Volume **<sup>32</sup>**I

Number **24** 

November **24,** 1993

## **Inorganic Chemistry**

*0* Copyright I993 by the American Chemical Society

## **Communications**

## **Reactions of Singlet Oxygen with Organometallic Complexes. 2. Formation of a Metastable Rhodium-Dioxygen Complex**

## **Matthias Selke, Christopher S. Foote,' and William L. Karney**

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024-1 569

Received September 9, *1993* 

Many coordinatively unsaturated organometallic complexes react with triplet dioxygen to form metal-dioxygen complexes.<sup>1,2</sup> The oxidative addition of triplet oxygen to *trans*-Ir(CO)Cl(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (Vaska's Complex) was one of the first of these reactions to be discovered.3.4 However, the rhodium analogue, trans-Rh(C0)-  $Cl(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ , **1**, does not react with triplet oxygen under normal conditions,<sup>1,5,6</sup> and the corresponding rhodium-dioxygen complex is unknown. We recently reported that trans-Ir(CO)Cl(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> react approximately 10<sup>9</sup> times faster with singlet oxygen than with triplet oxygen.<sup>7</sup> We now report that singlet oxygen reacts rapidly with **1** to give a dioxygen adduct that is stable only below  $0 °C$ .

**On** reaction of **1** with singlet oxygen at low temperature (Methylene Blue or  $C_{60}$  sensitizer in CHCl<sub>3</sub>, Cermax 300-W xenon lamp, cut-off at 554 nm), the previously unknown rhodiumperoxo complex trans-Rh(CO)Cl(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (2) is obtained, easily



identified by the appearance of a new carbonyl peak at 2044 cm-1  $(\nu_{\rm CO}$  for  $1 = 1980 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) as well as a weak peroxo stretch at 901 cm-l (Table I). Upon warming of the peroxo complex **2** to room temperature, both the stretch at 2044 cm-I (Figure 1) and that at 901 cm-I (not shown) disappear at the same rate. Similar IR bands occur in the analogous iridium-peroxo complexes<sup>1-4</sup> (Table I). The change in carbonyl frequency between **1** and **2** is 64 cm-1, while that between trans-Ir(CO)Cl(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and the corresponding adduct is 50 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The large shift between  $\nu_{\rm CO}$  of 1 and 2 suggests that the peroxo complex is very electron poor. For derivatives

- **(2) Vaska,** L. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1976,** *9,* **175-183.**
- **(3) Vaska, L.** *Science* **1963,** *140,* **840-841.**
- **(4) Vaska,** L.; **Bath, S. S.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1966,** *88,* **1333-1335. (5) Vaska,** L. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1971,** *5,* **295-300.**
- **(6) Prolonged reflux of 1 in oxygen-saturated solution leads to loss of a PPh3**  ligand and formation of the tetramer  $Rh_4Cl_4(CO)_4(O_2)_2P_2$ : Cullen, W.<br>R.; James, B. R.; Strukul, G. *Inorg. Chem.* 1978, 17, 484–486.<br>(7) Selke, M.; Foote, C. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 1166–1167.
- 

**Table I.** Spectral Data for 2 and  $Ir(CO)Cl(PPh<sub>3</sub>)O<sub>2</sub>$ 

compd	$\nu_{\rm CO}$ , cm <sup>-1</sup>	$\nu_{\rm OO}$ , cm <sup>-1</sup>	$\delta$ <sup>(31</sup> P), ppm
$Ir(CO)Cl(PPh3)2O2$ $Rh(CO)Cl(PPh3)2O2$	2000 <sup>a</sup> 2043c	860ª 901c	$+5.2b$ $+39.6$ (d, $J(PRh) =$ $84 \text{ Hz}$ <sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Reference 4. <sup>b</sup> 304 K.<sup>9</sup> c In CHCl<sub>3</sub>, -42 °C. <sup>d</sup> CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 233 K, external P(OMe)<sub>3</sub> reference.



**Figure 1. Disappearance of 2** (2044 **cm-I)** and appearance of **1 at** 1980  $cm^{-1}$  at  $+6$  °C in CHCl<sub>3</sub>.

of Vaska's complex, the difference between  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  of the starting complex and the peroxo complex and the peroxo complex decreases with increasing basicity of the metal.<sup>8</sup>

Compound **2** has a doublet at 39.6 ppm (d, J(PRh) = 84 **Hz)**  in the 360-Mhz <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum at -40 °C; upon warming of the sample to room temperature, this doublet disappears as the

- **(8) Chock, P. B.; Halprn, J.** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1966,88, 3511-3514. (9) Vanderpool, R. A.; Abrahamson, H. B.** *Inorg. Chem.* **1985.24, 2985-**
- **2989.**

**<sup>(1)</sup> Valentine,** J. **S.** *Chem. Rev.* **1973, 73, 235-245 and references cited therein.** 



**Figure 2. Arrhenius plot** for **the decomposition of 2. Measurements of the decay rate were taken from 279 to 251 K in CHC13.** 

**Table 11. Kinetic Parameters (X108 M-I** s-I) **for trans-Ir(CO)Cl(PPh3) and 1 in CDCl3** 

	$k_{\rm R}$ + $k_{\rm O}$	κĸ	KΩ
trans-Ir(CO)Cl(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> <sup>a</sup>	$2.6 \pm 0.2$	$0.2 \pm 0.08$	$2.4 \pm 0.3$
trans- $Rh(CO)Cl(PPh3)2$	$3.1 \pm 0.2$	$1.2 \pm 0.5$	$1.9 \pm 0.7$
$4$ From ref 7.			

adduct loses oxygen and that of the starting material at 34.4 ppm (d, J(PRh) = 124 Hz) reappears. Spectral data for **2** and Ir-  $(CO)Cl(PPh_3)_2O_2$  are summarized in Table I.

Compound 2 has a half-life of less than 1 min in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at room temperature and rapidly re-forms starting material **1,** losing molecular oxygen. The half-life of **2** is approximately **7** min at  $0 °C$ , and it is indefinitely stable below  $-40 °C$  in CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The decomposition of 2 in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at various temperatures was monitored by disappearance of the carbonyl peak and the corresponding appearance of that of 1 (Figure 1).<sup>10</sup> In all cases, first-order kinetics were observed.

The natural logs of the rate constants  $(k_{dec})$  measured by changes in theinfrared spectra were plotted against the reciprocals of the corresponding temperatures. The resulting Arrhenius plot is shown in Figure 2;  $\Delta H^* = 23 \pm 2$  kcal/mol and  $\Delta S^* = 13$  eu for the decomposition of **2** at 0 "C.

As with the Ir(CO)Cl(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> complex, decomposition of 2 released oxygen only in the triplet state. When a sample of diphenylisobenzofuran (DPBF) is added to a 2-5-fold excess of **2** at 231 K, **no** change (51% at 450 nm) in the DPBF concentration is observed upon warming the mixture to room temperature. If as much as 1% of the oxygen had been in the singlet state, measurable loss of DPBF would have occurred.

The sum of the rates of physical  $(k_0)$  and chemical  $(k_R)$ quenching of singlet oxygen by 1 was determined by  ${}^{1}O_{2}$ luminescence quenching<sup>11</sup> to be  $(3.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^8$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> in CDC13. This value is approximately 20% larger than that for trans-Ir(CO)Cl(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> in CDCl<sub>3</sub> ((2.6  $\pm$  0.2)  $\times$  10<sup>8</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>).<sup>7</sup>

In order to obtain the rate constant of chemical quenching  $(k_{R})$ , the disappearance of 1 was measured at different concentrations in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at room temperature. Since the resulting **peroxide 2 rapidly re-forms 1** at room **temperature, measurements**  were done by rapidly firing 6-1 *5* laser shots at the solution within 2 or 3 **s** and measuring the decrease in observed decay rate of singlet oxygen caused by loss of **1.** The concentration of **1** after irradiation is given by eq 1 (where  $k_{obs}$  is the rate constant of singlet oxygen luminescence decay and  $k_d$  is the rate constant of singlet oxygen decay in the solvent and the superscripts 0 and f refer to initial and final, respectively).

$$
\frac{[\text{Rh(CO)Cl(PPh3)2]}^{f}}{[\text{Rh(CO)Cl(PPh3)2]}^{f}} = \frac{k_{obs}^f - k_d}{k_{obs}^0 - k_d}
$$
 (1)

The relationship between the reciprocal of the rate of disappearance of 1 and  $[1]^{-1}$  is expressed in eq 2, where K is the rate of singlet oxygen formation. $7,12$ 

$$
\frac{[\text{Rh(CO)Cl(PPh3)2]}^{[F]} = \frac{k_{obs}^f - k_d}{k_{obs}^o - k_d}
$$
 (1)  
\nThe relationship between the reciprocal of the rate of disappearance of 1 and [1]<sup>-1</sup> is expressed in eq 2, where *K* is the rate of single oxygen formation.<sup>7,12</sup>  
\n
$$
\Delta[\text{Rh(CO)Cl(PPh3)2]}^{-1} = (K\Delta t)^{-1} \left(\frac{k_R + k_Q}{k_R} + \frac{k_d}{k_R} [\text{Rh(CO)Cl(PPh3)2]}^{-1}\right)
$$
 (2)

A plot of  $\Delta[\text{Rh(CO)Cl(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-1</sup>$  vs  $[\text{Rh(CO)Cl(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-1</sup>$ yields a straight line with an intercept of  $(K\Delta t)^{-1}(k_R + k_Q)/k_R$ (data not shown).<sup>7,12</sup> The value of  $(K\Delta t)^{-1}$  is determined by a similar measurement **on** tetramethylethylene, which quenches singlet oxygen only by a chemical mechanism. The value of  $k_R$ is then obtained by substituting the value of  $(k_R + k_0)$ ;  $k_R$  for 1 is  $(1.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^8$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. The value for physical quenching alone is the difference,  $(1.9 \pm 0.7) \times 10^8$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. This value is identical within limits of error to that for the physical quenching by trans-Ir(CO)Cl(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.<sup>7</sup>

Corey and Khan<sup>13,14</sup> and Detty<sup>15</sup> have recently suggested that quenching of singlet oxygen by organometallic complexes can be caused by heavy-atom-promoted spin-orbit coupling which enhances intersystem crossing. **Our** results are not in agreement with this suggestion. Iridium has a much higher atomic number than rhodium, yet the physical quenching rate of trans-Rh-  $(CO)Cl(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  is about the same as that of *trans*-Ir $(CO)Cl(P Ph_3)_2$ . Kinetic parameters for 1 and for *trans*-Ir(CO)Cl(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> are summarized in Table 11.

The enormous increase in the rate constant for reaction of singlet oxygen with both the iridium and rhodium complexes compared to that with triplet oxygen could be due to removal of the spin barrier. However, since both oxygen adducts release oxygen only in the triplet state, spin conservation does not seem to be a problem in these high-Z complexes. It is more likely that the high reactivity comes from the 22.4-kcal increase in energy of singlet oxygen, which is sufficient to decrease or even exceed the activation energy of the reaction with ground-state oxygen. This is the case with Vaska's complex, where  $\Delta H^*$  is only 13.1 kcal/mol for reaction with triplet oxygen.8 Most compounds react with singlet oxygen with very low enthalpies of activation; the reaction rate constant is usually decreased about 2 powers of 10 by an unfavorable entropy of activation. If this is also the case with the rhodium and iridium complexes, the reaction rate constants for these complexes (which are both **2** orders of magnitude below the diffusion rate constant) are limited only by the entropy of activation.

These results suggest that novel energetic and transient metaloxygen complexes which may have interesting reactivities or may mimic biologically important enzyme intermediates can be readily prepared by reacting singlet oxygen with organometallic compounds at low temperature. Further experiments in this direction are **in** progress.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by **NSF** Grant No. CH089-14366. We thank Prof. 0. L. Chapman for use of the low-temperature IR.

- **(15) Detty, M. R. Organometallics 1992,** *11,* **2310-2312.**
- 

**A CHCI, solution of 1 and the sensitizer (Methylene Blue** or **C,) in a small volumetric flask was cooled to -42 'C (dry ice/CH,CN). The cooled solution was irradiated in a transparent Dewar flask while a slow stream of oxygen was bubbled through. Excitation of 1 was prevented**  with a long-pass glass filter with a cut-off at 554 nm. After 20–30 min,<br>an aliquot was drawn into a precooled syringe and transferred to the<br>precooled IR solution cell, an RIIC VLT-2 variable-temperature cell<br>with KBr win **temperature were achieved using slush baths of different solvents and**  either dry ice or liquid  $N_2$ . Solutions were kept in the cell for  $10-15$  min for temperature equilibration. IR spectra were then obtained using a Nicolet 60SX FTIR spectrometer using 16 scans at 2- or 4-cm<sup>-1</sup> resolution.

**Ogilby, P. R.; Foote, C. S.** *J.* **Am. Chem. SOC. 1983, 105, 3423-3430.** 

**<sup>(12)</sup> Foote, C. S.; Ching, T. J.** *J. Am.* **Chem. Soc. 1975,97,6209-6214.** 

<sup>(13)</sup> Corey, E. J.; Mehrotra, M. M.; Kahn, A. U. *Science* **1987**, 236, 68-69. **(14) Corey, E. J.; Kahn, A. U. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990,** *31,* **1389-1392.**