


Additions and Corrections

1994, Volume 33

James P. White III, Haibin Deng, Edwin P. Boyd, Judith Gallucci, and Sheldon G. Shore*: Coordination Geometries of Solvated Lanthanide(II) Ions: Molecular Structures of the Cationic Species $[(\text{DIME})_3\text{Ln}]^{2+}$ (DIME = Diethylene Glycol Dimethyl Ether; $\text{Ln}^{2+} = \text{Sm}, \text{Yb}$), $[(\text{DIME})_2\text{Yb}(\text{CH}_3\text{CN})_2]^{2+}$, $[(\text{DIME})\text{Yb}(\text{CH}_3\text{CN})_5]^{2+}$, and $[(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5\text{N})_5\text{Yb}(\text{CH}_3\text{CN})_2]^{2+}$.

Page 1691. Figure 5 in the original paper was incorrect. A revised version is printed below:



Robert H. Morris* and Marcel Schlaf: π -Bonding of the Dihydrogen Ligand Probed by Mössbauer Spectroscopy.

Page 1725. The following article, where the Mössbauer spectra of the dihydrogen complexes $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2)\text{H}(\text{depe})_2]\text{BPh}_4$ and $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2)\text{H}(\text{dmpe})_2]\text{BPh}_4$ at 77 K were reported, should have been cited: Evans, D. J.; Jimenez-Tenorio, M.; Leigh, G. J. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1991**, 1785–1787. It is interesting to note that the quadrupole coupling constant for the depe complex may be quite temperature dependent because these authors report a constant of -0.23 mm s^{-1} (sample at 77 K) while we report a constant of 0.0 mm s^{-1} at 293 K. The Mössbauer parameters for $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2)\text{H}(\text{depe})_2]\text{BPh}_4$ at 77 K are similar to those of the dinitrogen complex $[\text{Fe}(\text{N}_2)\text{H}(\text{depe})_2]\text{BPh}_4$ at 77 K while the quadrupole couplings for the samples at 293 K are different.

Page 1726. We found by measuring the isomer shift of $[\text{Fe}(\text{N}_2)\text{H}(\text{depe})_2]\text{BPh}_4$ (IS = 0.05, QS = -0.27) that our isomer shift scale must differ by 0.11 mm s^{-1} from that used by Bancroft et al., ref 20. Evans et al. (see above) also found that their scale was shifted by 0.08 mm s^{-1} from that of Bancroft et al. Therefore, in Table 1, the isomer shift (IS) of $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2)\text{H}(\text{depe})_2]\text{BPh}_4$ at 293 K should be 0.08 mm s^{-1} , not -0.03 mm s^{-1} , to be consistent with the data of Bancroft et al. This moves the point for H_2 in Figure 1 up a little but does not change the conclusions of the paper. However our value of -0.03 mm s^{-1} is probably correctly referenced to stainless steel.