Volume 32

July 21, 1993

## Number 15 **1 Inorganic Chemistry**

*0 Copyright 1993 by the American Chemical Society* 

## **Communications**

## Synthesis and Structural Characterization of [PPh<sub>4</sub>]<sub>2</sub>[NEt<sub>4</sub>][AgTe<sub>7</sub>] and [PPh<sub>4</sub>]<sub>2</sub>[HgTe<sub>7</sub>], Compounds Containing the Unprecedented  $\eta^3$ -Te<sub>7</sub><sup>4</sup> Anion

**Jonathan M. McConnachie, Mohammad A. Ansari, John C. Bollinger, Robert J. Salm, and James A. Ibers'** 

Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208-3113

*Received January* 28, *1993* 

While the chemistry of sulfidometalates and selenometalates has afforded many amazing species, for example  $[{\rm Re}_4S_4(S_3)_6]^{4-1}$ and  $[V_2Se_{13}]^2$ <sup>2</sup>,<sup>2</sup> the bonding in these species is conventional, consisting of liganded  $Q_n^2$ - moieties  $(Q = S, Se; n \ge 1)$ . Despite the paucity of known species, it is the chemistry of the tellurometalates that appears to harbor the most unconventional bonding arrangements. Thus,  $[AuTe_7]^3$  can be thought of as possessing an  $n^3$ -Te<sub>s</sub><sup>4-</sup> ligand as well as an  $n^1$ -Te<sub>2</sub><sup>2-</sup> ligand,<sup>3</sup> neither of which has sulfur or selenium counterparts, while the bonding arrangement in the  $[NbTe_{10}]^3$  anion defies description.<sup>4</sup> We now describe two new anions,  $[AgTe_7]^3$  and  $[HgTe_7]^2$ , each of which consists of a metal center coordinated by a single  $n^3$ -Te<sub>7</sub><sup>4–</sup> ligand, a previously unknown ligand with no sulfur or selenium analogues.

Polytelluride solutions were prepared by dissolving  $Li<sub>2</sub>Te$ powder (prepared from the stoichiometric amounts of the elements in liquid ammonia) and 3 equiv of Te powder in DMF. [PPh4]2[HgTe7] was prepared in the following manner. A polytelluride solution was added to a DMF solution of  $Hg(xan)<sub>2</sub>$  $(xan = S_2COEt)$  and PPh<sub>4</sub>Cl with stirring. Triethylphosphine was added, and the mixture was heated for 1 h at 90 °C, followed by filtration and layering with ether.  $[PPh_4]_2[NEt_4][AgTe_7]$  was

- Müller, A.; Krickemeyer, E.; Bögge, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.  $(1)$ 1986,25, 272-273.
- Chau, C.-N.; Wardle, R. W. M.; Ibers, J. A. *Inorg.* Chem. **1987,** 26, 2740-2741.
- Ansari, M. A.; Bollinger, J. C.; **Ibers,** J. A. *J. Am.* Chem. *Soc.* **1993,**  *115,* 3838-3839.
- Flomer, W. A.; Kolis, J. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1988, 110, 3682-3683.
- Ansari, M. A.; Bollinger, J. C.; Ibers, J. A. *Inorg.* Chem. 1993, *32,*  1746-1748.
- (6) Crystal data for  $[PPh_4]_2[NE_t][AgTe_7]$ : C<sub>56</sub>H<sub>60</sub>AgNP<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>7</sub>, triclinic  $C_1^{1}P_1$ ,  $Z = 2$ ,  $\alpha = 8.893(2)$  Å,  $b = 14.663(4)$  Å,  $c = 23.320(6)$  Å,  $\alpha = 87.17(1)$ °,  $\beta = 80.74(1)$ °,  $\gamma = 75.82(1)$ °,  $V = 2910(1)$  Å<sup>3</sup> at -165<br>°C.  $R_w(F^2) = 0.148$  for 320 variables and 8626 independent reflection  $R(F) = 0.071$  for 5470 reflections having  $F_0^2 > 2\sigma(F_0^2)$ . Crystal data for [PPh<sub>a</sub>]<sub>2</sub>[HgTe<sub>7</sub>]: C<sub>48</sub>H<sub>40</sub>HgP<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>7</sub>, monoclinic C<sub>24</sub>-P<sub>21</sub>/n, Z = 2, a<br>= 12.755(3) Å, b = 14.327(3) Å, c = 13.932(3) Å,  $\beta$  = 105.58(3)°, V<br>= 2452(1) Å<sup>3</sup> at -163 °C.  $R_w(F^2)$  = 0.097 for 289 variables and 4 independent reflections;  $R(F) = 0.046$  for 3708 reflections having  $F_o^2$ <br>>  $2\sigma(F_o^2)$ . The structures were refined against  $F_o^2$  by full-matrix least-<br>squares methods (Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL-92 Unix Beta-test Version, 1992). The  $[HgTe_7]^3$  ion is disordered over a center of inversion, with each atom having an occupancy of 0.50 except for Te(2), which has an occupancy of 1.0 because it cannot be resolved from what is Te(6) in the Ag complex. The assignment of atom positions to the [HgTe<sub>7</sub>]<sup>2</sup> anion or its inverted image was made with reference to the geometry derived from the  $[AgTe,]<sup>2</sup>$ - anion.

obtained when another polytelluride solution, similar except for the addition of a small amount of metallic sodium, was added to a DMF solution of  $[AgI(PMe<sub>3</sub>)]<sub>4</sub>$  and triethylphosphine, followed by addition of NEt<sub>4</sub>Cl and PPh<sub>4</sub>Cl. If neither sodium nor PPh<sub>4</sub>Cl is used, then only  $[NEt_4]_4[(Te_4)Ag(\mu-Te_4)Ag(Te_4)]$  is isolated.<sup>5</sup>



Figure **1.** View of the **[AgTe7]\*** ion with the **50%** probability thermal ellipsoids shown. Important bond angles: Te(3)-Te(4)-Te(5), 174.12(5)<sup>o</sup>; Ag-Te(4)-Te(3),  $89.41(5)$ °; Ag-Te(4)-Te(5),  $95.52(5)$ °; Te(1)-Ag-Te(4), 124.48(6)°; Te(1)-Ag-Te(7), 119.45(6)°; Te(4)-Ag-Te(7), **116.07(6)o.** 

The phosphine provides the requisite basic medium and may solubilize any metallic tellurium formed during the course of the reaction.

The  $[AgTe_7]^3$  and  $[HgTe_7]^2$  ions are shown in Figures 1 and 2, respectively.6 The metals have trigonal planar coordination. With the exception of atoms Te(2) and Te(6) in  $[AgTe_7]^3$ - and atoms Te(2) and Te(2a) in  $[HgTe_7]^{2-}$ , the anions are planar, the average deviation of the MTe<sub>5</sub> moiety from planarity being 0.108  $\hat{A}$  for  $M = Ag$  and  $0.111 \hat{A}$  for  $M = Hg$ . There are many examples of trigonal planar coordination of chalcogens about Ag(1) and  $Hg(II),^{5,7-10}$  while such a bonding arrangement is unknown for Hg(1). To assign a higher oxidation state to Ag requires a ligand with charge greater than 4. Thus, we assign metal oxidation states of  $Ag(I)$  and  $Hg(II)$ , leading to the formulation of the ligand as  $\eta^3$ -Te<sub>7</sub><sup>4-</sup>, and we believe it is possible to rationalize the nature of the bonding in the  $\eta^3$ -Te<sub>7</sub><sup>4</sup> ligand.

The most intriguing feature of the anions is the T-shaped bonding pattern around atom  $Te(4)$ . The  $Te(3)-Te(4)-Te(5)$ angles are  $174.12(5)$  and  $174.52(5)$ <sup>o</sup> in the Ag and Hg anions, respectively. The M-Te(4)-Te(3,5) angles are 89.41(5) and

*0* 1993 American Chemical Society



**Figure 2.** View of the [HgTe,]2- ion with the **50%** probability thermal ellipsoids **shown.** Important bond **angles:** Te(3)-Te(4)-Te(S), 174.52(5)"; Hg-Te(4)-Te(3), 92.75(4)°; Hg-Te(4)-Te(5), 92.68(5)°; Te(1)-Hg-Te(4), 117.70(5)°; Te(1)-Hg-Te(7), 124.37(5)°; Te(4)-Hg-Te(7), 117.92(4)".

95.52(5)<sup>o</sup> in the Ag complex and 92.75(4) and 92.68(5)<sup>o</sup> in the Hg compound. The M-Te(4) distances in the two compounds are similar to the other M-Te distances in each complex; Ag-Te distances range from 2.695(2) to 2.725(2) **A,** and the Hg-Te distances range from 2.722(2) to 2.742(1) **A.** However, the Te(4)-Te distances differ dramatically from the other Te-Te distances in the two complexes. In the Ag complex the Te(4)- Te(3) and the Te(4)-Te(5) distances are  $3.230(2)$  and  $2.866(2)$ **A,** respectively, while the remaining Te-Te distances vary from 2.714(2) to 2.756(2) **A.** In the Hg compound the Te(4)-Te(3) and the Te(4)-Te(5) distances are 2.997(2) and 3.050(2) **A,**  respectively, while the remaining Te-Te distances vary from 2.682(2) to 2.746(2) **A.** The longer bond lengths around Te(4) are expected if  $Te(3)$ ,  $Te(4)$ , and  $Te(5)$  are joined by a threecenter four-electron bond, while the  $Te(3)-Te(2)$  and  $Te(5)-$ Te(6) bonds are normal two-center two-electron bonds. The bonding about atom Te(4) is unsymmetrical in the Ag compound, but it is symmetrical in the Hg compound. Although we offer no explanation for this, we note that the closely related  $I_3$ -anion shows at times symmetrical bonding and at other times unsymmetrical bonding.11 The Te(4)-Te(3) distance of 3.230(2) **A** in the Ag complex is long, but to describe it as a nonbond is to ignore similar distances seen in polyiodide anions.<sup>11</sup> Moreover, if it is

(10) Haushalter, R. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 433–435.<br>(11) Downs, A. J.; Adams, C. J. In Comprehensive Inorganic Chemistry, 1st ed.; Bailar, J. C., Jr., Emeléus, H. J., Nyholm, R., Trotman-Dickenson,

not a bond this implies the very unlikely  $\eta^1$ -Te<sub>3</sub> ligand, and one must somehow explain the presence of atom Te(3) in the AgTes plane.

The  $\eta^3$ -Te<sub>7</sub><sup>4</sup> chelate is a new polychalcogenide ligand. The compounds  $M(S_7)(PMe_3)$ <sub>3</sub> (M = Ru, Os)<sup>12</sup> contain the  $\eta^3$ -S<sub>7</sub><sup>2-</sup> ligand. These complexes are diamagnetic, as expected for metal centers with a  $d^6$  configuration (S<sub>7</sub><sup>2</sup>) but not for those with a  $d^4$ configuration  $(S_7^+)$ . Nor does the three-coordinate S atom in the  $\eta^3$ -S<sub>7</sub><sup>2</sup>- chelate exhibit T-shaped bonding, but rather it has the normal bond lengths and angles found around most threecoordinate **S** atoms. Why this difference on going from **S** to Te? Comparisons with the halogens suggest the increased atomic size and polarizability and decreased p-to-d promotional energy in Te influence this behavior.<sup>11</sup> The VSEPR model would describe orbitals around the  $Te(4)$  atom as  $sp<sup>3</sup>d$  hybridized. The VSEPR model is known tooverestimate d-orbital contributions to bonding, and the molecular orbital approach of a three-center four-electron bond around atom Te(4) is preferable. Application of delocalized orbitals to the isoelectronic species  $I_3$ <sup>-11</sup> and  $XeF_2$ <sup>13</sup> indicates the bond consists primarily of p orbitals, with only minor contributions from the **s** and d orbitals. The bonding description with atom Te(4) being part of a three-center four-electron system implies that atom  $Te(4)$  is hypervalent with 10 electrons and that it donates electrons to a metal center. To our knowledge such bonding is unprecedented but then so is an  $\eta^3$ -Te<sub>7</sub><sup>4</sup>-species. The few examples of tellurometalates suggest that a rich reaction and structural chemistry remains to be uncovered.

**Note Added in Proof:** Miiller, et al.14 have just reported the preparation and structures of the  $[K(15\text{-}crown\text{-}5)]^+$  salts of  $[ZnTe_7]^2$  and  $[HgTe_7]^2$ . While these compounds exhibit twodimensional disorder, the average structure of the  $[HgTe_7]^2$ -anion closely resembles the structures reported here and is described by them as consisting of two condensed five-membered rings. The average structure of the  $[ZnTe_7]^{2-}$  anion is different and is described by them as consisting of  $Zn^{2+}$  ion coordinated in a bidentate manner to a Te<sub>4</sub><sup>2+</sup> ion and in a monodentate manner to a  $Te<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> ion.$ 

**Acknowledgment.** This research was supported by the National Science Foundation, Grant No. CHE-8922754.

Supplementary Material Available: Crystallographic details (Table SI), positional parameters (Tables SI1 and SIII), thermal displacements (Tables SIV and SV), and bond distances and angles (Table SVI and SVII) (15 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

<sup>(7)</sup> Muller, **A.;** Krickemeyer, E.; Zmmermann, M.; ROmer, M.; **Bagge,** H.; **(8)** Muller, **A,;** ROmer, M.; **we, H.;** Krickemeyer, E.; Baumann, **F.-W.;**  Penlc, M.; Schmitz, K. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1984,** *90,* L69-L71.

Schmitz, K. *Inorg. Chim. Acta 1984,89,* L7-LS.

<sup>(9)</sup> **Hung,** S.-P.; Kanatzidis, M. **G.** *Inorg. Chem.* **1991,** *30,* 1455-1466.

**A. F., Eds.;** Pergamon Press: Oxford, U.K., 1973; **Vol. 2,** Chapter 26, pp 1534-1563.

<sup>(12)</sup> **Gotzig,** J.; Rheingold, **A.** L.; **Werner,** H. *Angew. Chem., Inr. Ed. Engl.*  **1984,23,** 814-815.

<sup>(13) &#</sup>x27;Malm, J. **G.;** Selig, H.; Jortner, J.; **Rice,** *S.* **A.** *Chem. Rea* **1965,** *65,*  199-236.

<sup>(14)</sup> Miiller, U.; Grebe, C.; Neumiiller, B.; Schreiner, B.; Dehnicke, K. *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1993,** *619, 500-506.*