## **Additions and Corrections**

1999, Volume 38

Craig A. Kelly\*, Elliott L. Blinn, Nadia Camaioni, Mila D'Angelantonio, Quinto G. Mulazzani\*: Mechanism of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sup>+</sup> Reduction by Ni(cyclam)<sup>+</sup> in Aqueous Solution. A Pulse and Continuous Radiolysis Study.

Page 1582: Equations 18 and 19 should read as follows:

$$\frac{1}{A_{380}} = \frac{1}{A_0} + \frac{2K_{15}k_{16}[H^+]}{(1 + K_{15}[H^+])\epsilon_{380}l}t$$
 (18)

$$\frac{1}{A_{380}} = \frac{1}{A_0} + k_{\text{obs}}t \tag{19}$$

IC990341H

10.1021/ic990341h Published on Web 05/07/1999

1998, Volume 37

Yukinari Sunatsuki, Hiromitsu Shimada, Toshihiro Matsuo, Masaaki Nakamura, Fumiaki Kai, Naohide Matsumoto,\* and Nazzareno Re: Synthesis, Magnetic Properties, and Incomplete Double-Cubane Structure of Manganese(III)—Metal(II) Complexes [Mn(MeOH)L(OH)-M(bpy)]<sub>2</sub> (M = Zn, Cu, Ni, and Mn; H<sub>4</sub>L = 1,2-Bis(2-hydroxybenzamido)benzene; bpy = 2,2'-Bipyridine).

Pages 5566–5574: We made a serious error in interpretation of compound 4 (Mn–Mn complex). The Mn–Mn complex 4 should be described as  $Mn^{III}_4O_2$  ([Mn(MeOH)LOMn(bpy)]<sub>2</sub>) involving di- $\mu$ -oxo dimer  $Mn_2O_2$  than  $Mn^{III}_2Mn^{II}_2(OH)_2$  involving di- $\mu$ -hydroxo dimer. On the basis of the number of structural data of the polynuclear Mn complexes reported so far, (1) the

Mn-Mn separation for central dimeric unit of 2.744(3) Å is too short for [Mn<sup>II</sup>(OH)]<sub>2</sub> dimer but is typical for Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> dimers with  $Mn = Mn^{III}$  or  $Mn^{IV}$ ; (2) the Mn-O distances in the central dimeric unit are too short for the MnII-OH distance, close to that for  $Mn_2O_2$  dimers with  $Mn = Mn^{III}$ , and slightly longer for Mn<sup>IV</sup>; (3) the coordination bond distances in the central dimer are 1.890(6), 1.889(6), 2.088(10), and 2.079(10) Å in plane and 2.269(6) and 2.315(6) Å in axial positions; the axial elongation is typical for Mn<sup>III</sup> ion; (4) the bond distances for Mn1 and Mn2 have the same pattern and magnitudes of distances, indicating these two Mn ions assume the same oxidation state, MnIII; (5) the crystallographic analysis has not enough accuracy to determine the hydrogen atoms, so it is difficult to distinguish Mn<sup>III</sup>O from Mn<sup>II</sup>OH; (6) it is known that a reaction of Mn(H<sub>2</sub>O)bpyCl<sub>3</sub> gives di-μ-oxo-bridged dimer; (7) the effective magnetic moment per molecule at room temperature, 7.40  $\mu_B$ , is close to the spin-only value of 7.34  $\mu_B$ for magnetically non-interacting Mn<sup>III</sup><sub>2</sub> low-spin Mn<sup>II</sup><sub>2</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub> with a spin system (2, 1/2, 1/2, 2) and extremely smaller than 9.79  $\mu_B$  for non-interacting Mn<sup>III</sup><sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub> with (2, 2, 2, 2), respectively. However, the magnetic behavior can be well interpreted by assuming strong antiferromagnetic coupling in the central dinuclear unit with the parameters of g = 1.95, J = -2.3 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and  $J' = -60.3 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  for (2, 2, 2, 2). Strong antiferromagnetic coupling has been reported in the Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> core with bpy ligands and  $M = Mn^{III}$ ,  $Mn^{IV}$ . We correct all the interpretation in Mn-Mn complex 4 in the paper with haste in order to avoid confusion and silly influence in Mn cluster chemistry, although the other measurements should finally confirm the oxidation state among the possible oxidation states Mn<sup>III</sup><sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, Mn<sup>III</sup><sub>3</sub>Mn<sup>IV</sup>O<sub>2</sub>, and Mn<sup>III</sup><sub>2</sub>Mn<sup>IV</sup><sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.

Table 3 and page 5571:  $\mu_{\text{Mn}} = [2S_{\text{Mn}}(S_{\text{Mn}} + 1)]^{1/2}, \ \mu_{\text{M}} = [2S_{\text{M}}(S_{\text{M}} + 1)]^{1/2} \text{ should be } \mu_{\text{Mn}} = 2[S_{\text{Mn}}(S_{\text{Mn}} + 1)]^{1/2}, \ \mu_{\text{M}} = 2[S_{\text{M}}(S_{\text{M}} + 1)]^{1/2}.$ 

IC990361J

10.1021/ic990361j Published on Web 05/13/1999