Synthesis and NMR Investigation of Dirhodium(4+) Formamidinate Complexes Containing 2-(Diphenylphosphino)pyridine as a Bridging Ligand. X-ray Crystal Structure of the Complex $Rh_2(form)_2(\mu-PPh_2Py)_2(O_2CCF_3)_2$ (form = N, N'-Di-p-tolylformamidinate)

Enrico Rotondo, Giuseppe Bruno, Francesco Nicolô, Sandra Lo Schiavo, and Pasquale Piraino*

Received April 3, 1990

The Rh_2^{4+} complex $Rh_2(form)_2(O_2CCF_3)_2(H_2O)_2$ (form = N,N'-di-p-tolylformamidinate) reacts with 2-(diphenylphosphino)pyridine in molar ratios 1:1 and 1:2, giving the complexes $Rh_2(form)_2(\mu-O_2CCF_3)(\mu-PPh_2Py)(O_2CCF_3)$ (1) and $Rh_2(form)_2(\mu-PPh_2Py)_2(O_2CCF_3)_2$ (2), respectively. The complexes were characterized by IR and ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectroscopy. Both complexes exhibit a "lantern" type structure with the axial sites occupied by one or two monoligated trifluoroacetate groups, respectively. Complex 2 was also characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis, crystallizing in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/n$, with a = 19.608 (2) Å, b = 19.384 (2) Å, c = 20.576 (3) Å, $\beta = 117.16$ (3)°, V = 6958.34 Å³, Z = 4, $d_c = 1.343$ g/cm3. The two phosphorus ligands are observed in a cisoid arrangement, head-to-tail fashion. The Rh-Rh bond distance [2.5406 (6) Å] is appreciably greater than those observed in other formamidinate derivatives as consequence of the P---N separation. Conductivity measurements indicate that in acetonitrile solution the monoligated trifluoroacetate groups dissociate, giving rise to the cationic species $[Rh_2(form)_2(\mu-O_2CCF_3)(\mu-PPh_2Py)]^+$ (3) and $[Rh_2(form)_2(\mu-PPh_2Py)_2]^{2+}$ (5) that were isolated by reacting acetonitrile solutions of 1 and 2 with $TIPF_6$. The same experiment carried out in acetone gives the monocationic complex $[Rh_2(form)_2(\mu-PPh_2Py)_2(O_2CCF_3)]PF_6$ (4). The monoligated trifluoroacetate groups of 1 and 2 are displaced by halide ions, giving the complexes $Rh_2(form)_2(\mu - O_2CCF_3)(\mu - PPh_2Py)X$ (X = Cl (6), Br (7)), $Rh_2(form)_2(\mu - PPh_2Py)_2(O_2CCF_3)Cl$ (8), and Rh_2 - $(form)_2(\mu-PPh_2Py)_2I_2$ (9). The reactions between Rh₂(form)₂(O₂CCF₃)₂(H₂O)₂ and PPh₂Py, molar ratios 1:1 and 1:2, have been also investigated by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy. The ³¹P NMR spectra in CDCI₃ at 225 K of the 1:1 mixture reveal the quasiquantitative formation of a monoaxial adduct together with small quantities of bisaxial adduct. On warming of solutions to 310 K, the monoadduct isomerizes to give a mixture of equatorial isomers. The same experiments carried out in acetonitrile lead to the formation of only one isomer. When the experiment is performed with the ratio of 1.2, the ³¹P NMR spectra, recorded at 225 K, show the formation of a bisadduct ($\delta_P = -96.05$) that, on warming to 310 K, isomerizes to give an equatorial isomer that exhibits a broad doublet centered at δ 29.01. Both the 1:1 and 1:2 equatorial adducts are stable and do not undergo transformation into the axial isomers upon cooling the samples at 225 K.

Recently, we have reported the NMR investigation of the Rh_2^{4+} complex $Rh_2(form)_2(O_2CCF_3)_2(H_2O)_2$ (form = N,N'-di-*p*-tolylformamidinate) in the presence of monodentate phosphorus ligands.¹ The formation of axial monoadducts (class I) was observed at 225 K whereas at 308 K a mixture of axial and equatorial (class II) adducts was formed, the ratio depending on the bulk of the phosphine used. With the bulkiest tertiary phosphines, PPh₃ and P(C₆H₁₁)₃, only equatorial adducts were obtained. The IR and ¹H, ¹³C, ¹⁹F, and ³¹P NMR data did not allow the unambiguous assignment of the structure of these bent isomers, and the attempts to grow X-ray diffraction quality crystals failed. Nevertheless, we suggested that the isomerization from the linear to the bent conformation involves breaking of one Rh–O bond and consequent formation of complex **1a** according to the mechanism in Scheme I. However, breaking of the Rh–N bond

of one Rh-N-C-N-Rh ring with formation of complex 2a cannot be ruled out.

To obtain additional support for the above suggestion, we investigated the interaction of the complex $Rh_2(form)_2$ - $(O_2CCF_3)_2(H_2O)_2$ with the "small-bite" bidentate ligand 2-(diphenylphosphino)pyridine (PPh_2Py) in the hope that its tendency to act as a bridging ligand could lead to complete displacement of one trifluoroacetate or formamidinate group from the equatorial position. In this paper we report on the NMR investigation of the above-cited complex with PPh_2Py in various molar ratios. We report also full details of the synthesis, characterization, and reactivity pattern of the mixed-ligand Rh_2^{4+} complexes Rh_2 -(form)₂(μ -O₂CCF₃)(μ -PPh_2Py)(O₂CCF₃) (1) and $Rh_2(form)_2$ -(μ -PPh_2Py)₂(O₂CCF₃) (2), in which one or both the trifluoroacetate groups are axially monocoordinated. The X-ray analysis of complex 2 supports the proposed structure.

The objectives of this paper are 2-fold, first, to support the mechanism proposed for the isomerization from the linear to the bent isomer of the PPh₃ or PCy₃ monoadducts and, second, to provide further arguments for the statement that ligands neutral

or nonisoelectronic with the carboxylate ions can support the Rh_2^{4+} core.²

Experimental Section

Materials. $Rh_2(form)_2(O_2CCF_3)_2(H_2O)_2^3$ and 2-(diphenylphosphino)pyridine⁴ were prepared according to literature procedures. Other spectra and solvents were used as received. Infrared spectra were recorded on KBr pellets with a Perkin-Elmer FT 43 instrument. The ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectra were measured in CDCl₃ by using a Bruker WP-80SY spectrometer. The chemical shifts are referenced to Me₄Si for ¹H and external 85% H₃PO₄ for ³¹P (high frequency is taken as being positive). Elemental analyses were performed by the Microanalytical Laboratory of the Organic Chemistry Institute of Milan and Analitische Laboratorien Malissa and Reuter, Elbach, West Germany.

Synthesis of Rh₂(form)₂(μ -O₂CCF₃)(μ -PPh₂Py)(O₂CCF₃)(1). To a diethyl ether solution (15 mL) of Rh₂(form)₂(O₂CCF₃)₂(H₂O)₂ (0.2 g, 0.21 mmol) was added 0.057 g (0.21 mmol) of solid PPh₂Py. The reaction mixture immediately changes from light to dark green and then slowly to red-orange. After 2 h the reaction mixture consisted of a light brown solid suspended in a red-orange solution. The solid was collected by filtration, washed with three 5-mL portions of cold ether, and dried in vacuo. Crystallization from CH₂Cl₂/*n*-heptane gives red crystals. Yield: 87%. Anal. Calcd for C₅₁H₄₄N₅F₆PRh₂O₄: C, 53.64; H, 3.88; N, 6.13; P, 2.71. Found: C, 53.38; H, 4.02; N, 5.71; P, 3.30. Molar conductivity (Ω^{-1} cm² M⁻¹): λ 106 (CH₃CN, 10⁻³ M), 118 (CH₃CN, 10⁻⁴ M), 12 (C₆H₅NO₂, 10⁻⁴ M). Infrared spectrum (cm⁻¹): (KBr pellet) ν (N==C=-N) 1565 (vs); ν_{asym} (CO₂) 1635 (vs), 1687 (m), 1701 (m); (CH₃CN) ν_{asym} (CO₂) 1695 (s).

(m); $(CH_3CN) \nu_{asym}(CO_2)$ 1695 (s). Synthesis of $Rh_2(form)_2(\mu-PPh_2Py)_2(O_2CCF_3)_2$ (2). Crude PPh_2Py (0.23 g, 0.88 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of $Rh_2(form)_2$ -($O_2CCF_3)_2(H_2O)_2$ (0.2 g, 0.21 mmol) in diethyl ether (30 mL). The solution changed rapidly to a dark green and then slowly to a yellow-red color. Stirring of the mixture was continued for 3 h whereupon a brown precipitate also formed. After removal of the solvent via a syringe, the residue was repeatedly washed with diethyl ether (4 × 15 mL) to remove the unreacted phosphine and crystallized from CHCl₃/*n*-heptane. Yield:

(4) Mann, F. G.; Watson, J. J. Org. Chem. 1948, 13, 502.

⁽¹⁾ Rotondo, E.; Mann, B. E.; Piraino, P.; Tresoldi, G. Inorg. Chem. 1989, 28, 3070.

⁽²⁾ Cotton, F. A.; Dunbar, K. R.; Verbruggen, M. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5498.

⁽³⁾ Piraino, P.; Bruno, G.; Tresoldi, G.; Lo Schiavo, S.; Zanello, P. Inorg. Chem. 1987, 26, 91.





2

78%. Anal. Calcd for $C_{68}H_{58}N_6O_4F_6P_2Rh_2$ ·CHCl₃: C, 55.65; H, 3.99; N, 5.64; P, 4.16; F, 7.65. Found: C, 55.60; H, 4.14; N, 5.59; P, 3.77; F, 7.83. Molar conductivity (Ω^{-1} cm² M⁻¹): λ 296 (CH₃CN, 10⁻⁴ M), 235 (CH₃CN, 10⁻³ M), 78 (acetone, 10⁻³ M), 23 (C₆H₅NO₂, 10⁻⁴ M), 71 (CH₃OH, 10⁻⁴ M), 23.5 (CH₂Cl₂, 10⁻⁴ M). Infrared spectrum (cm¹): (KBr pellet) ν (N=C=N) 1562 (s); ν_{asym} (CO₂) 1663 (vs), 1683 (sh); (CH₃CN) ν_{asym} (CO₂) 1696 (vs); (CH₂Cl₂) ν_{asym} (CO₂) 1683 (vs, br).

Synthesis of $[Rh_2(form)_2(\mu-O_2CCF_3)(\mu-PPh_2\dot{P}y)]PF_6$ (3). A CH₃CN solution of 1 (0.13 g, 0.11 mmol) was treated with an excess of TlPF₆ (0.1 g, 0.28 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. After filtration the solvent was removed in vacuo and the orange residue washed with diethyl ether. Orange crystals were obtained by crystallization from benzene/*n*-heptane. Yield: 38%. Anal. Calcd for C₄₉H₄₄N₃F₉P₂O₂Rh₂: C, 50.14; H, 3.77; N, 5.96; P, 5.27; F, 14.56. Found: C, 50.64; H, 3.89; N, 5.85; P, 5.44; F, 15.28. Infrared spectrum (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): $\nu(N=-C--N)$ 1567 (s); $\nu_{asym}(CO)_2$ 1631 (s).

Synthesis of $[Rh_2(form)_2(\mu-PPh_2Py)_2(O_2CCF_3)]PF_6$ (4). Excess TIPF₆ was added to an acetone solution of 2 (0.15 g, 0.1 mmol). The resulting green solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h and then filtered. The filtrate was taken to dryness and the green powder obtained extracted with benzene. The filtrate was taken to dryness and crystallized from CH₂Cl₂/n-heptane, giving green needles of 4. Yield: 86%. Anal. Calcd for C₆₆H₃₈N₆P₃O₂F₉Rh₂: C, 55.17; H, 4.06; N, 5.84; P, 6.46; F, 11.89. Found: C, 55.55; H, 4.10; N, 5.96; P, 6.13; F, 11.73. Infrared spectrum (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): $\nu(N=-C-N)$ 1567 (vs); $\nu_{asym}(CO_2)$ 1684

Synthesis of $[Rh_2(form)_2(\mu-PPh_2Py)_2]PF_6]_2$ (5). Crude TIPF₆ (0.07 g, 0.2 mmol) was added to a CH₃CN solution of 2 (0.15 g, 0.1 mmol). After 3 h the solution was filtered and taken to dryness. The solid obtained was crystallized twice from CHCl₃/*n*-heptane, giving complex 5. Yield: 46%. Anal. Calcd for C₆₄H₅₈N₆F₁₂P₄Rh₂: C, 52.33; H, 3.98; N, 5.72; P, 8.43; F, 15.51. Found: C, 52.65; H, 3.96; N, 5.76; P, 8.18; F, 13.74. Infrared spectrum (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): ν (N==C==N) 1562 (s).

Synthesis of $Rh_2(form)_2(\mu-O_2CCF_3)(\mu-PPh_2Py)Cl$ (6). The complex was prepared by refluxing for 6 h a benzene solution of 1 (0.13 g, 0.11 mmol) with an excess of KCl. The pink-red solution obtained was filtered and taken to dryness. The resulting solid was washed with diethyl ether and crystallized twice from CHCl₃/n-heptane. Yield: 63%. Anal. Calcd for C₄₉H₄₄N₅F₃O₂ClPRh₂: C, 55.30; H, 4.16; N, 6.58; P, 2.81; Cl, 3.33. Found: C, 54.89; H, 4.28; N, 6.88; P, 2.80; Cl, 2.89. IR spectrum (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): $\nu(N=-C=-N)$ 1574 (2); $\nu_{asym}(CO_2)$ 1643 (s). Synthesis of Rh₂(form)₂(μ -O₂CCF₃)(μ -PPh₂Py)Br (7). This complex

Synthesis of $Rh_2(form)_2(\mu-O_2CCF_3)(\mu-PPh_2Py)Br$ (7). This complex was prepared by reacting a CH_2Cl_2 solution of 1 (0.13 g, 0.11 mmol) with an excess of KBr at room temperature for 40 h. The solution was filtered and the solvent removed in vacuo. The green solid obtained was crys2a

tallized twice from CH₂Cl₂/*n*-heptane, giving green needles of complex 7. Yield: 65%. Anal. Calcd for C₄₉H₄₄N₅F₃O₂BrPRh₂: C, 53.09; H, 4.0; N, 6.31; P, 2.79; Br, 7.20. Found: C, 52.89; H, 3.9; N, 5.89; P, 2.59; Br, 8.0. IR spectrum (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): ν (N=C=N) 1565 (s); ν_{asym} (CO₂) 1634 (s).

Synthesis of Rh₂(form)₂(μ -PPh₂Py)₂(O₂CCF₃)Cl (8). Crude KCl (0.1 g, 0.86 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 2 (0.15 g, 0.1 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂. After 15 h the supernatant solution had became green in color. After filtration, the solution was evaporated to dryness, and the residue was crystallized twice from CH₂Cl₂/*n*-heptane, giving red crystals. Yield: 85%. Anal. Calcd for C₆₆H₅₈N₆P₂F₃ClO₂Rh₂: C, 60.60; P, 4.32; N, 6.10; P, 4.49; Cl, 2.57. Found: C, 60.48; H, 4.70; N, 5.96; P, 4.35; Cl, 2.48. Infrared spectrum (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): ν (N=-C-N) 1553 (s), ν_{asym} (CO₂) 1684 (vs).

Synthesis of Rh₂(form)₂(μ -PPh₂Py)₂I₂ (9). A solution of 2 in CH₂Cl₂ (0.15 g, 0.1 mmol) was treated with an excess of KI, and the heterogeneous mixture thus formed was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. During this time the solution changes to a green color. The mixture was filtered and taken to dryness, and the residue was crystallized twice from CHCl₃/n-heptane, giving green crystals. Yield: 78%. Anal. Calcd for C₆₄H₅₈N₆P₂I₂Rh₂: C, 53.65; H, 4.08; N, 5.86; P, 4.32; I, 17.71. Found: C, 53.78; H, 4.19; N, 5.80; P, 4.50; I, 17.42. Infrared spectrum (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): ν (N=-C=-N) 1557 (vs).

X-ray Data and Structure Refinement. Suitable red-orange crystals of 2 were obtained by slow evaporation of the solvent from a dichloromethane/n-hexane solution. Diffraction measurements were made on a Syntex R3/m four-circle diffractometer using graphite-monochromated Mo K α ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) radiation. Cell dimensions were determined and refined with constraints by 25 accurately centered reflections having $14^{\circ} < 2\theta < 26^{\circ}$. The compound crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/n$, with a = 19.608 (2) Å, b = 19.384 (2) Å, c = 20.576 (3) Å, $\beta = 117.16$ (3)°, V = 6958.34 Å³, Z = 4, and $d_c = 1.343$ g/cm³. During the course of the intensity data collection the crystals showed no loss in intensity. The reflections were corrected for Lorentz-polarization effects and extinction, the parameter of which was included in the final refinement cycles. No account was taken for the absorption correction because of the low absorption coefficient ($\mu = 5.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) and the fairly uniform dimensions of the crystals. Crystallographic data and other pertinent information are summarized in Table I and SI (supplementary material).

The structure was solved by using standard Patterson methods, successive least-squares refinements, and difference Fourier maps. Hydrogen atoms were added at calculated positions and included in the structure factor calculations with a common thermal parameter (U = 0.06 Å²). The refinement converged to R = 0.055 and $R_w = 0.065$ with the goodness of fit S = 0.743 and was characterized by an 18:1 rate of

Table I. Crystallographic Data

formula	$C_{68}H_{60}F_6N_6O_4P_2Rh_2$	space group	$P2_1/n$ (No. 14)
fw	1407.02	<i>T</i> , ⁰C	19
a, Å	19.608 (2)	λ, Å	0.71073
b, Å	19.384 (2)	$d_{\rm c}, {\rm g/cm^3}$	1.343
c, Å	20.576 (3)	μ , cm ⁻¹	5.5
β , deg	117.16 (3)	R	0.056
V, Å ³	6958.34	R.,	0.065
Z	4		

observation per parameter. The weighting scheme used in the last refinement cycles was $w = 0.5934/(\sigma^2|F_o| + 0.008666|F_o|^2)$, which showed reasonable consistency in a test of $w\Delta^2$ for data sectioned with respect to both F_o and $(\sin \theta)/\lambda$.

Scattering factors for non-hydrogen atoms were taken from ref 5, and those for hydrogen atoms, from ref 6. Anomalous dispersion corrections for Rh and P atoms were taken from ref 7. All calculations were performed with the SHELX 76⁸ and PARST⁹ sets of programs on the IBM 3090/120S computer at the "Centro di Calcolo dell'Università di Messina". Full lists of bond distances and angles, hydrogen coordinates, anisotropic temperature factors, positional parameters, and calculated and observed structure factors are available as supplementary material.

Results

The Rh₂⁴⁺ complex Rh₂(form)₂(O_2CCF_3)₂(H₂O)₂ reacts with 1 equiv of 2-(diphenylphosphino)pyridine to give the complex Rh₂(form)₂(μ -O₂CCF₃)(μ -PPh₂Py)(O₂CCF₃) (1). Once formed, complex 1 undergoes further reaction with an additional 1 equiv of PPh₂Py to rapidly generate the complex Rh₂(form)₂(μ -PPh₂Py)₂(O₂CCF₃)₂ (2). Complex 2 can be straightforwardly obtained by reacting the parent complex with 2 equiv of PPh₂Py, and to confirm the stability of the Rh-(form)₂-Rh fragment, no further reaction occurs when an excess of ligand is used. Both new complexes are air-stable crystalline solids for which satisfactory analytical data have been obtained. The structure of 1 and 2 follows from their spectroscopic properties and conductivity measurements and for complex 2 from X-ray analysis.

The solid-state IR spectrum of complex 1 exhibits, in the 1600-1700-cm⁻¹ region, absorptions at 1635 (vs), 1687 (m), and 1701 (m) cm⁻¹. The lower value is consistent with those of chelating or bridging fluorocarboxylate groups, while the higher values suggest the presence of monodentate or uncoordinated CF₃COO groups. On the contrary, the occurrence of a single ν (CO) band at 1663 cm⁻¹ in the solid-state IR spectrum of complex 2 suggests, in accordance with the X-ray crystal structure, that both the trifluoroacetate groups are coordinated in a similar manner. However, solution IR spectra reveal that complexes 1 and 2 adopt in solution different configurations. In fact, the IR spectra of complex 2, recorded in solvents such as benzene, chloroform, methanol, tetrahydrofuran, or acetone, display, in the 1600-1700-cm⁻¹ region, only a broad absorption at 1683 cm⁻¹, while the spectra recorded in coordinating solvents such as CH₃CN or DMSO show absorptions at 1696 cm⁻¹. The solution IR spectrum of 1 seems little different from the solid one except for the spectrum recorded in CH₃CN or DMSO, which shows bands at 1635 and 1695 cm⁻¹.

On the other hand, molar conductivity measurements carried out on acetonitrile solutions of $2 (10^{-3} \text{ and } 10^{-4} \text{ M})$ show unambiguously that complex 2 behaves as a 1:2 electrolyte, whereas in poorly or noncoordinating solvents, such as methanol, nitrobenzene, acetone, and dichloromethane complex, 2 is only partially dissociated, having the molar conductivity that one would expect for a 1:1 electrolyte. Complex 1 exhibits in acetonitrile solution $(10^{-3} \text{ and } 10^{-4} \text{ M})$ molar conductance values of 106 and 117 Ω^{-1} cm² mol⁻¹, respectively, indicating that 1 behaves as a 1:1 electrolyte. The values found in other solvents, such as nitrobenzene and dichloromethane, indicate that the complex is poorly disso-

Table II. Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (deg)

	Dista	ances	
Rh(1) - Rh(2)	2,5406 (6)	Rh(1) = O(1)	2 407 (4)
$R_{h}(1) - N(1)$	2.056 (5)	$P_{h(1)-N(3)}$	2 130 (4)
$P_{1}(1) P_{1}(1)$	2.050(5)	$\mathbf{N}(1) = \mathbf{N}(3)$ $\mathbf{D}\mathbf{h}(1) = \mathbf{N}(3)$	2.135(4)
Rn(1)-P(1)	2.207 (1)	Rn(1) = N(0)	2.074 (5)
Rh(2) - O(3)	2.327 (4)	Rh(2) - N(2)	2.126 (6)
Rh(2) - N(4)	2.050 (4)	Rh(2) - N(5)	2.069 (4)
Rh(2)-P(2)	2.269 (2)	C(6) - C(5)	1.51 (1)
C(65) = O(1)	1.241 (9)	C(65) = O(2)	1 23 (1)
C(66) = E(1)	1.241(2)	C(66) - E(2)	1.25(1)
C(00) = F(1)	1.30(2)	C(00) = F(2)	1.20 (1)
C(66) - F(3)	1.24 (1)	C(67) - C(68)	1.52 (1)
C(67)-O(3)	1.244 (8)	C(67)-O(4)	1.230 (8)
C(68)-F(4)	1.30 (1)	C(68) - F(5)	1.20 (2)
C(68) - F(6)	1.25 (1)	F(5) - F(6)	1.77 (3)
N(1) = C(1)	1 314 (8)	$N(1) = C(2)^{-1}$	1 434 (6)
C(1) $N(2)$	1.314(0)	N(1) C(2)	1.432 (0)
C(1)=N(2)	1.323 (0)	N(2) = C(9)	1.422 (9)
N(3) - C(16)	1.336 (8)	N(3) - C(17)	1.401 (8)
C(16)–N(4)	1.314 (8)	N(4)-C(24)	1.447 (7)
P(1)-C(31)	1.818 (6)	P(1)-C(37)	1.820 (8)
P(1) - C(43)	1.835 (5)	C(64) - N(6)	1.359 (8)
C(43) = N(5)	1 365 (7)	C(47) = N(5)	1 326 (7)
P(3) = C(48)	1.303(7)	C(q) $N(s)$	1.320(7)
P(2) = C(48)	1.822 (5)	C(b0) = N(b)	1.352 (7)
P(2) - C(54)	1.817 (6)	P(2)-C(60)	1.834 (6)
	An	gles	
P(1)-Rh(1)-N6)	91.5 (1)	N(3)-Rh(1)-N(6)	88.0 (2)
N(3)-Rh(1)-P(1)	170.0(1)	N(1)-Rh(1)-N(6)	176.5 (2)
N(1) - Rh(1) - P(1)	92.0 (1)	N(1) - Rh(1) - N(3)	88.6 (2)
$\Omega(1) = \mathbb{R}h(1) = \mathbb{N}(6)$	937(2)	$O(1) = \mathbf{R} h(1) = \mathbf{P}(1)$	833(1)
O(1) = KII(1) = N(0)	$\frac{73.7(2)}{10(7(1))}$	O(1) = Kii(1) = F(1)	85.5 (1)
O(1) = Rn(1) = N(3)	106.7 (1)	O(1) = Rn(1) = N(1)	80.1 (2)
Rh(2) - Rh(1) - N(6)	96.0(1)	Rh(2) - Rh(1) - P(1)	86.5 (4)
Rh(2)-Rh(1)-N(3)	83.5 (1)	Rh(2)-Rh(1)-N(1)	84.8 (1)
Rh(2)-Rh(1)-O(1)	166.1(1)	Rh(1)-Rh(2)-P(2)	86.0 (4)
Rh(1)-Rh(2)-N(5)	963(1)	Rh(1)-Rh(2)-N(4)	851 (1)
$P_{h(1)} = P_{h(2)} = N(2)$	835(1)	$P_{h}(1) - P_{h}(2) - O(3)$	64.5 (1)
N(5) = D(2) = D(2)	03.5(1)	$N(4) = B_{1}(2) = O(3)$	04.0(1)
N(5) - Kn(2) - P(2)	92.1 (1)	N(4) - Rn(2) - P(2)	94.0 (1)
N(4)-Rh(2)-N(5)	73.8 (2)	N(2)-Rh(2)-P(2)	169.1 (1)
N(2)-Rh(2)-N(5)	86.1 (2)	N(2)-Rh(2)-N(4)	88.1 (2)
O(3)-Rh(2)-P(2)	84.8 (1)	O(3)-Rh(2)-N(5)	96.4 (2)
O(3) - Rh(2) - N(4)	831 (2)	O(3) - Rh(2) - N(2)	106 1 (2)
O(1) = C(65) = O(2)	120 5 (7)	C(66) - C(65) - O(2)	1130(6)
O(1) = O(0) = O(2)	150.5 (7)	C(00) = C(03) = O(2)	113.7 (0)
Rn(1) - U(1) - U(0)	150.1 (4)	Rn(2) = O(3) = C(67)	150.8 (4)
Rh(1)-N(1)-C(2)	122.1 (4)	Rh(1)-N(1)-C(1)	119.3 (4)
C(1)-N(1)-C(2)	118.3 (5)	N(1)-C(1)-N(2)	123.0 (6)
Rh(2)-N(2)-C(1)	115.3 (4)	Rh(1)-N(3)-C(17)	130.9 (4)
Rh(2) - N(2) - C(9)	1276(4)	N(1) = C(2) = C(8)	1216 (5)
N(1) C(2) C(3)	127.0(4)	C(2) = C(2) = C(0)	127.0(5) 1177(5)
$P_{1}(1) = C_{1}(2) = C_{1}(3)$	120.7(3)	C(3) = C(2) = C(0)	1142(3)
Kn(1) = N(3) = C(16)	112.9 (3)	Rn(1) - P(1) - C(31)	114.3 (2)
N(3)-C(16)-N(4)	124.1 (5)	Kh(2) - N(4) - C(16)	119.0 (4)
C(16)-N(4)-C(24)	116.6 (4)	Rh(2)-N(4)-C(24)	124.4 (3)
P(1)-C(43)-N(5)	117.0 (4)	P(2)-C(60)-N(6)	116.0 (5)
Rh(2) - P(2) - C(60)	111.8 (2)	Rh(2) - P(2) - C(54)	120 0 (2)
Ph(2) = P(2) = C(49)	1163(2)	Ph(1) = N(6) = C(50)	120.0 (2)
$D_{1}(2) = 1(2) = C(40)$	110.3 (2)	$\operatorname{Ku}(i) \operatorname{Ku}(0) = \operatorname{C}(00)$	122.7 (4)
$\pi_{11}(1) = \pi_{0}(0) = C(04)$	120.2 (4)		

Table III. Non-Hydrogen Atom Coordinates with Esd's in Parentheses

atom	x/a	y/b	z/c	
Rh(1)	0.22028 (2)	0.17154 (2)	0.00913 (2)	
Rh(2)	0.22911 (2)	0.29201 (2)	-0.03509 (2)	
O(1)	0.2211(2)	0.0491 (2)	0.0289 (2)	
O(3)	0.2444 (3)	0.4102 (2)	-0.0435 (2)	
N(1)	0.3270 (2)	0.1576 (2)	0.0152 (2)	
N(2)	0.3033 (3)	0.2435 (2)	-0.0709 (2)	
N(3)	0.2743 (3)	0.2188 (2)	0.1147 (2)	
N(4)	0.3218 (3)	0.3038 (2)	0.0654 (2)	
P(1)	0.16113 (8)	0.14056 (7)	-0.11024 (7)	
N(5)	0.1439 (2)	0.2788 (2)	-0.1410 (2)	
P(2)	0.14297 (8)	0.32303 (7)	0.00471 (7)	
N(6)	0.1159 (2)	0.1863 (2)	0.0099 (2)	

ciated. Conductivity and IR data may be interpreted in terms of dissociation of one or both the trifluoroacetate groups depending on the coordinating power of the solvents, so that the species operating in acetonitrile solution are $[Rh_2(form)_2(\mu-O_2CCF_3)-(\mu-PPh_2Py)L_n]CF_3COO$ (L = CH₃CN; n = 0, 1) and $[Rh_2-(form)_2(\mu-PPh_2Py)_2L_n][CF_3COO]_2$ (L = CH₃CN; n = 0, 1, 2), while in poorly coordinating solvents only one CF₃COO group

⁽⁵⁾ Cromer, D. T.; Mann, J. B. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 1968, 24, 321.
(6) Stewart, R. F. J. Chem. Phys. 1970, 53, 3175.

 ⁽⁷⁾ International Tables for X-ray Crystallography; Kynoch Press: Birmingham, England, 1974; Vol. IV.

⁽⁸⁾ Sheldrick, M. G. System of Computing Programs. University of Cambridge, 1976.

⁽⁹⁾ Nardelli, M. Comput. Chem. 1983, 7, 95.



Figure 1. Molecular structure of Rh₂(form)₂(µ-PPh₂Py)₂(O₂CCF₃)₂ (2). Dashed ellipsoids and labels are indicated only for the atoms involved in the coordination geometry of the metallic centers and for the O₂CCF₃ groups. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at 30% probability.

of complex 2 undergoes dissociation, giving the species [Rh₂- $(\text{form})_2(\mu - \text{PPh}_2\text{Py})_2(\text{O}_2\text{CCF}_3)]\text{CF}_3\text{COO}.$

Discussion

Description of the Molecular Structure of Rh₂(form)₂(µ- $PPh_2Py_2(O_2CCF_3)_2$. The molecular structure of 2, including the atomic numbering scheme, is illustrated in Figure 1. Selected bond distances and angles are listed in Table II. Final positional parameters are reported in Table III. The structure consists of two d^7 rhodium atoms at a bonding distance of 2.5406 (6) Å symmetrically bridged by two 2-(diphenylphosphino)pyridine ligands arranged in a cisoid, heat-to-tail fashion and two formamidinate groups. Two monoligated trifluoroacetate groups, located at the axial positions, complete the coordination of the metal centers. The geometry around Rh(1) and Rh(2) is a distorted octahedron with the N(form)-Rh-N(form) [mean value 88.4 (2)°], N(form)-Rh-N(Py) [mean value 87.1 (2)°], and N(form)-Rh-P [mean value 93.0 (1)°] angles different from 90°. The steric requirements and the different "bites" of the bridging ligands appear responsible for the above distortion.

The Rh-Rh bond length, which lies at the top of the range of distances observed in tetrabridged Rh24+ complexes, is longer than that found in the analogous complex $Rh_2(O_2CCH_3)_2(\mu-PPh_2Py)_2Cl_2$ [2.518 (1) Å],¹⁰ and the difference of 0.032 Å may be ascribed to the different steric and electronic properties of the formamidinate and acetate ligands rather than to the axial substituents. The considerable lengthening of the Rh-Rh bond with respect to other dirhodium(II) derivatives is consistent with the presence of the PPh₂Py ligand. The Rh-Rh separation ranges from 2.4336 (4) to 2.5406 Å on going from $Rh_2(form)_4^{11}$ to 2 and from 2.3855 (5) to 2.518 (1) Å on going from Rh₂(O₂CCH₃)₄¹² to $Rh_2(O_2CCH_3)_2(PPh_2Py)_2Cl_2$.¹⁰

The Rh(1)-N(1) and Rh(2)-N(4) distances [mean value 2.062 (4) Å] are comparable with the values found in related complexes in which the nitrogen atoms are in a trans position to each other,¹¹ while the lengthening of the other Rh-N(form) bonds reflects the trans influence of the phosphorus atoms. Also, the elongation of the Rh-P bonds [mean value 2.268 (1) Å] with respect to those of Rh₂(O₂CCH₃)₂(PPh₂Py)₂Cl₂ [mean value 2.21 (2) Å] reflects the influence of the trans nitrogen. The structural features of the bridging PPh₂Py and formamidinate ligands are essentially the same as observed in the previously cited complex and other formamidinate derivatives. All the bridging ligands are not planar with averaged values of 19.7 and 26.8° for the N-Rh-Rh-P and

Table IV. ³¹P Chemical Shifts (ppm) and Coupling Constants (Hz) in CDCl₃

complex	temp, K	δ(³¹ P)	¹ J _{P-Rh}	${}^{2}J_{\rm P-Rh}$
1	225	-34.36	82.8	55.4
	310	30.21	127.0	5.7
		29.53	126.4	5.3
	310 (CD ₃ CN)	30.9	127.6	3.3
2	225	-96.05		
	310	29.01	132	
	310 (CD ₃ CN)	25.83	129.7	
3	310	29.68	122.2	5.2
4	310	26.8	127.1	
5	310	28.74	131.1	
6	225	24.35	164.5	5.8
7	310	28.79	122.2	5.4
8	310	27.90	131.3	
9	310	27.82	129.9	

N-Rh-Rh-N torsion angles, respectively.

The trifluoroacetate groups are bonded to the rhodium atoms with different Rh-O distances and are bent away from the bulky phosphine groups. The Rh-Rh-O angles deviate significantly from linearity [166.2 (1) and 164.5 (1)°], indicating strong steric interactions between the trifluoroacetate groups and the bridging ligands. The interactions involving O(2), O(2)...C(64) = 3.208Å and O(2)...C(18) = 3.288 Å, and O4, O(4)...C(47) = 3.047Å, may be regarded as hydrogen bonds as well as the intermolecular O(2)---C(34) and O(4)---C(40) interactions at 3.220 and 3.255 Å, respectively.

Generation and Spectral Characterization of Complexes 1 and 2. The reaction sequence leading to complexes 1 and 2 was monitored by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy to provide information about the mechanism operating during the formation of 1 and 2. The complexes 1 and 2 have been generated at room temperature in a ³¹P NMR tube by adding, via a syringe, the appropriate amount of ligand, dissolved in CDCl₃, to a solution of Rh₂(form)₂- $(O_2CCF_3)_2(H_2O)_2$ dissolved in the same solvent; immediately after the reaction mixture was cooled at 225 K.

The ³¹P NMR spectrum recorded at 225 K reveals the quasi-quantitative formation of a 1:1 adduct together with a doublet of doublets centered at δ -34.46 with two large coupling constants $({}^{1}J_{P-Rh} = 82.8 \text{ Hz}; {}^{2}J_{P-Rh} = 55.4 \text{ Hz})$ (Table IV). These values are consistent with the formation of a 1:1 adduct with a linear arrangement of the Rh-Rh-P atoms (class I) and compare well with those found for similar complexes.¹ The spectrum also shows a week triplet centered at δ -96.05, which is likely to be due to a 1:2 bisaxial adduct. The triplet, according to what found for the complex $Rh_2(O_2CCH_3)_4[P(OMe)_3]_2$,¹³ is interpreted as the X portion of an AA'XX' spin system where the linear arrangement of the P-Rh-Rh-P fragment allows a very strong PP' coupling across the dirhodium core.

The monoaxial adduct is stable at 225 K, but on warming to 310 K, it rapidly rearranges to form a mixture of isomers (Figure 2) whose chemical shifts and J_{P-Rh} coupling constants lie in the range quoted for Rh_2^{4+} equatorial adducts (class II).^{1,14}

The ³¹P NMR spectrum at 310 K shows two pairs of doublets, one broad, centered at δ 30.21 (${}^{1}J_{P-Rh} = 127.0$ Hz; ${}^{2}J_{P-Rh} = 5.7$ Hz), the other one sharp, centered at δ 29.53 (${}^{1}J_{P-Rh} = 126.4$ Hz; ${}^{2}J_{P-Rh} = 5.3$ Hz). The conversion of the linear to the bent Rh-Rh-P is irreversible: upon cooling of the sample to 225 K the ³¹P NMR spectra show a marked sharpening of the signal centered at δ 30.29, while there is no back-transformation of the equatorial isomers into axial adducts.

The broadness of the resonance centered at δ 30.29 suggests that some dynamic process is operating at room temperature, but its nature as well as the geometry of these isomers is so far uncertain. However, we suspect that the isomerization of the axial to the equatorial isomer occurs through a mechanism similar to

Cotton, F. A.; Matusz, M. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1988, 143, 45.
 Piraino, P.; Bruno, G.; Lo Shiavo, S.; Laschi, F.; Zanello, P. Inorg. Chem. 1987, 26, 2205.
 Cotton, F. A.; DeBoer, B. G.; La Prade, M. D.; Pipal, J. R.; Ucko, D.

A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 2996.

⁽¹³⁾

Boyar, E. B.; Robinson, S. D. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1982, 64, L193. Piraino, P.; Bruno, G.; Tresoldi, G.; Lo Schiavo, S.; Nicolò, F. Inorg (14)Chem. 1989, 28, 139. (b) Telser, J.; Drago, R. S. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 2992.





that depicted in Scheme I. Once formed, species 1a undergoes ring closure of the Rh-Rh-P-N fragment and formation of the species 1b (Scheme II).

The sharp signal centered at δ 29.53 may be ascribed to the species 1a, while the broadness of the second doublet may arise from a fast reversible dissociation of one trifluoroacetate group with formation of the species 1c. The equilibrium between 1a and 1b is slow on the NMR time scale even at 310 K, while the equilibrium between 1b and 1c implies for these species at 310

chelation of the pyridine nitrogen atoms. Chelating behavior of

to give the equatorial isomer 2. The spectrum of 2 at 310 K exhibits a broad doublet centered at δ 29.01 (${}^{1}J_{P-Rh} = 132$ Hz),

which remains unchanged upon cooling the mixture at 225 K. The

presence of a doublet for the X part of the AA'XX' spin system

On warming to 310 K, the bisaxial adduct slowly isomerizes

the PPh₂Py ligand, although rare, is not unknown.¹⁶

⁽¹⁵⁾ Garrou, P. E. Chem. Rev. 1981, 81, 229.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Olmstead, M.; Maisonnat, A.; Farr, S. P.; Balch, A. L. Inorg. Chem. 1981, 20, 4060.

may be due to long-range couplings, as recently suggested by Tortorelli for the Rh_2^{4+} complexes $Rh_2(\mu-PPh_2Py)_2(CO)_2Cl_4$ and $[Rh_2(\mu-PPh_2Py)_2(t-BuNC)_2Cl_3]PF_6.$

In order to obtain some evidence for the proposed solution structure, complexes 1 and 2 were allowed to react with $TlPF_6$. The products of the reaction of 1 and 2 with $TlPF_6$ are critically dependent on the solvent used. Complex 1 reacts with an excess of TIPF₆ in CH₃CN leading to the monocationic complex $[Rh_2(form)_2(\mu-O_2CCF_3)(\mu-PPh_2Py)]PF_6$ (3) whereas no reaction occurs when acetone is used as solvent. Complex 3 has been characterized by IR, ³¹P NMR, and conductivity measurements. The solid-state IR spectrum of 3, besides the $\nu(P-F)$ stretching, shows a single $v_{asym}(CO_2)$ at 1631 cm⁻¹, while the ³¹P NMR spectrum exhibits the familiar double doublet, which is sharp both at 225 and 310 K. On the contrary, complex 2 reacts with $TIPF_6$ in acetone as solvent, affording the monocationic complex $[Rh_2(form)_2(\mu-PPh_2Py)_2(O_2CCF_3)]PF_6$ (4). The solid-state IR spectrum of 4 shows the expected $\nu(P-F)$ stretching as well as a band at 1684 cm⁻¹, indicating the presence of a monocoordinated carboxylate group. With a repeat of the synthesis in CH₃CN, the non-carboxylate compound [Rh₂(form)₂(µ-PPh₂Py)₂][PF₆]₂ (5) was obtained, as shown by the IR spectrum, which does not

(17) Woods, C.; Tortorelli, L. J. Polyhedron 1988, 7, 1751.

show absorptions attributable to the ν (O-C-O) stretching frequency.

Both complexes 1 and 2 serve as convenient precursors to new Rh_2^{4+} complexes. They undergo metathetical exchange reactions in which one or both the monoligated trifluoroacetate groups are replaced by X^- ligands (X = Cl, Br, I). Treatment of 1 with an excess of KX (X = Cl, Br) produces the complexes Rh_{2} - $(form)_2(\mu - O_2CCF_3)(\mu - PPh_2Py)X$ (X = Cl (6), Br (7)), while treatment of 2 with the same halides gives the complexes Rh₂- $(form)_2(\mu-PPh_2Py)_2(O_2CCF_3)Cl$ (8) and $Rh_2(form)_2(\mu-PPh_2Py)_2I_2$ (9). Analytical data and IR spectroscopy provide excellent evidence of the displacement of the fluoro carboxylate groups. IR spectra of complexes 6 and 7 display $\nu(CO_2)$ absorptions at 1643 and 1634 cm⁻¹, strongly suggesting that only bridging or chelating trifluoroacetate groups are present, while in the spectra of 9 no bands attributable to the $\nu(CO_2)$ stretching are present.

Acknowledgment. We thank the Public Ministry of Education for financial support.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables SI-SVII, listing full crystal data, nonessential atomic distances and angles, hydrogen atom parameters, temperature factors, and complete positional parameters, and a figure showing a packing diagram (10 pages); a table of calculated and observed structure factors (59 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Contribution from the Departments of Chemistry, University of South Alabama, Mobile, Alabama 36688, and Auburn University, Auburn, Alabama 36849

Synthesis and Reactivity of Carbonylbis(triphenylphosphine)rhodium(I) Complexes of Water and Weakly Coordinating Anions

Daniel M. Branan,[†] Norris W. Hoffman,^{*,†} E. Andrew McElroy,[†] Nicholas Prokopuk,[†] Anna B. Salazar,[†] Martha J. Robbins,[†] W. E. Hill,[‡] and Thomas R. Webb[‡]

Received October 19, 1989

New complexes trans-Rh(PPh₃)₂(CO)OPOF₂ and [trans-Rh(PPh₃)₂(CO)(OH₂)]Z·nH₂O (Z = SO₃CF₃, n = 1; Z = BF₄, n = 2; $Z = ClO_4$, n = 2) were prepared and characterized. Syntheses of the four species entailed metathesis of the Rh(I) chloride with AgPF₆, AgSO₃CF₃, AgBF₄, and AgClO₄, respectively, in undried solvents. The water-free OPOF₂ complex arises from hydrolysis of a spectroscopically detected Rh(I) PF₆⁻ species. Metathesis studies with [N(PPh₃)₂]⁺ salts and reactions with pyridine and CO showed the affinity of [Rh(PPh₃)₂(CO)]⁺ in CH₂Cl₂ for ligands L to follow the trend L = (O₂CCF₃)⁻ > (ONO₂)⁻ > pyridine > (OPOF₂)⁻ \gg H₂O \gg (SO₃CF₃)⁻ \approx (BF₄)⁻ \approx (ClO₄)⁻. Monoolefins such as C₂H₄ and PhCH=CH₂ failed to displace the very weakly coordinating ligand H₂O from [Rh(PPh₃)₂(CO)(OH₂)]SO₃CF₃·H₂O in CH₂Cl₂. The crystal structure of [Rh(PPh₃)₂· (CO)(OH₂)⁻ [Rh₂ L¹/4] H₂O⁻¹/4] CH₂ has been determined. The crystal balances to the tricinic crystal structure of [Rh(PPh₃)₂· $(CO)(OH_2)$][BF₄]·¹/₂H₂O·¹/₄C₆H₁₂ has been determined. The crystal belongs to the triclinic crystal system, space group $P\bar{I}$, with lattice constants a = 11.865 (2) Å, b = 17.235 (6) Å, c = 18.590 (5) Å, $\alpha = 91.95$ (2)°, $\beta = 101.62$ (2)°, $\gamma = 101.83$ (2)°, and Z = 4.

Introduction

In the course of preparing a series of bis(triphenylphosphine)carbonylrhodium(I) complexes of uninegative ligands,^{1,2} we became concerned about using $AgClO_4$ and the Rh(I) per-chlorate complex³ intermediate routinely.⁴ We then tried readily available Ag⁺ salts of several other weakly coordinating anions to effect similar metathesis of the chloride ligand to obtain a convenient synthon. Although we achieved the latter objective, we discovered that the actual products were not the results of the expected simple metathesis. Indeed, we found that the SO_3CF_3 , BF₄, and ClO₄ complexes contained coordinated water (in addition to water of crystallization) rather than anionic ligand and the expected PF₆ complex had undergone hydrolysis in undried solvent to afford coordinated $(OPOF_2)^-$. [The synthesis of Rh- $(PPh_3)_2(CO)(OSO_2CF_3)$ was reported while this study was in progress.⁵] To expand the ligand affinity data for [Rh- $(PPh_3)_2(CO)$]⁺ gathered earlier, we included the known nitrate

and trifluoroacetate complexes (since $(O_2CCF_3)^-$ and $(ONO_2)^$ are considered borderline weakly coordinating anions) along with the new difluorophosphate complex and the aqua cation in equilibrium studies of ligand substitution employing [N(PPh₃)₂]+ salts and pyridine. We also studied the degree of displacement of anion or aqua ligand for the series with CO at 1 atm and for the aqua- $(SO_3CF_3)^-$ species with a set of alkenes.

Transition-metal complexes of weakly coordinating anions are of considerable interest as Lewis acid reagents,⁶ and numerous

- (a) Peone, J.; Vaska, L. Angew. Chem. 1994, 19, 29, 1913.
 (b) Peone, J.; Vaska, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1971, 10, 511.
 (b) Peone, J.; Vaska, L. Inorg. Synth. 1974, 15, 68.
 (4) Cf.: J. Chem. Educ. 1973, 50, A335. Chem. Eng. News 1983, 61 (Dec 5), 4; 1963, 41 (July 8), 47.
 (5) Liston, D. J.; Young, J. L.; Scheidt, R. W.; Reed, C. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 6643.
 (4) The Jeding expression (c) Pach K. Pach W. Chem. Bay 1974, 117.
- Two leading references: (a) Raab, K.; Beck, W. Chem. Ber. 1974, 117, 3169. (b) Cutler, A. R.; Todaro, A. B. Organometallics 1988, 7, 1782. (6)

[†]University of South Alabama.

[‡]Auburn Üniversity.

Araghizadeh, F.; Branan, D. M.; Hoffman, N. W.; Jones, J. H.; McElroy, E. A.; Miller, N. C.; Ramage, D. L.; Salazar, A. B.; Young, S. H. Inorg. Chem. 1988, 27, 3752.
 Branan, D. M.; Hoffman, N. W.; McElroy, E. A.; Eyler, J. R.; Watson,

C. H.; deFur, P.; Leary, J. A. Inorg. Chem. 1990, 29, 191