

Figure 3. Emission (solid lines) and excitation spectra (broken lines) of (A) H₂TBP and (B) H₄TBPD in deaerated chloroform. Excitation was at 360 and 570 nm.

Figure 3 shows the emission and excitation spectra of H_2 TBP and H_4 TBPD. Both compounds show so-called S_1 and S_2 emissions, as has been detected in mononuclear ZnBP,^{12b,c} and the quantum yield (Φ_F) of S₁ emission of H₄TBPD (0.052) is about 1 order smaller than that of H_2 TBP (0.57). Such a weak emission in the dimer is an indication of intramolecular interaction of the two included units.^{15a,20} In addition, the lifetime (τ) of the S₁ emission of the dimer in deaerated chloroform (9.80 ns) is shorter than that of the monomer (11.3 ns), as has been observed in porphyrin systems.^{15a,20} Two S_2 emission τ values were obtained for both H₂TBP ($\tau_1 = 2.68$ ns, 81.1%; $\tau_2 = 14.7$ ns, 18.9%) and H₄TBPD ($\tau_1 = 3.17$ ns, 71.6%; $\tau_2 = 11.6$ ns, 28.4%). In addition, H_2 TBP showed another emission at higher energy (S₃ emission?^{12b}) $(\tau_1 = 1.87 \text{ ns}, 94.7\%; \tau_2 = 7.14 \text{ ns}, 5.3\%)$. Since these fluorescence experiments were carried out at concentrations as low as 10⁻⁷ M, where aggregation phenomena may be neglected and all the excitation spectra were mirror images of the respective emission band, the presence of two components in the S_2 emission of H_2TBP and H₄TBPD suggests that the Soret region spectra of these porphyrins are not composed of a single pure transition.²¹

Binuclear Cu₂TBPD shows voltammetry similar to that of mononuclear CuTBP, although the waves are generally broader and weaker. However, well-defined peaks were obtained by differential pulse voltammetry (Figure 4). Cu₂TBPD exhibited broad peaks, roughly corresponding in potential to two one-electron oxidations and one one-electron reduction of the porphyrin ring of CuTBP. Since the shapes of the response are not much different between the two compounds, the broadening of the peaks suggests that the oxidation and reduction in Cu₂TBPD occur stepwise at closely located potentials and accordingly that the two CuTBP units in Cu₂TBPD are interacting. If we deconvolute the first reduction wave of Cu₂TBPD into two components using the bandwidth of the first reduction wave of CuTBP, their splitting energy is approximately 110-120 mV. The potential difference between the first oxidation and reduction in Cu_2TBPD (1.63 V) is slightly smaller than that in CuTBP (1.75 V), consistent with the longer wavelength shift of the absorption and MCD spectra. The difference of their potential differences (0.12 V = ca. 970 cm)cm⁻¹) is very close to that calculated for edge-on porphyrin dimers



Figure 4. Differential pulse voltammograms of (a) CuTBP and (b) Cu₂TBPD in o-dichlorobenzene containing 0.3 M tetrabutylammonium perchlorate. Scan rate/(mV/s) = 5; $[CuTBP] = [Cu_2TBPD]/mM =$ ca. 0.1; area of electrode/cm² = 0.07.

(ca. 1000 cm⁻¹),^{15a} however somewhat larger than the values expected from the Soret band absorption (Figure 1B, ca. 630 cm⁻¹) and MCD spectra (ca. 660 cm⁻¹). Electrochemical data are often slightly at variance with the spectroscopic data.²²

Thus, the above data consistently suggest that the two units rigidly constrained in a coplanar arrangement in H₄TBPD and Cu₂TBPD each retain independency and are interacting intramolecularly, as reported for several covalently linked relatively flexible binuclear porphyrin systems.^{1,2,15,20} π -Conjugation of the two TBP units through the common benzene appears rather small.

Acknowledgment. We are indebted to the Uehara Memorial Foundation for the publishing costs of this paper.

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio 45221-0172

A Convenient Synthesis of the Manganese and Rhenium Alkoxides and Phenoxides fac-(CO)₃(dppe)MOR (R = CH₃, C_2H_5 , C_6H_5 ; dppe = 1,2-Bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane). X-ray Structure of fac-(CO)₃(dppe)ReOC₆H₅

Santosh K. Mandal, Douglas M. Ho, and Milton Orchin*

Received November 13, 1990

Low-valent transition-metal alkoxides are reported to be likely intermediates in the carbalkoxylation of olefins¹ and in the catalytic hydrogenation of aldehydes and ketones,² and they continue to be the focus of much interest. However, relatively few of them have been fully characterized because not only are they frequently moisture and air sensitive but they are very labile as well, undergoing rapid spontaneous β -hydrogen elimination.³ One of our interests in the synthesis and chemistry of transition-metal alkoxides stems from their presumed intermediacy in the conversion of acylmanganese complexes to the corresponding alkoxy carbonyl complexes when treated with synthesis gas (a formal insertion of formaldehyde).4

⁽²⁰⁾ Kaizu, Y.; Maekawa, H.; Kobayashi, H. J. Phys. Chem. 1986, 90, 4234. Kagan, N. E.; Mauzerall, D.; Merrifield, R. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 5486.

Lee, L. K.; Sabelli, N. H.; LeBreton, P. R. J. Phys. Chem. 1982, 86, (21) 3926.

⁽²²⁾ Khatib, N.; Boudjema, B.; Maitrot, M.; Chermette, H.; Porte, L. Can. J. Chem. 1988, 66, 2313.

⁽a) Bryndza, H. E.; Tam, W. Chem. Rev. 1988, 88, 1163 and references (1)

 ⁽a) Gaus, P. L.; Kao, S. C.; Youngdahl, K.; Darensbourg, M. Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 2428. (b) Tooley, P. A.; Ovalles, C.; Kao, S.
 C.; Darensbourg, D. J.; Darensbourg, M. Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 109 (2)108, 5465

⁽a) Gaus, P. L.; Jones, J. M.; Zamiska, L. A. Polyhedron 1989, 8, 653.
(b) Newman, L. J.; Bergman, R. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 5314.
(c) Chatt, J.; Shaw, B. L. J. Chem. Soc. 1962, 5075.
(d) Vaska, L.; Diluzio, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1962, 84, 4989.
(e) See also ref 1a. (3)

Notes

In this article we report the synthesis, characterization, and reactions of fac-(CO)₃(dppe)MOR (M = Mn, Re; R = CH₃, C_2H_5 , C_6H_5 ; dppe = 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane). Also included in this report is the X-ray structure determination of $fac-(CO)_3(dppe)ReOC_6H_5$.

Experimental Section

Materials and Methods. Mn₂(CO)₁₀, Re₂(CO)₁₀, and 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane were purchased from Pressure Chemical Co. and were used as received. All other reagents were purchased commercially and used without further purification. The solvents were refluxed and distilled from the appropriate reagents prior to use. $NaOC_6H_5^5$ and fac-(CO)₃(dppe)MnH⁶ were prepared by the published procedures. All reactions and manipulations were conducted under an Ar atmosphere. The IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 1600 series FT-IR instrument. The ¹H, ¹³C, and ³¹P NMR spectra were recorded at 250.133, 62.896, and 101.256 MHz, respectively, on a Bruker AC-250 FT spectrometer. H_3PO_4 (85%) was used as an external reference for ³¹P NMR spectra. Mass spectra were obtained on an HP 5995C spectrometer. Melting points were recorded on a Mel-Temp apparatus and are uncorrected. Elemental analyses were performed by Galbraith Laboratories, Inc. All high-pressure reactions were carried out in an Autoclave Engineers 300-mL magnedrive autoclave. In a typical reaction the autoclave was charged with the organometallic complex and with hexane (100 mL) and sealed. After appropriate flushing, the autoclave was pressurized with the desired gas. The mixture was heated to the required temperature for a specific period of time and cooled. The autoclave was then vented, and the contents were removed and examined.

Preparation of fac-(CO)₃(dppe)ReH (1). A 1.0-g (1.53-mmol) sample of $Re_2(CO)_{10}$ was slurried with 1-propanol in the presence of dppe (1.21 g, 3.03 mmol), and the mixture was refluxed for 24 h. After cooling, the solvent was removed on a rotary evaporator and the residue was extracted with benzene (50 mL). Hexane was added to the solution, which was then cooled. The white precipitate that separated was collected by filtration, washed with hexane $(3 \times 15 \text{ mL})$, and dried under vacuum to give 1 (0.55 g, 0.8 mmol, 26%). This compound has been characterized previously.

Preparation of fac-(CO)₃(dppe)MnOTs (2). A 0.50-g (0.92-mmol) amount of fac-(CO)₃(dppe)MnH in methylene chloride (100 mL) was treated with excess of p-toluenesulfonic acid. When hydrogen gas evolution ceased, the excess acid was removed by extraction with water. The methylene chloride solution was concentrated and mixed with hexane, and the resultant mixture was cooled. The orange microcrystals that separated were collected by filtration, washed with hexane $(3 \times 15 \text{ mL})$, and dried under vacuum to give 2 (0.61 g, 0.86 mmol, 93%), mp 195–198 °C dec. IR in CH_2Cl_2 ($\nu(C=O)$, cm⁻¹): 2033 vs, 1965 s, 1920 s. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 7.64–6.85 (m, 24 H, aromatic), 2.85 (d, J_{HP} = 17 Hz, 4 H, CH₂), 2.28 (s, 3 H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 220.2 (t, $J_{CP} = 19$ Hz, CO), 217.9 (br, s, 2 CO), 140.6 (s, ipso, OC₆H₅), 133.3 (m, m, C₆H₅), 133.0/131.9 (t, $J_{CP} = 4/5$ Hz, o, C₆H₅), 131.5 (s, o, OC_6H_5), 131.1/130.8 (s, p, C_6H_5), 129.4/129.1 (t, $J_{CP} = 5/4$ Hz, m, $C_{6}H_{5}$, 128.6 (s, o, $OC_{6}H_{5}$), 126.5 (s, p, $OC_{6}H_{5}$), 129 (t, $J_{CP} = 20$ Hz, CH₂). ³¹P NMR (CD_2Cl_2): δ 71.5 (s). Anal. Calcd for $C_{36}H_{31}MnO_6P_2S$: C, 61.0; H, 4.4. Found: C, 60.9; H, 4.5.

Preparation of fac-(CO)₃(dppe)ReOTs (3). A procedure similar to that as described for the preparation of 2, except for the use of 1 (0.5 g, 0.74 mmol) instead of fac-(CO)₃(dppe)MnH, was employed for this compound. White microcrystalline 3 (0.60 g, 0.71 mmol, 96%), mp 255-260 °C dec, was obtained. IR in CH_2Cl_2 (ν (C==O), cm⁻¹): 2038 vs, 1961 s, 1912 s. ¹H NMR (CD_2Cl_2): δ 7.68–6.88 (m, 24 H, aromatic), 2.71 (m, 4 H, CH_2), 2.26 (s, 3 H, CH_3). ¹³C NMR (CD_2Cl_2): δ 192.8 (dd, $J_{C(\alpha)P(\beta)} = 61$ Hz, $J_{C(\alpha)P(\beta)} = 10$ Hz, 2 CO), 191.5 (t, $J_{CP} = 6$ Hz, CO), 141.0 (s, ipso, OC₆H₅), 139.0 (m, ipso, C₆H₅), 133.0/132.2 $(t, J_{CP} = 5/6 \text{ Hz}, o, C_6 \text{H}_5), 131.4/131.1 \text{ (s, } p, C_6 \text{H}_5), 129.5/129.2 \text{ (t,}$ $J_{CP} = 5/6$ Hz, m, C_6H_5), 128.7 (s, m, OC_6H_5), 126.7 (s, o, OC_6H_5), 121.3 (s, p, OC_6H_5), 27.0 (m, CH_2), 21.3 (s, CH_3). ³¹P NMR (CD_2Cl_2): δ 37.4 (s). Anal. Calcd for C₃₆H₃₁O₆P₂ReS: C, 51.5; H, 3.7. Found: C. 51.6: H. 3.7

Preparation of fac-(CO)₃(dppe)MnOCH₃ (4). A 0.50-g (0.70-mmol) sample of 2 was slurried with 100 mL of methanol, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature with 1.65 mL of 0.43 M solution of NaO-

CH₃ (0.70 mmol, 0.038 g) (freshly prepared from the reaction of Na with methanol). The reaction was monitored with IR spectroscopy and was complete in 6 h. The solution was evaporated to dryness, the solids were extracted with benzene (15 mL), and the benzene solution, diluted with hexane (30 mL), was cooled. The resulting yellow microcrystals were collected by filtration, washed with hexane $(3 \times 15 \text{ mL})$, and dried under vacuum to give 4 (0.33 g, 0.58 mmol, 83%), mp 105–107 °C dec. IR in C₆H₆ (ν (C=O), cm⁻¹): 2008 vs, 1931 s, 1894 s. IR in KBr pellet (ν (O--H), cm⁻¹): 3110–3050 br. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 7.81–6.90 (m, 20 H, C₆H₅), 3.51 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.21 (s, 3 H, CH₃OH), 2.92–2.61 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 2.32–2.01 (m, 2 H, CH₂). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): δ 219.4 (br, CO), 135.5/134.4 (t, $J_{CP} = 16/21$ Hz, ipso, C_6H_5), 133.4/132.5 (t, $J_{CP} = 4/5 \text{ Hz}, o, C_6H_5), 130.3/130.0 (s, p, C_6H_5), 128.9/128.3 (t, J_{CP} = 4/5 \text{ Hz}, m, C_6H_5), 130.3/130.0 (s, p, C_6H_5), 128.9/128.3 (t, J_{CP} = 4/5 \text{ Hz}, m, C_6H_5), 63.9 (t, J_{CP} = 5 \text{ Hz}, \text{ OCH}_3), 49.5 (s, CH_3OH), 26.4 (t, J_{CP} = 20 \text{ Hz}, CH_2).$ ³¹P NMR (C₆D₆): δ 71.7 (s, br). Anal. Calcd for C30H27MnO4P2 CH3OH: C, 62.0; H, 5.2. Found: C, 61.4; H. 5.0.

Preparation of fac-(CO)₃(dppe)MnOC₂H₅ (5). A 0.50-g (0.70-mmol) sample of 2 was treated with 1.85 mL of a 0.38 M solution of NaOC2H5 (0.70 mmol, 0.047 g) in ethanol (100 mL) in a manner similar to that described for the preparation of 4. The reaction was complete in about 8 h. Yellow microcrystals of 5 (0.33 g, 0.56 mmol, 81%) were obtained. This complex has been synthesized and characterized previously.⁶

Preparation of *fac*-(CO)₃(*dppe*)**MnOC**₆**H**₅ (6). A 0.50-g (0.70-mmol) amount of **2** was slurried with THF (100 mL), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature with NaOC₆H₅ (0.08 g, 0.72 mmol). The reaction was complete in about 2 days. The solution was evaporated to dryness, the residue was extracted with benzene (15 mL), and the benzene solution, diluted with hexane (20 mL), was cooled. The yellow crystals that separated were collected by filtration, washed with hexane $(3 \times 15 \text{ mL})$, and dried under vacuum to give 6 (0.34 g, 0.54 mmol, 77%), mp 166–168 °C dec. IR in C₆H₆ (ν (C=O), cm⁻¹): 2015 vs, 1940 s, 1906 s. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 7.65–7.00 (m, 20 H, C₆H₅), 6.85–6.60 (m, 5 H, OC₆H₅), 2.85-2.55 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 2.45-2.15 (m, 2 H, CH₂). ¹³C NMR (C_6D_6): δ not observed (CO), 169.8 (s, ipso, OC₆H₅), 134.4/132.8 (t, $J_{CP} = 17/20$ Hz, ipso, C_6H_5), 133.2/132.0 (t, $J_{CP} = 5/4$ Hz, o, C₆H₅), 130.4/130.3 (s, p, C₆H₅), 129.1/128.5 (t, $J_{CP} = 6/5$ Hz, m, C₆H₅), 127.7 (s, m, OC₆H₅), 120.8 (s, o, OC₆H₅), 113.6 (s, p, OC₆H₅), 26.2 (t, $J_{CP} = 20$ Hz, CH₂). ³¹P NMR (C₆D₆): δ 71.6 (s, br). Anal. Calcd for C35H29MnO4P2: C, 66.7; H, 4.6. Found: C, 66.8; H, 4.8.

Preparation of fac-(CO)₃(dppe)ReOCH₃ (7). A 0.50-g (0.59-mmol) sample of 3 was treated with NaOCH₃ (0.59 mmol) in methanol (100 mL) in a manner similar to that described for the preparation of 6. The reaction was complete in about 5 days. 7 was obtained as a white solid (0.37 g, 0.53 mmol, 90%) mp 108-109 °C dec. IR in C₆H₆ (v(C=O), cm⁻¹): 2012 vs, 1927 s, 1884 s. IR in KBr pellet (ν (O-H), cm⁻¹): 3100-3040 m. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 7.75-6.85 (m, 20 H, C₆H₅), 4.15 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 3.21 (s, 3 H, CH₃OH), 3.05–2.61 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 2.32–2.03 (m, 2 H, CH₂). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): δ 196.4 (dd, $J_{C(\alpha)P(\beta)} =$ $\begin{array}{l} 61 \text{ Hz}, J_{C(\alpha)}P(\beta) = 10 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ CO}, 192.8 (t, J_{CP} = 6 \text{ Hz}, \text{ CO}), 133.9 - 128.4 \\ (m, C_6H_5), 67.2 (t, J_{CP} = 5 \text{ Hz}, \text{ OCH}_3), 50.0 (s, CH_3\text{OH}), 26.4 (m, CH_2). \end{array}$

Preparation of fac-(CO)₃(dppe)ReOC₂H₅ (8). A 0.50-g (0.59-mmol) amount of 3 was treated with a freshly prepared solution of NaOC₂H₅ (0.04 g, 1.75 mL of 0.34 M, 0.59 mmol) in ethanol in a manner similar to that described for the preparation of 6. The reaction was complete in about 10 days. 8 was obtained as a white material (0.35 g, 0.49 mmol, 83%), mp 95–97 °C dec. IR in C₆H₆ (ν (C=O), cm⁻¹): 2011 vs, 1926 s, 1882 s. ¹H NMR (C_6D_6): δ 7.89–6.93 (m, 20 H, C_6H_5), 3.71 (q, 2 S, 1662 S. TH INMR (C₆D₆): δ 7.89-6.95 (in) 20 H, C₆A₁₅, 5.71 (d, 2 H, CH₂CH₃), 2.88-2.62 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 2.22-1.98 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 0.95 (t, 3 H, CH₂CH₃). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): δ 196.5 (dd, $J_{C(\alpha)P(\beta)} = 61$ Hz, $J_{C(\alpha)P(\beta)} = 10$ Hz, 2 CO), 192.9 (t, $J_{CP} = 6$ Hz, CO), 133.5/133.2 (t, $J_{CP} = 5/5$ Hz, o, C_6 H₅), 133.0 (m, ipso, C_6 H₅), 130.7/130.2 (s, p, C_6 H₅), 129.1/128.3 (t, $J_{CP} = 5/6$ Hz, m, C_6 H₅), 74.3 (t, $J_{CP} = 6$ Hz, OCH₂CH₃), 26.3 (m, $-CH_2$ CH₂-), 23.1 (s, CH₃). ¹³P NMR (C₆D₆): δ 31.9 (s). Anal. Calcd for C₃₁H₂₉O₄P₂Re: C, 52.1; H, 4.1. Found: C, 51.6; H, 4.2

Preparation of fac-(CO)₃(dppe)ReOC₆H₅ (9). A 0.50-g (0.59-mmol) sample of 3 was treated with $NaOC_6H_5$ (0.07 g, 0.60 mmol) in a manner similar to that described for the preparation of 6. The reaction was complete in about 2 weeks. The white crystals that separated were collected by filtration, washed with hexane $(3 \times 15 \text{ mL})$, and dried under vacuum to give 9 (0.41 g, 0.54 mmol, 92%), mp 178–180 °C dec. IR in C₆H₆ (ν (C \equiv O), cm⁻¹): 2019 vs, 1936 s, 1897 s. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 7.56–6.88 (m, 20 H, C₆H₅), 6.72–6.65 (m, 5 H, OC₆H₅), 2.66–2.42 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 2.24–2.00 (m, 2 H, CH₂). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): 195.2 (d) $J_{C(\alpha)P(\beta)} = 61 \text{ Hz}, J_{C(\alpha)P(\beta)} = 10 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ CO}), 192.5 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 6 \text{ Hz}, \text{ CO}), 168.5 \text{ (s, ipso, OC}_6\text{H}_5), 133.3/132.4 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, o, C_6\text{H}_5), 132.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, o, C_6\text{H}_5), 132.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, o, C_6\text{H}_5), 132.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, o, C_6\text{H}_5), 132.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, o, C_6\text{H}_5), 132.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, o, C_6\text{H}_5), 132.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, o, C_6\text{H}_5), 132.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, o, C_6\text{H}_5), 132.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, o, C_6\text{ Hz}, CO), 0.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, o, C_6\text{ Hz}, CO), 0.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, o, C_6\text{ Hz}, CO), 0.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, o, C_6\text{ Hz}, CO), 0.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, o, C_6\text{ Hz}, CO), 0.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, o, C_6\text{ Hz}, CO), 0.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, o, C_6\text{ Hz}, CO), 0.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, o, C_6\text{ Hz}, CO), 0.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, o, C_6\text{ Hz}, CO), 0.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, o, C_6\text{ Hz}, CO), 0.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, O), 0.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, O), 0.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, O), 0.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, O), 0.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, O), 0.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, O), 0.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, O), 0.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, O), 0.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, O), 0.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, O), 0.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, O), 0.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, O), 0.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ Hz}, O), 0.6 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5 \text{ (t, } J_{CP} = 5/5$

⁽a) Freudenberger, J. H.; Orchin, M. Organometallics 1982, 1, 1408.
(b) Sheeran, D. J.; Arenivar, J. D.; Orchin, M. J. Organomet. Chem. (4) 1986, 316, 139.

⁽⁵⁾ Darensbourg, D. J.; Sanchez, K. M.; Reibenspies, J. H.; Rheingold, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 7094. Dombek, B. D. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 1983, 415, 176.

⁽⁷⁾ Flitcroft, N.; Leach, J. M.; Hopton, F. J. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1970, 32, 137.

Table I. Crystallographic Data for fac-(CO)₃(dppe)ReOC₆H₅ (9)

$C_{35}H_{29}O_4P_2Re$	fw = 761.78
cryst syst: monoclinic	space group: $P2_1/c$ (No. 14)
a = 16.183 (2) Å	T = 294 K
b = 11.735 (1) Å	radiation (λ): Mo K α (0.71073 Å)
c = 16.358 (2) Å	$\rho_{\text{calcd}} = 1.63 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$
$\beta = 92.692 \ (9)^{\circ}$	$\mu = 41.06 \text{ cm}^{-1}$
V = 3103.0 (6) Å ³	R(F) = 0.0397
Z = 4	$R_{\rm w}(F) = 0.0401$

(m, ipso, C₆H₅), 130.7/130.6 (s, p C₆H₅), 129.2/128.8 (t, $J_{CP} = 5/5$ Hz, m, C₆H₅), 127.8 (s, m, OC₆H₅), 120.4 (s, o, OC₆H₅), 114.5 (s, p, OC₆H₅), 26.6 (m, CH₂). ³¹P NMR (C₆D₆): δ 34.7 (s).

Crystal Structure Determination of fac-(CO)₃(dppe)ReOC₆H₅ (9). Examination and data collection, using a colorless needle (0.08 \times 0.22 \times 0.55) sealed in a glass capillary, were performed on a Siemens R3m/V four-circle diffractometer. Data were collected for $4.0^{\circ} \le 20 \le 55.0^{\circ}$ $(-21 \le h \le +21, 0 \le k \le +15, 0 \le l \le +21)$. Lorenz-polarization corrections were applied to 7272 reflections. A semiempirical absorption correction was applied ($T_{max} = 0.9229$, $T_{min} = 0.5686$). A total of 4913 reflections ($R_{int} = 0.0211$) with $F > 3\sigma(F)$ were used in further calcu-The structure was solved by direct methods (XF:TREF, lations. SHELXTL-PLUS program package8) and refined by full-matrix leastsquares techniques (XLS). The non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic temperature parameters; hydrogen atoms were allowed to ride on their perspective carbons $[C-H = 0.96 \text{ Å}, U(H) = 0.08 \text{ Å}^2]$. The quantity minimized was $\sum w(F_0 - F_c)^{2}$; $w = [\sigma^2(F) + [g|F^2]^{-1}, g =$ 0.000 374. Crystal data are given in Table I, and atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters are given in Table II.

Carbonylation of fac-(CO)₃(dppe)MnOCH₃ (4). A 0.95-g (1.67mmol) sample of 4 suspended in hexane (100 mL) was placed in the autoclave and pressurized with 4000 psi of CO at 88 °C for 24 h. The mixture was cooled, and the contents were transferred to a flask by rinsing with benzene (50 mL). The solvents were removed on a rotary evaporator, and the solid was recrystallized from benzene/hexane at -5 °C to give fac-(CO)₃(dppe)MnC(O)OCH₃ (0.71 g, 1.19 mmol, 71%), mp 123-125 °C dec. IR in hexane (ν (C=O), cm⁻¹): 2015 vs, 1946 s, 1924 s. IR (ν (C=O), cm⁻¹): 1610 m. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 7.65-6.95 (m, 20 H, C₆H₃), 3.15 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 3.10–2.85 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 2.35–2.15 (m, 2 H, CH₂). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): δ 223.0 (t, J_{CP} = 7 Hz, 2 C=O), 218.6 (t, J_{CP} = 15 Hz, C=O), 216.1 (t, J_{CP} = 25 Hz, C=O), 137.1 (m, ipso, C_6H_5), 132.4/131.4 (t, $J_{CP} = 5/4$ Hz, o, C_6H_5), 129.8/129.7 (s, p, C_6H_5), 128.9/128.4 (t, $J_{CP} = 4/5$ Hz, m, C_6H_5), 49.2 (s, CH₃) 27.0 (t, $J_{CP} = 21$ Hz, CH₂). ³¹P NMR (C_6D_6): δ 83.6 (s). Similar spectral properties are also observed for samples of fac-(CO)₃-(dppe)MnC(O)OCH₃ prepared by an alternate procedure.⁴

Carbonylation of fac-(CO)₃(dppe)MnOC₂H₅ (5). A 0.75-g (1.29mmol) amount of 5 was carbonylated as described above. The IR spectrum of the mixture in hexane showed an absorption at 1606 (m) cm⁻¹ due to ν (C=O) of the C(O)OC₂H₅ group. The ¹H NMR spectrum of the mixture in $C_6 D_6$ gave resonances (δ 3.55 q, 0.76 t) characteristic of the ethyl protons. Similar spectral properties were also observed for the samples of fac-(CO)₃(dppe)MnC(O)OC₂H₅ prepared by an alternate procedure.9 Attempts to separate fac-(CO)3(dppe)MnC(O)OC2H5 from an impurity were unsuccessful.

Attempted Insertion of C₂H₄ into fac-(CO)₃(dppe)MnOCH₃ (4). A 0.90-g (1.58-mmol) sample of 4 suspended in hexane (100 mL) in the autoclave was pressurized with C_2H_4 to 1000 psi followed by CO until a total pressure of 2000 psi was reached. The mixture was heated to 85 °C for 24 h and cooled, and the autoclave was then vented. The solution was filtered, the residue was triturated with benzene $(2 \times 50 \text{ mL})$, and a white solid was recovered. The white solid was polyethylene. Polyethylene was also deposited on the wall of the reactor. The identity of the organometallic compound is under investigation.

Reaction of fac-(CO)₃(dppe)MnOCH₃ (4) with p-Anisoyl Chloride. A 1.0-g (1.76-mmol) quantity of 4 was dissolved in benzene (25 mL), and the mixture was stirred in the presence of p-anisoyl chloride (0.31 g, 0.25 mL, 1.83 mmol) at room temperature for 4 h. The solvent was then removed on a rotary evaporator, and the residues was triturated with hexane $(2 \times 50 \text{ mL})$. The hexane extract was concentrated on a rotary

Table II.	Atomic (Coordinate	rs ((×104) a	ind Eq	uivalent	Isotropic	
Displacem	nent Para	meters (Å	$^{2} \times$	10 ³) for	f fac-(CO) ₃ (dp	pe)ReOC ₆ I	ł,
(9)					-			

,				
atom	x	у	z	U_{eq} , ^a Å ²
Re	2631 (1)	39 (1)	2051 (1)	34 (1)
P (1)	4022 (1)	-451 (1)	2663 (1)	33 (1)
P(2)	2253 (1)	-1724 (1)	2776 (1)	37 (1)
O (1)	3063 (3)	-1174 (4)	465 (3)	61 (2)
O(2)	892 (3)	158 (5)	1198 (4)	82 (2)
O(3)	3189 (3)	2291 (4)	1288 (3)	69 (2)
O(4)	2406 (3)	783 (3)	3206 (2)	45 (1)
C(1)	2900 (4)	-717 (5)	1076 (4)	42 (2)
C(2)	1517 (4)	167 (5)	1555 (4)	48 (2)
C(3)	2983 (4)	1464 (5)	1583 (3)	42 (2)
C(4)	3929 (4)	-1866 (5)	3141 (3)	41 (2)
C(5)	3103 (4)	-1982 (5)	3542 (3)	44 (2)
C(6)	4517 (4)	422 (5)	3470 (3)	38 (2)
C(7)	5334 (4)	793 (5)	3423 (4)	49 (2)
C(8)	5701 (5)	1473 (6)	4035 (4)	59 (3)
C(9)	5272 (5)	1776 (6)	4688 (4)	61 (3)
C(10)	4471 (5)	1411 (6)	4759 (4)	57 (3)
C(11)	4092 (4)	727 (5)	4159 (3)	46 (2)
C(12)	4858 (3)	-627 (5)	1968 (3)	38 (2)
C(13)	5462 (4)	-1458 (5)	2109 (4)	46 (2)
C(14)	6143 (4)	-1518 (6)	1621 (4)	55 (2)
C(15)	6230 (4)	-719 (7)	1003 (4)	59 (3)
C(16)	5626 (4)	102 (6)	860 (4)	58 (2)
C(17)	4947 (4)	147 (6)	1332 (3)	47 (2)
C(18)-	1333 (4)	-1752 (5)	3377 (4)	45 (2)
C(19)	1127 (5)	-2730 (6)	2788 (5)	67 (3)
C(20)	443 (6)	-2726 (8)	4267 (5)	82 (3)
C(21)	-23 (5)	-1758 (9)	4345 (5)	83 (4)
C(22)	181 (5)	-804 (8)	3930 (5)	73 (3)
C(23)	861 (4)	-785 (6)	3444 (4)	55 (2)
C(24)	2186 (4)	-3012 (5)	2152 (4)	43 (2)
C(25)	2531 (4)	-4038 (6)	2409 (4)	55 (2)
C(26)	2467 (5)	-4983 (6)	1905 (5)	71 (3)
C(27)	2075 (5)	-4928 (7)	1155 (5)	73 (3)
C(28)	1727 (5)	-3917 (7)	888 (4)	68 (3)
C(29)	1783 (4)	-2954 (6)	1389 (4)	54 (2)
C(30)	1990 (4)	1717 (5)	3401 (4)	44 (2)
C(31)	1489 (5)	2348 (6)	2868 (5)	67 (3)
C(32)	1081 (6)	3295 (7)	3135 (6)	86 (4)
C(33)	1157 (6)	3667 (7)	3929 (6)	83 (4)
C(34)	1648 (5)	3053 (6)	4468 (5)	65 (3)
C(35)	2060 (4)	2094 (6)	4225 (4)	54 (2)

 ${}^{a}U_{eq} = {}^{1}/{}_{3}\sum_{i}\sum_{j}a_{i}^{*}a_{j}^{*}a_{j}a_{i}U_{ij}.$

evaporator. The mass spectrum of the residual oil $(m/z \ 166)$ confirmed the presence of methyl p-anisate. The organometallic compound proved to be fac-(CO)₃(dppe)MnCl on the basis of comparison of its IR spectrum to that of an authentic sample prepared from the reaction of fac-(CO)₃(dppe)MnH with HCl.

Results and Discussion

The reaction sequence for the synthesis of the manganese and rhenium alkoxide and phenoxide complexes is summarized in eqs 1-3. The hydrides were synthesized from the reaction of the metal

$$M_{2}(CO)_{10} + dppe + 1-C_{3}H_{7}OH \rightarrow fac-(CO)_{3}(dppe)MH + ? (1)$$

$$fac$$
-(CO)₃(dppe)MH + HOTs \rightarrow

$$fac$$
-(CO)₃(dppe)MOTs + H₂ (2)

$$fac$$
-(CO)₃(dppe)MOTs + NaOR \rightarrow
 fac -(CO)₃(dppe)MOR + NaOTs (3)

carbonyls with dppe in the presence of 1-propanol (eq 1). The oxidation products of the latter were not isolated. In the second step (eq 2) tosylates were obtained from the reaction of hydrides in methylene chloride with p-toluenesulfonic acid. Finally, the alkoxides and phenoxides were formed from the metathetical reaction between the tosylates with NaOR (eq 3). This three-step procedure proved to be a convenient and general route to the respective alkoxides and phenoxides. All these complexes have been characterized by analytical methods. 4 proved to be solvated with methanol as deduced from the IR (ν (O-H) at 3110-3050

SHELXTL-PLUS 3.43 for R3/V and R3m/V crystallographic systems: G. (8)

⁽⁸⁾ SHELXTL-PLUS 3.43 for R3/V and R3m/V crystallographic systems: G. M. Sheldrick, University of Goettingen, FRG, and Siemens/Nicolet Analytical X-ray instruments, Inc., Madison, WI, 1988.
(9) Reaction of [(CO)₄(dppe)M]⁺BF₄⁻ with NaOR in ROH at 0 °C yielded fac-(CO)₃(dppe)MC(O)OR in high yield. IR of fac-(CO)₃(dppe)-MnC(O)OC₂H₃ in hexane cm⁻¹): ν(C=O) 2014 vs, 1946 s, 1928 s; ν(C=O) 1606 m. ¹H NMR in C₆D₂: δ 7.69-6.91 (m, 20 H, C₆H₃), 3.55 (q, 2 H, CH₂CH₃), 2.99 (m, 2 H, -CH₂CH₂-), 2.21 (m, 2 H, -CH₂CH₂-), 0.76 (t, 3 H, CH₃).



Figure 1. ¹³C NMR spectrum of fac-(CO)₃(dppe)MnOCH₃ (4) in C₆D₆: (a) expanded phenyl region, 120–136 ppm; (b) expanded methyl-methylene region, 25–65 ppm.



Figure 2. Perspective drawing of fac-(CO)₃(dppe)ReOC₆H₅ (9).

br cm⁻¹), ¹H NMR (two methyl proton resonances), and ¹³C NMR spectra (two methyl carbon resonances). The solvation is a result of hydrogen bonding, as has been shown previously both in solution and in the solid state.¹⁰ Phenyl resonances in the ¹³C NMR spectra of some of the alkoxides or phenoxides in the series fac-(CO)₃(dppe)MOR were well resolved. The diastereotopic

Table III. Selected Bond Distances and Angles for fac-(CO)₃(dppe)ReOC₆H₅ (9)

Bond Distances (Å)						
Re – P (1)	2.488 (2)	P(2) - C(5)	1.841 (6)			
Re-P(2)	2.475 (2)	P(2) - C(18)	1.823 (7)			
Re-O(4)	2.127 (4)	P(2)-C(24)	1.825 (6)			
Re-C(1)	1.894 (6)	O(1) - C(1)	1.174 (8)			
Re-C(2)	1.947 (6)	O(2) - C(2)	1.143 (8)			
Re-C(3)	1.936 (6)	O(3)-C(3)	1.141 (8)			
P(1)-C(4)	1.844 (6)	O(4)-C(30)	1.332 (7)			
P(1) - C(6)	1.825 (6)	C(4) - C(5)	1.523 (9)			
P(1)-C(12)	1.820 (6)					
Bond Angles (deg)						
P(1)-Re-P(2)	81.5 (1)	C(4) - P(1) - C(6)	103.9 (3)			
P(1) - Re - O(4)	85.8 (1)	Re-P(1)-C(12)	117.4 (2)			
P(2) - Re - O(4)	82.0 (1)	C(4) - P(1) - C(12)	103.8 (3)			
P(1) - Re - C(1)	89.5 (2)	C(6) - P(1) - C(12)	101.6 (3)			
P(2) - Re - C(1)	94.8 (2)	Re-P(2)-C(5)	105.7 (2)			
O(4)-Re- $C(1)$	174.6 (2)	Re-P(2)-C(18)	120.0 (2)			
P(1)-Re-C(2)	171.7 (2)	C(5)-P(2)-C(18)	103.5 (3)			
P(2)-Re-C(2)	91.2 (2)	Re-P(2)-C(24)	115.7 (2)			
O(4)-Re- $C(2)$	98.4 (2)	C(5)-P(2)-C(24)	105.5 (3)			
C(1)-Re- $C(2)$	86.0 (3)	C(18) - P(2) - C(24)	104.9 (3)			
P(1) - Re - C(3)	94.7 (2)	Re-O(4)-C(30)	131.2 (4)			
P(2)-Re-C(3)	174.4 (2)	Re-C(1)-O(1)	179.1 (5)			
O(4)-Re- $C(3)$	93.6 (2)	Re-C(2)-O(2)	172.2 (6)			
C(1)-Re- $C(3)$	89.3 (3)	Re-C(3)-O(3)	178.2 (5)			
C(2)-Re- $C(3)$	93.0 (3)	P(1)-C(4)-C(5)	110.7 (4)			
Re-P(1)-C(4)	106.8 (2)	P(2)-C(5)-C(4)	109.6 (4)			
Re-P(1)-C(6)	121.3 (2)					

 $P(C_6H_5)_2$ groups in 4, for example, appear as sharp triplets virtually coupled to two ³¹P atoms (see Figure 1).¹¹ The manganese and rhenium alkoxides slowly decompose in solution.¹² The rhenium phenoxide 9 is the most stable of the fac-(CO)₃-

^{(10) (}a) Kegley, S. E.; Schaverien, C. J.; Freudenberger, J. H.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 6563. (b) Braga, C.; Sabatino, P.; Bugno, C. D.; Leoni, P.; Pasquali, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1987, 34, C46. (c) Kim, Y.-J.; Osakada, K.; Takenaka, A.; Yamamoto, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 1096. (d) Kim, Y.-J.; Osakada, K.; Sugita, K.; Yamamoto, T.; Yamamoto, A. Organometallics 1988, 7, 2182. (e) Osakada, K.; Kim, Y.-J.; Tanaka, M.; Ishiguro, S.; Yamamoto, A. Inorg. Chem. 1991, 30, 197.

 ⁽¹¹⁾ For example: (a) Jablonski, C.; Bellachioma, G.; Cardaci, G.; Reichenbach, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 1632. (b) Schumann, H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1987, 323, 193.

⁽¹²⁾ The rhenium alkoxides 7 and 8 appear to form the same bridging carbonate on long standing in benzene solution. Characterization of this complex will be reported elsewhere.

(dppe)MOR complexes synthesized, and an X-ray diffraction study on a suitable crystal of it was carried out. The conformation and atomic numbering scheme for 9 are shown in Figure 2. Selected bond distances and angles are found in Table III. The Re-O distance of 2.127 (4) Å in this complex is similar to the corresponding distance of 2.143 (4) Å in $[P(CH_3)_2C_6H_5]_2$ -(CO)₂ReO(o-C₆H₄CH=NC₆H₅)¹³ and the mean distance of 2.153 (8) Å in $[Re(CO)_{3}C_{6}H_{4}(O)N=NC_{6}H_{5}]_{2}^{14}$ but appreciably longer than the average distance of 1.965 Å reported for trans- $[P(CH_3)_3]_2$ Re(OC₆H₅)₄¹⁵ due in part to the higher oxidation state of the rhenium atom in the latter. The geometry at the metal center is essentially octahedral. The Re-O-C (phenyl) angle is 131.2 (4)°, and the P(1)-Re-P(2) angle is 81.5 (1)°; the latter is similar to the corresponding angle of 82.2 (8)° in fac-(CO)₃- $(dppe)ReC(O)Si(C_6H_5)_3$.¹⁶

Insertion of CO into the metal-oxygen bond of 4 and 5 in hexane under high pressure afforded fac-(CO)₃(dppe)MnC(O)- OCH_3 and fac-(CO)₃(dppe)MnC(O)OC₂H₅, respectively. To the best our knowledge, this is a rare example of CO insertion into an octahedral alkoxide complex of known structure.¹⁷ Both associative¹⁸ and ionic¹⁹ mechanisms have been proposed for

- (13) Bertolasi, V.; Ferretti, V.; Gilli, G.; Duatti, A.; Marchi, A.; Magon, L. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1987, 613. (14) Aleksandrov, G. G.; Derunov, V. V.; Johansson, A. A.; Struchkov, Y.
- T. J. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 188, 367. (15) Edwards, P. G.; Wilkinson, G.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Malik, K. M. A. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1980, 2467.
- (16) Anglin, J. R.; Calhoun, H. P.; Graham, W. A. G. Inorg. Chem. 1977, 16, 2281.
- (17) For CO insertion into the metal-alkoxide bond of an octahedral tungsten-methoxide complex, see: Kundel, P.; Berke, H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1988, 339, 103.
- (18) Bryndza, H. E. Organometallics 1985, 4, 1686.

analogous CO insertion reactions of 4- and 5-coordinated complexes, respectively. There have been no similar studies in the octahedral case, and we have not attempted to demonstrate a mechanism for our reactions. However, it is important to note that the manganese and rhenium alkoxy carbonyl complexes $fac-(CO)_3(dppe)MC(O)OR^9$ slowly ionize in polar solvents to produce the relatively unstable $[(CO)_4(dppe)M]^+[OR]^-$ during workup, and accordingly, polar solvents should be avoided in carrying out CO insertion reactions with these materials. Similar treatment of rhenium alkoxides 7 and 8 did not yield any rhenium alkoxy carbonyl complexes. Attempted insertion of C_2H_4 into the metal-alkoxide bond of 4 in hexane under high pressure afforded only polyethylene. Reaction of 4 with p-anisoyl chloride produced methyl p-anisate and fac-(CO)₃(dppe)MnCl. Similar reactions of alkoxides and aryloxides with acid chlorides to produce esters have been also observed by others.²⁰

Acknowledgment. The NMR spectrometer was acquired in part with funds from an Ohio Academic Challenge award, which established the Biomedical Chemistry Research Center. We also wish to thank Dr. A. R. Pinhas for the use of a drybox.

Supplementary Material Available: For 9, tables of anisotropic displacement parameters, H atom coordinates, and bond distances and angles (8 pages); a listing of observed and calculated structure factor amplitudes (25 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Additions and Corrections

1991, Volume 30

R. Guilard,* N. Jagerovic, A. Tabard, P. Richard, L. Courthaudon, A. Louati, C. Lecomte,* and K. M. Kadish*: Metalloporphyrins Containing σ -Bonded Nitrogen Axial Ligands. 1. Synthesis and Characterization of Indium(III) Porphyrin Complexes. Molecular Structures of (4-Phenyltetrazolato)- and (5-Methyltetrazolato)-(2,3,7,8,12,13,17,18-octaethylporphinato)indium(III).

Page 26. Reference 64 in Table XIV was accidentally deleted and is as follows: Cornillon, J.-L.; Anderson, J. E.; Kadish, K. M. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 2611.-K. M. Kadish

⁽¹⁹⁾ Rees, W. M.; Churchill, M. R.; Fettinger, J. C.; Atwood, J. D. Organometallics 1985, 4, 2179

⁽a) Garner, C. M.; Fernandez, J. M.; Gladysz, J. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 3931. (b) Professor R. G. Bergman and co-workers of the (20)University of California recently synthesized fac, cis-(CO)₃(PPh₃)₂Re- $(OC_6H_4CH_3-p)$, and reaction of this with CH₃COCl gave methyl ptoluate (personal communication from R.G.B.).