





**Figure 1.** ORTEP drawing of  $[\{\text{ClPt}_2(5\text{-mpyt})_4\}_2\text{S}_4]$  (**2a**). Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): Pt1–Pt2 2.556(2), Pt3–Pt4 2.558(2), Pt1–Cl1 2.603(7), Pt4–Cl2 2.567(8), Pt2–S9 2.435(8), Pt3–S12 2.424(9), S9–S10 1.96(1), S10–S11 2.04(1), S11–S12 1.99(1); Cl1–Pt1–Pt2 172.5(2), Pt1–Pt2–S9 174.7(2), Pt2–S9–S10 111.3(5), S9–S10–S11 107.2(6), S10–S11–S12 108.8(6), S11–S12–Pt3 109.7(5), S12–Pt3–Pt4 174.9(3), Pt3–Pt4–Cl2 173.4(2).

distances in **2**, which are affected by the bite distance of the bridging ligand and  $\sigma$ -donor strength of axial ligands,<sup>8</sup> are only 0.02 Å longer than that of **1a** (2.532(1) Å), while those are

2.705(5), Pt4–Br2 2.660(6), Pt2–S9 2.42(1), Pt3–S12 2.41(1), S9–S10 2.00(2), S10–S11 1.99(2), S11–S12 1.99(2), Br1–Pt1–Pt2 171.1(1), Pt1–Pt2–S9 174.4(3), Pt2–S9–S10 111.0(6), S9–S10–S11 109.6(7), S10–S11–S12 109.1(7), S11–S12–Pt3 109.9(6), S12–Pt3–Pt4 174.3(3), Pt3–Pt4–Br2 173.2(1). Full details for the crystallographic analysis of **2a,b** are described in the Supporting Information.

much shorter than that of  $[\text{Pt}^{\text{II}}_2(4\text{-mpyt})_4]$ .<sup>4</sup> The Pt–Cl distance in **2a** is, however, 0.11–0.15 Å longer than that of **1a** (2.458–(2) Å), which is ascribed to stronger coordination of S<sub>4</sub> ligand to Pt<sub>2</sub> units.<sup>9</sup> The difference between Pt–Cl and Pt–Br distances is ascribed to the difference between ionic radii of Cl<sup>–</sup> and Br<sup>–</sup> ions.<sup>10</sup> The S<sub>4</sub> ligands in **2** have approximately C<sub>2</sub> symmetry. The inner S–S distances in **2** are longer than or almost the same as the outer S–S distances, which is contrast with the tendency found in  $[\text{PtS}_4(\text{dppe})]$  (dppe = 1,2-bis-(diphenylphosphino)ethane) and related complexes where the S<sub>4</sub> ligand acts as a chelating ligand.<sup>11</sup> According to the recent molecular orbital calculation for the free S<sub>4</sub><sup>2–</sup> dianion, the nonplanar gauche C<sub>2</sub> structure is the most stable form compared with D<sub>2h</sub>, C<sub>2v</sub>, and C<sub>2h</sub> structures, where the inner S–S distance is longer than the outer S–S distances.<sup>12</sup> Thus the structures of the S<sub>4</sub> ligands in **2** are in stable conformations as in the theoretical prediction.

**Acknowledgment.** This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 08640704) and a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas of “The Chemistry of Inter-element Linkage” (No. 09239201) to K.U. from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports, and Culture of Japan.

**Supporting Information Available:** Text describing experimental procedures for the synthesis and reaction of **2a** with NaBr with spectral data for **2a,b** and crystallographic data with complete tables of bond lengths, bond angles, and thermal and positional parameters and ORTEP diagrams for **2a,b** (19 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

IC970848X

- (8) Umakoshi, K.; Sasaki, Y. *Adv. Inorg. Chem.* **1993**, *40*, 187.
- (9) The in-plane Pt–Cl and Pt–S(av) distances in  $[\text{PtCl}_4]^{2-}$  (2.310(1) Å)<sup>13</sup> and  $[\text{Pt}(4\text{-mpytH})_4]^{2+}$  (2.325 Å)<sup>14</sup> are very similar, while the Pt–S<sub>axial</sub> distances in **2a** are shorter than the Pt–Cl distance in **1a**.
- (10) Shannon, R. D. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1976**, *A32*, 751.
- (11) Briant, C. E.; Calhorda, M. J.; Hor, T. S. A.; Howells, N. D.; Mingos, D. M. P. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1983**, 1325.
- (12) Mebel, A. M.; Morokuma, K.; Isobe, K. *Inorg. Chem.* **1995**, *34*, 1208.
- (13) Ohba, S.; Sato, S.; Saito, Y. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1983**, *B39*, 49.
- (14) Nishioka, T.; Kinoshita, I.; Kitano, K.; Ooi, S. *Chem. Lett.* **1992**, 883.