# Platinum-Rhenium-Mercury and Related Cluster Chemistry

## Leijun Hao,<sup>†</sup> Jianliang Xiao,<sup>†</sup> Jagadese J. Vittal,<sup>†</sup> Richard J. Puddephatt,<sup>\*,†</sup> Ljubica Manojlović-Muir,<sup>\*,‡</sup> Kenneth W. Muir,<sup>‡</sup> and Ali Ashgar Torabi<sup>‡</sup>

Department of Chemistry, University of Western Ontario, London, Canada N6A 5B7, and Department of Chemistry, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, Scotland

Received July 7, 1995<sup>⊗</sup>

The 54-electron cluster cation  $[Pt_3\{ReO_3\}(\mu-dppm)_3]^+$ , **2**, dppm = Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>, reacts with CO, P(OMe)<sub>3</sub>, Hg, and Tl(acac), acac = MeCOCHCOMe, by addition at the Pt<sub>3</sub> center to give the 56-electron cluster cations  $[Pt_3\{ReO_3\}(\mu_3-L)(\mu-dppm)_3]^+$ , L = CO (**3**), Hg (**6**), or Tl(acac) (**5**), or  $[Pt_3\{ReO_3\}(L)(\mu-dppm)_3]^+$  (**4**)  $L = P(OMe)_3$ . Oxidation of **6** in the presence of mercury and NH<sub>4</sub>[ReO<sub>4</sub>] gives the bis(mercury) cluster  $[Pt_3(\mu_3-Hg)_2(\mu-dppm)_3]^-$  [ReO<sub>4</sub>]<sub>2</sub>, **7**. The structures of **2**[PF<sub>6</sub>] [cubic, space group *Pa* $\overline{3}$ , *a* = 24.495(1) Å, *V* = 14 697(1) Å<sup>3</sup>, *Z* = 8, *R* = 0.0421 for 3113 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ], **6**[PF<sub>6</sub>]·2Me<sub>2</sub>CO [triclinic, space group *P* $\overline{1}$ , *a* = 14.502(5) Å, *b* = 16.712(7) Å, *c* = 20.814(6) Å,  $\alpha = 70.00(3)^\circ$ ,  $\beta = 82.52(1)^\circ$ ,  $\gamma = 82.39(3)^\circ$ , *V* = 4679(3) Å<sup>3</sup>, *Z* = 2, *R* = 0.0688 for 7227 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ], and **7**[ReO<sub>4</sub>]<sub>2</sub>·1.5Me<sub>2</sub>CO [triclinic, space group *P* $\overline{1}$ , *a* = 16.088(5) Å, *b* = 16.307(7) Å, *c* = 19.390(7) Å,  $\alpha = 99.49(2)^\circ$ ,  $\beta = 93.70(1)^\circ$ ,  $\gamma = 117.30(2)^\circ$ , *V* = 4401(4) Å<sup>3</sup>, *Z* = 2, *R* = 0.1010 for 7931 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ] have been determined. Cluster **2**, which shows exact *C*<sub>3</sub> symmetry, has a nearly tetrahedral Pt<sub>3</sub>Re core [Pt-Pt = 2.6115(6) Å, Pt-Re = 2.7010(6) Å] while **6** [Pt-Pt = 2.617(2)-2.625(2) Å, Pt-Re = 2.770(2)-2.788(2) Å, Pt-Hg = 2.868(2)-2.976(2) Å] and **7** [Pt-Pt = 2.633(4)-2.646(4) Å, Pt-Hg = 2.754(4)-2.828(4) Å] have approximately trigonal bipyramidal Pt<sub>3</sub>ReHg and Pt<sub>3</sub>Hg<sub>2</sub> cores, respectively.

### Introduction

The cluster cations  $[Pt_3{Re(CO)_3}(\mu-dppm)_3]^+$ , 1, and  $[Pt_3 {\text{ReO}_3}(\mu\text{-dppm})_3]^+$ , **2**, are of interest as possible models for surface Pt-Re clusters present in bimetallic Pt-Re-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts, in which rhenium is in a low- and a high-oxidation state, respectively (the formal oxidation states may be considered to be Re(I) in 1 and Re(VII) in 2).<sup>1</sup> Both 1 and 2 have tetrahedral Pt<sub>3</sub>Re cores and are coordinatively unsaturated since they each have only a 54-electron count (60 valence electrons is usual in coordinatively saturated tetrahedral clusters).<sup>1</sup> In earlier papers, it has been shown that 2 is more reactive than 1 to ligand addition and that ligands always add to 2 at platinum but usually add to 1 at rhenium or at a  $Pt_2Re$  face.<sup>2,3</sup> This paper gives details of the structure of 2 and of its addition reactions with neutral ligands<sup>2a</sup> and reports new reactions with the unusual ligands Hg and Tl<sup>+</sup>, giving rise to Pt<sub>3</sub>ReHg and Pt<sub>3</sub>ReTl clusters with trigonal bipyramidal metal cores.

#### Results

**Reactions of**  $[Pt_3(ReO_3)(\mu-dppm)_3][PF_6]$  with Simple Ligands. The reactions of 2 with CO and with P(OMe)<sub>3</sub> are

- <sup>®</sup> Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, December 15, 1995.
- (a) Xiao, J.; Vittal, J. J.; Puddephatt, R. J.; Manojlović-Muir, L.; Muir, K. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1993**, 115, 7882. (b) Xiao, J.; Vittal, J. J.; Puddephatt, R. J.; Manojlović-Muir, L.; Muir, K. W.; Torabi, A. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1994**, 116, 1129. (c) Xiao, J.; Kristof, E.; Vittal, J. J.; Puddephatt, R. J. J. Organomet. Chem. **1995**, 490, 1. (d) Xiao, J.; Puddephatt, R. J. Coord. Chem. Rev. **1995**, 143, 457.
- (2) (a) Xiao, J.; Hao, L.; Puddephatt, R. J.; Manojlović-Muir, L.; Muir, K. W.; Torabi, A. A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 2221. (b) Xiao, J.; Hao, L.; Puddephatt, R. J.; Manojlović-Muir, L.; Muir, K. W.; Torabi, A. A. Organometallics 1995, 14, 4183. (c) Xiao, J.; Hao, L.; Puddephatt, R. J.; Manojlović-Muir, L.; Muir, K. W.; Torabi, A. A. Organometallics 1995, 14, 2194.
- (3) (a) Hao, L.; Xiao, J.; Vittal, J. J.; Puddephatt, R. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 2183. (b) Hao, L.; Xiao, J.; Vittal, J. J.; Puddephatt, R. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 346 (c) Xiao, J.; Hao, L.; Puddephatt, R. J.; Manojlović-Muir, L.; Muir, K. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 6316.

shown in eqs 1 and 2. Carbon monoxide adds to 2 to give the



 $\mu_3$ -CO complex [Pt<sub>3</sub>( $\mu_3$ -CO)(ReO<sub>3</sub>)( $\mu$ -dppm)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, **3**. The reaction was conducted in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> in an NMR tube and monitored by NMR spectroscopy. When CO was bubbled into this solution, a reaction occurred as indicated by a color change from redbrown to red, and NMR monitoring confirmed that the reaction was completed almost instantly. The reaction is easily reversible; thus bubbling N<sub>2</sub> through the solution resulted in the loss of CO to regenerate complex **2**, and then addition of CO regenerated **3**. Evaporation of the solvent from a solution of **3** also caused partial loss of CO and formation of **2**. Hence, **3** could not be isolated in analytically pure form, and it was characterized by IR and NMR spectroscopy in solution.

The IR spectrum of **3** in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution displays one terminal Re=O band with  $\nu$ (Re=O) = 904 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup> and one carbonyl band with stretching frequency  $\nu$ (CO) = 1606 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>. The value of  $\nu$ (Re=O) is the same as that of the parent cluster **2** measured in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution, and the value of  $\nu$ (CO) is particularly low, as can be compared to  $\nu$ (CO) = 1765 cm<sup>-1</sup> in [Pt<sub>3</sub>( $\mu$ <sub>3</sub>-CO)( $\mu$ -dppm)<sub>3</sub>][PF<sub>6</sub>]<sub>2</sub>,<sup>4</sup> indicating a triply bridging carbonyl with strong back-bonding.

The <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, and <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra of **3** in  $CD_2Cl_2$  were essentially the same from room temperature to -90 °C. The

0020-1669/96/1335-0658\$12.00/0 © 1996 American Chemical Society

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> University of Western Ontario.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> University of Glasgow.



Figure 1. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (75.46 MHz) of a <sup>13</sup>CO-enriched sample of cluster 3. The 1:4:7:4:1 multiplet appearance is characteristic of a Pt<sub>3</sub>(µ<sub>3</sub>-CO) group.



Figure 2. The <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra (121.47 MHz) of cluster 4 at -90 °C  $[{}^{1}J(PtP) = 3713 \text{ Hz}, {}^{2}J(PtP) = 121 \text{ Hz}]$  and at 22 °C  $[J_{av}(PtP) = 1318$ Hz].

<sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum contained only one sharp singlet resonance due to the phosphorus atoms of the dppm ligands, indicating effective  $C_3$  symmetry. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of a <sup>13</sup>COenriched sample of **3** (Figure 1) gave a resonance at  $\delta$ <sup>(13</sup>CO) = 224.6, appearing as an apparent 1:4:7:4:1 quintet (the pattern arises from superposition of spectra from isotopomers containing one, two, and three <sup>195</sup>Pt atoms)<sup>5</sup> due to coupling to three equivalent platinum atoms with  ${}^{1}J(PtC) = 513$  Hz. The chemical shift indicates a bridging carbonyl, and the <sup>195</sup>Pt satellite intensities indicate a triply bridging CO.<sup>5</sup>

The reaction of  $P(OMe)_3$  with 2 occurred rapidly to give the adduct  $[Pt_3{P(OMe)_3}(ReO_3)(\mu-dppm)_3]^+$ , **4**, as indicated by a color change from red-brown to red. The equilibrium constant for formation of 4 was favorable, but neither P(OPh)<sub>3</sub> or PPh<sub>3</sub> reacted with 2, presumably due to steric hindrance. In forming 4, the phosphite adds as a terminal ligand as shown by the NMR spectra in  $CD_2Cl_2$  at -90 °C but the complex is fluxional.

The <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra of 4 are very informative, and the spectra, in the P(OMe)<sub>3</sub> region only, at -90 and 22 °C are shown in Figure 2. At -90 °C, the resonance due to P(OMe)<sub>3</sub> is at  $\delta = 188.2$  and appeared as a 1:4:1 triplet (due to coupling

(4) Ferguson, G.; Lloyd, B. R.; Puddephatt, R. J. Organometallics 1986, 5 344

Inorganic Chemistry, Vol. 35, No. 3, 1996 659

to  $Pt^1$ ,  ${}^1J(PtP) = 3713$  Hz) of 1:8:18:8:1 quintets (due to coupling to  $Pt^2$  and  $Pt^3$ ,  ${}^2J(PtP) = 121$  Hz). This pattern is characteristic of the Pt<sub>3</sub> cluster containing a terminal phosphite ligand.<sup>6</sup> At 20 °C, this resonance appears as a 1:4:7:4:1 quintet due to apparently equal coupling to the three platinum atoms with observed coupling  ${}^{1}J(PtP) = 1318$  Hz.<sup>6</sup> Evidently, the phosphite ligand migrates rapidly around the Pt<sub>3</sub> triangle and should then give an average value of  $J(PtP) = (1/3 \times 3713) +$  $(2/3 \times 121) = 1318$  Hz, in excellent agreement with the observed value of 1318 Hz. Since the coupling J(PtP) is still observed in the region of fast fluxionality, the process cannot involve reversible dissociation of the phosphite ligand, but probably involves an intermediate with a triply bridging phosphite ligand. In the dppm region, only one resonance was observed at room temperature, but this splits into three resonances at -90 °C, consistent with the proposed structure and mechanism of fluxionality. Details are given in the Experimental Section. This fluxional process is similar to that established earlier in the complex  $[Pt_3(\mu_3-CO)(\mu-dppm)_3 \{P(OMe)_3\}^{2+.6}$ 

The monocation  $[Pt_3(\mu_3-H)(\mu-dppm)_3]^+$  reacts with the electrophiles H<sup>+</sup> and AuPPh<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> to give  $[Pt_3(\mu_3-H)_2(\mu-dppm)_3]^+$  and  $[Pt_3(\mu_3-H)(\mu_3-AuPPh_3)(\mu-dppm)_3]^+$ , respectively,<sup>7</sup> and attempts were made to add these electrophiles to 2. However, no reaction was observed. Since neither electrophile is bulky, the low reactivity of 2 is presumed to be due to electronic effects. In particular, the  $\mu_3$ -ReO<sub>3</sub> group in **2** is more electron withdrawing than  $\mu_3$ -H, and so the Pt<sub>3</sub> center in **2** is not sufficiently electron rich to add electrophiles.

**Reactions with Tl(I) and Hg(0).** The complex thallium(I) acetyl acetonate [Tl(acac)] reacts readily with the cluster 5 to form the adduct  $[Pt_3\{\mu_3-Tl(acac)\}(ReO_3)(\mu-dppm)_3]^+$ , 5, by adding to the Pt<sub>3</sub> fragment as shown in eq 3. The reaction took



ca. 0.5 h to reach completion as monitored by NMR spectroscopy, and the sparingly soluble [Tl(acac)] dissolved as the reaction proceeded in acetone. Well-shaped red-black crystals of the stable cluster 5 can be obtained from acetone/hexane solution. There are few complexes containing platinumthallium bonds, two recent examples being  $[Pt_3(\mu_3-Tl)(\mu-CO)_3 (PCy_3)_3[Rh(\eta-C_8H_{12})Cl_2]$  (Cy = cyclohexyl),<sup>8</sup> which also contains a  $Pt_3(\mu_3-Tl)$  unit, and  $(Bu_4N)_2[PtTl(C_6F_5)_4]^9$  which contains thallium(II).

Complex 5 was characterized spectroscopically. The IR spectrum gave two terminal oxo bands at  $\nu(\text{Re=O}) = 932$  and 901 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The values of  $\nu$ (ReO) in **5** are slightly higher than those in 2, suggesting that Tl(acac) acts as a weak acceptor (it can be considered as a 2-electron donor ligand using the  $6s^2$ 

<sup>(6)</sup> Bradford, A. M.; Douglas, G.; Manojlović-Muir, L.; Muir, K. W.; Puddephatt, R. J. Organometallics 1990, 9, 409.

<sup>(</sup>a) Payne, N. C.; Ramachandran, R.; Schoettel, G.; Vittal, J. J.; Puddephatt, R. J. Inorg. Chem. 1991, 30, 4048. (b) Ramachandran, R.; Puddephatt, R. J. Inorg. Chem. 1993, 32, 2256.

Ezomo, O. J.; Mingos, D. M. P.; Williams, I. D. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. (8)Commun. 1987, 924.

<sup>(5)</sup> Puddephatt, R. J.; Manojlović-Muir, L.; Muir, K. W. Polyhedron 1990, 9, 2767.

<sup>(9)</sup> Uson, R.; Fornies, J.; Tomas, M.; Garde, R.; Alonso, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 1837.

Scheme 1



Hao et al.



**Figure 3.** A view of the structure of  $[Pt_3(ReO_3)(\mu-dppm)_3]^+$ . The carbon atoms are represented by spheres of arbitrary radius, and the remaining non-hydrogen atoms, by 30% probability ellipsoids. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Phenyl carbon atoms are numbered in sequence Cn1-Cn6, where n = 1-4, starting with the *ipso* atom, and labels are shown only for the Cn2 atoms. Symmetry operations applied are (A) *z*, *x*, *y* and (B) *y*, *z*, *x*.

electrons). The <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of **5** contains a single dppm resonance which appears as a 1:1 doublet due to coupling to thallium, and the magnitude of  ${}^{2}J(\text{TIP}) = 180 \text{ Hz}$  for 5 is comparable to that of  ${}^{2}J(\text{TIP}) = 193$  Hz found in the closely related cluster  $[Pt_3(\mu_3-Tl)(\mu-CO)_3(PCy_3)_3][Rh(\eta-C_8H_{12})Cl_2]$  whose structure was determined by X-ray diffraction.<sup>8</sup> Thus, effective 3-fold symmetry is established, indicating easy rotation of the Tl(acac) unit with respect to the Pt<sub>3</sub> triangle. The values of  ${}^{1}J(\text{PtP}) = 3212$  and  ${}^{3}J(\text{PP}) = 133$  Hz in 5 are slightly higher and lower, respectively, than those of cluster 2, indicating slightly weaker Pt–Pt bonding in the  $Pt_3(\mu$ -dppm)<sub>3</sub> triangle in 5. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 5 contained two resonances for the P<sub>2</sub>CH<sup>a</sup>H<sup>b</sup> protons at  $\delta$ (<sup>1</sup>H) = 6.17 and 5.92 and two acac resonances at  $\delta({}^{1}\text{H}) = 5.64$  and 2.28 in a 1:6 ratio, corresponding to the CH and Me protons, respectively, fully consistent with the proposed structure.

Similarly, mercury reacts with cluster **2** at room temperature to form the new adduct  $[Pt_3(ReO_3)(\mu_3-Hg)(\mu-dppm)_3][PF_6]$ , **6**, as shown in Scheme 1. The reaction was complete in 30 min, as indicated by a color change to red and as monitored by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy. Cluster **6** is stable in acetone solution in the presence of excess mercury for at least 2 months. Well-shaped red—black cubic crystals of cluster **6** were obtained from an acetone/hexane solution. The reaction to form **6** is analogous to that of mercury with  $[Pt_3(\mu_3-CO)(\mu-dppm)_3][PF_6]_2$ to form  $[Pt_3(\mu_3-CO)(\mu_3-Hg)(\mu-dppm)_3]^{2+}$ ,<sup>10</sup> but this reaction is reversible whereas the formation of **6** is not. Cluster **6**, in the presence of free mercury and perrhenate ion, is oxidized in the

presence of O<sub>2</sub> to give  $[Pt_3(\mu_3-Hg)_2(\mu-dppm)_3][ReO_4]_2$ , **7**, (Scheme 1). The dimercury cluster **7** was previously prepared as the  $[PF_6]^-$  salt by reaction of  $[Pt_3(\mu_3-CO)(\mu_3-Hg)(\mu-dppm)_3]^{2+}$  with mercury in the presence of Me<sub>3</sub>NO.<sup>10</sup> Of several known platinum-mercury-bonded complexes,<sup>11</sup> the closest analogies to **7** are found in the dimercury-capped clusters, with the Pt<sub>3</sub>- $(\mu_3-Hg)_2$  core  $[Pt_3(\mu_3-HgX)_2(\mu-CO)_3L_3]$  (X = Cl, Br, I; L = PCy<sub>3</sub>, PPhCy<sub>2</sub>, PPh<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>, P<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>), of which the cluster with X = Br and L = PPhCy<sub>2</sub> was characterized structurally.<sup>12</sup>

The IR spectrum of **6** displayed three terminal oxo bands at  $\nu(\text{Re=O}) = 943$  (s), 913 (s), and 907 (s) cm<sup>-1</sup>, the frequencies being slightly higher than those in cluster **5**. As expected, the <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of **6** contained a singlet resonance due to the six equivalent phosphorus atoms of the dppm ligands, and the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum contained two resonances in a 1:1 ratio for the CH<sup>a</sup>H<sup>b</sup>P<sub>2</sub> protons, showing that there is no plane symmetry containing the Pt<sub>3</sub>P<sub>6</sub>C<sub>3</sub> atoms of the Pt<sub>3</sub>( $\mu$ -dppm)<sub>3</sub> unit.

The IR spectrum of **7** displays two very strong bands at  $\nu(\text{ReO}) = 896$  and  $866 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  due to the coordinated  $[\text{ReO}_4]^-$  ions. The <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum contained a singlet resonance due to the dppm phosphorus atoms, and the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum contained only one resonance due to the CH<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub> protons of the dppm ligands, indicating  $D_{3h}$  symmetry in this case.

The Structures of 2[PF<sub>6</sub>], 6[PF<sub>6</sub>], and 7[ReO<sub>4</sub>]<sub>2</sub>. The structure of the cation  $[Pt_3(ReO_3)(\mu$ -dppm)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 2, was first determined as the  $[ReO_4]^-$  salt, despite the poor quality of the crystals.<sup>1b</sup> Now crystals of 2[PF<sub>6</sub>] have been obtained, which are of higher quality and have cubic symmetry. Given the importance of 2 in the chemistry described above, it was considered worthwhile to determine the structure with a classic noncoordinating anion.

The structure of **2** as found in the  $[PF_6]^-$  salt is shown in Figure 3 and is characterized by the bond lengths and angles listed in Table 1. It contains a triangular Pt<sub>3</sub> unit edge bridged by three dppm ligands, yielding the well-known latitudinal Pt<sub>3</sub>- $(\mu$ -dppm)<sub>3</sub> moiety.<sup>5</sup> The Pt<sub>3</sub> triangle is capped by a ReO<sub>3</sub> group

<sup>(10)</sup> Schoettel, G.; Vittal, J. J.; Puddephatt, R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 6400.

<sup>(</sup>a) Imhof, D.; Venanzi, L. M. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1994, 185. (b) Gade, (11)L. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32, 24. (c) Yamamoto, Y.; Yamazaki, H.; Sakurai, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 2329. (d) Yamamoto, Y.; Yamazaki, H. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1989, 2161. (e) Yamamoto, Y.; Yamazaki, H. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1994, 217, 121. (f) Mingos, D. M. P.; Wardle, R. W. M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1986, 73. (g) Bour, J. J.; Vanderberg, W.; Schlebos, P. P. J.; Kanters, R. P. F.; Schoondergang, M. F. J.; Bosman, W. P.; Smits, J. M. M.; Beurskens, P. T.; Steggerda, J. J.; Vandersluis, P. Inorg. Chem. 1990, 29, 2971. (h) Ito, L. N.; Felicissimo, A. M. P.; Pignolet, L. H. Inorg. Chem. 1991, 30, 387. (i) Carlson, T. F.; Fackler, J. P.; Staples, R. J.; Winpenny, R. E. P. Inorg. Chem. 1995, 34, 426. (j) Toronto, D. V.; Balch, A. L. Inorg. Chem. 1994, 33, 6132. (k) Wurst, K.; Strahle, J. Z. Anorg. Allgem. Chem. 1991, 595, 239. (1) Tanase, T.; Horiuchi, T.; Yamamoto, Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 1992, 440, 1. (m) Albinati. A.; Moor, A.; Pregosin, P. S.; Venanzi, L. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 7672.

<sup>(12)</sup> Albinati, A.; Dahmen, K.-H.; Demartin, F.; Forward, J. M.; Longley, C. J.; Mingos, D. M. P.; Venanzi, L. M. *Inorg. Chem.* **1992**, *31*, 2223.

**Table 1.** Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (deg) for  $[Pt_3(ReO_3)(Ph_2PCH_2PPh_2)_3]^{+a}$ 

| Pt(1)-P(2)            | 2.275(2)   | P(1)-C(31)           | 1.834(6)  |
|-----------------------|------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Pt(1) - P(1)          | 2.294(2)   | P(1) - C(1)          | 1.838(10) |
| Pt(1)-Pt(1A)          | 2.6115(6)  | P(2) - C(21)         | 1.823(6)  |
| Pt(1)-Re(1)           | 2.7010(6)  | P(2) - C(11)         | 1.846(6)  |
| Re(1) - O(1)          | 1.705(8)   | P(2) - C(1B)         | 1.840(10) |
| P(1) - C(41)          | 1.824(6)   |                      |           |
| P(2) - Pt(1) - P(1)   | 112.47(9)  | C(41) - P(1) - C(31) | 106.4(4)  |
| P(2) - Pt(1) - Pt(1A) | 157.75(7)  | C(41) - P(1) - C(1)  | 101.7(4)  |
| P(1) - Pt(1) - Pt(1A) | 89.75(6)   | C(31) - P(1) - C(1)  | 104.3(4)  |
| P(2) - Pt(1) - Pt(1B) | 98.12(7)   | C(41) - P(1) - Pt(1) | 120.7(3)  |
| P(1)-Pt(1)-Pt(1B)     | 146.87(6)  | C(31) - P(1) - Pt(1) | 112.7(2)  |
| P(2) - Pt(1) - Re(1)  | 106.41(7)  | C(1) - P(1) - Pt(1)  | 109.3(3)  |
| P(1) - Pt(1) - Re(1)  | 118.16(6)  | C(21) - P(2) - C(11) | 103.0(4)  |
| Pt(1A)-Pt(1)-Re(1)    | 61.09(1)   | C(21) - P(2) - C(1B) | 103.9(4)  |
| O(1)-Re(1)-O(1A)      | 108.4(3)   | C(11) - P(2) - C(1B) | 102.5(4)  |
| O(1)-Re(1)-Pt(1B)     | 101.7(3)   | C(21) - P(2) - Pt(1) | 120.9(3)  |
| O(1)-Re(1)-Pt(1A)     | 83.7(3)    | C(11) - P(2) - Pt(1) | 114.8(3)  |
| Pt(1A)-Re(1)-Pt(B)    | ) 57.82(2) | C(1B) - P(2) - Pt(1) | 109.7(3)  |
| O(1) - Re(1) - Pt(1)  | 141.4(3)   |                      |           |
|                       |            |                      |           |

<sup>*a*</sup> Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: (A) *z*, *x*, *y*, (B) *y*, *z*, *x*.

to form a distorted tetrahedral  $Pt_3Re$  core. The structure shows exact, crystallographically imposed  $C_3$  symmetry, the 3-fold axis passing through the rhenium atom and the center of the  $Pt_3$  triangle.

In the Pt<sub>3</sub>ReO<sub>3</sub> unit the rhenium atom has distorted octahedral geometry  $[O-Re-O \ 108.4(3)^\circ, Pt-Re-O \ 83.7(3), \ 101.7(3),$  and 141.4(3)°]. Each Re–O bond is directed toward a P1 and away from a P2 atom (Figure 3), and the Pt<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub> octahedron is, therefore, distorted toward trigonal prismatic geometry, with a twist angle of 20.3°. This distortion, also apparent from Figure 4a, may be caused by weak attractive interactions involving the polar oxo groups of the ReO<sub>3</sub> unit and the hydrogen atoms of the phenyl substituents in the Pt<sub>3</sub>( $\mu$ -dppm)<sub>3</sub> fragment, resulting in three short intramolecular O···H distances [O(1)···H(C16B) 2.39(1) Å]. A similar trigonal twist of the coordination octahedron around the rhenium center has been found in the closely related structure of **1**, in which the oxo groups of **2** are replaced by carbonyl groups.<sup>1c</sup>

The structures of the  $Pt_3ReO_3$  units in  $2[PF_6]$  and in  $2[ReO_4]$ are essentially the same, but there are differences in the conformations of the  $Pt_3(\mu$ -dppm)<sub>3</sub> fragments as a result of the different sizes and electronic properties of the anions. In 2[PF<sub>6</sub>], all Pt-P bonds are bent out of the Pt<sub>3</sub> plane, the three Pt-P(2) bonds in the direction toward and the three Pt-P(1) bonds away from the  $ReO_3$  group. The displacements of the P(2), C(1), and P(1) atoms from the Pt<sub>3</sub> plane are 0.169(3), 0.403(10), and -0.562(2) Å. Each Pt<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>C unit adopts an envelope conformation with a P(1) atom at the "flap". In such a conformation of the Pt<sub>3</sub>P<sub>6</sub>C<sub>3</sub> skeleton, all six phenyl substituents on the side of the P(2) atoms are equatorial and form a cavity large enough to accommodate the ReO<sub>3</sub> group, while the six axial phenyl groups completely encase the opposite side of the Pt<sub>3</sub> triangle (Figure 4). In the  $[ReO_4]^-$  salt there is a different conformation which results in cavities above both faces of the Pt<sub>3</sub> triangle (Figure 4).<sup>1b</sup> The three Pt<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>C units again adopt envelope conformations but with CH<sub>2</sub> groups at the flaps, two on one side and one on the other side of the Pt<sub>3</sub> triangle. In this conformation of the Pt<sub>3</sub>P<sub>6</sub>C<sub>3</sub> unit, there are two axial and four equatorial phenyl substituents on one side of the Pt<sub>3</sub> plane, creating a cavity for the ReO<sub>3</sub> group, and four axial and two equatorial phenyl groups on the other side, creating a cavity for the  $[\text{ReO}_4]^-$  anion (Figure 4). We have previously observed the ability of the  $M_3(\mu$ -dppm)<sub>3</sub> unit to loosely bind an anion.<sup>2,5,13</sup> For example, in  $[Pd_3(\mu_3-CO)(\mu-CF_3CO_2)(\mu-dppm)_3][CF_3CO_2]^{13b}$ 



**Figure 4.** Space-filling diagrams for the  $[Pt_3(ReO_3)(\mu-dppm)_3]^+$  cation: (a, b) in the  $[PF_6]^-$  salt; (c, d) in the  $[ReO_4]^-$  salt. The platinum atoms are shown in black, and the oxygen atoms are dotted. In (a) and (b) the cation is viewed along the crystallographically imposed triad axis. The ReO<sub>3</sub> group points toward the viewer in (a) [H(16) is labeled H] and (c), and in (b) and (d), where it points away from the viewer, it is obscured. In (e) the  $[ReO_4]^-$  anion is also displayed, lying enclosed in the cavity shown in (d).

and in  $[Pt_3{\mu_3-Re(CO)_3}(\mu_3-I)(\mu-dppm)_3]$ ,<sup>2b</sup> the Pd–O and Re–I distances of 2.77(1)–2.92(2) and 3.113(1)–3.341(1) Å, respectively, are considered indicative of some degree of covalency. In **2**[ReO<sub>4</sub>], however, the shortest Pt–O distances [3.37(3), 3.41-(3), and 3.98(3) Å] are too long to indicate a covalent-bonding interaction,<sup>1b</sup> and the binding is best considered to be of the host–guest type reminiscent of molecular recognition in organic compounds. In **2**[PF<sub>6</sub>] the conformation of the  $\mu$ -dppm ligands precludes any association of cation and anion.

The Pt–Pt distances in **2**[PF<sub>6</sub>] of 2.6115(6) Å are indicative of single bonds and are comparable with those in **2**[ReO<sub>4</sub>] of 2.598(2)–2.609(3) Å<sup>1b</sup> and in **1** of 2.5930(9)–2.6114(7) Å,<sup>1c</sup> all of which are among the shortest known in Pt<sub>3</sub>( $\mu$ -dppm)<sub>3</sub> derivatives.<sup>1–7</sup> The Pt–Re bonds in **2**[PF<sub>6</sub>] of 2.7010(6) Å are longer than those in **1** [2.6488(8)–2.6850(8) Å].<sup>1c</sup> Thus, replacement of a Re(CO)<sub>3</sub> group in **1** by the ReO<sub>3</sub> group in **2** does not affect the Pt–Pt bonds but leads to somewhat longer Pt–Re bonds. The Pt–Re bonds in **2** are longer in the [ReO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup> salt [2.711(3), 2.720(3), and 2.748(3) Å]<sup>1b</sup> than those in the [PF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>-</sup> salt [2.7010(6) Å]. This can be understood in terms of the relative cavity sizes for the Pt<sub>3</sub>(ReO<sub>3</sub>) groups as discussed earlier (Figure 4, parts a and c). In **2**[PF<sub>6</sub>] the O–Re–O angles

<sup>(13) (</sup>a) Lloyd, B. R.; Manojlović-Muir, L.; Muir, K. W.; Puddephatt, R. J. Organometallics **1993**, *12*, 1231. (b) Ferguson, G.; Lloyd, B. R.; Manojlovic-Muir, L.; Muir, K. W.; Puddephatt, R. J. Inorg. Chem. **1986**, *25*, 4190. (c) Manojlović-Muir, L.; Muir, K. W.; Lloyd, B. R.; Puddephatt, R. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1985**, 536. (d) Manojlović-Muir, L.; Muir, K. W.; Lloyd, B. R.; Puddephatt, R. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1986**, 536. (d) Manojlović-Muir, L.; Muir, K. W.; Lloyd, B. R.; Puddephatt, R. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1986**, 536. (d) Manojlović-Muir, L.; Muir, K. W.; Lloyd, B. R.; Puddephatt, R. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1986**, 536. (d) Manojlović-Muir, L.; Muir, K. W.; Lloyd, B. R.; Puddephatt, R. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1986**, 1336.



**Figure 5.** A view of the structure of  $[Pt_3(ReO_3)(Hg)(\mu-dppm)_3]^+$ . Carbon and oxygen atoms and Re(2) are shown as spheres of arbitrary radius while other non-hydrogen atoms are shown as 50% probability ellipsoids. Only one orientation of the disordered  $[ReO_4]^-$  ions is shown.

are 108.4(3)°, considerably larger than the C–Re–C angles of 88.2(5)–91.0(5)° in **1**, and the Re–O distances within the ReO<sub>3</sub> group of 1.705(8) Å are within the known range of those found in compounds containing the ReO<sub>3</sub> group (1.66–1.77 Å).<sup>14</sup> The lengthening of the Pt–P(1) bonds [2.294(2) Å] with respect to the Pt–P(2) bonds [2.275(2) Å] in **2**[PF<sub>6</sub>] may be caused by steric congestion above the face of the Pt<sub>3</sub> triangle where all six phenyl groups are axial (Figure 4b).

The structure of **6**, as found in the  $[PF_6]^-$  salt, is shown in Figure 5, and bond distances and angles are in Table 2. The cluster cation 6 contains a distorted trigonal bipyramidal Pt<sub>3</sub>-ReHg metal core. There is a Pt<sub>3</sub> triangle with each edge bridged by a  $\mu$ -dppm ligand, and with one face capped by the ReO<sub>3</sub> group and the other by a mercury atom. The three platinum atoms consist of an essentially equilateral triangle, with Pt-Pt distances of 2.617(2), 2.622(2), and 2.625(2) Å. The mean Pt-Pt distance of 2.621 Å in 6 is only slightly longer than that in 2 (2.6115 Å), indicating that addition of the  $\mu_3$ -Hg group has little effect on the Pt-Pt bonding.<sup>23</sup> The Pt-Hg distances of 2.868(2)-2.976(2) Å with a mean value of 2.905 Å in 6 are similar to those of 2.860(2)-2.974(2) Å found in  $[Pt_3(\mu_3-Hg) (\mu_3$ -CO) $(\mu$ -dppm)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup> and comparable to those in other clusters containing a Pt<sub>3</sub>( $\mu_3$ -Hg) unit.<sup>10-12</sup> The mean Pt-Re distance of 2.780 Å is longer than that of 2.702 Å in 2. This could be due to the trans influence of the mercury atom or due to steric effects as discussed for 2[ReO<sub>4</sub>]. The Re-O distances of 1.637-(2)-1.714(2) with a mean value of 1.687 Å are slightly shorter than those in 2 (1.705 Å), and the O-Re-O angles of 107- $(1)-109(1)^{\circ}$  are indistinguishable from those in 2. In this case, the shortest nonbonding H····O=Re distance is 2.50 Å, which is longer than that in 2. The conformation of the dppm ligands is similar to that in 2[ReO<sub>4</sub>]; the Pt-P distances are normal and in the range of 2.274(1) - 2.310(1) Å with a mean value of 2.294 Å.

The structure of **7** is shown in Figures 6 and 7, and bond distances and angles are in Table 3. The inner core of **7** contains a slightly distorted trigonal bipyramid of metal atoms  $Pt_3Hg_2$  with both faces of the  $Pt_3$  triangle capped by  $\mu_3$ -Hg atoms (Figure 6), and the mercury atoms are weakly coordinated to the [ReO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup> anions. By repeating this [ $Pt_3(\mu_3-Hg)_2(\mu-dppm)_3$ ]-[ReO<sub>4</sub>]<sub>2</sub> unit, a cluster polymer is formed as shown in Figure 7. The Hg–O distances are in the range of 2.39(4)–2.87(4)

Table 2. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (deg) for 6

| Table 2. | Selected B    | Jud Lenguis ( | A) and Angles (deg)   | 010       |
|----------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| Hg(1)-   | -Pt(1)        | 2.976(2)      | Hg(1)-Pt(2)           | 2.868(2)  |
| Hg(1)-   | -Pt(3)        | 2.871(2)      | Pt(1)-Pt(2)           | 2.625(2)  |
| Pt(1)-   | •Pt(3)        | 2.617(2)      | Pt(2)-Pt(3)           | 2.622(2)  |
| Pt(1)-   | Re(1)         | 2.788(2)      | Pt(2)-Re(1)           | 2.781(2)  |
| Pt(3)-   | Re(1)         | 2.770(2)      | Pt(1) - P(1)          | 2.310(7)  |
| Pt(1)-   | P(2)          | 2.274(8)      | Pt(2) - P(3)          | 2.299(8)  |
| Pt(2)-   | P(4)          | 2.297(8)      | Pt(3)-P(5)            | 2.300(8)  |
| Pt(3)-   | P(6)          | 2.283(7)      | Re(1) - O(1)          | 1.71(2)   |
| Re(1)-   | -O(2)         | 1.71(2)       | Re(1) - O(3)          | 1.64(3)   |
| Pt(1)-H  | g(1) - Pt(2)  | 53.4(1)       | Pt(1) - Hg(1) - Pt(3) | 53.1(1)   |
| Pt(2)-H  | g(1) - Pt(3)  | 54.4(1)       | Hg(1)-Pt(1)-Pt(2)     | 61.2(1)   |
| Hg(1)-F  | Pt(1) - Pt(3) | 61.4(1)       | Pt(2) - Pt(1) - Pt(3) | 60.0(1)   |
| Hg(1)-F  | Pt(1) - Re(1) | 113.1(1)      | Pt(2) - Pt(1) - Re(1) | 61.7(1)   |
| Pt(3)-Pt | t(1) - Re(1)  | 61.6(1)       | Hg(1)-Pt(2)-Pt(1)     | 65.4(1)   |
| Hg(1)-F  | Pt(2) - Pt(3) | 62.9(1)       | Pt(1) - Pt(2) - Pt(3) | 59.8(1)   |
| Hg(1)-F  | Pt(2) - Re(1) | 116.7(1)      | Pt(1)-Pt(2)-Re(1)     | 62.0(1)   |
| Pt(3)-Pt | t(2) - Re(1)  | 61.6(1)       | Hg(1)-Pt(3)-Pt(1)     | 65.5(1)   |
| Hg(1)-F  | Pt(3) - Pt(2) | 62.8(1)       | Pt(1) - Pt(3) - Pt(2) | 60.2(1)   |
| Hg(1)-F  | Pt(3) - Re(1) | 116.9(1)      | Pt(1) - Pt(3) - Re(1) | 62.2(1)   |
| Pt(2)-Pt | t(3) - Re(1)  | 62.0(1)       | Pt(1)-Re(1)-Pt(2)     | 56.3(1)   |
| Pt(1)-R  | e(1) - Pt(3)  | 56.2(1)       | Pt(2) - Re(1) - Pt(3) | 56.4(1)   |
| Pt(2)-Pt | t(1) - P(1)   | 155.6(2)      | Pt(3) - Pt(1) - P(1)  | 95.7(2)   |
| Pt(2)-Pt | t(1) - P(2)   | 96.0(2)       | Pt(3) - Pt(1) - P(2)  | 156.0(2)  |
| P(1)-Pt( | (1) - P(2)    | 108.3(3)      | Pt(1) - Pt(2) - P(3)  | 94.7(2)   |
| Pt(3)-Pt | t(2) - P(3)   | 154.5(2)      | Pt(1) - Pt(2) - P(4)  | 154.9(2)  |
| Pt(3)-Pt | t(2) - P(4)   | 95.2(2)       | P(3) - Pt(2) - P(4)   | 110.2(3)  |
| Pt(1)-Pt | t(3) - P(5)   | 155.2(2)      | Pt(2) - Pt(3) - P(5)  | 96.1(2)   |
| Pt(1)-Pt | t(3) - P(6)   | 95.6(2)       | Pt(2) - Pt(3) - P(6)  | 155.8(2)  |
| P(5)-Pt( | (3) - P(6)    | 108.0(3)      | Pt(1) - Re(1) - O(1)  | 145.0(6)  |
| Pt(2)-R  | e(1) - O(1)   | 94.5(6)       | Pt(3) - Re(1) - O(1)  | 92.8(6)   |
| Pt(1)-R  | e(1) - O(2)   | 90.6(5)       | Pt(2) - Re(1) - O(2)  | 142.6(5)  |
| Pt(3)-R  | e(1) - O(2)   | 92.3(6)       | O(1) - Re(1) - O(2)   | 108.0(9)  |
| Pt(1)-R  | e(1) - O(3)   | 93.8(6)       | Pt(2) - Re(1) - O(3)  | 91.6(6)   |
| Pt(3)-R  | e(1) - O(3)   | 144.0(6)      | O(1) - Re(1) - O(3)   | 107.0(10) |
| O(2)-Re  | e(1) - O(3)   | 108.9(10)     |                       |           |



**Figure 6.** A view of the structure of  $[Pt_3(Hg)_2(\mu-dppm)_3][ReO_4]_2$ . Carbon atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary radius while other non-hydrogen atoms are shown as 50% probability ellipsoids. Only the *ipso* carbon aoms of the phenyl groups are shown for clarity.

Å. All can be considered weak by comparison to the Pauling covalent Hg–O distance of 2.22 Å and the observed Hg–O distances of 2.21(3)–2.28(3) Å in the cluster [HOs<sub>5</sub>Hg<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>15</sub>(O<sub>2</sub>-CCF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>].<sup>15a</sup> They are comparable to the weak Hg–O bonds found in [Hg<sub>3</sub>( $\mu$ -dppm)<sub>3</sub>][SO<sub>4</sub>]<sub>2</sub> [2.54(2)–3.25(2) Å].<sup>15b</sup> Two of the Hg–O bond distances, Hg(2)–O(5) = 2.39(4) Å and Hg(2)–O(7A) = 2.56(4) Å, are shorter than the other two, Hg-

<sup>(14)</sup> For example: (a) Domingos, A.; Marcalo, J.; Paulo, A.; Pires de Matos, A.; Santos, I. *Inorg. Chem.* **1993**, *32*, 5114. (b) Dignan, I. A.; Herrmann, W. A.; Herdtweck, E. *Chem. Ber.* **1990**, *123*, 1347. (c) Herrmann, W. A.; Kuchler, J. G.; Felixberger, J. K.; Herdtweck, E.; Wagner, W. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1988**, *27*, 394.

Platinum–Rhenium–Mercury Cluster Chemistry

**Table 3.** Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) for  $7^a$ 

| Hg(1)-Pt(1)           | 2.754(4)   | Hg(1)-Pt(2)           | 2.788(10)  |
|-----------------------|------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Hg(1)-Pt(3)           | 2.828(4)   | Hg(2)-Pt(3)           | 2.770(8)   |
| Hg(2)-Pt(2)           | 2.773(4)   | Hg(2)-Pt(1)           | 2.796(7)   |
| Pt(1)-Pt(2)           | 2.646(4)   | Pt(1)-Pt(3)           | 2.635(3)   |
| Pt(2)-Pt(3)           | 2.633(4)   | Pt(1) - P(1)          | 2.255(14)  |
| Pt(1) - P(2)          | 2.27(2)    | Pt(2) - P(6)          | 2.27(2)    |
| Pt(2) - P(5)          | 2.30(2)    | Pt(3) - P(3)          | 2.27(2)    |
| Pt(3) - P(4)          | 2.28(2)    | Hg(1)•••O(1)          | 2.70(4)    |
| Hg(1)•••O(4)          | 2.87(4)    | Hg(2) - O(5)          | 2.39(4)    |
| Hg(2)O(7A)            | 2.56(4)    | -                     |            |
| Pt(1) - Hg(1) - Pt(2) | 57.02(12)  | Pt(1)-Hg(1)-Pt(3)     | 56.32(10)  |
| Pt(2) - Hg(1) - Pt(3) | 55.91(10)  | Pt(3) - Hg(2) - Pt(2) | 56.72(9)   |
| Pt(3) - Hg(2) - Pt(1) | 56.5(2)    | Pt(2)-Hg(2)-Pt(1)     | 56.74(9)   |
| Pt(2) - Pt(3) - Pt(1) | 60.30(9)   | Pt(3) - Pt(1) - Pt(2) | 59.80(10)  |
| Pt(3) - Pt(2) - Pt(1) | 59.90(11)  | Pt(3) - Pt(1) - Hg(1) | 63.24(9)   |
| Pt(3)-Pt(2)-Hg(1)     | 62.8(2)    | Pt(1)-Pt(2)-Hg(1)     | 60.84(12)  |
| Hg(2)-Pt(2)-Hg(1)     | 113.95(12) | Pt(2)-Pt(1)-Hg(1)     | 62.1(2)    |
| Pt(3)-Pt(1)-Hg(2)     | 61.25(13)  | Pt(2)-Pt(1)-Hg(2)     | 61.19(12)  |
| Hg(1)-Pt(1)-Hg(2)     | 114.3(2)   | Pt(3) - Pt(2) - Hg(2) | 61.6(2)    |
| Pt(1)-Pt(2)-Hg(2)     | 62.07(14)  | Pt(2) - Pt(3) - Hg(2) | 61.7(2)    |
| Pt(1)-Pt(3)-Hg(2)     | 62.23(10)  | Pt(2) - Pt(3) - Hg(1) | 61.3(2)    |
| Pt(1)-Pt(3)-Hg(1)     | 60.43(10)  | Hg(2)-Pt(3)-Hg(1)     | 112.80(14) |
| O(5) - Hg(2) - Pt(1)  | 116.0(10)  | O(7A) - Hg(2) - Pt(1) | 175(2)     |
| O(5)-Hg(2)-O(7A)#1    | 67.5(14)   | O(5)-Hg(2)-Pt(3)      | 156.0(10)  |
| O(7A)#1-Hg(2)-Pt(3)   | 118.9(14)  | O(5) - Hg(2) - Pt(2)  | 142.3(10)  |
| O(7A)#1-Hg(2)-Pt(2)   | 122.7(12)  | P(1) - Pt(1) - P(2)   | 110.9(5)   |
| P(1) - Pt(1) - Pt(3)  | 153.7(4)   | P(2) - Pt(1) - Pt(3)  | 94.4(4)    |
| P(1) - Pt(1) - Pt(2)  | 94.6(4)    | P(2) - Pt(1) - Pt(2)  | 154.2(4)   |
| P(6) - Pt(2) - P(5)   | 109.9(6)   | P(6) - Pt(2) - Pt(3)  | 154.3(4)   |
| P(5) - Pt(2) - Pt(3)  | 95.6(4)    | P(6) - Pt(2) - Pt(1)  | 94.6(4)    |
| P(5) - Pt(2) - Pt(1)  | 155.5(4)   | P(3) - Pt(3) - P(4)   | 108.9(6)   |
| P(3) - Pt(3) - Pt(2)  | 156.5(4)   | P(4) - Pt(3) - Pt(2)  | 94.5(4)    |
| P(3) - Pt(3) - Pt(1)  | 96.3(4)    | P(4) - Pt(3) - Pt(1)  | 154.8(4)   |

<sup>*a*</sup> Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: #1 -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 2.



**Figure 7.** A view of part of the chain structure of  $[Pt_3(Hg)_2(\mu-dppm)_3]$ -[ReO<sub>4</sub>]<sub>2</sub>. The dppm ligands are omitted, and only one orientation of each [ReO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup> group is shown for clarity.

(1)-O(1) = 2.70(4) Å and Hg(1)-O(4) = 2.87(4) Å. The mean Pt-Pt distance in **7** (2.638 Å) is longer than that in **2** or **6**, while the Pt-Hg distances are in the range of 2.754(4)-2.828-(4) Å with a mean distance of 2.785 Å and so are shorter than those in **6** (mean 2.905 Å). A structure which is closely related to **7** has been found in the cluster [Pt<sub>3</sub>( $\mu$ -CO)<sub>3</sub>(PPhCy<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>( $\mu$ <sub>3</sub>-HgBr)<sub>2</sub>] in which two trigonal bipyramidal Pt<sub>3</sub>Hg<sub>2</sub> units are held together by two  $\mu$ -Br bridging ligands.<sup>12</sup>

#### Discussion

The contrast between the Pt<sub>3</sub>Re clusters **1** and **2** in terms of the selectivity and reactivity toward ligand addition is dramatic. Thus, CO and P(OR)<sub>3</sub> react with cluster **1** by addition to rhenium giving  $[Pt_3{Re(CO)_3L}(\mu\text{-dppm})_3]^+$ , L = CO or P(OR)<sub>3</sub>, but react with **2** at platinum to give  $[Pt_3(ReO_3)L(\mu\text{-dppm})_3]^+$ ,  $L = \mu_3$ -CO (**3**) or P(OR)<sub>3</sub> (**4**). The addition to **2** appears to be more sensitive to steric effects than the addition to **1**, since P(OR)<sub>3</sub> reacts with **1** when R = Me or Ph but with **2** only when R = Me. The structure of **3** is analogous to those of  $[Pt_3(\mu_3-SnX_3)-(\mu_3-CO)(\mu-dppm)_3]^+$  (X = Cl or F)<sup>16,17</sup> and  $[Pt_3(\mu_3-Hg)(\mu_3-CO)-(\mu-dppm)_3]^{2+}$ .<sup>10</sup>

The reagents  $Tl^+$  or Hg fail to react with 1 but add to 2 to give the isoelectronic clusters  $[Pt_3(\mu_3-ReO_3)(\mu_3-Tl)(\mu-dppm)_3]^{2+}$ , 5, or  $[Pt_3(\mu_3-ReO_3)(\mu_3-Hg)(\mu-dppm)_3]^+$ , 6, respectively, each of which contain three different metals. In each case, the main group metal is considered to bind to the Pt<sub>3</sub>ReO<sub>3</sub> cluster by donation of its  $6s^2$  electron pair to vacant  $p_z$  orbitals on each platinum atom with back-bonding from platinum into the vacant 6p orbitals of  $Tl^+$  or Hg(0). According to EHMO calculations on the model clusters  $[Pt_3(ReO_3)(Hg)(\mu-H_2PCH_2PH_2)_3]^+$  and  $[Pt_3(Hg)_2(\mu-H_2PCH_2PH_2)_3]^+$ , the charge on each mercury atom is -0.19 e in 6 and -0.18 e in 7, and so it seems that mercury is a (weak) net acceptor ligand in these complexes. However, the calculated charge on thallium in  $[Pt_3(ReO_3)(Tl)(\mu-H_2PCH_2 PH_{2}_{3}^{2+}$  is +1.20 e, indicating that  $Tl^{+}$  is a weak net donor ligand. However, it should be noted that the values of  $\nu(\text{Re=O})$ are higher in both 5 and 6 compared to those in 2, consistent with both Hg(0) and Tl(I) being acceptors and thus increasing ReO  $\pi$ -bonding in 5 and 6. Of course, as well as considering complexes 5 and 6 as adducts of 2 with the 2-electron ligands Tl(acac) and Hg(0), respectively, it is also valid to consider them as pentanuclear trimetallic clusters with Pt<sub>3</sub>ReTl and Pt<sub>3</sub>ReHg cores. The easy formation of these unusual clusters emphasizes the remarkable acceptor properties of the coordinatively unsaturated cluster 2.

#### **Experimental Section**

The compounds  $[Pt_3(\mu_3-H)(\mu-dppm)_3][PF_6]$ ,<sup>14</sup> [MeReO<sub>3</sub>],<sup>15</sup> and  $[Pt_3-{ReO_3}(\mu-dppm)_3][PF_6]^{3c}$  were prepared according to the previously reported procedures.

[Pt<sub>3</sub>( $\mu_3$ -CO){ReO<sub>3</sub>}( $\mu$ -dppm)<sub>3</sub>][PF<sub>6</sub>] (3). To a solution of 2[PF<sub>6</sub>] (28 mg, 0.013 mmol) in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.5 mL) in an NMR tube was bubbled CO for 10 s. Immediately, the red-brown solution became lighter to give a clear red solution. The product **3** was characterized spectroscopically by NMR and IR in solution since removal of the solvent afforded only the starting material **2**[PF<sub>6</sub>]. IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): v(CO) = 1606 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>, v(Re=O) of ReO<sub>3</sub> = 904 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>. NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): <sup>1</sup>H,  $\delta$  = 5.75 (m, 3H, H<sup>a</sup>CP<sub>2</sub>), 5.15 (m, 3H, H<sup>b</sup>CP<sub>2</sub>); <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H},  $\delta$  = -7.6 [s, 6P, <sup>1</sup>J(PtP) = 3507 Hz, <sup>2</sup>J(PtP) = -5 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J(PP) = 155 Hz, dppm]; <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} at -90 °C,  $\delta$  = -6.4 [s, 6P, <sup>1</sup>J(PtP) = 3530 Hz, <sup>2</sup>J(PtP) = -6 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J(PP) = 150 Hz, dppm]. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>31</sup>P NMR for the <sup>13</sup>COenriched sample in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at room temperature gave spectral data that are the same as those above: <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H},  $\delta$  = 224.6 [quin, 1C, <sup>1</sup>J(PtC) = 513 Hz,  $\mu_3$ -CO].

[Pt<sub>3</sub>{P(OMe)<sub>3</sub>}{ReO<sub>3</sub>}(µ-dppm)<sub>3</sub>][PF<sub>6</sub>] (4). To a solution of 2[PF<sub>6</sub>] (30 mg, 0.014 mmol) in  $CD_2Cl_2$  (0.5 mL) in an NMR tube was added trimethyl phosphite (1.7 µL, 1 equiv) via microsyringe at -78 °C. NMR spectra were recorded from -80 to 20 °C. Attempts to grow crystals of 4 from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/diethyl ether or acetone/hexane afforded mixtures of well-shaped black crystals, which were identified as the starting complex 2[PF<sub>6</sub>] by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>31</sup>P NMR and IR measurement, and orangeyellow crystals of  $4[PF_6]$ , whose quality was not suitable for X-ray crystallography. Anal. Calcd for C78H75F6O6P8Pt3Re•2(CH3)2CO: C, 42.79; H, 3.72. Found: C, 42.86; H, 3.73. IR (Nujol): v(Re=O) of ReO<sub>3</sub> = 938 (s), 879 (s, br) cm<sup>-1</sup>. NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): <sup>1</sup>H,  $\delta$  = 4.96 [br, 3H,  $H^{a}CP_{2}$ ], 4.77 [br, 3H,  $H^{b}CP_{2}$ ]; <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H},  $\delta = 186.7$  [quin, br, 1P,  ${}^{1}J(\text{PtP}) = 1318 \text{ Hz}, \text{ P(OMe)}_{3}, -14.5 \text{ [s, br, 6P, }{}^{1}J(\text{PtP}) = 2842 \text{ Hz},$  ${}^{3}J(PP) = 206$  Hz, dppm];  ${}^{1}H$  at -80 °C,  $\delta = 5.54$  [br, 2H,  $H^{a}CP_{2}$ ], 5.33 [br, 2H, H<sup>b</sup>CP<sub>2</sub>], 3.67 [m, 9H, P(OMe)<sub>3</sub>], 3.39 [m, 1H, H<sup>a'</sup>CP<sub>2</sub>], 3.34 [m, 1H,  $H^{b'}CP_2$ ]; <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} at -80 °C,  $\delta = 188.2$  [s, 2P<sup>x</sup>, <sup>1</sup>J(PtP) = 3713 Hz,  ${}^{2}J(PtP) = 121$  Hz,  $P(OMe)_{3}$ ], -7.7 [s,  $2P^{b}$ ,  ${}^{1}J(PtP^{b}) =$ 

<sup>(15) (</sup>a) Diebold, M. P.; Johnson, B. F. G.; Lewis, J.; Saharan, V. P.; McPartlin, M.; Powell, H. R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1991, 405, C25.
(b) Hammerle, B.; Muller, E. P.; Wilkinson, D. L.; Muller, G.; Peringer, P. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 1527.

<sup>(16) (</sup>a) Douglas, G.; Jennings, M. C.; Manojlović-Muir, L.; Muir, K. W.; Puddephatt, R. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 159. (b) Jennings, M. C.; Schoettel, G.; Roy, S.; Puddephatt, R. J.; Douglas, G.; Manojlović-Muir, L.; Muir, K. W. Organometallics 1991, 10, 580.

<sup>(17)</sup> Lindsey, R. V., Jr.; Parshall, G. W.; Stolberg, U. G. Inorg. Chem. **1966**, *5*, 109.

2587 Hz,  ${}^{3}J(P^{b}P^{b}) = 203$  Hz, dppm], -8.0 [dm,  $2P^{a}$ ,  ${}^{1}J(PtP^{a}) = 3504$  Hz,  ${}^{3}J(P^{a}P^{c}) = 196$  Hz, dppm], -33.1 [dm,  $2P^{c}$ ,  ${}^{1}J(PtP^{c}) = 2192$  Hz,  ${}^{3}J(P^{a}P^{c}) = 196$  Hz, dppm].

The reaction of  $2[PF_6]$  with triphenyl phosphite or triphenylphosphine was studied in a similar manner as above. No reaction occurred at temperatures between -90 and 20 °C, according to <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>31</sup>P NMR measurements.

**[Pt<sub>3</sub>(μ<sub>3</sub>-TIC<sub>5</sub>H<sub>7</sub>O<sub>2</sub>){ReO<sub>3</sub>}(μ-dppm)<sub>3</sub>]<b>[PF<sub>6</sub>]** (5). To a solution of **2**[PF<sub>6</sub>] (36 mg, 0.017 mmol) in acetone (15 mL) was added thallium acetyl acetonate (5.0 mg, 0.017 mmol). The orange suspension gradually became a clear red solution in 5 min. After 0.5 h of stirring, the solution was concentrated to ca. 2 mL. Hexane was added to precipitate the complex to give the product as orange–yellow crystals. Yield: 83%. Well-shaped red–black multifaced crystals can be obtained from acetone/hexane by the diffusion method. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>80</sub>H<sub>73</sub>F<sub>6</sub>O<sub>5</sub>P<sub>7</sub>Pt<sub>3</sub>ReTI: C, 39.69; H, 3.04. Found: C, 41.16; H, 3.09. IR (Nujol):  $\nu$ (Re=O) of {ReO<sub>3</sub>} = 932 (s), 901 (vs) cm<sup>-1</sup>. NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): <sup>1</sup>H,  $\delta$  = 6.17 [br, 3H, *H*<sup>*a*</sup>CP<sub>2</sub>], 5.92 [br, 3H, *H*<sup>*b*</sup>CP<sub>2</sub>], 5.64 [br, 1H, *CH*(CH<sub>3</sub>CO)<sub>2</sub>)], 2.28 [br, 6H, CH(*CH*<sub>3</sub>CO)<sub>2</sub>)]; <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H},  $\delta$  = -3.0 [d, 6P, <sup>1</sup>J(PtP) = 3212 Hz, <sup>2</sup>J(TIP) = 180 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J(PP) = 147 Hz, dppm].

[Pt<sub>3</sub>(*μ*<sub>3</sub>-Hg){ReO<sub>3</sub>}(*μ*-dppm)<sub>3</sub>][PF<sub>6</sub>] (6). To a solution of 2[PF<sub>6</sub>] (34 mg, 0.016 mmol) in acetone (15 mL) was added a drop of mercury by pipet. The orange suspension gradually became clear intense red in color. After 1 h of stirring, the unreacted mercury was removed. The solution was concentrated to ca. 2 mL. Hexane was added to precipitate the product as reddish-black crystals. Yield: 93%. Well-shaped black cubelike crystals were obtained from acetone/hexane. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>75</sub>H<sub>66</sub>F<sub>6</sub>O<sub>3</sub>P<sub>7</sub>HgPt<sub>3</sub>Re•2(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CO: C, 39.96; H, 3.73. Found: C, 39.75; H, 3.34. IR (Nujol):  $\nu$ (Re=O) of ReO<sub>3</sub> = 943 (s), 913 (s, sh), 907 (s) cm<sup>-1</sup>. NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): <sup>1</sup>H,  $\delta$  = 5.78 [br, 3H,  $H^{\alpha}$ CP<sub>2</sub>], 5.66 [br, 3H,  $H^{\beta}$ CP<sub>2</sub>]; <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H},  $\delta$  = -4.6 [s, 6P, <sup>1</sup>J(PtP) = 3151 Hz, <sup>2</sup>J(HgP) = 177 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J(PP) = 160 Hz, dppm].

**[Pt<sub>3</sub>(μ<sub>3</sub>-Hg)<sub>2</sub>(μ-dppm)<sub>3</sub>{ReO<sub>4</sub>}<sub>2</sub>] (7).** To a solution of 2[PF<sub>6</sub>] (30 mg, 0.014 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (15 mL) was added a drop of mercury by pipet. After 0.5 h of stirring, the dark brown solution gradually became clear red. At this stage, complex **6** was formed. Ammonium perrhenate (4.0 mg, 0.014 mmol) was then added to this solution. After 12 h of stirring while exposed to air, an orange solution was formed which was concentrated and precipitated by hexane to give the product as golden brown crystals. Well-shaped black—red rectangular crystals can be obtained from an acetone solution of **7**. Yield: 86%. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>75</sub>H<sub>66</sub>F<sub>6</sub>Hg<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>P<sub>6</sub>Pt<sub>3</sub>Re<sub>2</sub>•1.5(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CO: C, 35.01; H, 2.77. Found: C, 36.08; H, 2.84. IR (Nujol):  $\nu$ (Re=O) of [ReO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup> = 896 (s), 866 (s) cm<sup>-1</sup>. NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): <sup>1</sup>H,  $\delta$  = 5.75 [s, 6H, H<sub>2</sub>CP<sub>2</sub>]; <sup>31</sup>P-{<sup>1</sup>H},  $\delta$  = -5.1 [s, 6P, <sup>1</sup>J(PtP) = 3143 Hz, <sup>2</sup>J(HgP) = 187 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J(PP) = 173 Hz, dppm].

**Reaction with H<sup>+</sup>.** To a solution of cluster **2** (32 mg, 0.015 mmol) in  $CD_2Cl_2$  in an NMR tube was added excess trifluoroacetic acid. No reaction took place as monitored by spectroscopic methods. The cluster **2** is even unreactive to hydrochloric acid.

**Reaction with AuPPh<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>.** A solution of  $[Au(THF)PPh_3][PF_6]$ , which was freshly prepared from  $[AuCl(PPh_3)]$  (17 mg, 0.033 mmol) in THF (10 mL) and AgPF<sub>6</sub> (9 mg, 0.033 mmol) and then filtered, was added dropwise to a solution of **2** (35 mg, 0.017 mmol) in THF (10 mL) while stirring. No reaction occurred in solution during a period of 2 days or after evaporation of the solution as indicated by NMR spectroscopy.

X-ray Crystal Structure Analyses. A summary of the crystal data and analyses is given in Table 4. Measurements for  $2[PF_6]$  were made by using an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 diffractometer and for  $6[PF_6]$  and  $7[ReO_4]_2$ , with crystals in flame-sealed capillary tubes to prevent solvent loss, using a Siemens P4 diffractometer, with graphite-monochromated Mo K $\alpha$  radiation in all cases.

**2[PF<sub>6</sub>].** The unit cell dimensions were determined from the diffractometric angles for 25 reflections with  $20.8 \le 2\theta$ (Mo K $\alpha$ )  $\le 24.7^{\circ}$ . The intensities of reflections were measured by  $\omega/2\theta$  scans, with scan speeds adjusted to give  $\sigma(I)/I \le 0.03$ , subject to a time limit of 30 s. Three reflections were measured every 2 h in order to monitor stability of the crystal and diffractometer. The intensities were corrected for Lorentz, polarization, crystal decomposition (6.8% intensity loss over 200 h), and absorption effects. The absorption correction was

**Table 4.** Crystal Data and Experimental Details for **2**[PF<sub>6</sub>], **6**[PF<sub>6</sub>]·2Me<sub>2</sub>CO, and **7**[ReO<sub>4</sub>]<sub>2</sub>·1.5Me<sub>2</sub>CO

| formula                       | C <sub>75</sub> H <sub>66</sub> F <sub>6</sub> O <sub>3</sub> - | C <sub>81</sub> H <sub>78</sub> F <sub>6</sub> Hg- | C79.5H75Hg2-                                     |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|
|                               | P <sub>7</sub> Pt <sub>3</sub> Re                               | O <sub>5</sub> P <sub>7</sub> Pt <sub>3</sub> Re   | O <sub>9.5</sub> Pt <sub>3</sub> Re <sub>2</sub> |
| fw                            | 2117.54   | 2434.35  | 2727.06  |
| cryst. sys.                   | cubic   | triclinic  | triclinic  |
| space group                   | <i>Pa</i> 3 (No. 205)   | $P\overline{1}$                                    | $P\overline{1}$                                  |
| <i>a</i> , Å                  | 24.495(1)   | 14.502(5)  | 16.088(5)  |
| <i>b</i> , Å                  |   | 16.712(7)  | 16.307(7)  |
| <i>c</i> , Å                  |   | 20.814(6)  | 19.390(7)  |
| α, deg                        |   | 70.00(3)   | 99.49(2)   |
| $\beta$ , deg                 |   | 82.52(1)   | 93.70(1)   |
| γ, deg                        |   | 82.39(3)   | 117.30(2)  |
| V, Å <sup>3</sup>             | 14697(1)  | 4679(3)  | 4401(4)  |
| Ζ                             | 8   | 2  | 2  |
| d (calcd), g cm <sup>-3</sup> | 1.914   | 1.727  | 2.058  |
| temp, °C                      | 20  | 25   | 25   |
| λ, Å                          | 0.71073   | 0.71073  | 0.71073  |
| abs coeff, mm <sup>-1</sup>   | 7.549   | 7.57   | 11.12  |
| F(000)                        | 8064  | 2304   | 2524   |
| reflns <sup>a</sup>           | 3113  | 7227   | 3946   |
| parameters                    | 250   | 356  | 363  |
| R                             | 0.0421  | 0.0688   | 0.1010   |
| $R_{\rm w}$                   | $0.0883^{c}$  | $0.0711^{b}$                                       | $0.2149^{c}$                                     |
|                               |   |  |  |

<sup>*a*</sup>  $I > 2\sigma(I), R = \sum(|F_o| - |F_c|)/\sum|F_o|. {}^{b}R_w = [\sum w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2/ \sum w|F_o|^2]^{1/2}. {}^{c}wR_2 = [\sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2/\sum w|F_o|^4]^{1/2}.$ 

applied using the  $\psi$ -scan method<sup>18</sup> (correction factor on  $F^2$  was 0.409–0.999, corresponding to a difference in mean transmission path of 0.12 mm). Of 12 962 reflections measured, only 3900 ( $R_{int} = 0.088$ ) were symmetrically independent and were used in the structure analysis.

The structure was solved by the heavy atom method<sup>19</sup> and refined to convergence by full-matrix least-squares method on  $F^2$ , with  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F^2) + (0.0375P)^2 + 137P]$ , where  $P = [F_{obs}^2 + 2F_{calc}^2]/3$ . All non-hydrogen atoms were assigned anisotropic displacement parameters. Hydrogen atoms were allowed to ride on the parent carbon atoms, with C-H distances of 0.93 Å in C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub> and 0.97 Å in CH<sub>2</sub> groups and with isotropic displacement parameters  $U(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ . Neutral atom scattering factors and anomalous dispersion corrections were taken from ref 20. All refinement calculations were performed using the SHELX-93 program package.<sup>21</sup>

The final atomic parameters are listed in Table 5. The unit cell contains eight cations, each with crystallographic symmetry 3 and with its Re(1) atom lying exactly on the triad axis (Wykoff site *c*). Four of the eight  $[PF_6]^-$  anions present in the unit cell are accounted for by the atoms P(3) (on Wykoff site *a*) and F(3) which defines a  $[PF_6]^-$  anion with exact 3 symmetry. The remaining four anions, defined by the atoms P(4), F(4A), and F(4B) (all with site occupancy factors of 1/2), have 3-fold symmetry [P(4) on Wykoff site *c*] and are disordered over eight equivalent sites. All the fluorine atoms, and also to a lesser extent the carbon atoms C(44), C(45), and C(46), have displacement parameters whose size (see Table 3) and anisotropy are suggestive of disorder. Attempts to model this disorder were not successful.

**6[PF<sub>6</sub>].** The cell constants were obtained by centering 25 highangle reflections  $(14.0 \le 2\theta \le 24.9^{\circ})^{.22}$  The Laue symmetry  $\overline{I}$  was determined by merging symmetry equivalent reflections. During data collection three standards were monitored for every 297 data measured. The data were collected in the  $2\theta$  range of  $4.0-46^{\circ}$  ( $-1 \le h \le 15$ ,  $-17 \le k \le 17, -22 \le l \le 22$ ) in  $\omega$  scan mode at variable scan speeds (1-10 deg/min). Background measurements were made at the ends of the scan range. The data processing, solution, and refinements were done using *SHELXTL-PC* programs.<sup>23</sup> The data were corrected for

- (19) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXS86, Program for Solution of Crystal Structures, University of Goettingen, Germany, 1985.
- (20) International Tables for X-ray Crystallography; International Union of Crystallography; Kluwer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1992; Vol. C
- (21) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELX93, Program for Refinement of Crystal Structures, University of Goettingen, Germany, 1993.
- (22) XSCANS, Siemens Analytical X-Ray Instruments Inc., Madison, WI, 1990.

<sup>(18)</sup> MOLEN, Crystallographic Program Package, Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands, 1991.

**Table 5.** Atomic Fractional Coordinates ( $\times 10^4$ ) and Displacement Parameters ( $\times 10^3$  Å<sup>2</sup>) for [Pt<sub>3</sub>(ReO<sub>3</sub>)(Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>][PF<sub>6</sub>]

|       | · · ·    |          | = =/+31  | ~3              |
|-------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------|
| atom  | x        | у        | z.       | $U_{ m eq}{}^a$ |
| Pt(1) | 2076(1)  | 2305(1)  | 1463(1)  | 38(1)           |
| Re(1) | 2476(1)  | 2476(1)  | 2476(1)  | 53(1)           |
| P(1)  | 1415(1)  | 2887(1)  | 1145(1)  | 45(1)           |
| P(2)  | 2810(1)  | 2257(1)  | 0896(1)  | 45(1)           |
| P(3)  | 1/2      | 1/2      | 0        | 41(1)           |
| P(4)  | 9396(3)  | 4396(3)  | 604(3)   | 58(3)           |
| F(3)  | 4862(14) | 4910(9)  | 0561(7)  | 312(12)         |
| F(4A) | 9301(11) | 4531(17) | 166(13)  | 248(20)         |
| F(4B) | 9630(16) | 4287(12) | 1055(11) | 205(13)         |
| O(1)  | 2312(4)  | 2393(4)  | 3147(3)  | 83(3)           |
| C(1)  | 1132(4)  | 3278(4)  | 1719(4)  | 53(3)           |
| C(11) | 3251(3)  | 2868(2)  | 0897(3)  | 57(3)           |
| C(12) | 3242(3)  | 3220(3)  | 0457(3)  | 80(4)           |
| C(13) | 3563(4)  | 3683(3)  | 0460(3)  | 93(4)           |
| C(14) | 3893(4)  | 3794(3)  | 0903(4)  | 96(5)           |
| C(15) | 3902(3)  | 3442(4)  | 1343(3)  | 94(4)           |
| C(16) | 3581(3)  | 2979(3)  | 1340(3)  | 78(4)           |
| C(21) | 2724(3)  | 2113(3)  | 0171(2)  | 54(3)           |
| C(22) | 2203(3)  | 2090(3)  | -0041(3) | 68(3)           |
| C(23) | 2125(4)  | 1968(4)  | -0586(3) | 98(5)           |
| C(24) | 2569(5)  | 1867(3)  | -0918(2) | 107(6)          |
| C(25) | 3090(4)  | 1890(3)  | -0706(3) | 101(5)          |
| C(26) | 3168(3)  | 2013(3)  | -0161(4) | 78(4)           |
| C(31) | 0826(3)  | 2525(3)  | 0858(3)  | 55(3)           |
| C(32) | 0329(3)  | 2774(3)  | 0767(3)  | 69(3)           |
| C(33) | -0102(2) | 2477(4)  | 0560(3)  | 91(5)           |
| C(34) | -0036(3) | 1929(4)  | 0443(3)  | 101(5)          |
| C(35) | 0461(4)  | 1680(3)  | 0534(4)  | 95(5)           |
| C(36) | 0892(3)  | 1978(3)  | 0742(3)  | 65(3)           |
| C(41) | 1586(3)  | 3428(3)  | 0662(3)  | 57(3)           |
| C(42) | 2017(3)  | 3768(3)  | 0789(3)  | 81(4)           |
| C(43) | 2168(4)  | 4175(3)  | 0431(4)  | 90(4)           |
| C(44) | 1889(5)  | 4243(4)  | -0054(4) | 150(9)          |
| C(45) | 1459(5)  | 3903(4)  | -0181(3) | 175(11)         |
| C(46) | 1307(4)  | 3495(4)  | 0177(3)  | 120(7)          |

 $^{a}U_{\rm eq}$  is one-third of the trace of the orthogonalized anisotropic displacement tensor.

absorption by the Gaussian method after indexing the faces of the data crystal and measuring the distances between the faces. The minimum and the maximum transmission factors are 0.2854 and 0.4776, respectively. Of 13 639 reflections collected, 12 363 were independent (R = 0.0431) and were used in the least-squares refinement. The structure was solved by direct methods followed by subsequent difference Fourier routines. Anisotropic thermal parameters were assigned and refined for Hg, Pt, Re, P, and O atoms. All the phenyl rings were treated as ideal hexagons with C-C distance of 1.395 Å. No attempt was made to locate the hydrogen atom positions, and all the hydrogen atoms in the cation were placed in the calculated positions, and they were included for the purpose of structure factor calculations only. A common thermal parameter was assigned for all hydrogen atoms and refined in the least-squares cycles. In the PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> anion, the fluorine atoms in the equatorial positions were found to be disordered. Two different orientations of the disordered F atoms were found to be related by rotation of 45° around the F(1)-P(7)-F(2) axis, and their occupancies (0.55/0.45) were derived from the ratio of their electron densities obtained from the difference Fourier routines. Due to this disorder problem, each fragment was treated as an ideal octahedron and a common P-F distance was refined (to 1.488 Å) in the leastsquares cycles. Common isotropic thermal parameters were assigned for each set of disordered fluorine atoms and refined. Four fragments of acetone solvent molecules located in the crystal lattice were added to two molecules. Ideal geometrical constraints were imposed (C-O = 1.20 Å, C-C = 1.54 Å, and C-C-C and  $C-C-O = 120^{\circ}$ ) for all the acetone fragments. Common isotropic thermal parameters were assigned for each set of acetone fragment and refined. Hydrogen atoms were not included for the solvent molecule. In the final full-matrix refinements on F, the model converged at R = 0.0688,  $R_w = 0.0711$ ,

Table 6. Selected Atomic Coordinates  $(\times 10^4)$  for 6

| atom  | x          | у         | z         |
|-------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Hg(1) | 2478.7(10) | 3684.1(7) | 1968.2(5) |
| Pt(1) | 2399.2(8)  | 1833.0(6) | 2208.8(4) |
| Pt(2) | 1675.7(8)  | 2462.0(6) | 3179.1(4) |
| Pt(3) | 3492.9(1)  | 2273.5(6) | 2911.7(4) |
| Re(1) | 2577.5(9)  | 815.8(7)  | 3570.8(5) |
| P(1)  | 3588(5)    | 1420(4)   | 1501(3)   |
| P(2)  | 1015(5)    | 1625(4)   | 1916(3)   |
| P(3)  | 153(5)     | 2401(4)   | 3014(3)   |
| P(4)  | 1721(5)    | 3076(4)   | 4006(3)   |
| P(5)  | 3846(5)    | 2966(4)   | 3620(3)   |
| P(6)  | 4857(5)    | 1906(4)   | 2353(3)   |
| O(1)  | 2763(15)   | 810(11)   | 4369(8)   |
| O(2)  | 3467(14)   | 178(11)   | 3316(9)   |
| O(3)  | 1594(18)   | 395(12)   | 3652(9)   |
| C(10) | 4642(17)   | 1151(14)  | 1936(10)  |
| C(20) | 2827(19)   | 3598(16)  | 3854(12)  |
| C(30) | 71(18)     | 1592(14)  | 2633(11)  |
|       |            |           |           |

Table 7. Selected Atomic Coordinates  $(\times 10^4)$  for 7

| atom   | x        | у        | z         |
|--------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Hg(1)  | 1830(2)  | 1548(2)  | 6319(1)   |
| Hg(2)  | 3585(2)  | 3729(2)  | 8419(1)   |
| Pt(1)  | 2248(2)  | 3227(2)  | 7220(1)   |
| Pt(2)  | 2287(2)  | 1872(2)  | 7784(1)   |
| Pt(3)  | 3651(2)  | 2826(2)  | 7098(1)   |
| P(1)   | 796(10)  | 2926(11) | 7462(8)   |
| P(2)   | 2814(11) | 4391(11) | 6602(8)   |
| P(3)   | 4418(10) | 3894(11) | 6441(8)   |
| P(4)   | 4458(12) | 2015(11) | 7260(8)   |
| P(5)   | 2896(12) | 914(10)  | 8057(7)   |
| P(6)   | 957(11)  | 1556(12) | 8277(8)   |
| Re(1)  | 1106(3)  | 875(2)   | 4326(2)   |
| O(1)   | 1663(53) | 632(56)  | 4982(36)  |
| O(2)   | 1859(57) | 1262(77) | 3753(45)  |
| O(3)   | 880(78)  | 1739(66) | 4714(46)  |
| O(4)   | 106(55)  | -86(51)  | 3942(49)  |
| O(2A)  | 1853(60) | 1889(54) | 4131(53)  |
| O(3A)  | 223(64)  | 1013(86) | 4678(48)  |
| O(4A)  | 659(78)  | -19(55)  | 3631(39)  |
| Re(2)  | 4456(5)  | 5858(5)  | 10066(4)  |
| Re(2A) | 4672(5)  | 6125(5)  | 9817(4)   |
| O(5)   | 3800(28) | 5041(25) | 9312(19)  |
| O(6)   | 4190(29) | 6778(26) | 10149(21) |
| O(7)   | 5642(26) | 6309(39) | 10035(35) |
| O(8)   | 4205(52) | 5363(40) | 10778(25) |
| O(7A)  | 5101(45) | 5830(40) | 10552(26) |
| O(8A)  | 5531(39) | 6650(39) | 9374(33)  |
| C(10)  | 210(39)  | 1821(36) | 7690(28)  |
| C(20)  | 3533(39) | 4152(39) | 5977(28)  |
| C(30)  | 4174(38) | 1518(38) | 7988(28)  |

 $R_{\rm g} = 0.0907$ , and GOF = 1.43 for 7227 observations with  $F_{\rm o} \ge 4\sigma$ -( $F_{\rm o}$ ) and 356 parameters. The maximum shift/esd = -0.013 for rot(z) O(7). The electron density in the final difference Fourier synthesis fluctuates in the range from 1.66 to -2.16 e Å<sup>-3</sup>. The top 10 peaks were associated with the heavy metal atoms. Inclusion of empirical absorption corrected data did not improve the refinement parameters. Atomic parameters are given in Table 6.

**7[ReO<sub>4</sub>]<sub>2</sub>.** Long rodlike red crystals were grown from acetone solution at room temperature. The crystals were examined under paraffin oil. The cell constants were obtained by centering 25 reflections ( $21.4 \le 2\theta \le 24.8^{\circ}$ ). The crystal diffracted weakly, and the  $\omega$  scans were broad. Three standard reflections monitored at the end of every 297 data points collected showed steady decay of the crystal. The data collection was stopped at the end of shell  $2\theta = 40^{\circ}$  (the minimum and maximum decay correction factors are 0.734 and 1.000). A total of 8753 reflections were collected in the  $2\theta$  range of  $4.0-40.0^{\circ}$  ( $-1 \le h \le 14, -15 \le k \le 13, -18 \le l \le 18$ ) in  $\omega$  scan mode at variable scan speeds (2-10 deg/min). Background measurements were made at the ends of the scan range. An empirical absorption was applied to the data. The maximum and minimum transmission factors were 0.192 and 0.121, respectively. In the triclinic system, the

<sup>(23)</sup> Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXTL-PC Software, Siemens Analytical X-Ray Instruments Inc., Madison, WI, 1990.

space group  $P\overline{1}$  was assumed and the correctness of the choice of the space group was supported by the successful solution and refinement of the structure. The data processing, solution, and initial refinements were done using SHELXTL-PC programs.<sup>23</sup> The final refinements were performed using SHELXL-93 software programs.<sup>21</sup> No attempt was made to locate the hydrogen atoms, but all hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions, and they were included for the purpose of structure factor calculations only. The isotropic thermal parameters of the hydrogen atoms were allowed to ride on the appropriate C atoms. Both of the ReO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> anions were found to be disordered. The oxygen atoms O(2), O(3), and O(4) were disordered (50:50) around Re(1) and were related by a rotation along Re(1)-O(1) bond. The disordered fragments of Re(2), O(7), and O(8) were related by a mirror plane containing O(5) and O(6) atoms. Isotropic thermal parameters were refined for the Re(2) and Re(2A) atoms. Due to the disorder problem, soft geometrical constraints were imposed (SADI) for Re-O and O···O distances. The common isotropic thermal parameter was refined for each set of oxygen atoms in the least-squares cycles. The difference Fourier routines indicated the presence of acetone solvate in three different positions. The site occupancies were 0.6, 0.4, and 0.5, as derived from the electron densities. One of the acetone fragments was disordered over two positions, with the fragments related by a mirror plane, with O(12) and C(19) in the mirror plane. Ideal constraints were imposed (DFIX, C-O = 1.20 Å and C-C = 1.54 Å) for these solvent molecules. Common isotropic thermal parameters were assigned for each set of fragments and refined. No H atoms were included for the disordered acetone molecule. All of the Hg, Pt, and P atoms, and the Re(1) atom, were refined anisotropically. In the final least-squares refinement cycles on  $F^2$ , the model converged at R1 = 0.101, wR2 = 0.215, and GOF = 1.01 for 3946 observations with  $F_o \ge 4\sigma(F_o)$  and 363 parameters. In the final difference Fourier synthesis, the electron density fluctuated in the range from 2.51 to  $-3.12 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ . The top two peaks were found near Re(2A) and Re(2), respectively, and the next nine peaks were found around heavy metals Pt, Hg, and Re. The mean and the maximum shift/esd in the final cycles were 0.016 and 0.619. Atomic parameters are given in Table 7, and further details have been included in the supplementary materials.

**Acknowledgment.** We thank the NSERC (Canada), the EPSRC (UK), and the University of Glasgow for financial support and the Iranian Ministry of Science for a Studentship (to A.A.T.).

Supporting Information Available: Tables of crystal data, coordinates of all atoms, complete bond lengths and angles, anisotropic displacement parameters of non-hydrogen atoms, and calculated H-atom coordinates for  $2[PF_6]$ ,  $6[PF_6]$ , and  $7[ReO_4]_2$  (Tables S1-S17) (30 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

IC950825N