Sequential Growth of Heteropolymetallic ($Pt_2 \rightarrow AgPt_2 \rightarrow Ag_2Pt_2 \rightarrow Ag_2Pt_4$) Sulfide Aggregates. Structure of the Intermediate $Ag_2Pt_2Cl_2(PPh_3)_4(\mu_3-S)_2$ with a Flat { Pt_2S_2 } Core and Two AgCl Molecular Pendants

Huang Liu,[†] Agnes L. Tan,[‡] C. R. Cheng,[†] K. F. Mok,^{*,†} and T. S. Andy Hor^{*,†}

> Departments of Chemistry and Computational Science, Faculty of Science, National University of Singapore, Kent Ridge, Singapore 119260

> > Received September 25, 1996

Introduction

The value of $Pt_2(PPh_3)_4(\mu-S)_2$ (1)¹ as a precursor to homo-,² hetero-,³ and intermetallic⁴ sulfide aggregates is exemplified by its ability to capture virtually all metal atoms in all common geometries. Such versatility, which is unmatched by other documented metalloligands,⁵ is attributed to the high nucleophilicity and adjustable orientations of the sulfur lone pairs. Our recent studies⁶ suggested that the lone pair directionality is a function of the dihedral angle of the $\{Pt_2S_2\}$ core. Among all the crystallographically established aggregates, this core is usually butterfly-shaped with the metals at the wing tips, S···S along the hinge, and the hinge angle range 123-139°.^{2-4,7,8} Such butterfly configuration permits the lone pairs to point inward and therefore supports 1 as a chelating ligand. While this is commonly found, there are two notable exceptions, [Au₂Pt₂- $Cl_2(PPh_3)_4(\mu_3-S)_2$] (2)^{3d} and $[Ag_2{Pt_2(PPh_3)_4(\mu-S)_2}_2]^{2+}$ (3).^{3b} Complex 3 is the only species whereby 1 is in a close-bridging mode in order to support an unusual Ag^I-Ag^I bond. Complex 2 contains a curiously flat $\{Pt_2S_2\}$ core; the significance of this structural anomaly was not realized until recently, when a large number of aggregates were crystallographically characterized and invariably all the $\{Pt_2S_2\}$ cores showed a butterfly

[†] Department of Chemistry.

- [‡] Department of Computational Science. (1) Ugo, R.; La Monica, G.; Cenini, S.; Segre, A.; Conti, F. J. Chem.
- *Soc. A* **1971**, 522. (2) Aw, B. H.; Looh, K. K.; Chan, H. S. O.; Tan, K. L.; Hor, T. S. A. *J.*
- *Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1994**, 3177. (3) (a) Briant, C. E.; Hor, T. S. A.; Howells, N. D.; Mingos, D. M. P. *J.*
- (a) Bhan, C. E., Hoi, F. D. H., Howman, 1983, 1118. (b) Briant, C. E.; Hor, T. S. A.; Howells, N. D.; Mingos, D. M. P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 256, C15. (c) Briant, C. E.; Gilmour, D. I.; Luke, M. A.; Mingos, D. M. P. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1985, 851. (d) Bos, W.; Bour, J. J.; Schlebos, P. P. J.; Hageman, P.; Bosman, W. P.; Smits, J. M. M.; Van Wietmarschen, J. A. C.; Beurskens, P. T. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1986, 119, 141. (e) Gilmour, D. I.; Luke, M. A.; Mingos, D. M. P. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1987, 335. (f) Liu, H.; Tan, A. L.; Mok, K. F.; Hor, T. S. A. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1996, 4023. (g) Liu, H.; Tan, A. L.; Xu, Y.; Mok, K. F.; Hor, T. S. A. Polyhedron 1997, 16, 377.
- (4) (a) Zhou, M.; Xu, Y.; Koh, L.-L.; Mok, K. F.; Leung, P.-H.; Hor, T. S. A. *Inorg. Chem.* **1993**, *32*, 1875. (b) Zhou, M.; Xu, Y.; Lam, C.-F.; Koh, L.-L.; Mok, K. F.; Leung, P.-H.; Hor, T. S. A. *Inorg. Chem.* **1993**, *32*, 4660. (c) Zhou, M.; Xu, Y.; Lam, C.-F.; Leung, P.-H.; Koh, L.-L.; Mok, K. F.; Hor, T. S. A. *Inorg. Chem.* **1994**, *33*, 1572. (d) Zhou, M.; Xu, Y.; Tan, A.-M.; Leung, P.-H.; Mok, K. F.; Koh, L.-L.; Hor, T. S. A. *Inorg. Chem.* **1995**, *34*, 6425.
- (5) (a) Vahrenkamp, R. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1979, 18, 531.
 (b) Darensbourg, D. J.; Zalewski, D. J.; Sanchez, K. M., Delord, T. Inorg. Chem. 1988, 27, 821. (c) Adams, R. D. Polyhedron 1985, 4, 2003. (d) Adams, R. D.; Babin, J. E.; Tasi, M. Inorg. Chem. 1987, 26, 2807.
- (6) Tan, A. L.; Chiew, M. L.; Hor, T. S. A. J. Mol. Struct.: THEOCHEM in press.
- (7) Hor, T. S. A. J. Cluster Sci. 1996, 7, 263.
- (8) Hor, T. S. A. D. Phil. Thesis, University of Oxford. 1983.

structure.^{2–4,7,8} Our objective therefore is to determine whether such a flat structure can be sustained when AuCl is replaced by its congeneric AgCl and whether the resultant complex is synthetically and/or structurally related to **3**. Accordingly, we wish to establish whether such folding of the butterfly is a necessary precondition to the switch of coordination mode from open- to close-bridging and whether these stereogeometrical changes could provide a platform for aggregate growth to occur. Our long-term target is to build supramolecular heterometallic structures from the existing metal aggregates. It is therefore pertinent to understand the structures and bonding of these aggregates and establish a growth mechanism.

Result and Discussion

Complex 1 reacts readily with equimolar $Ag(NO_3)(PPh_3)$ to give $[AgPt_2(PPh_3)_5(\mu_3-S)_2]NO_3$ (4a). The ionic nitrate group is verified by a strong IR band at 1384 cm⁻¹ whereas molar conductivity measurements in MeOH show a 1:1 electrolyte. The Pt- and Ag-coordinated phosphines are identified from their ³¹P{¹H} NMR resonances at a \sim 4:1 intensity ratio with characteristic ¹⁹⁵Pt and ¹⁰⁷Ag/¹⁰⁹Ag couplings, respectively. Metathesis of 4a with NH₄PF₆ gives $[AgPt_2(PPh_3)_5(\mu_3-S)_2]PF_6$ (4b). Mixing of AgCl(PPh₃) with 1 in 2:1 molar ratio gives $Ag_2Pt_2Cl_2(PPh_3)_4(\mu_3-S)_2$ (5), whose ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum shows that there is no phosphine on the Ag(I) center and that all Pt-bound phosphines are equivalent. When equimolar amounts of AgCl(PPh₃) and 1 are mixed, [AgPt₂(PPh₃)₅(µ₃-S)₂]-Cl, 4c, and 5 are isolated. Complex 4c is an intermediate in this reaction, and it converts to 5 in the presence of an equimolar amount of AgCl(PPh₃). Complex 5 can also be prepared directly from 1 and AgCl in a heterogeneous reaction. Interestingly, an equimolar mixture of **5** and **1** readily gives [Ag₂{Pt₂(PPh₃)₄- $(\mu_3-S)_2$ [2]Cl₂ (**3a**). This is effectively a ligand replacement reaction of chloride by the thio centers in 1. There is no evidence for a metal migratory reaction such as the formation of AgPt₂Cl(PPh₃)₄(μ_3 -S) (6) from 5, but complex 6 can be prepared from 4c via the ligand substitution of PPh₃ by Cl with stirring and heating. Complex 3a metathesizes easily with NH₄- PF_6 to give $[Ag_2{Pt_2(PPh_3)_4(\mu_3-S)_2}_2][PF_6]_2$ (3b). Similar hexametallic complexes of $[Ag_2{Pt_2(PPh_3)_4(\mu_3-S)_2}_2]X_2$ [X = NO_3^{-} (3c); BF_4^{-} (3d)]^{3b} have been prepared from the addition reactions of AgX with 1. Complex 3a reverts to 5 in the presence of excess AgCl(PPh₃). These reactions show the strong affinity of Ag(I) for the thio ligands in **1**. The relationship of these reactions is summarized in Scheme 1.

Complex 4 represents a sulfide-bicapped triangular AgPt₂ aggregate whose Cu analogue, viz. $[CuPt_2(PPh_3)_5(\mu_3-S)_2]PF_6$,^{3g} has been crystallographically characterized recently. Complex 3, which was among the earliest aggregates reported, shows a hexametallic {Ag₂Pt₄} structure with a strong Ag-Ag bond sandwiched by two {Pt₂S₂} butterflies.^{3b} It cannot be obtained from 4 through phosphine dissociation and dimerization but instead from a one-pot assembly of $[2Pt_2 + 2Ag^+]$ (*i.e.*, 1 + Ag^+) or an aggregate expansion reaction of $[Ag_2Pt_2 + Pt_2]$ (*i.e.*, 5 + 1). A single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis of 5 shows an intriguing planar {Pt₂S₂} ring with two AgCl "molecular pendants" protruding out vertically from the sulfur sites at opposite directions. [Pt(1)-S(1)-Ag(1) = 82.5(1) and Pt(1a) - $S(1)-Ag(1) = 80.0(1)^{\circ}$ (Figure 1) The *anti* arrangement of these two AgCl tails forbids any Ag-Ag interaction, which is in striking contrast to the Ag-Ag bond found in the T-shaped d^{10} -Ag(I) in **3**. Another notable difference is that the flat Pt planes in 5 hinge considerably in 3 (average $\theta = 138.6^{\circ}$).⁸ This "wing flapping" offers 1 an extra motional freedom which helps



Figure 1. ORTEP plot of the molecular structure of $[Ag_2Pt_2Cl_2(PPh_3)_4-(\mu_3-S)_2]\cdot 2CHCl_3$ (**5**) with 50% probability thermal ellipsoids (phenyl rings and solvate removed for clarity).

Scheme 1. Heterometalation of $Pt_2(PPh_3)_4(\mu-S)_2$ (1) with Ag(I) Complexes Showing a Sequential Growth of the Boxed Structures (P = PPh_3)



to support a range of M–M distances. Conversion of 5 to 3 is therefore facile as it requires only chloride dissociation and closing up of the butterfly wings. Effectively, 1 changes from a chelating mode to an open-bridging and then a close-bridging mode in a sequential conversion from 4c to 3 via 5.

The short Ag···Pt separations in **5** [average 3.095(2) Å] are nonbonding although they are comparable with some longer Ag–Pt bonds found in the literature [*e.g.*, 2.772(3) and 3.063-(3) Å in $(NBu_4)_2[Ag_2Pt_2(C_6F_5)_4Cl_4]^9]$. Fenske–Hall molecular orbital calculations¹⁰ on **5** did not reveal any orbital interactions.¹¹ The proximity of the heterometals is facilitated by the capping sulfides. Complex **5** shows no tendency to revert to **1** through fragmentation of AgCl. It is notable that, in the formation of **5** from **1** and excess AgCl(PPh₃), phosphine preferentially departs and **5** is the thermodynamic sink. The covalence of the Ag–Cl bond in **5** is reflected in the strong bond [2.334(5) Å] and the fact that **5** shows little tendency to give **4** through phosphine substitution. There is also no evidence for the conversion of **5** to $[Ag_2Pt_2(PPh_3)_6(\mu_3-S)_2]Cl_2$ (**7**).

The { Pt_2S_2 } butterfly must fold in 4 because the heterometal is attached to both sulfur atoms.⁶ The reason for this moiety being hinged in 3 but flat in 5 is less clear. It is established,⁶ however, that when the { Pt_2S_2 } is hinged, the Pt coordination spheres and substituent-sulfur bonds are directly coupled; such coupling is absent when the core is flat since the M-S bonds are virtually perpendicular to the { Pt_2S_2 } core, as in 5.

We think that, since the metalloligand initially donates electron density in order for the substituents to be attached to sulfur, the impetus for coupling in the presence of electrondonating groups would be to recoup some of the lost electron density. In support of our argument, we have performed Fenske-Hall¹⁰ calculations on $[Pt_2(PPh_3)_4(\mu-S)(\mu-SCH_3)]^+$, which is hinged. The calculated Mulliken charges on the methyl carbon are -0.202 in the natural geometry and -0.246 when flat. In the case of 3, although the Ag-Ag bond is electron withdrawing, the calculations indicate that the Ag atoms actually absorb more electron density when the $\{Pt_2S_2\}$ cores are flat: in the crystal structure (with phenyl rings replaced by H) the average Mulliken charge for Ag is +0.249, whereas for flat cores the value is +0.214. This suggests that coupling through folding allows the two $\{Pt_2S_2\}$ moieties to absorb some electron density from the Ag-Ag bond, which in turn is promoted by the electron-donating properties of the metalloligands themselves.

If the above hypothesis is correct, it is then not surprising that the core in **5** is flat, in view of the electron-withdrawing nature of the AgCl moiety. Of course, in the case of **3**, one must also not discount steric factors arising from the phenyl rings of the phosphine ligands, as well as the need for the S lone pairs to be tilted slightly inward for the Ag–Ag bond to form. (The inward tilt of Ag–S bond is too small to justify the relatively large amount of folding.)

Another point of interest is the *trans* binding of the AgCl fragments in **5**, rather than *cis* with an Ag–Ag bond and a folded $\{Pt_2S_2\}$ core, as in **3**. If the Ag–Ag bond is present, since Cl is more electron withdrawing, less electron density would reside in the bond, which becomes weaker as a consequence. Moreover, if, as suggested above, coupling is not favored by electronwithdrawing groups such as AgCl, there would be no impetus for folding of $\{Pt_2S_2\}$ simply for the formation of the relatively weak Ag–Ag bond, particularly in the face of electrostatic repulsion between the chloride ions. Note that, in **3**, the sulfur atoms are held in place by coordination to Pt and in any case, are less negatively charged.

Experimental Section

All reactions were performed in a nitrogen atmosphere. Elemental analyses were carried out in the Microanalytical Laboratory in our department. ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR spectra were run on a Bruker ACF 300 spectrometer at 298 K with H_3PO_4 as external reference. IR spectra were taken in a KBr disk on a Perkin-Elmer 1600 FT-IR spectrophotometer. Solution conductivity was measured by using a STEM conductivity 1000 meter.

⁽⁹⁾ Uson, R.; Fornies, J.; Tomas, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 2482.
(10) Hall, M. B.; Fenske, R. F. Inorg. Chem. 1972, 11, 768.

⁽¹¹⁾ The authors thankfully acknowledged the suggestion from a reviewer that there may be a Ag—Pt bond since similar donation from a d⁸ metal center is known (Refer to: Forniés, J.; Navaro, R.; Tomás, M.; Urriolabeitia, E. P. *Organometallics* **1993**, *12*, 940.) and that the electron-withdrawing chloride and low S/Ag ratio in **5** would promote such M–M interaction. We, however, have no evidence of that, although we do not rule out an electrostatic interaction between the "nonbonding" electrons on Pt and the Ag atoms, which possess a partial positive charge. In (PPh₃)₃(CO)Pt₂(μ₃-S)AgCl, however, when the metals are reduced, orbital interactions did surface (unpublished work).



(+) (+) - 1 + (. /
empirical formula	$C_{74}H_{62}Ag_2Cl_8P_4Pt_2S_2$
f_w	2028.8
space group	$P2_1/n$ (No. 14)
а	14.900(7) Å
b	14.148(6) Å
С	18.178(8) Å
β	100.51(4)°
V	3768(2) Å ³
Ζ	2
Т	293 K
λ	0.71073 Å
$D_{ m calcd}$	1.788 g cm^{-3}
μ	4.679 mm^{-1}
no. of obsd rfclns	$5205 [F > 3\sigma(F)]$
R^a	0.056
$R_{ m w}{}^b$	0.095

 ${}^{a}R = \sum ||F_{\rm o}| - |F_{\rm c}|| / \sum |F_{\rm o}|. {}^{b}R_{\rm w} = [\sum w(|F_{\rm o}| - |F_{\rm c}|)^{2} / \sum w|F_{\rm o}|^{2}]^{1/2}.$

Table 2. Atomic Coordinates ($\times 10^4$) and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Coefficients^a (Å² $\times 10^3$) of Selected Atoms for [Ag₂Pt₂Cl₂(PPh₃)₄(μ_3 -S)₂]·2CHCl₃ (**5**)

atom	x	у	z	$U_{ m eq}{}^a$
Pt(1)	4151(1)	9910(1)	574(1)	22(1)
Ag(1)	6249(1)	9575(1)	1089(1)	48(1)
P(1)	3792(2)	10869(2)	1487(2)	26(1)
S(1)	5410(2)	10870(2)	459(2)	30(1)
P(2)	3083(2)	8745(2)	580(2)	29(1)
Cl(1)	7081(4)	8244(4)	1567(3)	88(2)

^{*a*} Equivalent isotropic U_{eq} defined as one-third of trace of the orthogonalized U_{ii} tensor.

Table 3. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (deg) for $[Ag_2Pt_2Cl_2(PPh_3)_4(\mu_3-S)_2] \cdot 2CHCl_3$ (**5**)^{*a*}

Pt(1)-S(1)	2.356(3)	Pt(1)-S(1a)	2.370(4)
Ag(1) - S(1)	2.389(3)	Ag(1)-Cl(1)	2.333(6)
Pt(1) - P(1)	2.282(3)	Pt(1) - P(2)	2.293(3)
$Pt(1) \cdot \cdot \cdot Ag(1)$	3.130(2)	Pt(1)•••Ag(1a)	3.060(2)
Pt(1)•••Pt(1a)	3.569(2)		
Pt(1) - S(1) - Pt(1a)	98.1(1)	Pt(1) - S(1) - Ag(1)	82.5(1)
Pt(1a)-S(1)-Ag(1)	80.0(1)	S(1) - Pt(1) - S(1a)	81.9(1)
S(1) - Pt(1) - P(1)	91.0(1)	S(1) - Pt(1) - P(2)	168.4(1)
S(1a) - Pt(1) - P(1)	171.2(1)	S(1a) - Pt(1) - P(2)	87.8(1)
P(1) - Pt(1) - P(2)	9.7(1)	S(1) - Ag(1) - Cl(1)	173.4(2)

^{*a*} Symmetry operators: 1 - x, 2 - y, -z.

Synthesis of $[AgPt_2(PPh_3)_5(\mu_3-S)_2]^+$ (4) from 1. A mixture of 1 (0.150 g, 0.1 mmol) and Ag(NO₃)(PPh₃) (0.043 g, 0.1 mmol) was stirred in THF (15 mL) for a few minutes to give a clear orange solution. Stirring was continued for 30 min and the resultant mixture filtered and treated with hexane (50 mL) to precipitate $[AgPt_2(PPh_3)_5(\mu_3-S)_2]$ -NO₃ (4a; 0.143 g, 75%). IR (cm⁻¹): 1384 s (NO₃⁻¹). Molar conductivity Λ_m (10⁻³ M, MeOH): 87 Ω^{-1} cm² mol⁻¹. [AgPt_2(PPh_3)_5-(\mu_3-S)_2]PF₆ (4b) was prepared by adding NH₄PF₆ to a MeOH solution of 4a. C₉₀H₇₅AgF₆P₆Pt₂S₂ Anal. calcd: C, 53.5; H, 3.7; P, 9.2; S, 3.2. Found: C, 53.6; H, 3.8; P, 8.9; S, 3.6. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ_{Pt} 22.3 [t, 4P, P-Pt, ¹J(P-Pt) = 2963 Hz, ³J(P-Pt) = 24 Hz], $\delta_{Ag} = 12.6$ [d, 1P, P-Ag, ¹J(P-¹⁰⁷Ag/¹⁰⁹Ag) = 577 Hz]. IR (cm⁻¹): 839 vs (PF₆⁻).

Synthesis of $Ag_2Pt_2Cl_2(PPh_3)_4(\mu_3-S)_2$ (5) from 1. To a suspension of 1 (0.15 g, 0.1 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was added with stirring AgCl-

(PPh₃) (0.081 g, 0.2 mmol). After the suspension changed completely from orange to yellow, the suspended solid was isolated by filtration and purified by recrystallization from CH₂Cl₂/hexane to yield Ag₂Pt₂-Cl₂(PPh₃)₄(μ_3 -S)₂ (**5**) (0.099 g, 62%). It is insoluble in THF and MeOH but soluble in CH₂Cl₂ and CHCl₃. Single crystals of **5**•2CHCl₃ were obtained by slow evaporation of a solution of **5** in CH₂Cl₂/CHCl₃ (1: 1). C₇₄H₆₂Ag₂Cl₈P₄Pt₂S₂ Anal. Calcd: C, 43.8; H, 3.1; Cl, 14.0; P, 6.1; S, 3.2. Found: C, 43.6; H, 3.0; Cl, 14.8; P, 6.5; S, 2.9. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ 27.6 [t, ¹J(P-Pt) = 2883 Hz, ³J(P-Pt) = 32 Hz].

The reaction was repeated using **1** (0.15 g, 0.1 mmol) and AgCl-(PPh₃) (0.040 g, 0.1 mmol). This resulted in a yellow suspension from which [AgPt₂(PPh₃)₅(μ_3 -S)₂]Cl (**4c**; 0.087 g, 46%) and **5** (0.040 g, 21%) could be isolated from the mother liquor and residue, respectively.

Addition of AgCl(PPh₃) (0.020 g, 0.05 mmol) to a solution of 4c (0.096 g, 0.05 mmol) in THF (10 mL) with stirring gave arise to a yellow precipitate of 5 (0.041 g, 35%).

Complex 5 could be similarly obtained by stirring 1 (0.15 g, 0.1 mmol) with AgCl (0.028 g, 0.2 mmol) in THF (20 mL) (yield: 0.134 g, 75%).

Synthesis of $[Ag_2[Pt_2(PPh_3)_4(\mu-S)_2]_2]^{2+}$ (3) from 5 and 1. A suspension of 5 (0.095 g, 0.05 mmol) and 1 (0.075 g, 0.05 mmol) in THF (50 mL) was stirred for 2 h to give a clear orange solution from which yellow solid of $[Ag_2[Pt_2(PPh_3)_4(\mu-S)_2]_2]Cl_2$ (3a) could be isolated (0.068 g, 40%); Λ_m (10⁻³ M, MeOH) 158 Ω^{-1} cm² mol⁻¹. It was treated with NH₄PF₆ in MeOH to yield a yellowish green solid of $[Ag_2-{Pt_2(PPh_3)_4(\mu-S)_2}_2](PF_6)_2$ (3b). Evaporation of a solution of 3b in CH₂Cl₂/MeOH yielded crystals of $[Ag_2-{Pt_2(PPh_3)_4(\mu-S)_2}_2](PF_6)_2$. Anal. Calcd: C, 49.2; H, 3.5; P, 8.8; S, 3.6. Found: C, 48.5; H, 4.0; P, 8.2; S, 3.4. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 20.0 [t, ¹J(P-Pt) = 2924 Hz].

Crystal Structure Determination. A suitable single crystal of **5** with dimensions of $0.26 \times 0.33 \times 0.45$ mm was chosen for X-ray diffraction analysis. Data collection was carried out at 293 K with Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.710$ 73 Å) on a Siemens R3m/V diffractometer equipped with a graphite monochromator and $\omega - 2\theta$ scan mode. A summary of the data collection and structure refinement parameters is given in Table 1. The data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects; semiempirical absorption correction was also applied. The structure was solved by direct methods and difference Fourier maps. Full-matrix least-squares refinement was carried out with anisotropic temperature factor for all non-hydrogen atoms. Phenyl rings were fixed, and hydrogen atoms were place on calculated positions (C-H 0.96 Å) and assigned isotropic thermal parameters riding on their parent atoms. Initial calculations were performed on a PC using SHELXTL PC software package; SHELXTL-93¹² was used for the final refinement.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the National University of Singapore (RP 950695). H.L. and C.R.C. acknowledge the university for the research studentship. A.L.T. thanks the National Science and Technology Board for a postdoctoral fellowship, and the National Supercomputing Research Center for use of its computing facilities and software.

Supporting Information Available: Listing of detailed crystallographic data, refined atomic coordinates and isotropic thermal parameters, anisotropic thermal parameters, bond lengths and angles, and least-squares plane (9 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

IC961173L

⁽¹²⁾ Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL-93, Program for Crystal Structure Refinement; University of Göttingen, Germany, 1993.