Cluster Chemistry on Surfaces: Characterization and Catalytic Studies of Phosphine-Stabilized Platinum–Gold Clusters on Silica and Alumina Supports

Irina V. G. Graf,[†] Jeffrey W. Bacon,[†] Mark B. Consugar,[†] Michael E. Curley,[†] Larry N. Ito,[‡] and Louis H. Pignolet^{*,†}

Department of Chemistry, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, and Central Research–Catalysis Laboratory, Dow Chemical Company, Midland, Michigan 48674

Received April 6, 1995[⊗]

Cationic, phosphine-ligated Pt–Au cluster compounds, $[Pt(AuPPh_3)_8](NO_3)_2$ (1) and $[(PPh_3)Pt(AuPPh_3)_6](NO_3)_2$ (2), have been immobilized on silica and alumina supports. Characterization of the supported clusters by ³¹P MAS NMR, IR, and UV–visible spectroscopy and study by chemisorption and temperature-programmed desorption of CO show that the clusters are immobilized intact without measurable fragmentation or irreversible transformation. This is confirmed by quantitative desorption of the clusters. The reactivity of the supported clusters with CO and H₂ was found to be similar to the known reactivities in solution and molecular solid phases. The cluster [(PPh_3)-Pt(H)(AuPPh_3)_7](NO_3)_2 (3) was also immobilized on silica and found to be intact by MAS ³¹P NMR and desorption experiments. The turnover rate (TOR) for H₂–D₂ equilibration was determined for these supported clusters at room temperature. The clusters **1** and **2** were good catalysts on silica and alumina supports after treatment at 135 °C under vacuum (TOR = 10–20 s⁻¹), but showed significant activation by treatment at 110 °C under H₂ (TOR = 85–220 s⁻¹). Cluster **3**/SiO₂ gave a similar rate (TOR = 170 s⁻¹) with no thermal activation. All samples subjected to the thermolysis conditions given above were found to be intact by ³¹P MAS NMR and could be quantitatively desorbed. The high activities of the supported Pt–Au clusters are believed to result from support-promoted, partial PPh₃ dissociation. Desorption experiments show that this dissociation is reversible.

Introduction

Supported molecular metal complexes and organometallic compounds have been extensively studied as novel catalysts and metal particle catalyst precursors.¹ For such systems it is of interest to determine the effect of the support on structure and reactivity of the metal complex. The field of surface organometallic chemistry deals with this topic and has recently received significant attention.¹⁻⁴ Studies in this field have primarily used transition metal carbonyl cluster compounds deposited on a variety of metal oxide supports.¹ This area of research is important because oxide-supported metals and some metallic complexes (especially of the bimetallic variety) catalyze many significant reactions.¹⁻⁸ Although supported molecular com-

- [®] Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, January 1, 1996.
- (1) For an overview, see: (a) Gates, B. C. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 511. (b) Ichikawa, M. Adv. Catal. 1994, 38, 283. (c) Scott, S. L.; Basset, J.-M. J. Mol. Catal. 1994, 86, 5. (d) Yermakov, Y. I.; Kuznetsov, B. N.; Zakharov, V. A. Catalysis by Supported Complexes; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1981. (e) Marks, T. J. Acc. Chem. Res. 1992, 25, 57. (f) Bond, G. C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1991, 20, 441. (g) Tailored Metal Catalysts; Iwasawa, Y. I., Ed.; D. Reidel Publishing Co.: Boston, 1986. (h) Braunstein, P.; Rose, J. In Heterometallic Clusters in Catalysis: Stereochemistry of Organometallic and Inorganic Compounds; Bernal, I., Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1988; Vol. 3, Chapter 1, p 3. (i) Sussfink, G.; Meister, G. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1993, 35, 41. (j) Studies in Surface Science and Catalysis; Gates, B. C., Guczi, L., Knozinger, H., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1986; Vol. 29 and references cited therein. (k) Basset, J.-M.; Gates, B. C.; Candy, J. P.; Choplin, A.; Leconte, M.; Quignard, F.; Santini, C. C. Surface Organometallic Chemistry: Molecular Approaches to Surface Catalysis; Kluwer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1988. (1) Hartley, F. R. Supported Metal Complexes; Reidel: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1985. (m) Zecchina, A.; Areán, C. O. Catal. Rev. Sci. Eng. 1993, 35, 261. (n) Hsu, L.-Y.; Shore, S. G.; D'Ornelas, L.; Choplin, A.; Basset, J.-M. J. Mol. Catal. 1994, 149, 159.
- (2) Scott, S. L.; Dufour, P.; Santini, C. C.; Basset, J.-M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 2011.
- (3) Soucek, M. D.; Chiou, H.-S.; Kyba, E. P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1993, 456, 255.

pounds are not likely to be practical catalysts themselves due to their instability, the study of their surface chemistry can provide useful insight into cluster reactivity and the mechanism of thermal activation. A long-range goal of our research in this area is to evaluate the use of cationic, phosphine-ligated Pt– Au cluster compounds as precursors to uniform, highly dispersed, supported Pt–Au particle catalysts. There has been significant interest in Pt–Au catalysts but in no case has a preformed bimetallic cluster been used in catalyst preparation.⁷ In this paper we describe the preparation, characterization, and reactivity of the Pt–Au cluster compounds, [Pt(AuPPh_3)_8](NO_3)_2 (1), [(PPh_3)Pt(AuPPh_3)_6](NO_3)_2 (2), and [(PPh_3)Pt(H)(AuPPh_3)_7]-(NO_3)_2 (3), immobilized on silica and alumina supports. There have been very few studies of this type with cationic, phosphine-

- (5) Meyer, T. Y.; Woerpel, K. A.; Novak, B. M.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 10290.
- (6) Clark, J. H. Catalysis of Organic Reactions by Supported Inorganic Reagents; VCH Publishers, Inc.: New York, 1994.
- (7) Some Pt-Au particle catalysts: (a) Schwank, J.; Balakrishnan, K.; Sachdev, A. In New Frontiers in Catalysis; Guczi, L., Solymosi, F., Tetenyi, P., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1993; p 905. (b) Rouabah, D.; Fraissard, J. J. Catal. 1993, 144, 30. (c) Balakrishnan, K.; Schwank, J. J. Catal. 1991, 132, 451. (d) Sachdev, A.; Schwank, J. J. Catal. 1989, 120, 353. (e) Sermon, P. A.; Thomas, J. M.; Keryou, K.; Millward, G. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1987, 26, 918. (f) Balakrishnan, K.; Sachdev, A.; Schwank, J. J. Catal. (g) Yates, R. C.; Somorjai, G. A.; J. Catal. 1987, 103, 208. (h) Sinfelt, J. H. Bimetallic Catalysts; Wiley: New York, 1985. (i) Clarke, J. K. A.; Creaner, A. C. M.; Baird, T. Appl. Catal. 1978, 54, 230. (k) van Schaik, J. R. H.; Dessing, R. P.; Ponec, V. J. Catal. 1975, 38, 273.
- (8) Some supported Au particle catalysts: (a) Sakurai, H.; Tsubota, S.; Haruta, M. Appl. Catal. A 1993, 102, 125. (b) Haruta, M.; Tsubota, S.; Kobayashi, T.; Kageyama, H.; Genet, M. J.; Delmon, B. J. Catal. 1993, 144, 175. (c) Tanielyan, S. K.; Augustine, R. L. Appl. Catal. A 1992, 85, 73.

0020-1669/96/1335-0689\$12.00/0 © 1996 American Chemical Society

[†] University of Minnesota.

[‡] Dow Chemical Co.

^{(4) (}a) Dufour, P.; Scott, S. L.; Santini, C. C.; Lefebvre, F.; Basset, J-M. Inorg. Chem. 1994, 33, 2509. (b) Nedez, C.; Choplin, A.; Basset, J.-M. Inorg. Chem. 1994, 33, 1094. (c) Eisen, M. S.; Marks, T. J. J. Mol. Catal. 1994, 86, 23. (d) Schwartz, J. Acc. Chem. Res. 1985, 18, 302.

ligated compounds,² and we are unaware of any with this class of cluster compounds.

These clusters are very well characterized in solid (singlecrystal X-ray diffraction) and solution (NMR, IR, UV-visible spectroscopy) phases. $^{9-17}$ The solid-state structures of the metal cores of 1-3 are shown in Figure 1. The reaction chemistry of 16-electron clusters 1 and 2 with H_2 and CO has been thoroughly investigated.^{13,15,18} Both react with one molecule of CO (1 atm) quantitatively in solution and solid phases to give the 18-electron adducts [(CO)Pt(AuPPh₃)₈](NO₃)₂ (1(CO)) and [(PPh₃)(CO)Pt(AuPPh₃)₆](NO₃)₂ (2(CO)), respectively. Cluster 1 reversibly adds one molecule of H_2 (1 atm) in solution and solid phases to give the dihydride $[Pt(H)_2(AuPPh_3)_8](NO_3)_2$ $(1(H)_2)$ ¹⁵ The hydride ligands in $1(H)_2$ are believed to be bridging between Pt and Au atoms. The 18-electron cluster 3 is unreactive with H₂ and slowly decomposes under a CO atmosphere.¹⁹ All are good catalysts for H₂-D₂ equilibration in homogeneous (solution) and heterogeneous (molecular solid) phases.^{14–17} The turnover rates (TOR's) for HD production (30 °C, 1 atm) for 1, 2, and 3 in nitrobenzene solution are 4.5, 1.3, and 4.6 min⁻¹, respectively.¹⁶ The mechanism for the homogeneous, catalytic H2-D2 equilibration was studied by spectroscopic and kinetic measurements.^{16,19} For cluster 1, the mechanism involves the following steps:

$$\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{H}_2 \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{1}(\mathbf{H})_2 \tag{1}$$

$$\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{H})_2 \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{1}(\mathbf{H})_2^* + \mathrm{PPh}_3 \tag{2}$$

$$\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{H})_2^* + \mathbf{D}_2 \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{1}(\mathbf{H})_2(\mathbf{D})_2^* \tag{3}$$

where an asterisk indicates a cluster with one less PPh₃ ligand. Step 1 was directly observed by NMR spectroscopy for 1,^{15,16} while steps 2 and 3 were implicated by rate measurements as a function of [H₂], [D₂], [cluster], and added [PPh₃].^{16,19} Step 3, the formation of a tetra(hydrido,deuterido) species and its reverse species lead to HD production. Cluster 2 is believed to have the same mechanism.^{16,19} The mechanism with the monohydrido cluster 3 also involves PPh3 dissociation followed by the addition of D₂ to give a tri(hydrido,deuterido) species or activated complex.¹⁹ The PPh₃ dissociation step is plausible as it produces a cluster with a bare Au atom that can bind an incoming D₂ substrate (step 3) without the formation of a 20electron intermediate. The rate of heterogeneous H2-D2 equilibration with microcrystalline samples of 1-3 was faster than that in homogeneous solution (TOR = $2-5 \text{ s}^{-1}$, 1 atm, 30 °C), but had a marked dependence on surface area.¹⁶ The fast catalysis by molecular solid clusters intrigued us and provided

- (11) Steggerda, J. J. Comments Inorg. Chem. 1991, 11, 113.
- (12) Kanters, R. P. F.; Bour, J. J.; Schlebos, P. P. S.; Behm, H.; Steggerda,
 J. J.; Ito, L. N.; Pignolet, L. H. *Inorg. Chem.* **1989**, 28, 2591.
- (13) Ito, L. N.; Sweet, J. D.; Mueting, A. M.; Pignolet, L. H.; Schoondergang, M. F. J.; Steggerda, J. J. *Inorg. Chem.* **1989**, *28*, 3696.
- (14) Aubart, M. A.; Pignolet, L. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 7901.
- (15) Kappen, T. G. M. M.; Bour, J. J.; Schlebos, P. P. J.; Roelofsen, A. M.; van der Linden, J. G. M.; Steggerda, J. J.; Aubart, M. A.; Krogstad, D. A.; Schoondergang, M. F. J.; Pignolet, L. H. *Inorg. Chem.* **1993**, 32, 1074.
- (16) Aubart, M. A.; Chandler, B. A.; Gould, R. A. T.; Krogstad, D. A.; Schoondergang, M. F. J.; Pignolet, L. H. *Inorg. Chem.* **1994**, *33*, 3724.
- (17) Aubart, M. A.; Koch, J. F. D.; Pignolet, L. H. Inorg. Chem. 1994, 33, 3852.
- (18) (a) Bour, J. J.; Kanters, R. P. F.; Schlebos, P. P. J.; Steggerda, J. J. *Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas* **1988**, *107*, 211. (b) Kanters, R. P. R.; Schlebos, P. P. J.; Bour, J. J.; Bosman, W. P.; Behm, H. J.; Steggerda, J. J. *Inorg. Chem.* **1988**, *27*, 4034.
- (19) Rubinstein, L. I.; Pignolet, L. H., to be submitted for publication.



Figure 1. Solid state structures of the $Pt(AuP)_x$ cores of the clusters $[Pt(AuPPh_3)_8](NO_3)_2$ (1), $[(PPh_3)Pt(AuPPh_3)_6](NO_3)_2$ (2), and $[(PPh_3)-Pt(H)(AuPPh_3)_7](NO_3)_2$ (3). The position of the hydride ligand in **3** was inferred from spectroscopic data, distortions in the metal core, and potential energy calculations (ref 12).

the initial motivation to investigate the chemistry of these clusters immobilized on surfaces, where rate effects from gas diffusion can be better controlled. It was also of interest to determine the effect of rigid supports on cluster structure and reactivity. The fact that these clusters are very well characterized, are air and moisture stable, and carry out simple catalytic probe reactions (H_2 – D_2 equilibration and ethylene hydrogenation) makes them excellent candidates for this study.

Results and Discussion

Preparation and Characterization. Clusters 1-3 were quantitatively adsorbed on silica and alumina (see Experimental Section for information on supports and support pretreatment) by the slow addition of a dilute CH2Cl2 solution of the cluster to a suspension of the support in CH₂Cl₂ with constant swirling. The yellow-colored solvent became clear within several minutes and was decanted off. The adsorption was found to be quantitative by UV-visible spectroscopy of the decanted solution, and typical cluster loadings are 1% by mass (0.05% Pt), although loadings as high as 10% were used in solid-state NMR experiments. The resulting yellow solid was dried under vacuum at room temperature (RT) for 1 h, except for some of the solid-state NMR experiments where air drying for 10 min was used. All samples of 1 and 2 supported on SiO₂ and Al₂O₃ for chemisorption and rate studies were then heated at 135 °C in a quartz reactor under high vacuum until pressures of ca. 5 $\times 10^{-8}$ Torr were achieved (1.5–2 h). Samples of 3/SiO₂ were evacuated at RT until the same pressure was achieved (3-4 h). Heating samples of 3/SiO₂ resulted in irreversible cluster decomposition so heating above RT was avoided (see below). The clusters, treated as described above, could be desorbed from alumina by washing with CH₃OH solvent. Complete desorption from silica required washing with CH₃OH saturated with KPF₆, suggesting that ion pairing is important. The desorption of the cationic clusters was quantitative as determined by UV-visible spectroscopy. In addition, high-resolution ³¹P NMR of the desorbed species showed only the presence of the original cluster cations, indicating that no measurable fragmentation or irreversible transformation of the immobilized clusters occurred.

The supported clusters were investigated by ³¹P MAS NMR, IR, and UV-visible spectroscopy, chemisorption of CO and H₂, temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) of CO, and kinetic measurements of H₂-D₂ equilibration. The rate of ethylene hydrogenation was also determined for **1** supported on SiO₂ and Al₂O₃. The ³¹P MAS NMR (40.53 MHz) spectra

⁽⁹⁾ Pignolet, L. H.; Aubart, M. A.; Craighead, K. L.; Gould, R. A. T.; Krogstad, D. A.; Wiley, J. S. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1995, 143, 219.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Mueting, A. M.; Bos, W.; Alexander, B. D.; Boyle, P. D.; Casalnuovo, J. A.; Balaban, S.; Ito, L. N.; Johnson, S. M.; Pignolet, L. H. New J. Chem. **1988**, 12, 505.



Figure 2. Proton-decoupled ³¹P NMR spectra of the clusters $[Pt(AuPPh_3)_8](NO_3)_2$ (1), $[(PPh_3)Pt(AuPPh_3)_6](NO_3)_2$ (2), and $[(PPh_3)Pt(H)-(AuPPh_3)_7](NO_3)_2$ (3): CH₂Cl₂ solution spectrum (202.35 MHz) and solid-state spectra of the clusters adsorbed on SiO₂ (air- and vacuum-dried) with magic angle spinning (40.53 MHz). The air-dried samples were exposed to the open atmosphere for not more than 10 min after removal of the CH₂Cl₂ solvent. The vacuum-dried samples were pumped on for 1 h.

of 1, 2, and 3 supported on SiO₂ (air-dried and vacuum-dried) are shown in Figure 2, along with their high-resolution CH₂Cl₂ solution spectra (121.4 MHz). Samples dried in air for about 10 min after removal of the CH₂Cl₂ solvent gave good resolution, enabling accurate determination of the chemical shifts (δ) and coupling constants. Vacuum drying resulted in significant line broadening; however, the chemical shifts did not change. Exposure of the vacuum-dried samples to CH₂Cl₂ and air drying for 10 min gave back the original spectra of the airdried samples, showing that this change is reversible. The airdried samples apparently retain enough solvent to give the clusters some molecular motion or mobility. This phenomenon has been observed previously for supported phosphine-ligated complexes.²⁰ The supported clusters could not be desorbed by washing with CH₂Cl₂ solution so they are indeed adsorbed on the supports, albeit with weak ion-pairing interactions. The solid state spectra of the air-dried samples gave δ , J_{P-Pt} , and J_{P-P} values that are within experimental error of values from solution spectra (Figure 2). Analogous results were obtained for the clusters 1 and 2 supported on Al₂O₃.²¹ Samples of 1 and 2 supported on SiO₂ and Al₂O₃ that were heated at 135 °C under high vacuum and used in H₂-D₂ equilibration catalysis experiments at RT (see below) gave ³¹P MAS NMR spectra that were identical to those described above. ³¹P MAS NMR of samples of 3/SiO₂ heated above ca. 50 °C showed the formation of some $1/SiO_2$ and $2/SiO_2$. Desorption of a heated sample of $3/SiO_2$ showed a mixture of 1 and 2 as determined by ³¹P NMR analysis of the resulting solution. Samples of 3/Al₂O₃ began decomposing even at RT, indicating that **3** is unstable on both supports.

The UV-visible spectra of the SiO₂-supported clusters were recorded as CH_2Cl_2 gel/suspensions and compared with their solution spectra. A comparison of the two spectra for **1** is shown in Figure 3. The close similarity of these spectra provides additional evidence that the supported clusters are intact without major changes in structure. Similar results were obtained for clusters $2/SiO_2$ and $3/SiO_2$. UV-visible diffuse reflectance



Figure 3. UV-visible absorption spectra of cluster 1 in CH_2Cl_2 solution and adsorbed on silica and of $1/SiO_2$ as a suspension in CH_2 - Cl_2 solvent (absorbance is arbitrary and adjusted to be on scale with the solution spectrum).

spectra of the supported clusters also showed a close resemblance to the spectra recorded in solution.

The CO adducts of 1 and 2 have characteristic $\nu(CO)$ stretching vibrations in the IR, and this can serve as a probe for changes in the cluster upon adsorption. The ν (CO) values for the supported CO adducts 1(CO) and 2(CO) are virtually identical to values obtained with solid samples of the molecular clusters. For example, FT-IR spectra of pressed wafers of 1-(CO)/Al₂O₃ and 1(CO)/SiO₂ gave $\nu(CO)$ values of 1941 and 1945 cm⁻¹, respectively, compared with 1943 cm⁻¹ for a KBr wafer of the molecular cluster.¹⁸ The supported clusters 1/SiO₂ and 1/Al₂O₃ also reacted cleanly with 1 atm of CO to form the supported CO adducts. This surface transformation was monitored by UV-visible and ³¹P MAS NMR spectroscopy. Figure 4 shows the UV-visible spectral changes that occur after the addition of CO (1 atm) to 1 in CH₂Cl₂ solution and 1 supported on SiO₂. The changes are irreversible at RT. The results clearly show that the CO adduct forms on the support. ³¹P MAS NMR spectra also showed that this transformation on the solid supports was clean. Similar results were obtained for cluster 2.

The nature of the supported clusters was further probed by CO chemisorption (at RT) and TPD experiments. Cluster **1**

⁽²⁰⁾ Lindner, E.; Kemmler, M.; Schneller, T.; Mayer, H. A. *Inorg. Chem.* **1995**, *34*, in press.

⁽²¹⁾ $2/Al_2O_3$: $\delta \dot{49}, J_{P-Pt} = 4.1 \times 10^1$ and $\delta 60, J_{P-Pt} = 3.7 \times 10^3$. $2/CH_2-Cl_2$: $\delta 50, J_{P-Pt} = 413$ and $\delta 62.3, J_{P-Pt} = 3766$.



Figure 4. UV-visible absorption spectra of cluster 1 in CH_2Cl_2 solution and in CH_2Cl_2 solution after addition of CO, and $1/SiO_2$ after addition of CO.

was studied on Al₂O₃ and SiO₂ supports, and in both cases quantitative (1 CO per cluster) uptake of CO was observed. TPD of $1(CO)/Al_2O_3$ and $1(CO)/SiO_2$ gave quantitative CO desorption with peak maxima at about 70 °C. Chemisorption of CO for cluster 2 was only studied on SiO₂, and again quantitative CO uptake was observed. With $2(CO)/SiO_2$ the CO could be more easily removed by pumping, even at RT. This is consistent with observations in solution where CO is more labile for 2(CO) than for 1(CO).^{13,16,18} Chemisorption experiments were also carried out with H₂ for 1/Al₂O₃ and $1/SiO_2$ with results that are very similar to those obtained for the molecular cluster 1. In these experiments ca. 0.8 mol of H_2 was reversibly adsorbed per mol of supported 1 at 760 Torr and RT, in agreement with the known reaction [Pt(AuPPh₃)₈]- $(NO_3)_2 + H_2 = [Pt(H)_2(AuPPh_3)_8](NO_3)_2$.^{15,16} The bound H₂ was rapidly removed by a He purge at RT. The reversible binding of H₂ to 1/SiO₂ was also monitored by UV-visible spectroscopy. The spectral changes observed for a CH₂Cl₂ gel/ suspension of 1/SiO₂ upon treatment with 1 atm of H₂ were very similar to those carried out with a homogeneous CH₂Cl₂ solution of 1.

Catalysis Studies. TOR's for H₂-D₂ equilibration were determined for the supported clusters with use of a flow reactor (see Experimental Section). The results are reported in Table 1. The rates are independent of support particle size (40-60)to 80-100 mesh), indicating that they are not limited by gas diffusion.²² There was no induction period, and the rates remained constant for at least a day under H₂ at RT. Samples stored for up to several weeks also gave the same rates. The blank supports similarly pretreated or after cluster desorption were inactive for H_2-D_2 equilibration. The rates for 1 and 2 did not depend significantly on the support but were reproducible only after pretreatment at 135 °C under high vacuum. These clusters remained intact after this pretreatment and after H₂- D_2 equilibration catalysis (see above). We assume this thermal treatment was necessary to remove residual solvent and water. Samples of supported 1 and 2 evacuated at RT and not heated to 135 °C were less active by at least 50% and gave poor reproducibility. Cluster 3 was much less stable on the supports, and its rate is only reported for high-vacuum pretreatment at RT on SiO₂, where it remained intact. This cluster was significantly more active (TOR = 170 s^{-1}) than supported 1 and 2. Pretreatment of 3/SiO₂ at 135 °C resulted in a decrease in TOR to ca. 12 ± 1 s⁻¹, in agreement with its decomposition into a mixture of 1 and 2 (see above). This decomposition and

Table 1. Rate Data for Catalytic H_2 - D_2 Equilibration under Heterogeneous Conditions

compound	turnover rate (s ⁻¹) for HD production ^a
[Pt(AuPPh ₃) ₈](NO ₃) ₂ (1)/Al ₂ O ₃	12 ± 1
$[Pt(AuPPh_3)_8](NO_3)_2(1)/SiO_2$	11 ± 1
$[(PPh_3)Pt(AuPPh_3)_6](NO_3)_2 (2)/Al_2O_3$	12 ± 2
[(PPh ₃)Pt(AuPPh ₃) ₆](NO ₃) ₂ (2)/SiO ₂	22 ± 2
[(PPh ₃)Pt(H)(AuPPh ₃) ₇](NO ₃) ₂ (3)/SiO ₂	170 ± 30^{b}
$[Au(AuPPh_3)_8](NO_3)_3/SiO_2$	0 ± 0.05^{c}
Pt/SiO_2^d	100 ± 20
blank SiO ₂ support ^e	0

^{*a*} Turnover rates were determined at RT with partial pressures $P(H_2) = P(D_2) = 370$ Torr. Values for all supported clusters are given as (mol of HD)/(mol of cluster)(s) and for Pt/SiO₂ as (mol of HD)/(mol of active Pt)(s). The number of moles of active Pt was determined by irreversible CO chemisorption. The experimental error in turnover rate was estimated be repeating the experiment several times with freshly prepared samples and reported as the full range of measured values. ^{*b*} Pretreatment at RT. This cluster remained intact after H_2 - D_2 equilibration catalysis at RT, but was irreversibly transformed into a mixture of **1** and **2** on the support by heating to 135 °C under vacuum (see text). ^{*c*} Pretreated at RT. ^{*d*} Pt metal was supported on the same silica by a standard procedure (0.1 wt % loading) as described in the Experimental Section. The sample was reduced at 400 °C under a H₂ flow just prior to catalysis. ^{*e*} Pretreatment of blank support was identical to that for the supported clusters.



Figure 5. The effect of incremental additions of CO on the relative activity for H_2-D_2 equilibration with $1/SiO_2$ as catalyst. The relative activity is given as the ratio of the observed turnover rate (TOR) to the TOR without CO present.

loss of activity also occurred for $3/Al_2O_3$ at RT. The gold cluster [Au(AuPPh₃)₈](NO₃)₃ was supported on SiO₂ as a control and pretreated at RT under high vacuum. This cluster remained intact under these conditions (³¹P MAS NMR and desorption) and was inactive for H₂-D₂ equilibration. A Pt/SiO₂ metal particle catalyst was prepared and was less active than $3/SiO_2$ and activated samples of $1/SiO_2$ (see below), showing the unexpected high activity of these supported, intact, molecular Pt-Au clusters.

The addition of a molar excess of CO to samples of $1/Al_2O_3$, $1/SiO_2$, or $2/SiO_2$, followed by a He purge to remove the nonadsorbed CO, resulted in the complete poisoning of H_2-D_2 equilibration catalysis. The catalytic activity was completely restored by high-vacuum treatment at 135 °C for 1 h. Desorption experiments showed only the presence of the original cluster. In the solution phase, freshly prepared 1(CO) is inactive for H_2-D_2 equilibration, although some activity is observed as the CO slowly dissociates with time.¹⁶ The adsorption of 0.1 equiv of CO/cluster molecule by $1/SiO_2$ resulted in a decrease in turnover rate of ca. 45%. Continued incremental additions of CO slowed the rate more gradually up to 1 equiv where the catalyst was inactive (Figure 5). This result is important and suggests that some of the supported clusters are more active than others. It also demonstrates that the catalysis is not caused

⁽²²⁾ Satterfield, C. N. *Mass Transfer in Heterogeneous Catalysis*; MIT Press: Cambridge, MA, 1970.

by an irreversibly formed, highly-active, cluster fragmentation product too small for detection by analytical methods. These conclusions assume that the CO reacts more rapidly with the more active sites.

Clusters 1-3 also catalyze the hydrogenation of ethylene to ethane in solution and solid phases, but the rate is much slower than that for H_2-D_2 equilibration.¹⁵ For example, in CH₃OH solution the TOR for 1 was ca. 5 \times 10⁻⁵ s⁻¹ (20 °C, P(C₂H₄) = $P(H_2)$ = 380 Torr). The rate for 1 supported on Al_2O_3 or SiO₂ under the same conditions of temperature and pressure was ca. 2×10^{-3} s⁻¹. This rate can be compared to 1 s⁻¹ determined under the same conditions for Pt/SiO₂ (corrected for the number of mol of active Pt). The number of moles of active Pt was determined by irreversible CO chemisorption. The lower activity for the intact molecular cluster 1 compared to that of small Pt particles is most likely due to the steric hindrance by the PPh₃ ligands bound to the Au atoms. A space-filling drawing of 1 showing the view with the largest access channel into the metal core is illustrated in the summary of this article on the Table of Contents page. H_2 and D_2 are small enough to enter this channel without difficulty, but the intact cluster may hinder access of the larger ethylene molecule.

Thermal Activation. Our long-range goal is to explore the use of preformed Pt-Au clusters as precursors to uniform, highly-dispersed Pt-Au particle catalysts on supports. We therefore have begun studying the thermal activation of 1-3on oxide supports. The results of some preliminary experiments are given here. Cluster **3** on SiO_2 and Al_2O_3 is unstable to any heating and decomposes to give a mixture of 1 and 2 upon desorption. A thermolysis experiment with 1/SiO₂ showed that the cluster remained intact (³¹P MAS NMR) with heating under vacuum up to at least 180 °C. The TOR for the H_2-D_2 equilibration probe reaction was also determined for 1/SiO₂ preheated for 1 h at various temperatures (temperature ramp ca. 10 °C/min under vacuum) and cooled to RT. This reactivity profile showed that the TOR remained constant at $11 \pm 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ from 135 to 180 °C, decreased significantly to ca. 2 s^{-1} from 180 to 220 °C, and increased to values above 12 s^{-1} at 300 °C. This result indicates that the initial thermal decomposition of $1/SiO_2$ under vacuum leads to a decrease in H_2-D_2 equilibration activity. We have not yet studied the state of the clusters during thermolysis above 180 °C by spectroscopy or desorption. Pretreatment of $1/SiO_2$ and $2/SiO_2$ by heating under a H₂ flow vielded catalysts with significantly higher RT activities for H₂-D₂ equilibration. Reactivity profiles showed that maximum and reproducible TOR's were obtained for heating under H₂ at 110 °C for 25–50 min for $1/SiO_2$ and for 10–25 min for $2/SiO_2$ (heating from RT was carried out under vacuum with a ramp of 10 °C/min). The TOR's measured for these catalysts at RT were 220 \pm 20 and 85 \pm 10 s⁻¹ for 1/SiO₂ and 2/SiO₂, respectively. Shorter or longer heating times under H₂ gave lower TOR's. Characterization of these samples by ³¹P NMR on the support (MAS) and after desorption (solution) showed that the clusters were intact without measurable decomposition. Thermolysis under H₂ at 135 °C for more than several minutes resulted in significantly lower TOR's. The maximum TOR observed for 1/SiO₂ after heating under H₂ as described above is comparable to the TOR observed for $3/SiO_2$ without any heating. This observation has mechanistic implications (see below). It is also important to note that thermolysis under vacuum or H₂ at slightly higher temperatures or for prolonged periods of time resulted in decreased TOR's. Higher TOR's were only achieved after heating at considerably higher temperatures (>300 °C) where metal particles presumably begin to form. The effect of thermolysis at higher temperatures is under current investigation.

Conclusions and Comments on the Mechanism of Heterogeneous H₂-D₂ Equilibration Catalysis. Clusters 1 and 2 on SiO₂ and Al₂O₃ supports are intact and gave TOR's for H_2-D_2 equilibration (Table 1) that are in reasonable agreement with rates measured for solid, microcrystalline samples of the molecular clusters.¹⁶ The rates are much greater than in homogeneous solution phase primarily due to the low solubility of H₂ in organic solvents. The TOR's for these clusters on SiO₂ significantly increased (by a factor of 20 for 1/SiO₂) after heating at 110 °C under H₂, although ³¹P MAS NMR showed no significant changes and desorption indicated no irreversible transformations. The supports were also inactive after desorption. The most reasonable explanation for this observation is that the rigid oxide support promotes the partial dissociation of a PPh₃ ligand from the 18-electron, dihydrido cluster $1(H)_2$ or $2(H)_2$, thus stabilizing species with a bare Au atom. A reversible ligand dissociation step has been strongly implicated by kinetic experiments in the mechanism for H₂-D₂ equilibration in homogeneous solution phase (see steps 1-3 in the Introduction).^{16,19} Ligand exchange measurements in solution by ³¹P NMR showed that 16-electron clusters 1 and 2 undergo facile phosphine exchange with free tri-*p*-tolylphosphine only in the presence of H₂, implying the necessity to form the 18-electron dihydride. Exchange did not occur under a N2 or air atmosphere. This is consistent with the need for H_2 to "activate" the supported clusters by forming dihydride species. Thermolysis under vacuum even at 220 °C did not increase the TOR of the supported clusters. The fact that $3/SiO_2$ is highly active $(TOR = 170 \text{ s}^{-1})$ without thermolysis under H₂ is also consistent with a PPh₃ dissociation mechanism. This compound is already an 18-electron cluster and shows facile ligand exchange in solution under N₂ or air atmosphere.¹⁹ The support-promoted, partial PPh₃ dissociation, therefore, can occur without the need for thermolysis under H₂.

It is disappointing that ³¹P MAS NMR did not show evidence of a dissociated or partially dissociated PPh3 ligand for any of the supported clusters, including samples of 1 and 2 on SiO₂ that were activated by heating under H₂ at 110 °C. Desorption experiments also showed that no irreversible changes in these clusters occurred, but such experiments are obviously unable to reveal reversible changes on the support. The ³¹P MAS NMR of the air-dried samples where good resolution was achieved (Figure 2) gave no evidence of resonances due to free or support bound PPh₃. Since the air-dried samples contain some residual CH₂Cl₂ solvent and have increased mobility (see above), it is possible that a partially dissociated PPh3 reattaches to a Au atom upon exposure to solvent. These "wet" samples were also significantly less active for H₂-D₂ equilibration than vacuum/ heat-dried or H₂/heat-activated samples. A CO incremental poisoning experiment with 110 °C/H₂ treated 1/SiO₂ showed a distribution of activated clusters similar to that illustrated in Figure 5. Spectroscopic detection of a small fraction of clusters with a partially dissociated PPh₃ would be very difficult indeed, especially with the lower resolution of the ³¹P MAS NMR of vacuum-dried samples. These results vividly demonstrate the importance of using the rate of a probe reaction to study supported catalysts.

It is worth noting that a similar support-promoted activation of an *intact* molecular cluster on silica has been reported.³ The cluster $Ru_3(CO)_6[1,2-(\mu-PPh)_2C_6H_4]_2$ supported on Cab-o-sil was significantly activated for the hydrogenation and isomerization of 1-octene in comparison to the reaction under homogeneous conditions. It was concluded that the support in some way activated the cluster so that the required open coordination site is created without the irreversible loss of a ligand or cluster fragmentation.³ It is unwarranted to speculate further about the mechanism of such activation processes as more data is obviously needed. Some experiments currently being carried out with supported Pt–Au clusters include the following: (a) variation of anion, phosphine ligand, deposition procedure, and support; (b) TEM, EXAFS, and microprobe analysis; (c) thermolysis at higher temperatures. The results of this current study have advanced our knowledge of M–Au cluster chemistry with respect to stability in an oxide support environment, methods of spectroscopic characterization in the solid-state, and mechanism of H₂ activation catalysis in general and on a support.

Experimental Section

Sample Preparation and Spectroscopic Analysis. The cluster compounds 1-3 and [Au(AuPPh₃)₈](NO₃)₃ were prepared according to literature procedures and characterized by ³¹P{¹H} NMR and UVvisible spectroscopy in solution.^{9,12,18,23,24} The cluster compounds were at least 98% pure. The supports (Criterion γ -Al₂O₃, surface area = $200 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$; Davisil SiO₂, surface area = $360 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$, average pore diameter = 150 Å) were sieved into 40-60, 60-80, and 80-100 mesh ranges, washed with high-purity water (distilled and deionized) to remove the fines, and heated under vacuum at 120 °C for 24 h to remove surface H₂O. The procedure for cluster deposition on the supports and pretreatment of the supported cluster samples are described in the text. The pretreatment procedures used should not lead to significant dehydroxylation of the supports. Catalyst pretreatment, characterization (BET, chemisorption, and TPD), and rate measurements were carried out with use of an RXM-100 catalyst characterization and gas-handling system (Advanced Scientific Designs, Inc.). Solid-state ³¹P MAS NMR spectra were recorded at 40.53 MHz with use of a Bruker AC-100 spectrometer with proton decoupling and a spinning speed of ca. 3 kHz. Cross-polarization was used for the vacuum-dried samples but not for the air-dried samples. ³¹P{¹H} NMR solution spectra were recorded at 202.35 MHz with use of a Varian VXR-500 spectrometer. All chemical shifts are reported relative to trimethyl phosphate with positive shifts downfield. Triphenylphosphine was used as an external reference for the solid-state experiments with $\delta = -11.9$ ppm. UVvisible spectra were recorded with use of a Hewlett-Packard (Model 98155A) spectrometer. Samples of clusters supported on silica were run as gel/suspensions in CH2Cl2 solvent with a suspension of SiO2 used as a reference. The reflectance spectra were recorded with use of a Model 8452A HP spectrometer equipped with an integrating sphere (Labsphere RSA-HP-84 reflectance spectroscopy accessory). Infrared spectra were recorded with use of a Mattson FTIR (Sirius 100) spectrometer. For supported clusters, the pure support was first pressed into a thin wafer and the spectrum recorded for background subtraction. The cluster was then adsorbed as usual from CH₂Cl₂ solution on the same wafer, washed with CH2Cl2 solvent, air-dried, and subjected to IR analysis. Subtraction of the pure support was necessary for good spectra. Spectra were recorded at 4 cm⁻¹ resolution.

Preparation of Pt/SiO₂. Metallic Pt particles were deposited on the same Davisil SiO₂ support (pretreated as described above) by a literature procedure that involved adsorption of [Pt(NH₃)₄](NO₃)₂ (Strem) from aqueous solution at pH 9, calcination in O₂ at 300 °C for 30 min, and reduction under H₂ at 400 °C for 3 h.²⁵ The sample used had a Pt loading of 0.1% (w/w). TOR values determined with this catalyst were corrected for the fraction of Pt atoms exposed as determined by CO chemisorption.²⁶ The catalyst samples had dispersions in the range of 50–60%.

(24) Hall, K. P.; Mingos, D. M. P. Prog. Inorg. Chem. 1984, 32, 237.

CO and H₂ Uptake Measurements. Carbon monoxide uptake at RT for supported samples of 1 and 2 was determined using a volumetric, two-isotherm experiment. The total CO adsorption isotherm was measured for the supported cluster over a pressure range of 20-80 Torr. A second adsorption isotherm was measured for the blank support over the same pressure range. The CO adsorption by the cluster was calculated by subtraction of the second isotherm from the first.²⁶ This procedure was necessary due to the reversible nature of CO binding to the supported clusters. Quantitative CO uptake was found for both supported clusters. The uptake of H₂ was more difficult to measure for 1/SiO₂ because the reaction is reversible and not complete below 1 atm at RT. The same procedure as described for CO was used but over the pressure range 20-100 Torr. The data have a larger error than that for CO, and extrapolation to 760 Torr is problematic. The results showed that the percent of uptake (mol of H2/mol of 1) was 48 \pm 2% at 80 Torr and 77 \pm 10% at 760 Torr (by extrapolation), in fair agreement with previous measurements for molecular solids and solutions of 1.15,16

Temperature-Programmed Desorption of CO. TPD analyses were carried out on samples of **1** on SiO₂ and Al₂O₃ supports after exposure to CO at 1 atm. The sample (250 mg) was placed in a quartz U-shaped microreactor and evacuated at ambient temperature until a base pressure of 1.0×10^{-7} Torr was reached. The sample was then heated linearly from 30 to 150 °C at a temperature ramp of 5 °C/min with direct evacuation of desorbed gases into a chamber containing a UTI 100c quadrupole mass spectrometer. Mass 28 was monitored and quantified (by precalibration) as a function of temperature.

H₂−**D**₂ Equilibration TOR. Turnover rates for H₂−D₂ equilibration were calculated from the measured percent conversion for the reaction H₂ + D₂ = 2HD in the effluent from a flow reactor containing a known amount of catalyst (typically ca. 100 mg) and the flow rate of H₂ and D₂. The details of this calculation are given in ref 27. The flow rate of the reactant gases was always the same and controlled to within ± 0.1 cm³ min⁻¹ with use of electronic mass flow controllers. Total flow rates were varied between 10 and 100 cm³ min⁻¹ to keep the percent conversion between 5 and 15%. Under these conditions the conversion to HD was linearly dependent on the flow rate. The rates also did not depend on support particle size (vide infra). The effluent gas was analyzed with a Leybold Inficon Quadrex 200 mass spectrometer tuned and calibrated to give accurate relative amounts of H₂, HD, and D₂. The H₂ and D₂ reactant gases were purified by passage through liquid N₂ traps.

Ethylene Hydrogenation TOR. A mixture of ethylene and H_2 gas (equal pressures) was recirculated (50 cm³ s⁻¹) through a flow reactor in a closed loop (ca. 31 cm³ total volume of loop and reactor) at RT and 1 atm total pressure. The flow reactor contained 250–500 mg of the catalyst. Gas samples (0.1 cm³) were periodically extracted via an automatic gas-sampling valve and injected into a flowing He stream for analysis by gas chromatography. Relative amounts of ethylene and ethane were determined from the integrator (Model 3393A) of a HP 5890A GC equipped with a Porapac Q (80/100) column and TCD detector. TOR's were calculated are on the basis of the initial rate for ca. 3% conversion to ethane.

Incremental CO Poisoning. Catalyst samples of $1/\text{SiO}_2$ were poisoned with fractional equivalents of CO by injection of 0.1 cm³ increments of CO at the appropriate pressure (via an automatic gassampling valve) into a loop of recirculating He gas (800 Torr) containing the sample at RT. The gas mixture was allowed to circulate for 0.5 h, after which the sample was evacuated and the H₂–D₂ equilibration TOR determined as described above. The results of incremental poisoning experiments did not vary with increasing the recirculation times up to 4 h.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (Grant CHE-9222411) and by the University of Minnesota. M.E.C. is the recipient of a University of Minnesota Undergraduate Research Opportunities grant. M.B.C. is a participant in the University of Minnesota, Department of Chemistry NSF-REU/Lando Undergraduate Summer Research program.

IC9504147

^{(23) (}a) Bour, J. J.; Kanters, R. P. F.; Schlebos, P. P. J.; Bosman, W. P.; Behm, H.; Beurskens, P. T.; Steggerda, J. J. *Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas* **1987**, *106*, 157. (b) Boyle, P. D.; Johnson, B. J.; Alexander, B. D.; Casalnuovo, J. A.; Gannon, P. R.; Johnson, S. H.; Larka, E. A.; Mueting, A. M.; Pignolet, L. H. *Inorg. Chem.* **1987**, *26*, 1346. (c) Cariati, F.; Naldini, L. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1972**, 2286.

⁽²⁵⁾ Gonzalez, R. D.; Miura, H. Catal. Rev. Sci. Eng. 1994, 36, 145. Zou, W.; Gonzalez, R. D. J. Catal. 1992, 133, 202.

⁽²⁶⁾ Data analysis was performed using the program Chemisorption Data Analysis v.4.06 (Copyright 1990–94, Advanced Scientific Designs, Inc.). This program is available from Advanced Scientific Designs, Inc., 679 Westchester Road, Grosse Point Park, MI 48230.

⁽²⁷⁾ Gu, Y.; Brenner, A. J. Catal. 1992, 136, 222.