd(NH₃)₂(1-MeC- N^3)₂](NO₃)₂ (1a). The thermal ellipsoids for non-hydrogen atoms are drawn at the 50% probability level.

7.56 and 5.96 ppm, respectively, at the pD indicated. It was this complexity that initiated the work reported here. We assumed that loss or scrambling of ligands might occur and decided to study and characterize possible products.

Characterization of trans-[Pd(NH₃)₂(1-MeC-N³)₂](NO₃)₂ (1a). Displacement of the Cl ligands of trans-Pd(NH₃)₂Cl₂ by water prior to reaction of 1-MeC permitted the isolation of 1a in good yield. 1a is isomorphous with the corresponding Pt compound.^{36a} Figure 2 gives a view of the centrosymmetric cation. Selected

 ^{(36) (}a) Lippert, B.; Lock, C. J. L.; Speranzini, R. A. Inorg. Chem. 1981, 20, 808. (b) Brown, B. E.; Lock, C. J. L. Acta Cryst., Sect. C 1988, C44, 611.

Table III. Fractional Atomic Coordinates and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters (A^2) (Except for Protons) for 2a

atom	x/a	y/b	z/c	Ueq
Pd1	0.1673 (0)	0.3220 (0)	0.2811 (0)	0.0282 (3)
N31	0.3395 (7)	0.2636 (4)	0.3414(4)	0.031 (3)
N41	0.4629 (9)	0.2596 (6)	0.2886 (8)	0.038(3) 0.043(4)
C51	0.5946 (10)	0.2100 (8)	0.3380 (6)	0.049 (5)
C61	0.5529 (11)	0.1943 (8)	0.4392 (7)	0.053 (5)
N11 C11	0.4054 (8)	0.2112 (5)	0.4909 (5)	0.042 (4)
C21	0.2957 (9)	0.2435 (6)	0.4451 (5)	0.037 (4)
O21	0.1647 (6)	0.2567 (4)	0.4917 (4)	0.042 (3)
N32	0.1032 (7)	0.1699 (5)	0.2800 (4)	0.038 (4)
N42	-0.0665 (8)	0.1527 (6)	0.4359 (5)	0.049 (4)
C52	-0.0340 (11)	0.0065 (8)	0.3478 (8)	0.056 (6)
C62	0.0401 (13)	-0.0334 (8)	0.2650 (9)	0.068 (7)
C12	0.1433(10) 0.2247(18)	-0.0177(10)	0.1016 (9)	0.095 (10)
C22	0.1784 (11)	0.1309 (7)	0.1940 (7)	0.046 (5)
O22	0.2711 (7)	0.1840 (5)	0.1261 (4)	0.053 (4)
N 3 3 C 4 3	-0.0166 (7)	0.3799 (5)	0.2344 (4)	0.039 (4)
N43	0.0758 (10)	0.3498 (7)	0.0729 (5)	0.057 (5)
C53	-0.1654 (13)	0.4347 (8)	0.1192 (8)	0.060 (7)
C63	-0.2706 (14)	0.4710 (9)	0.1898 (10)	0.070 (8)
C13	-0.3734 (13)	0.5025(11)	0.3627(10)	0.084 (10)
O23	-0.1183 (6)	0.4063 (4)	0.3947 (4)	0.045 (4)
C23	-0.1274 (9)	0.4166 (6)	0.3078 (6)	0.041 (5)
N34 C44	0.2417 (7)	0.4743 (4)	0.2705 (4)	0.034 (4)
N44	0.1643 (8)	0.4811 (6)	0.4389 (4)	0.044 (4)
C54	0.2769 (11)	0.6440 (7)	0.3184 (5)	0.051 (6)
C64 N14	0.3318 (11)	0.6794 (6)	0.2424 (6)	0.050 (5)
C14	0.4388 (14)	0.6743 (8)	0.0560 (7)	0.070 (7)
C24	0.3108 (9)	0.5220 (6)	0.1742 (6)	0.040 (4)
024 N1	0.3275 (7)	0.4713 (4)	0.1052 (4)	0.052 (4)
01	0.3059 (8)	0.8702 (5)	0.3571 (5)	0.065 (5)
02	0.2908 (8)	1.0086 (5)	0.4267 (5)	0.065 (5)
O3	0.4333 (9)	1.0123 (6)	0.2782 (5)	0.087 (6)
04	1.0416 (12)	0.6675 (9)	0.0979 (7)	0.102(4) 0.158(5)
05	0.9498 (15)	0.7114 (11)	0.2413 (7)	0.191 (6)
06	0.8306 (14)	0.7494 (14)	0.1302 (11)	0.264 (10)
07	0.2948 (18)	0.0441(9) 0.1652(7)	0.1054(7)	0.099 (6)
HI	0.173 (2)	0.055 (7)	0.867 (7)	
H2	0.283 (10)	0.129 (1)	0.829 (6)	
H4	0.771(10) 0.846(10)	0.083(2) 0.200(6)	0.117(6) 0.028(2)	
HII	0.410 (5)	0.263 (7)	0.170 (6)	
H21	0.614 (6)	0.220 (6)	0.151 (6)	
H41	0.708 (4)	0.188 (7)	0.300 (6)	
H51	0.457 (5)	0.223 (4)	0.618 (5)	
H61	0.342 (6)	0.108 (2)	0.642 (4)	
H71 H12	0.263 (4)	0.235 (4)	0.620 (5)	1
H22	-0.070 (10)	0.237(2)	0.406 (6)	
H32	-0.113 (8)	-0.033 (6)	0.415 (3)	
H42 H52	0.049 (10)	-0.114 (3)	0.249 (6)	
H62	0.267 (6)	-0.098 (2)	0.113 (5)	
H72	0.299 (5)	0.028 (4)	0.036 (3)	
H13	0.031 (9)	0.351 (7)	0.009 (4)	
H33	-0.183 (10)	0.434 (7)	0.047 (3)	
H43	-0.371 (6)	0.510 (6)	0.175 (6)	
H53 H63	-0.452 (6)	0.513(5) 0.451(4)	0.317(4)	
H73	-0.349 (8)	0.580 (2)	0.379 (4)	
H14	0.149 (10)	0.529 (6)	0.497 (4)	
H24 H34	0.146 (10) 0.254 (10)	0.399 (2)	0.432 (6) 0 394 (2)	
H44	0.550 (10)	0.716 (7)	0.122 (6)	
H54	0.538 (4)	0.633 (5)	0.019 (4)	
но 4 Н74	0.4/5 (/)	0.744 (3)	0.079 (5) 0.005 (4)	

Table IV. Fractional Atomic Coordinates and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters $(Å^2)$ (Except for Protons) for 3 with Esd's Given in Parentheses

Given in	rarcinneses			
atom	x/a	y/b	z/c	U _{eq}
Pd1	-0.1101 (1)	0.2157 (0)	0.1164 (0)	0.0342 (7)
Pd2	0.1143(1)	0.2079(0)	0.2862(0)	0.0335(6)
NI	-0.0629 (15)	0.3045 (8)	0.0316(9)	0.057 (9)
N2	-0.2331(14)	0.2919(9)	0 1709 (8)	0.057(9)
N3	0.2513(14)	0.2720 (8)	0.2309 (8)	0.050 (8)
N4	0.0912 (13)	0.3032(7)	0.3697 (9)	0.046 (8)
N31	0.0111(11)	0.1408(7)	0.0607 (7)	0.031 (6)
C41	0.1235 (14)	0.1028 (9)	0.1179(9)	0.034 (6)
N41	0.1579 (13)	0.1183 (8)	0.2103 (8)	0.042(8)
C51	0.1931 (14)	0.0471 (8)	0.0714 (10)	0.036 (8)
C61	0.1621 (15)	0.0381 (9)	-0.0234(11)	0.042 (8)
N11	0.0600 (12)	0.0788 (7)	-0.0755 (7)	0.036 (9)
C11	0.0310 (20)	0.0732 (10)	-0.1810 (10)	0.056 (12)
C21	-0.0205 (16)	0.1292 (9)	-0.0334 (10)	0.042 (10)
021	-0.1221 (11)	0.1596 (6)	-0.0831 (7)	0.047 (7)
N32	-0.0216 (11)	0.1437 (7)	0.3422 (8)	0.036 (8)
C42	-0.1385 (15)	0.1151 (9)	0.2856 (10)	0.036 (8)
N42	-0.1718 (12)	0.1314 (8)	0.1935 (8)	0.039 (7)
C52	-0.2284 (16)	0.0662 (10)	0.3278 (13)	0.052 (10)
C62	-0.2001 (18)	0.0585 (9)	0.4280 (11)	0.048 (10)
N12	-0.0837 (13)	0.0894 (7)	0.4806 (8)	0.039 (8)
C12	-0.0556 (17)	0.0864 (11)	0.5856 (9)	0.052 (10)
C22	0.0110 (16)	0.1273 (9)	0.4362 (9)	0.037 (9)
O22	0.1190 (11)	0.1521 (7)	0.4877 (6)	0.050 (7)
N5	0.2009 (20)	0.7268 (12)	0.5247 (12)	0.104 (7)
01	0.2059 (17)	0.7032 (10)	0.6070 (11)	0.101 (5)
02	0.2920 (19)	0.7667 (10)	0.5037 (14)	0.120 (6)
O3	0.1069 (22)	0.7084 (12)	0.4575 (15)	0.156 (8)
N6	0.0655 (14)	0.4726 (9)	0.1945 (8)	0.182 (13)
O4	-0.0235 (14)	0.4343 (9)	0.2202 (8)	0.205 (12)
O5	0.0689 (14)	0.5434 (9)	0.2050 (8)	0.170 (9)
O6	0.1520 (14)	0.4399 (9)	0.1593 (8)	0.233 (14)
07	0.5454 (29)	0.2131 (13)	0.2940 (23)	0.185 (23)
O8	0.5518 (16)	0.0597 (13)	0.0763 (15)	0.145 (11)
H1	-0.0218 (15)	0.3031 (8)	-0.0329 (9)	
H2	-0.0262 (15)	0.3560 (8)	0.0729 (9)	
H3	-0.1729 (15)	0.3061 (8)	0.0126 (9)	
H4	-0.2105 (14)	0.3520 (9)	0.1917 (8)	
H5	-0.2595 (14)	0.2603 (9)	0.2303 (8)	
H6	-0.3176 (14)	0.2900 (9)	0.1102 (8)	
H7	0.1427 (14)	0.2813 (8)	0.2096 (8)	
H8	0.2939 (14)	0.2632 (8)	0.1677 (8)	
H9	0.2981 (14)	0.3226 (8)	0.2698 (8)	
HIU	0.0658 (13)	0.2918 (7)	0.4387 (9)	
HII	0.0366(13)	0.3543 (7)	0.33/9(9)	
HI2	0.1995(13)	0.3131(7)	0.3/89(9)	
	0.220(13)	0.071(6)	0.246 (10)	
1114 1114	0.2755(0)	0.0124(0)	0.1123(0)	
	-0.2134(0)	0.0023(0)	-0.0003(0)	
L117	0.0703 (20)	0.0079(10)	-0.2092(10)	
H12	0.0009 (20)	0.0170 (10)		
H10	-0.280 (3)	0 125 (9)	0.165 (10)	
H20	-0.200 (3)	0.125(9)	0.103 (10)	
H21	-0.2659 (0)	0.0277(0)	0 4624 (0)	
H22		0.0277(0)	0.6513 (0)	
H23	0.0060 (17)	0.0348 (11)	0.5834 (9)	
H24	-0.1601 (17)	0.0693 (11)	0.5829 (9)	
H25	0.448 (8)	0.242 (8)	0.273(10)	
H26	0.518 (21)	0.170 (10)	0.293 (14)	

interatomic distances and angles are listed in Table V. The cation geometry is very similar to that of the corresponding Pt complex $(NO_3^- \text{salt}, {}^{36a} \text{ ClO}_4^- \text{salt} {}^{36b})$ and, as far as the 1-MeC geometry is concerned, also to that of *trans*-Pd(1-MeC)₂Cl₂.¹⁵ Dihedral angles between 1-MeC and the metal coordination plane in the three compounds are 78.4° (1a), 78.2° and 74.9° (Pt analogues), and 84.1° (PdCl₂ complex). The cytosine rings are coplanar. The packing (cf. supplementary material) of cations and nitrate anions in 1a is similar to that of *trans*-[Pt(NH₃)₂(1-MeC)₂](NO₃)₂^{36a} in that nitrates are intercalated between 1-MeC planes of adjacent cations.

Table V. Selected Interatomic Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) in 1a and 2a

Pd1-N2	Cor 2.039 (3)	npound 1a N2-Pd1	-N3	90.6 (1)	
Pai-N3 N1-C1	2.019 (2)	C2-N1-	-06	121 9 (2)	
NI-C2	1.407(3)	N1-C2-	-N3	1169(2)	
$C_{2} = O_{2}^{2}$	1.377(3)	C2-N3-	-C4	121.4(2)	
C2-N3	1.376 (3)	N3-C4-	-ČS	120.5 (2)	
N3-C4	1.347(3)	C4-C5-	-Č6	117.8 (3)	
C4-N4	1.328 (3)	C5-C6-	-N1	121.4 (3)	
C4-C5	1.406 (4)	C2-N1-	-C1	117.7(2)	
C5-C6	1.340 (4)	C6-N1-	-ČÎ	120.3 (2)	
C6-N1	1.352 (3)	N1-C2-	-02	121.3 (2)	
		N3-C2-	-02	121.8 (2)	
		N3-C4-	-N4	119.2 (3)	
		C5-C4-	-N4	120.3 (3)	
	Cor	nnound 2a		(-)	
Pd-N31	2 046 (7)	N31-Pd	-N32	90.4 (3)	
Pd-N32	2.040 (7)	N31-Pd	-N33	1745(3)	
Pd-N33	2.035(7)	N31-Pd	-N34	901(3)	
PdN34	2.037(7)	N32-Pd	-N33	897(3)	
14 1454	2.040 (1)	N32-Pd	-N34	1754(3)	
		N33-Pd	-N34	90.2 (3)	
 	ring 1	ring 2	ring 3	ring 4	
 N1_C1	1.46 (1)	1 44 (2)	1 47 (2)	1.46 (1)	
NI-C2	1.35 (1)	1.44(2)	1.39 (1)	1 38 (1)	
C_{2}	1.32 (1)	1 22 (1)	1 24 (1)	1.38(1)	
C2-N3	1.39 (1)	1.38 (1)	1.37 (1)	1.38 (1)	
N3-C4	1.36 (1)	1.35 (1)	1.36 (1)	1.35 (1)	
C4-N4	1.31 (1)	1.33 (1)	1.32 (1)	1.32 (1)	
C4-C5	1.41 (1)	1.39 (1)	1.42 (2)	1.43 (1)	
C5-C6	1.35 (1)	1.35 (2)	1.32 (2)	1.32 (1)	
C6-N1	1.38 (1)	1.36 (1)	1.35 (2)	1.37 (1)	
C2-N1-C6	122 (1)	120 (1)	121 (1)	120 (1)	
N1-C2-N3	117 (1)	117 (1)	118 (1)	119 (1)	
C2-N3-C4	122 (1)	122 (1)	120 (1)	121 (1)	
N3-C4-C5	117 (1)	121 (1)	120 (1)	121 (1)	
C4-C5-C6	118 (1)	118 (1)	120 (1)	118 (1)	
C5-C6-N1	120(1)	123 (1)	121 (1)	122 (1)	
C2-N1-C1	1 19 (İ)	117 (1)	118 (1)	118 (1)	
C6-N1-C1	119 (1)	121 (1)	121 (1)	121 (1)	
N1-C2-O2	122 (2)	121 (1)	120 (2)	120 (2)	
N3-C2-O2	121 (1)	122 (1)	122 (1)	121 (1)	
N3-C4-N4	119 (1)	121 (1)	118 (1)	119 (1)	
CS-CA-NA	121 (1)	121 (1)	122 (1)	120 (1)	

IR and Raman spectra (solid state) of 1a and the Pt(II) analogue show a high degree of similarity and are, over a wide range, virtually superimposable. Only in the low-frequency region (skeletal modes) are there minor differences. The established³⁷ patterns of IR and Raman bands of 1-MeC with Pt binding via N3 are confirmed in the case of the Pd compound 1a (e.g. prominent Raman modes at 643, 795, 837, 1162, and 1250 cm⁻¹).

Solution Behavior of 1a. Recrystallization of 1a from H₂O is accompanied by formation of several byproducts. Their formation is also evident from ¹H NMR spectra. Immediately after 1a is dissolved in D₂O (pD 6.4), two sets of 1-MeC (H6, 7.62 and 7.65 ppm; H5, 5.97 and 6.03 ppm; CH₃, 3.42 and 3.45 ppm) and NH₃ resonances (3.06 and 3.10 ppm) of relative intensities 1:8 are observed which are assigned to two rotamers (head-head and head-tail) with the latter believed to be the preferred species. With time, and especially on slight warming (1 h, 40 °C), the spectrum changes and five sets of H5 and H6 1-MeC doublets are observed then (Figure 3). There is no indication for any N3, N4-bridged 1-MeC⁻ species in solution, but a poorly soluble orange-pink product 4 of composition Pd(1-MeC⁻)₂ precipitates from solution, which, according to elemental analysis, has lost the ammonia ligands completely. The slight rise in pD (0.4 units) is consistent with release of NH₃. Resonances due to free 1-MeC are not unambiguously identified. This suggests that the multiplicity of resonances could arise from species formed by loss and addition



Figure 3. Low-field sections of ¹H NMR spectra of 1a (a) immediately after dissolving it in D_2O (pD 6.4) and (b) after 1 h at 40 °C (pD 6.25).

of NH₁ as well as trans \rightarrow cis isomerization reactions. Different rotamers could give rise to additional resonances.

Deprotonation of the exocyclic $NH_2(4)$ groups of the 1-MeC ligands of 1a does not occur up to pH 14. However, addition of acid (DNO₃, pD 1) causes rapid cleavage of the Pd-(1-MeC) bond and appearance of resonances due to protonated 1-MeC.

 $[Pd(NH_3)(1-MeC)_3](NO_3)_2$ (5). A yellowish white, crystalline compound of composition [Pd(NH₃)(1-MeC)₃](NO₃)₂ (5) was isolated from a solution containing la and 1-MeC. Unlike the corresponding Pt complex,³⁸ which is inert and displays two sets of 1-MeC resonances in a 2:1 ratio in the ¹H NMR spectrum corresponding to the two rings trans to each other and the ring trans to NH₃, the ¹H NMR spectrum of 5 is considerably more complex. Immediately after the compound is dissolved the spectrum displays four H6 and five H5 1-MeC doublets. There is a further change with time that involves no free 1-MeC, however. It appears that initially there is formation of **1a** and **2a** according to

$$25 \rightarrow 1a + 2a$$

as concluded from the appearance of resonances due to 2a. This process could account for five signal sets. Since 1a further changes (cf. above), the complexity of the spectrum could be explained in principle.

 $[Pd(1-MeC-N^3)_4](NO_3)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ (2a) was prepared in good yield from trans-[Pd(1-MeC-N³)₂(H₂O)₂]²⁺ and 1-MeC. Alternatively, it was also obtained from trans- $[Pd(NH_3)_2(H_2O)_2]^{2+}$ and excess 1-MeC in low yield (20%) along with 1a. Reaction of K_2PdCl_4 with an excess of 1-MeC gave the corresponding chloride salt 2b. The tetrakis(1-methylcytosine) complex represents one of the few examples of d⁸ metal ions carrying four nucleobases simultane-ously.^{9,11,39} One of these, a Pd(II) complex with four cytidine ligands,9 has been observed to form on recrystallization of [Pd- $(mit)_2(cyd)_2$ Cl₂ (mit = 1-methylimidazole-2-thiol, cyd = cytidine) from an ethanol solution on addition of diethyl ether.

Figure 4 provides a view of the cation of 2a. Selected bond lengths and angles are included in Table V. As with 1a, binding of the 1-MeC ligands is through N3. The four bases are arranged in a way that adjacent ones are oriented head-to-tail with respect to each other. As a consequence, the space above and below the Pd is shielded by two O2 oxygens, which are trans to each other and by two $NH_2(4)$ groups, which are trans to each other as well.

⁽a) Lippert, B. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1981, 56, L23. (b) Faggiani, R.; Lock, C. J. L.; Lippert, B. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1985, 106, 75. (a) Korte, H.-J.; Bau, R. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1983, 79, 251. (b) Had-jiliadis, N.; Theophanides, T. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1976, 16, 77. (38)

⁽³⁹⁾

⁽³⁷⁾ Faggiani, R.; Lippert, B.; Lock, C. J. L. Inorg. Chem. 1982, 21, 3210.



Figure 4. ORTEP diagram of the cation of $[Pd(1-MeC-N^3)_4](NO_3)_2 \cdot 2H_2O(2a)$.



Figure 5. Low-field sections of ¹H NMR spectra of (a) *trans*-[Pd(1-MeC)₂(D₂O)₂]²⁺ (D₂O, pD 2.3) immediately after filtration of AgCl and (b) the solution used for spectrum a after addition of NaOD (pD 5.3).

The 1-MeC rings form large dihedral angles with the PdN_4 coordination plane (85.7–103.8°, cf. supplementary material). There are no unusual structural features in the 1-MeC rings. The packing diagram is given in the supplementary material.

In the Raman spectrum (solid state) of 2a, some of the ring modes are split (e.g. intense ring stretch at 1252 and 1275 cm⁻¹). This is probably due to vibrational coupling because it is not observed in solution (e.g. single band at 1263 cm⁻¹).

In D₂O, **2a** appears to be inert at neutral pH. There is no indication of deprotonation of $NH_2(4)$ groups up to pH 13. In DNO₃ acidic solution (pD 1-3.5), partial decomposition occurs with formation of 1-MeCD⁺.

trans-[Pd(1-MeC)₂(H₂O)₂]²⁺. Removal of the Cl ligands from trans-Pd(1-MeC- N^3)₂Cl₂ by AgNO₃ treatment yields the aqua species and its hydroxo derivatives, respectively. The ¹H NMR spectrum of the product (D₂O, pD 2.3) displays seven sets of 1-MeC resonances, four of which are due to N3-bound ligand. In addition, two sets of resonances due to anionic 1-MeC⁻ carrying Pd at both N3 and N4 are detected as well as a set of free 1-MeCH⁺ resonances (Figure 5). The spectrum simplifies greatly



Figure 6. IR absorptions (KBr) of 1a (top) and of 3 (bottom) in the double-bond stretching region.



Figure 7. ORTEP diagram of the dinuclear cation of cis-[(NH₃)₂Pd(1-McC- N^3 , N^4)₂Pd(NH₃)₂](NO₃)₂·2H₂O (3).

when the pD is raised to 5.3. The major species present then is 2a as confirmed by isolating the product on a preparative scale. Formation of the tetrakis (1-methylcytosine) complex 2a obviously is according to

trans-
$$[Pd(1-MeC)_2(H_2O)_2]^{2+} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} [Pd(1-MeC)_4]^{2+} + \frac{1}{2} [Pd(H_2O)_4]^{2+} 2a$$

but we are presently unable to unambiguously rationalize the behavior of *trans*- $[Pd(1-MeC)_2(H_2O)_2]^{2+}$ in moderately acidic solution.

When the pH of an aqueous solution of *trans*- $[Pd(1-MeC)_2-(H_2O)_2]^{2+}$ is raised to ca. 12, there is almost quantitative formation of Pd(1-MeC⁻)₂ (4).

Formation and Characterization of cis-[Pd₂(NH₃)₄(1-MeC⁻- N^3 , N^4)₂](NO₃)₂·2H₂O (3). Reaction of 1a with trans-[Pd-(NH₃)₂(H₂O)₂](NO₃)₂ yielded a crystalline compound of analytical composition $Pd(NH_3)_2(1-MeC^-)NO_3 H_2O$. The IR spectrum of this compound 3 was virtually superimposable with that of the previously described dinuclear complex cis- $[(NH_3)_2Pt(1-MeC^--N^3,N^4)_2Pt(NH_3)_2](NO_3)_2^{40}$ with two head-tail oriented anionic cytosine ligands. In particular, the double-bond stretching region of the IR (Figure 6) was very useful in differentiating between N3-bound 1-MeC and N3,N4-bridging 1-MeC^{-,41} In the Raman spectrum (solid state) intense ring modes occur at 808 and 1248 cm⁻¹. The ¹H NMR spectrum (D_2O , pD 6.5) of 3 unambiguously confirmed N3,N4-bridging 1-MeC⁻ with doublets at 6.91 (H6) and 5.71 ppm (H5), ${}^{3}J = 7.5$ Hz, and a singlet (CH₃) at 3.28 ppm. The crystal structure analysis of 3 established that the two 1-MeC⁻ ligands indeed are head-to-tail

⁽⁴⁰⁾ Faggiani, R.; Lippert, B.; Lock, C. J. L.; Speranzini, R. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 1111.

⁽⁴¹⁾ Britten, J. F.; Lippert, B.; Lock, C. J. L.; Pilon, P. Inorg. Chem. 1982, 21, 1936.

Table VI.	Selected	Interatomic	Distances ((Å)	and	Angles	(deg)	in 3	,
-----------	----------	-------------	-------------	-----	-----	--------	-------	------	---

Pd1-Pd Pd1-N1 Pd1-N2 Pd1-N3 Pd1-N4	2 2.948 (1) 2.052 (13) 2.050 (13) 1 2.033 (11) 2 1.984 (13)	N1-Pd1-N2 N1-Pd1-N31 N2-Pd1-N31 N1-Pd1-N42 N2-Pd1-N42 N31-Pd1-N42 C21-N31-Pd1 C41-N31-Pd1	88.8 (6) 90.6 (5) 179.3 (5) 175.3 (5) 92.0 (5) 92.0 (5) 117 (1) 122 (1)
Pd2-N3 Pd2-N4 Pd2-N3 Pd2-N4	2.035 (13) 2.056 (11) 2 2.032 (12) 1 1.972 (13)	N3-Pd2-N4 N3-Pd2-N32 N4-Pd2-N4 N3-Pd2-N41 N4-Pd2-N41 N32-Pd2-N41 C22-N32-Pd2 C42-N32-Pd2	87.7 (5) 179.6 (3) 92.2 (5) 88.0 (5) 173.8 (5) 92.0 (5) 117 (1) 122 (1)
	ring 1 ring 2	I	ing 1 ring 2
N1-C1 1 N1-C2 1 C2-O2 1 C2-N3 1 N3-C4 1 C4-N4 1 C4-C5 1 C5-C6 1 C5-C6 1 C6-N1 1	.47 (2) 1.46 (2) .39 (2) 1.40 (2) .23 (2) 1.25 (2) .33 (2) 1.34 (2) .41 (2) 1.37 (2) .32 (2) 1.31 (2) .42 (2) 1.45 (2) .33 (2) 1.40 (2) .33 (2) 1.40 (2) .33 (2) 1.40 (2)	C2-N1-C6 1 N1-C2-N3 1 C2-N3-C4 1 N3-C4-C5 1 C4-C5-C6 1 C5-C6-N1 1 C2-N1-C1 1 C6-N1-C1 1 N1-C2-O2 1 N3-C2-O2 1 N3-C4-N4 1 C5-C4-N4 1	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

and that the ammonia ligands are arranged cis. Figure 7 illustrates the dinuclear cation. Bond lengths and angles of the cation are listed in Table VI, the packing diagram is deposited as supplementary material. 3 is isomorphous with the Pt complex.⁴⁰ The geometry of the cation of 3 is very similar to that of the corresponding Pt(II) complex, which has been described in detail.⁴⁰ Differences refer, for example, to the metal-metal separation within the cation, which is significantly shorter in the case of Pd(II) (2.950 (2) Å vs 2.981 (2) Å) and to the dihedral angle between the metal planes (31.5° in 3 vs 34° in the Pt compound). Unlike the Pt(II) complex, which can be oxidized to the diplatinum(III) derivative, oxidation of 3 by means of Ce(IV) is not accomplished. This finding thus parallels previous observations.^{19,42}

Trans \rightarrow **Cis Isomerization Leading to 3.** Certainly *the* most unusual feature about 3 is its formation from two *trans*-(NH₃)₂Pd^{II} entities. While from model building it is evident that a dinuclear complex with two *trans*-(NH₃)₂Pd^{II} moieties is unlikely to be formed for steric reasons (structure I), there is no immediate



explanation why the head-tail dinuclear complex is formed rather than a head-head isomer. Another important aspect of the formation of 3 is that N4 deprotonation, despite the high pK_a of ca. 16.7⁴³ of the amino group of the free ligand, takes place rather easily at pH 8. It again supports previous comments¹⁴ on this topic.

Conclusion. trans-Pd(NH₃)₂Cl₂ and its hydrolysis products interact with the model nucleobase 1-MeC in a complicated and rather unpredictable way. The multiplicity of species formed (cf. Figure 1) is not fully accounted for by the compounds prepared and described in this paper. While the compounds formed in solution are inert on the NMR time scale, the system as a whole is quite labile, certainly much more so than the Pt(II) system. It is also evident that several products with N3,N4-bridged 1-MeCare possible and formed, not just the isolated head-tail dinuclear complex 3.

Acknowledgment. This work has been supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) and by the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie. We thank O. Renn for the ¹H NMR spectra.

Supplementary Material Available: Listings of thermal parameters and least-squares planes and deviations of atoms and figures showing packing diagrams and Raman spectra of 2a (12 pages); listings of observed and calculated structure factors (29 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

(43) Stewart, R.; Harris, M. G. Can. J. Chem. 1977, 55, 3807.

 ^{(42) (}a) Cotton, F. A.; Matusz, M.; Poli, R.; Feng, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 1144. (b) Yao, C.-L.; He, L.-P.; Korp, J. D.; Bear, J. L. Inorg. Chem. 1988, 27, 4389.