Excited-State Electronic Structure in Polypyridyl Complexes Containing Unsymmetrical Ligands

Kristin M. Omberg,† Gregory D. Smith,‡ Darius A. Kavaliunas,† Pingyun Chen,‡ Joseph A. Treadway,† Jon R. Schoonover,†,§ Richard A. Palmer,*,‡ and Thomas J. Meyer*,†

Department of Chemistry, CB#3290, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599-3290, and Department of Chemistry, Duke University, P.O. Box 90346, Durham, North Carolina 27708-0346

*Recei*V*ed No*V*ember 19, 1998*

Step-scan Fourier transform infrared absorption difference time-resolved (S2FTIR ∆*A* TRS) and time-resolved resonance Raman $(TR³)$ spectroscopies have been applied to a series of questions related to excited-state structure in the metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) excited states of $[Ru(bpy)₂(4,4'-(CO₂Et)₂byy)]²⁺, [Ru(bpy)₂(4,4')(CO₂Et)₂byy)]²⁺, [Ru(bpy)₂(4,4')(CO₂Et)₂byy)]²⁺, [Au(bpy)₂(4,4')(CO₂Et)₂$ CO_2Et-4' -CH₃bpy)]²⁺, [Ru(bpy)(4,4'-(CO₂Et)₂bpy)₂]²⁺, [Ru(4,4'-(CO₂Et)₂bpy)₃]²⁺, [Ru(bpy)₂(4,4'-(CONEt₂)₂bpy)]²⁺, $\text{[Ru(bpy)_2(4-ConEt_2-4'-CH_3bpy)]}^{2+}$, and $\text{[Ru(4-ConEt_2-4'-CH_3bpy)_3]}^{2+}$ (bpy is 2,2'-bipyridine). These complexes contain bpy ligands which are either symmetrically or unsymmetrically derivatized with electronwithdrawing ester or amide substituents. Analysis of the vibrational data, largely based on the magnitudes of the $\bar{\nu}$ (CO) shifts of the amide and ester substituents ($\Delta \bar{\nu}$ (CO)), reveals that the ester- or amide-derivatized ligands are the ultimate acceptors and that the excited electron is localized on one acceptor ligand on the nanosecond time scale. In the unsymmetrically substituted acceptor ligands, the excited electron is largely polarized toward the ester- or amide-derivatized pyridine rings. In the MLCT excited states of $\text{[Ru(bpy)}_2(4,4-(\text{CO}_2\text{Et}_2\text{bpy})]^2$ ⁺ and $[Ru(bpy)₂(4,4'-(CONEt₂)₂byy)]²⁺$, $\Delta \bar{\nu}(CO)$ is only 60-70% of that observed upon complete ligand reduction due to a strong polarization interaction in the excited state between the $d\pi^5$ Ru^{III} core and the excited electron.

Introduction

In photochemical and photophysical studies of polypyridyl complexes, mixed chelates and unsymmetrical polypyridyl ligands are frequently used to fine-tune excited-state properties and build molecular assemblies. $1-33$ As the symmetry of these

- § Present address: Chemical Science and Technology Division, Mail Stop J586, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545.
- (1) Damrauer, N. H.; Boussie, T. R.; Devenney, M.; McCusker, J. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **¹⁹⁹⁷**, *¹¹⁹*, 8253-8268.
- (2) Rutherford, T. J.; Keene, F. R. *Inorg. Chem.* **¹⁹⁹⁷**, *³⁶*, 2872-2878. (3) Hage, R.; Lempers, H. E. B.; Haasnoot, J. G.; Reedijk, J.; Weldon, F.
- M.; Vos, J. G. *Inorg. Chem.* **¹⁹⁹⁷**, *³⁶*, 3139-3145. (4) Gunde, K. E.; Credi, A.; Jandrasics, E.; von Zelewsky, A.; Richardson,
- F. S. *Inorg. Chem.* **¹⁹⁹⁷**, *³⁶*, 426-434. (5) Jahng, Y.; Thummel, R. P.; Bott, S. G. *Inorg. Chem.* **¹⁹⁹⁷**, *³⁶*, 3133-
- 3138.
- (6) Dupray, L. M.; Meyer, T. J. *Inorg. Chem.* **¹⁹⁹⁶**, *³⁵*, 6299-6307.
- (7) Kohle, O.; Ruile, S.; Grätzel, M. *Inorg. Chem.* **1996**, 35, 4779-4787.
- (8) Berg-Brennan, C.; Subramanian, P.; Absi, M.; Stern, C.; Hupp, J. T. *Inorg. Chem.* **¹⁹⁹⁶**, *³⁵*, 3719-3722. (9) Dupau, P.; Renouard, T.; Le Bozec, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*,
- ⁷⁵⁰³-7506.
- (10) Anderson, P. A.; Deacon, G. B.; Haarmann, K. H.; Keene, F. R.; Meyer, T. J.; Reitsma, D. A.; Skelton, B. W.; Strouse, G. F.; Thomas, N. C.; Treadway, J. A.; White, A. H. *Inorg. Chem.* **¹⁹⁹⁵**, *³⁴*, 6145- 6157.
- (11) Bardwell, D. A.; Barigelletti, F.; Cleary, R. L.; Flamigni, L.; Guardegli, M.; Jeffrey, J. C.; Ward, M. D. *Inorg. Chem.* **1995**, *34*, 2438.
- (12) Anderson, P. A.; Strouse, G. F.; Treadway, J. A.; Keene, F. R.; Meyer, T. J. Inorg. Chem. 1994, 33, 3863–3864.
- T. J. *Inorg. Chem.* **¹⁹⁹⁴**, *³³*, 3863-3864. (13) Rutherford, T. J.; Reitsma, D. A.; Keene, F. R. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1994**, 3659.
- (14) Treffert-Ziemelis, S. M.; Golus, J.; Strommen, D. P.; Kincaid, J. R. *Inorg. Chem.* **¹⁹⁹³**, *³²*, 3890-3894.
- (15) Danzer, G. D.; Golus, J. A.; Kincaid, J. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *¹¹⁵*, 8643-8648. (16) Ward, M. D. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1993**, 1321.
-

complexes is lowered, a number of important questions arise concerning electronic structure in the lowest-lying metal-toligand charge transfer (MLCT) excited states: (1) In multiple chelates, which ligand is the ultimate acceptor? (2) In complexes with two or more identical acceptor ligands, is the excited electron localized on one ligand or is it delocalized over both?

- (17) Roffia, S.; Marcaccio, M.; Paradisi, C.; Paolucci, F.; Balzani, V.; Denti, G.; Serroni, S.; Campagna, S. *Inorg. Chem.* **¹⁹⁹³**, *³²*, 3003-3009.
- (18) Strouse, G. F.; Anderson, P. A.; Schoonover, J. R.; Meyer, T. J.; Keene, F. R. *Inorg. Chem.* **¹⁹⁹²**, *³¹*, 3004-3006.
- (19) Rillema, D. P.; Blanton, C. B.; Shaver, R. J.; Jackman, D. C.; Boldaji, M.; Bundy, S.; Worl, L. A.; Meyer, T. J. *Inorg. Chem.* **1992**, *31*, $1600 - 1606$.
- (20) Chen, P.; Duesing, R.; Graff, D. K.; Meyer, T. J. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1991**, *⁹⁵*, 5850-5858.
- (21) Baiano, J. A.; Murphy, W. R. *Inorg. Chem.* **¹⁹⁹¹**, *³⁰*, 4594-4598.
- (22) Balzani, V.; Scandola, F. *Supramolecular Photochemistry*; Ellis Horwood: New York, 1991.
- (23) Beley, M.; Collin, J. P.; Sauvage, J. P.; Sugihara, H.; Heisel, F.; Miehe, A. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton. Trans.* **¹⁹⁹¹**, 3157-3159.
- (24) Yabe, T.; Orman, L. K.; Anderson, D. R.; You, S.-C.; Xu, X.; Hopkins, J. B. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1990**, *94*, 7128.
- (25) Tapolsky, G.; Duesing, R.; Meyer, T. J. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1989**, *93*, 3885.
- (26) Collin, J. P.; Guillerez, S.; Sauvage, J. P. *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* **¹⁹⁸⁹**, 776-778.
- (27) Sahai, R.; Rillena, D. P.; Shaver, R.; Van Wallendael, S.; Jackman, D. C.; Boldaji, M. *Inorg. Chem.* **¹⁹⁸⁹**, *²⁸*, 1022-1028.
- (28) Boyde, S.; Strouse, G. F.; Jones, W. E.; Meyer, T. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **¹⁹⁸⁹**, *¹¹¹*, 7448-19494.
- (29) Barqawi, K. R.; Llobet, A.; Meyer, T. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *¹¹⁰*, 7751-7759.
- (30) Brewer, K. J.; Murphy, W. R. J.; Petersen, J. D. *Inorg. Chem.* **1987**, *26*, 3376.
- (31) Barigelletti, F.; Juris, A.; Balzani, V.; Belser, P.; von Zelewsky, A. *J. Phys. Chem.* **¹⁹⁸⁶**, *⁹⁰*, 5190-5193.
- (32) McClanahan, S. F.; Dallinger, R. F.; Holler, F. J.; Kincaid, J. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, *107*, 4853.
- (33) Maerker, G.; Case, F. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **¹⁹⁵⁸**, *⁸⁰*, 2745-2748.

10.1021/ic981338l CCC: \$18.00 © 1999 American Chemical Society Published on Web 02/12/1999

[†] University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.

[‡] Duke University.

Chart 1

(3) What is the electronic distribution and polarization of the excited electron in unsymmetrically substituted acceptor ligands? (4) How do metal-ligand polarization and orbital overlap differ for different ligands?

In the symmetrically and unsymmetrically substituted ligands $(4,4'$ - $(CO_2Et)_2$ bpy), $(4-CO_2Et-4'$ - CH_3 bpy) $(4,4'$ - $(CONEt_2)_2$ bpy), and $(4\text{-}CONF2\text{-}4\text{-}CH_3$ bpy) shown in Chart 1, the electronwithdrawing ester, and amide substituents lower the energy of the lowest ligand π^* orbital relative to 2,2'-bipyridine (bpy).^{34,35} These ligands are widely used because ester and amide functional groups can be used for forming chemical links in molecular assemblies.^{6,7,13,22,35} The ester and amide C=O groups also provide useful spectroscopic "tags" for investigating excited-state electronic structure by step-scan Fourier transform infrared absorption difference time-resolved spectroscopy (S²FTIR ∆*A* TRS). Time-resolved resonance Raman (TR3) spectroscopy provides additional information about mid-energy, polypyridyl ring-stretching vibrations. We report here the application of both techniques to the elucidation of excited-state electronic and molecular structure in the mixed-ligand complexes $[Ru(bpy)₂ (4,4'$ - $(CO_2Et)_2$ bpy)]²⁺, [Ru(bpy)₂(4-CO₂Et-4'-CH₃bpy)]²⁺, [Ru- $(bpy)(4,4'-(CO₂Et)₂bpy)₂]^{2+}$, $[Ru(bpy)₂(4,4'-(CONEt₂)₂bpy)]^{2+}$, and $\left[\text{Ru(bpy)}_{2}(4\text{-CONF2-4'-CH_3bpy})\right]^{2+}$. Part of this work has appeared in a preliminary communication.36

Experimental Section

Materials. All materials were used without further purification unless otherwise indicated. Acetonitrile was obtained from Burdick and Jackson. Acetonitrile-*d*³ was obtained from Cambridge Isotope Labs. *N*,*N*′-Dimethyl-4,4′-bipyridinediium dication (methyl viologen, MV2+) was obtained from Acros Organics as the chloride salt, metathesized to the PF_6^- salt, and purified by recrystallizing twice from water. 10-Methylphenothiazine was obtained from Acros Organics and purified by sublimation. $[Ru(bpy)₂(4,4'-(CO₂Et)₂bpy)](PF₆)₂, [Ru(bpy)₂(4-CO₂-$ Et-4'-CH₃bpy)](PF₆)₂, [Ru(bpy)(4,4'-(CO₂Et)₂bpy)₂](PF₆)₂, [Ru(4,4'- $(CO_2Et)_2$ bpy)₃](PF₆)₂, [Ru(bpy)₂(4,4'-(CONEt₂)₂bpy)](PF₆)₂, [Ru(bpy)₂- $(4\text{-CONEt}_{2}\text{-}4'\text{-CH}_{3}bpy)$](PF₆)₂, and [Ru(4-CONEt₂-4'-CH₃bpy)₃](PF₆)₂ were prepared according to literature procedures.37,38

Raman Measurements. Time-resolved resonance Raman (TR3) spectra were measured by using the third harmonic (354.7 nm) of a Quanta-Ray DCR-2A pulsed Nd:YAG laser to both create the excited state and as a source for Raman scattering. Laser power was between 3 and 5 mJ per pulse. Resonance Raman (rR) spectra were acquired by 457.9 nm excitation from a Spectra-Physics 165-05 Ar⁺ laser. The scattered radiation was collected in a 135° backscattering geometry into a SPEX 1877 Triplemate spectrometer equipped with an 1800 grooves/mm grating. The Raman signal was detected by a Princeton Instruments IRY-700G optical multichannel analyzer operating in the gated mode with a ST-110 OSMA detector controller. Timing was controlled by a Princeton Instruments FG-100 pulse generator. The final spectra were the result of 9 min of total integration time. Data collection and storage were controlled by using an IBM AT computer and a Princeton Instruments SMA software package. All spectra were acquired in acetonitrile. Samples were ∼4 mM in concentration, and were degassed by sparging with argon for 15 min.

Infrared Measurements. S2FTIR ∆*A* TR spectra were measured at Duke University on a step-scan modified Bruker IFS88 FTIR spectrometer with a standard globar source and dry air purge.³⁹ The ester-containing complexes were dissolved in acetonitrile to give an IR absorbance of 0.25 to 0.50 for the ester \bar{v} (C=O) band. The amidecontaining complexes were dissolved in acetonitrile- d_3 to give an IR absorbance of $0.25-0.50$ for the amide $\bar{\nu}$ (C=O) band. All solutions were deoxygenated by sparging with argon for ∼15 min. Spectra were measured under an inert atmosphere in a CaF₂ cell with a 250 μ m Teflon spacer.

Laser flash excitation was provided by the third harmonic of a Quanta Ray DCR1A Nd:YAG laser (354.7 nm, ∼3 mJ/pulse, 10 ns pulse width) operated at 10 Hz. Laser excitation and data acquisition were synchronized with a Stanford Research model DG535 pulse generator. An AC/DC-coupled photovoltaic Kolmar Technologies mercury cadmium telluride (PV MCT) detector with an effective rise time of 20 ns and a 50 MHz preamplifier was used to sample the transmitted IR probe beam. To eliminate extraneous energies and minimize data collection time, a germanium low-pass filter and the $CaF₂$ cell windows were used to limit the accessible spectral range to 2250–1150 cm⁻¹.
The detector's AC signal was further countified by a Sta

The detector's AC signal was further amplified by a Stanford Research model SR445 preamplifier (x25) before being directed to a 100/200 MHz PAD82a transient digitizer board in a100 MHz Pentium computer. The DC signal was directed to the digitizer and used for phase correction of the AC signal. Bruker Instruments' Opus 2.2 and 3.0 software was used to process the recorded data.

The interferogram response before and after laser excitation was digitized in 10 ns time slices, with 75-150 laser shots averaged at each mirror position. S2FTIR ∆*A* TR spectra were calculated from the single beam ΔI transforms by the relationship, $\Delta A = -\log[1 + \Delta I(\bar{v}, t)]$ *I*(\bar{v})], where *I*(\bar{v}) is the static spectrum without excitation and $\Delta I(\bar{v}, t)$ is the change in intensity at time *t* after a laser flash. S2FTIR ∆A TR spectra shown were obtained from an average of $5-10$ time slices, acquired from 0 to 100 ns after excitation. It is clear in all cases that the species observed in the first time slice is the same as the species observed in the averaged spectra. Ground-state spectra shown are an average of 32 (rapid) scans. Spectra were acquired with 6 cm^{-1} resolution, and S2FTIR ∆*A* TRS data collection times were on average 30 min.

Results

Raman Measurements. TR³ band energies from 1000 to 1800 cm^{-1} (354.7 nm excitation and scattering) and relative intensities for the MLCT excited states of the ester-substituted complexes, $[Ru(4,4'-(CO₂Et)₂bpy)₃]^{2+}$, $[Ru(bpy)(4,4'-(CO₂-$ Et)₂bpy)₂]²⁺, [Ru(bpy)₂(4,4'-(CO₂Et)₂bpy)]²⁺, and [Ru(bpy)₂- $(4-CO₂Et-4'-CH₃by)]²⁺$ in acetonitrile at 298 K are listed in

⁽³⁴⁾ Worl, L. A.; Duesing, R.; Chem, P.; Della Ciana, L.; Meyer, T. J. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **¹⁹⁹¹**, 849-858.

⁽³⁵⁾ Tapolsky, G.; Duesing, R.; Meyer, T. J. *Inorg. Chem.* **¹⁹⁹⁰**, *²⁹*, 2285- 2297.

⁽³⁶⁾ Chen, P.; Omberg, K. M.; Kavaliunas, D. A.; Treadway, J. A.; Palmer, R. A.; Meyer, T. J. *Inorg. Chem.* **¹⁹⁹⁷**, *³⁶*, 954-955.

⁽³⁷⁾ Sasse, A. A. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1986**, *39*, 1053.

⁽³⁸⁾ Sullivan, B. P.; Salmon, D. J.; Meyer, T. J. *Inorg. Chem.* **1978**, *17*, ³³³⁴-3341.

⁽³⁹⁾ Chen, P. Y.; Palmer, R. A. *Appl. Spectrosc.* **1997**, *51*, 580.

Table 1. TR³ and rR Band Energies (in cm⁻¹; 354.7 nm Excitation and Scattering for TR³; 457.9 nm Excitation for rR) and Relative Intensities^{*a*} from 1000 to 1800 cm⁻¹ in Acetonitrile at 298 K^{*b*}

$\mathbb{R}u(4,4'-$ $(CO_2Et)_2$ bpy) ₃] ^{2+*}	$\lceil \text{Ru(bpy)}(4,4' - \rceil) \rceil$ $(CO_2Et)_2bpy)_2]^{2+\ast}$	$[Ru(bpy)2(4,4'-$ $(CO_2Et)_2bpy)]^{2+\ast}$	$\left[\text{Ru(bpy)}_{2}\right]$ (4- $CO2Et, 4'$ -CH ₃ bpy)] ^{2+*}	$\lceil Ru(4,4'-\rceil)\rceil$ $(CO_2Et)_2bpy)_3]^{2+}$
1012 w	1013 m	1015 m	1015 m	1012 w
1211 w	1209 w	1210 w	1174 m	1175 w
1324 m	1322 m	1323 m	1323 m	1320 m
1428 m	1425 m	1425 m	1423 m	1432 m
1444 s	1445 s	1445 s	1443 s	1476 s
1492 m	1492 m	1494 m	1492 m	1492 m
1556 m	1556 m	1556 m	1547 w	1554 s
	1564 m	1564 m	1561 w	
1608 w	1606 w	1605 w	1600 m	1608 w
1712 w	1711 w	1710 w	1710 w	1733 m

a Relative intensities are indicated as follows: $s =$ strong; m = medium; w = weak. A solvent band appears in these spectra at 1378 cm⁻¹. *b* As the PF_6^- salts.

Table 2. TR³ and rR Band Energies (in cm⁻¹; 354.7 nm Excitation and Scattering for TR³; 457.9 nm Excitation for rR) and Relative Intensities*^a* from 1000 to 1800 cm-¹ in Acetonitrile at 298 K*^b*

$\left[\text{Ru(bpy)}_{2}(4,4)\right]$ $(CONEt_2)_{2}bpy$ ^{2+*}	$\left[\text{Ru(bpy)}_{2}\right]$ (4- $CONF_{2}$ -4'-CH ₃ bpy)] ^{2+*}	$\lceil Ru(4\text{-CONEt}_{2} - 4'\text{-}$ $CH_3bpy)_3]^{2+\ast}$	$\lceil Ru(4\text{-CONEt}_{2} - 4'\text{-}$ CH_3 bpy) ₃] ²⁺
1013 m	1013 w	1013 w	1015 w
1038 w	1038 w	1035 w	1028 m
1127 w	1129 w	1127 w	
1178 w	1194 m	1192 m	1174 m
1210 m	1207 m	1209 m	1261 m
1284 m	1282 m	1283 m	1274 m
1323 m	1320 m	1326 m	1320 m
1427s	1425 s	1423 s	
1447s	1440 s	1440 s	
1473 s	1455 s	1454 s	
1494 s	1490 s	1488 s	1489s
1549 m	1548 m	1548 m	1548 m
1595 m	1595 m	1593 m	1562 m
1606 w	1605 m	1610 m	1607 m

a Relative intensities are indicated as follows: $s =$ strong; m = medium; w = weak. A solvent band appears in these spectra at 1378 cm⁻¹. *b* As the PF_6^- salts.

Table 1, along with resonance Raman (rR) band energies from 1000 to 1800 cm^{-1} (457.9 nm excitation) and relative intensities for $\left[\text{Ru}(4,4'-(\text{CO}_2\text{Et})_2\text{bpy})_3\right]^{2+}$. A solvent band appears in these spectra at 1378 cm^{-1} and is not listed in the table.

The TR³ spectra of $\left[\text{Ru}(4,4'-(\text{CO}_2\text{Et})_2\text{bpy})_3\right]^{2+\ast}$, $\left[\text{Ru(bpy)}\right]$ $(4,4'$ - $(CO_2Et)_2$ bpy)₂]^{2+*} and $[Ru(bpy)_2(4,4'$ - $(CO_2Et)_2$ bpy)]^{2+*} are dominated by bands arising from $(4,4'-(CO₂Et)₂bpy)$ as the acceptor ligand. The energies of these bands are similar to those observed for 2,2′-bpy^{40,41} but can be differentiated since they are slightly shifted due to the ester and methyl substituents. In the spectra of $[Ru(bpy)(4,4'-(CO₂Et)₂bpy)₂]$ ^{2+*} and $[Ru(bpy)₂$ - $(4,4'$ - $(CO_2Et)_2$ bpy)]^{2+*}, a 2,2'-bpy band appears at 1564 cm⁻¹.^{40,41} This is not surprising since the excitation wavelength (354.7 nm) is in near-resonance with both bpy-based $d\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ bands at ~400 nm and $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ (bpy) bands at ~320 nm. The spectrum of $[Ru(bpy)₂(4-CO₂Et-4'-CH₃bpy)]²**$ is dominated by bands arising from $(4-CO₂Et-4'-CH₃bpy)$, and similar features appear. Subtle differences between the band energies for $(4,4'-(CO₂ -$ Et)₂bpy) and $(4-CO₂Et-4'-CH₃bpy)$ as acceptor ligand are evident. The ester $\bar{\nu}$ (C=O) stretch (∼1710 cm⁻¹) is not strongly enhanced in any of the $TR³$ spectra. It is somewhat enhanced in the rR spectrum, and appears at 1733 cm^{-1} .

 $TR³$ band energies from 1000 to 1800 cm⁻¹ (354.7 nm) excitation and scattering) and relative intensities for the MLCT excited states of $[Ru(bpy)₂(4,4'-(CONEt₂)₂bpy)]²⁺$, $[Ru(bpy)₂$ - $(4\text{-CONEt}_{2}\text{-}4'\text{-CH}_{3}by)]^{2+}$, and $\text{[Ru}(4\text{-CONEt}_{2}\text{-}4'\text{-CH}_{3}by)_{3}]^{2+}$ in acetonitrile at 298 K are listed in Table 2, along with rR band energies from 1000 to 1800 cm^{-1} (457.9 nm excitation) and relative intensities for $\text{[Ru(4-CONEt_2-4'-CH_3bpy)_3]^{2+}}$. A solvent band appears in these spectra at 1378 cm^{-1} and is not listed in the table. The TR³ spectra of $\lceil Ru(bpy)\rceil/4$ -CONEt₂-4'- CH_3 bpy)]^{2+*} and [Ru(4-CONEt₂-4'-CH₃bpy)₃]^{2+*} are dominated by bands arising from $(4\text{-CONEt}_{2}\text{-}4\text{-CH}_{3}$ bpy) as acceptor, and the spectrum of $[Ru(bpy)₂(4,4'-(CONEt₂)₂bpy)]²$ ^{+*} is dominated by bands from $(4,4'-(CONF_{2})_{2}bpy)$ as acceptor. Subtle differences between $(4.4'-(CONF)$ ₂bpy) and $(4-CO)$ - $NEt₂-4'$ -CH₃bpy) as acceptor ligand can be discerned. The amide $\bar{\nu}$ (C=O) stretch (expected to appear around 1630 cm⁻¹) is not strongly enhanced in any of the spectra.

Infrared Measurements. Ground-and excited-state $\bar{\nu}$ (C=O) band energies (in cm⁻¹, 354.7 nm excitation) for [Ru(bpy)₂] $(4,4'$ - $(CO_2Et)_2$ bpy)]²⁺, [Ru(bpy)(4,4'- $(CO_2Et)_2$ bpy)₂]²⁺, [Ru- $(bpy)_2(4-CO_2Et-4'-CH_3bpy)]^{2+}$, $[Ru(bpy)_2(4,4'-(CONEt_2)_2bpy)]^{2+}$, and $[Ru(bpy)₂(4-ConEt₂-4'-CH₃bpy)]²⁺$ are listed in Table 3 along with $\bar{\nu}$ (C=O) shifts upon excitation ($\Delta \bar{\nu}$, in cm⁻¹). Ground-state and excited-state ∆*A* spectra of [Ru(bpy)₂(4,4[']- $(CO_2Et)_2$ bpy)]²⁺, $[Ru(bpy)(4,4'-(CO_2Et)_2$ bpy)₂]²⁺, and $[Ru (bpy)_{2}(4-CO_{2}Et-4'-CH_{3}by)$ ²⁺ from 1625 to 1800 cm⁻¹ are shown in Figure 1, and of $\text{[Ru(bpy)}_2(4,4'-(\text{CONF})_2\text{bpy})\text{]}^{2+}$ and $[Ru(bpy)₂(4-ConEt₂-4'-CH₃bpy)]²⁺ from 1550 to 1700 cm⁻¹$ are shown in Figure 2. The band at 1610 cm^{-1} in the amide (40) Strommen, D. P.; Mallick, P. K.; Danzer, G. D.; Lumpkin, R. S.; spectra arises from a bipyridine-based ring-stretching mode.⁴¹⁻⁴³

Kincaid, J. R. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1990**, *94*, 1357.

⁽⁴¹⁾ Mallick, P. K.; Danzer, G. D.; Strommen, D. P.; Kincaid, J. R. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1988**, *92*, 5628.

⁽⁴²⁾ Omberg, K. M.; Schoonover, J. R.; Treadway, J. A.; Leasure, R. M.; Dyer, R. B.; Meyer, T. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **¹⁹⁹⁷**, *¹¹⁹*, 7013-7018.

Figure 1. Ground-state (A, C, E) and S²FTIR ΔA TR (B, D, F) spectra of [Ru(bpy)₂(4,4'-(CO₂Et)₂bpy)](PF₆)₂ (A, B), [Ru(bpy)(4,4'-(CO₂Et)₂bpy)₂]- $(PF_6)_2$ (C, D), and $[Ru(bpy)_2(4-CO_2Et-4'-CH_3bpy)](PF_6)_2$ (E, F) from 1625 to 1800 cm⁻¹ in acetonitrile.

Figure 2. Ground-state (A, C) and S²FTIR Δ*A* TR (B, D) spectra of [Ru(bpy)₂(4,4′-(CONEt₂)₂bpy)](PF₆)₂ (A, B) and [Ru(bpy)₂(4-CONEt₂-4′- CH_3 bpy)](PF₆)₂ (C, D) from 1550 to 1700 cm⁻¹ in acetonitrile.

Table 3. Ground-State and S²FTIR ∆*A* TRS^{*a*} \bar{v} (C=O) Band Energies*^a* (in cm-1) and Magnitude of the Shift Observed upon Excitation ($\Delta \bar{\nu}$) in cm⁻¹

complex ^b	ground-state energy	excited-state energy	$\Delta \bar{\nu}^c$
$[Ru(bpy)2(4,4'-(CO2Et)2bpy)]2+ d$	1731	1705	-26
$[Ru(bpy)(4,4'-(CO2Et)2bpy)2]$ ^{2+ d}	1731	1705	-26
$[Ru(bpy)2(4-CO2Et-4'-CH3bpy)]2+ d$	1731	1685	-46
$[Ru(bpy)2(4,4'-(CONEt2)2bpy)]2+ e$	1638	1623	-15
$[Ru(bpy)2(4-CONEt2-4'-CH3bpy)]2+ e$	1637	1604	-33

^{*a*} Average of $5-10$ 10-ns spectral slices acquired $0-100$ ns after laser flash excitation at 354.7 nm. ^{*b*} As the PF₆⁻ salts. ^{*c*} $\Delta \bar{v}$ = excited-
state, energy – ground-state, energy ^{*d*} In acetonitrile, at 298 K ^{*e*} In state energy - ground-state energy. *^d* In acetonitrile at 298 K. *^e* In acetonitrile-*d*³ at 298 K.

Spectra of the fully reduced forms, $\text{Ru}^{\text{II}}(\text{bpy})_2(4.4'-(\text{CO}_2 Et_2bpy'$ ⁻)]⁺, $[Ru^{II}(bpy)(4,4'-(CO_2Et_2bpy)(4,4'-(CO_2Et_2bpy'$ ⁻)]⁺, $[Ru^{II}(bpy)_{2}(4-CO_{2}Et-4'-CH_{3}byy-)]^{+}$, and $[Ru^{II}(bpy)_{2}(4,4'-H_{3}H_{3}])$ $(CONEt₂)₂ bpy'$ ⁻)]⁺, and $[Ru^{II}(bpy)₂(4-CONEt₂-4'-CH₃ bpy'$ ⁻)]⁺

were obtained by reductive quenching of the excited states with added 10-methylphenothiazine (10-MePTZ) in acetonitrile at 298 K, as previously described (eq 1).³⁶

$$
[Ru(bpy)2(4,4'-(CO2Et)2bpy)]2+* + 10-MePTZ \rightarrow
$$

$$
[Ru(bpy)2(4,4'-(CO2Et)2bpy*)]+ + 10-MePTZ+ (1)
$$

Spectra of $\left[\text{Ru}^{\text{III}}(\text{bpy})_2(4,4'-(\text{CO}_2\text{Et})_2\text{bpy})\right]^{3+}$, $\left[\text{Ru}^{\text{III}}(\text{bpy})_2(4 CO₂Et-4'$ -CH₃bpy)]³⁺, and [Ru^{III}(bpy)₂(4-CONEt₂-4'-CH₃bpy)]³⁺ were obtained by oxidative quenching of the excited state with added *N,N*′-dimethyl-4,4′-bipyridiniium dication (methyl viologen, MV^{2+}) in acetonitrile at 298 K, as previously described (eq 2). 36

$$
[Ru(bpy)2(4,4'-(CO2Et)2bpy)]2+* + MV2+ \rightarrow
$$

$$
[RuIII(bpy)2(4,4'-(CO2Et)2bpy)]3+ + MV+ (2)
$$

With a large excess of quencher, quenching occurs within the laser pulse. It is followed by back electron transfer, eq 3 or

⁽⁴³⁾ Chen, P.; Palmer, R. A.; Meyer, T. J. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **1998**, *102*, 3042.

Table 4. \bar{v} (C=O) Infrared Band Energies and Shifts Compared to the Ground State $(\Delta \bar{v}')$ (in cm⁻¹)^{*a*}

complex ^b	energy	$\Lambda \bar{\nu}'$ e
$[Ru^{II}(bpy)_{2}(4,4'-(CO_{2}Et)_{2}by\bullet^{-})]^{+d}$	1688	-43
$[Ru^{II}(bpy)(4,4'-(CO2Et)2bpy)(4,4'-(CO2Et)2bpy•)]+ d$	1695	-36
$[Ru^{II}(bpy)_{2}(4-CO_{2}Et-4'-CH_{3}by^{*})]^{+d}$	1660	-71
$[Ru^{III}(bpy)_{2}(4,4'-(CO_{2}Et)_{2}by)]^{3+d}$	1734	$< +3$
$[Ru^{III}(bpy)_{2}(4-CO_{2}Et-4'-CH_{3}by)]^{3+ d}$	1741	$< +10$
$[Ru^{II}(bpy)_{2}(4,4'-(CONF_{2})_{2}by^{\bullet-})]^{+e}$	1620	-18
$[Ru^{II}(bpy)_{2}(4-CH_{3},4'-CONF_{2}^{*})]^{+e}$	1596	-41

a Average of $5-10$ 10-ns spectral slices acquired $0-100$ ns after that 2547 nm in the presence of reductive or laser flash excitation at 354.7 nm in the presence of reductive or oxidative quenchers, see text. ^{*b*} As the PF₆⁻ salts. *c* $\Delta \bar{v}' =$ band energy after quenching $-$ initial band energy in cm⁻¹ d In acetonitrile at 298 after quenching $-$ initial band energy, in cm⁻¹. *d* In acetonitrile at 298 K. *^e* In acetonitrile-*d*³ at 298 K.

4, which occurs on the 10s of microseconds time scale, allowing observation of the reductively or oxidatively quenched complexes.

$$
[Ru(bpy)2(4,4'-(CO2Et)2bpy•)]+ + 10-MePTZ+ \rightarrow
$$

$$
[Ru(bpy)2(4,4'-(CO2Et)2bpy)]2+ + 10-MePTZ (3)
$$

$$
[Ru^{III}(bpy)2(4,4'-(CO2Et)2bpy)]3+ + MV+ \rightarrow
$$

$$
[Ru(bpy)2(4,4'-(CO2Et)2bpy)]2+ + MV2+ (4)
$$

Infrared band energies and shifts upon oxidation or reduction are listed in Table 4. Additional ground-state and excited-state ∆*A* infrared spectra are available as Supporting Information.

Discussion

The vibrational data presented here address the four questions identified in the Introduction: (1) In multiple chelates, which ligand is the ultimate acceptor? (2) In complexes with two or more identical acceptor ligands, is the excited electron localized on one ligand or delocalized over two or more? (3) What is the extent of polarization of the excited electron in unsymmetrically substituted acceptor ligands? (4) How do metal-ligand polarization and orbital overlap differ for different ligands? There is also information about changes in molecular structure between the ground and excited states.

Identification of the Acceptor Ligand. The fingerprinting abilities of the $TR³$ technique are well established and have been frequently used to identify the acceptor ligand in mixedchelates.14,15,32,44 In the ester-containing complexes, the TR3 spectra are dominated by bands arising from ring-stretching modes of the ester-substituted ligand, similar to those observed for $\left[\text{Ru}(4,4'-(\text{CO}_2\text{Et})_2\text{bpy})_3\right]^{2+\ast}$. Absorption at the scattering wavelength (354.7 nm) is dominated by $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transitions of the partly reduced acceptor ligand in the excited state. The $TR³$ data clearly establish that the ester-substituted ligand is the acceptor. Similarly, the $TR³$ spectra of the amide-containing complexes are dominated by bands arising from ring-stretching modes of the amide-substituted ligand, showing that it is the acceptor. These are expected results given the electronwithdrawing properties of the ester and amide substituents which lower the energies of the lowest π^* levels.^{34,35}

The acceptor ligand assignments are confirmed by the large negative shifts for $\bar{\nu}$ (C=O) in the S²FTIR ΔA TR spectra (Table 3). In all cases, $\bar{\nu}$ (C=O) is shifted to lower energy due to electron occupation and C=O mixing in the lowest π^* level, which decreases $C=O$ bond order.

Localization versus Delocalization. In the MLCT excited states of multiple chelates containing equivalent ligands, there has been a long-standing debate over whether the excited electron is localized on a single ligand or delocalized over two or more.42,45,46 The ground-state and excited-state ∆*A* spectra of $[Ru(bpy)₂(4,4'-(CO₂Et)₂bpy)]²⁺$ and $[Ru(bpy)(4,4'-(CO₂-$ Et)₂bpy)₂]²⁺ in the \bar{v} (C=O) region address this issue directly, at least on the ∼10 ns time scale. As shown in Figure 1, these spectra are identical, with a single $\bar{\nu}$ (C=O) ground-state band appearing at 1731 cm^{-1} , and a single excited-state band appearing at 1705 cm⁻¹ ($\Delta \bar{\nu} = -26$ cm⁻¹) Since the excited electron must be localized on the ester-substituted ligand in [Ru- $(bpy)_2(4,4'-(CO_2Et)_2bpy)]^{2+\ast}$, it must also be localized on one of the two ester-substituted ligands in $[Ru(bpy)(4,4'-(CO₂-))]$ Et ₂bpy₂^{2+*}. If it were delocalized in the latter, the shift in $\bar{\nu}$ (C=O) would be significantly less.

The situation is less clear for the singly reduced complexes, $[Ru^{II}(bpy)_{2}(4,4'-(CO_{2}Et)_{2}by\bullet^{-})]^{+}$ and $[Ru^{II}(bpy)(4,4'-(CO_{2}-))$ Et)₂bpy)(4,4'-(CO₂Et)₂bpy^{•-})]⁺, where the $\bar{\nu}$ (C=O) shifts are -43 and -36 cm⁻¹, respectively. This difference is probably within the uncertainty of the measurement. However, the smaller shift for $\left[\text{Ru}^{\text{II}}(\text{bpy})(4,4'-(\text{CO}_2\text{Et})_2\text{bpy})(4,4'-(\text{CO}_2\text{Et})_2\text{bpy}\right]^+$ may be real and arise from electronic coupling between the oncereduced and neutral $(4,4'-(CO₂Et)₂bpy)$ ligands.

Polarization in Unsymmetrically Substituted Acceptor Ligands. A second, more subtle issue of localization versus delocalization in the same acceptor ligand is addressed by the exited-state ΔA spectra of $\left[\text{Ru(bpy)}(4,4'-(CO₂Et)₂bpy)\right]^{2+}$ and $[Ru(bpy)₂(4,4'-(CONEt₂)₂bpy)]²⁺$ (Figure 1). In both cases, the appearance of a single $\bar{\nu}$ (C=O) stretch in the excited-state spectra proves that the excited electron is delocalized over both pyridyl rings on the ∼10 ns time scale. This is expected due to the symmetrical substitution of the $(4,4'-(CO₂Et)₂bpy)$ and $(4,4'$ -(CONEt₂)₂bpy) ligands.

For the acceptor ligands $(4-CO₂Et-4'-CH₃hyp)$ and $(4 CONF, there is considerable electronic asymmetry$ due to the electron-donating $-CH_3$ on one ring and electronwithdrawing $-CO_2Et$ or $-CONEt_2$ on the other. The Hammett polar substituent constant, σ *I*, for $-CO_2Et$ is 0.30. For $-CH_3$, σ *I* is -0.04.⁴⁷ The excited-state $\bar{\nu}$ (C=O) shift for [Ru(bpy)₂- $(4,4'$ - $(CO_2Et)_2$ bpy)]²⁺ is $\Delta \bar{\nu} = -26$ cm⁻¹, while the shift for $[Ru(bpy)₂(4-CO₂Et-4'-CH₃bpy)]²⁺$ is -46 cm^{-1} (Table 3). The near doubling of $\Delta \bar{\nu}$ for $\left[\text{Ru(bpy)}_{2}(4\text{-}CO_{2}Et-4\text{-}CH_{3}bpy)\right]^{2+}$ is consistent with a high degree of polarization of the excited electron toward the ester-substituted pyridine, as expected. A related effect is observed for the amide-substituted bpy complexes. The shift in $\bar{\nu}$ (C=O) for $\arctan [Ru(bpy)_2(4\text{-}CONF2\text{-}4\text{-}CH_3\text{-}1]$ bpy)]²⁺ is 33 cm⁻¹, compared to 15 cm⁻¹ for [Ru(bpy)₂(4,4'- $(CONEt_2)_2$ bpy)]²⁺, consistent with polarization of the excited electron toward the amide-substituted pyridine in (4-CONEt2- 4′-CH3bpy). The Hammett polar substituent constant, *σI*, for $-CONH₂$ is 0.21.47

Similar observations have been made by Kincaid and coworkers on Ru(II) complexes containing the unsymmetrical acceptor ligands 2-(2-pyridyl)pyrazine15 and 5-monomethyl-2,2′ bipyridine¹⁴ by resonance Raman and $TR³$. Their data were consistent with extensive polarization of the excited electron

(45) Riesen, H.; Wallace, L.; Krausz, E. *Inorg. Chem.* **¹⁹⁹⁶**, *³⁵*, 6908- 6909.

⁽⁴⁶⁾ Turro, C.; Chung, Y. C.; Leventis, N.; Kuchenmeister, M. E.; Wagner, P. J.; Leroi, G. E. *Inorg. Chem.* **1996**, 35, 5104-5106. P. J.; Leroi, G. E. *Inorg. Chem.* **¹⁹⁹⁶**, *³⁵*, 5104-5106. (47) Lowry, T. H.; Richardson, K. S. *Mechanism and Theory in Organic*

⁽⁴⁴⁾ Schoonover, J. R.; Bignozzi, C. A.; Meyer, T. J. *Coord. Chem. Re*V*.* **¹⁹⁹⁷**, *¹⁶⁵*, 239-266.

Chemistry; Harper Collins Publishers: New York, 1987.

toward the electron-deficient pyrazine fragment in 2-(2-pyridyl) pyrazine and toward the less electron-rich pyridine fragment in 5-monomethyl-2,2′-bipyridine.

Excited-State Electronic Distribution. Oxidation of [Ru^{II}- $(bpy)_{2}(4,4'-(CO_{2}Et)_{2}by)^{2+}$ to $[Ru^{III}(bpy)_{2}(4,4'-(CO_{2}Et)_{2}by)^{3+}$ by excitation in the presence of oxidative quencher results in a shift of \leq 3 cm⁻¹. Oxidation of $\left[\text{Ru}^{\text{II}}(\text{bpy})_2\right]$ (4-CO₂Et-4'-CH₃bpy)]²⁺ to [Ru^{III}(bpy)₂(4-CO₂Et-4'-CH₃bpy)]³⁺ by similar means results in a shift of ≤ 10 cm⁻¹ (Table 4). The uncertainty in these shifts arises because they are within the bandwidth and difficult to quantify reliably. Both shifts are positive, consistent with a loss in $d\pi(Ru)$ - $\pi^*(4,4'$ -(CO₂Et)₂bpy) or $\pi^*(4$ -CO₂Et-4'- CH_3 bpy) ground-state back-bonding upon oxidation to Ru^{III} , but the effect is small.

By contrast, reduction of $\left[\text{Ru}^{\text{II}}(\text{bpy})_2(4,4'-(\text{CO}_2\text{Et})_2\text{bpy})\right]^{2+}$ to $[Ru^{II}(bpy)_{2}(4,4'-(CO_{2}Et)_{2}by\bullet^{-})]^{+}$ by excitation in the presence of reductive quencher results in a shift of -43 cm⁻¹. Reduction of $\left[\text{Ru}^{\text{II}}(\text{bpy})_2(4-\text{CO}_2\text{Et-4}'-\text{CH}_3\text{bpy})\right]^{2+}$ to $\left[\text{Ru}^{\text{II}}(\text{bpy})_2(4-\text{CO}_2\text{Et-4}')\right]^{2+}$ $4'$ -CH₃bpy^{•-})]⁺ by similar means results in a shift of -71 cm⁻¹ (Table 4). These shifts are considerably larger than those in the excited states (Table 3).

The excited-state shift for $\left[\text{Ru(bpy)}_{2}(4,4'-(\text{CO}_{2}Et)_{2}bpy)\right]^{2+}$ (-26 cm^{-1}) is ~60% of the shift for fully reduced [Ru^{II}(bpy)₂- $(4,4'$ - $(CO_2Et)_2$ bpy^{*-})]⁺ (-43 cm⁻¹). For [Ru(bpy)₂(4,4'-(CO- NEt_2)₂bpy)]²⁺ (-15 cm⁻¹), it is ~80% of that for the fully reduced complex (-18 cm^{-1}) . The smaller shifts in the absence of quencher are expected. Reductive quenching results in the electronic configuration $d\pi^6 \pi^{*1}$ (ligand radical anions bound to $d\pi$ ⁶ Ru^{II}). The excited-state configuration is $d\pi$ ⁵ π ^{*1}, with a strong polarization interaction between the excited electron and the $d₁$ ⁵ Ru^{III} core. The data suggest the interesting possibility that there may be a correlation between the excited-state $\bar{\nu}$ (C= O) shifts and the extent of charge transfer, but this conclusion awaits an appropriate theoretical analysis.

Acknowledgment. The authors acknowledge the support of the Department of Energy under Grant No. DE-FG02- 96ER14607 (T.J.M.), the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMR-9409107 (R.A.P.), Bruker Optics (R.A.P.), the National Science Foundation Graduate Fellowship Program (G.D.S.), and the University of California Directed Research and Development Funds at Los Alamos (J.R.S.). The authors thank Drs. Scott Trammell and Martin Devenney for assistance with the acquisition of the resonance Raman spectra.

IC981338L