	Co	MPOSIT	ION OF	Niobii	um Oxyfluor	IDES	
Oxi red	dation- luction	% Nb	% F	$\% 0^a$	Composition	<i>0</i> 0	Ochod
0_1	Pred	61.3	28.2	10 5	NhOF	3 880	PODBU
0-2	As	59 7	26.4	13.8	NbO	3 880	
9-7	P. red	63.7	20.6	15.7	NhO1 40F1 50	3 915	3 98
9-10	P. red	63.7	18.1	18.2	NhO1 65F1 20	3.906	0.00
9-20	P. red	62.3	18.4	19.3	NbO1 80F1 44	3,908	4.01
9-27	Si	65.2	15.0	19.8	NbO <sub>1.76</sub> $F_{1.12}$		4.10
8-73	Si	61.5	23.0	15.5	NbO <sub>1 46</sub> F <sub>1 83</sub>	3.917	
				• •	1 1	11.01	

TABLE II

<sup>a</sup> Nb and F determined chemically, oxygen by difference.

arsenic, and antimony led to formation of a series of oxyfluorides, the oxygen being derived from the walls of the container. Mass spectroscopic analysis identified SiF<sub>4</sub> as one of the products. After a reaction time varying from 2 to 10 days, reduction was complete as evidenced by the absence of unreacted NbF<sub>5</sub> upon cooling the quartz tube. Heavy deposits of large crystals, dark gray to black, 0.1 to 1 mm., had built up along the entire length of the tube. These crystals were perfect cubes, many of them twinned. X-Ray diffraction studies showed these materials to have the ReO<sub>3</sub> type structure identical with that of NbF<sub>3</sub>.<sup>5</sup> Chemical analysis of these materials showed the presence of only niobium, fluorine, and oxygen. Apparently oxygen can substitute for fluorine in the crystal to give a wide range of composition from  $NbO_xF_y$  to  $NbO_2F$ . Schäfer, et al.,<sup>6</sup> also reported the existence of a  $Nb(O,F)_3$  phase with a ReO<sub>3</sub> structure and believe that NbF<sub>3</sub> completely free of oxygen is apparently not stable. We have observed that in the niobium oxyfluorides the sum of the number of anions per cation varies significantly from 3 in some cases. Tentatively, we interpret these results as indicating the presence of metal-deficient structures. A summary of the composition, density, and cell constant of these materials appears in Table II. The oxyfluoride materials are refractory and very resistant to attack by acids. No noticeable dissolution of the oxyfluoride occurred upon boiling a sample in a solution of concentrated  $H_2SO_4$ ,  $HNO_3$ , and  $H_2O_2$  for a 24-hr. period. The materials can be dissolved in molten alkali hydroxides.

Acknowledgment.—We are indebted to Mr. J. Dolesch for chemical analysis and to Mrs. M. Alley for technical assistance in the X-ray diffraction measurements. Special thanks are due to Dr. C. S. Smith for help in the structure determination and to Mr. C. Nezbeda for carrying out the Faraday magnetic susceptibility measurements.

# The Crystal and Molecular Structure of Diphosphorus Hexathiodibromide

BY FREDERICK W. B. EINSTEIN, BRUCE R. PENFOLD, AND QUENTIN T. TAPSELL

Received July 30, 1964

Crystal structure analysis of diphosphorus hexathiodibromide has established that the molecules contain a new type of six-membered ring of composition  $P_2S_4$  in which there are two S-S linkages. The tetrahedral environment of each phosphorus is completed by a bromine atom and a double-bonded sulfur atom attached externally to the ring. There is complete disorder involving the external atoms. Molecules possess a crystallographic twofold symmetry axis normal to the ring and are related by the fourfold inversion axes of the tetragonal space group  $P42_1c$ . The ring has the *skew boat* conformation on account of the steric preference for large angles of torsion about S-S bonds. Three-dimensional least-squares refinement of parameters led to the mean interatomic distances P-Br = 2.07, P=S = 1.98, P-S = 2.10, and S-S = 2.03 Å.

### Introduction

The known thiohalides of phosphorus<sup>1</sup> may be considered to belong to three classes: (I) the thiophosphoryl trihalides  $PSX_3$ , where X = F, Cl, or Br; (II)  $P_4S_3I_2$ , the only thioiodide; and (III) the thiobromides  $P_2S_5Br_4$  and  $P_2S_6Br_2$ . The only molecular structures hitherto reported are for class I by electron diffraction<sup>2</sup> and for class II by X-ray diffraction.<sup>3</sup> The two compounds of class III were characterized in these laboratories by Andrews, Fergusson, and Wilkins,<sup>1</sup> who pointed out that S-S bonds must be present if (1) See, e.g., J. M. Andrews, J. E. Fergusson, and C. J. Wilkins, J. Inorg.

 See, e.g., J. M. Andrews, J. E. Fergusson, and C. J. Wilkins, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 25, 829 (1963).
 (2) "Interatomic Distances," Special Publication No. 11, The Chemical the coordination of phosphorus with respect to sulfur is limited to four as would be expected. The high probability of the existence of this structural feature, not present in any other known crystalline compound of phosphorus and sulfur, led us to the structure analysis of  $P_2S_6Br_2$ , the more accessible of the two compounds in suitably crystalline form.

#### Experimental

Large opaque yellow needle-shaped crystals were prepared under dry carbon dioxide, following the method of Andrews, Fergusson, and Wilkins,<sup>1</sup> and were manipulated in a drybox.

Crystal Data.—P<sub>2</sub>S<sub>6</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>, molecular weight 414.2, tetragonal, space group P<sub>4</sub>Z<sub>1</sub>c from systematic absences of X-ray reflections, a = b = 9.79 Å. ( $\sigma = 0.01$  Å.), c = 11.51 Å. ( $\sigma = 0.01$  Å.) ( $\lambda = 1.5418$  Å. for Cu K $\alpha$  radiation), U = 1104 Å.<sup>3</sup>,  $D_m = 2.53$ 

CONTRIBUTION FROM THE CHEMISTRY DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF CANTERBURY, CHRISTCHURCH, NEW ZEALAND

Society, London, 1958. (3) D. A. Wright and B. R. Penfold, Acta Cryst., 12, 455 (1959).

g. cm.<sup>-3</sup> (by flotation),<sup>4</sup> Z = 4,  $D_x = 2.58$  g. cm.<sup>-3</sup>, F(000) = 784, molecular symmetry, 2. Linear absorption coefficients are 159.3 cm.<sup>-1</sup> for Cu K $\alpha$  and 67.6 cm.<sup>-1</sup> for Mo K $\alpha$  radiation.

The crystals were very brittle and therefore difficult to cleave to a suitable size for X-ray photography. The single sample used for all X-ray data was sealed in a Lindemann glass tube and remained in good condition for several months. Reciprocal lattice levels  $(hk0 \ldots 6)$  were recorded by the equi-inclination Weissenberg method, using Cu Ka radiation, and the levels  $(0 \dots 2kl)$  with the Buerger precession camera using Mo K $\alpha$ radiation. Within the Weissenberg levels, visual estimates were made of the intensities of 412 independent reflections; 56 were under the threshold of observation and these were assigned a value one-half of the minimum observable intensity for purposes of the least-squares refinement. The precession data, being of inferior quality, were used only for preliminary interlevel correlation purposes. The crystal fragment used was a needle 0.18 mm. long (parallel to the tetrad axis) with a rectangular cross section  $0.065 \times 0.055$  mm. Corrections for absorption were applied on the assumption that the crystal was a cylinder of radius 0.03 mm. ( $\mu R = 0.5$ ).

# Structure Determination

The structure was eventually solved, but by no means readily, from the sharpened three-dimensional Patterson function. The chief difficulty was that the structure is quasi-C-face-centered, as indicated by the systematic weakness of all reflections having (h + k)odd. In fact, four of the five atoms of the asymmetric unit occur as two approximately C-centered pairs. This caused coincidence of otherwise distinct symmetry peaks of the Patterson function. To make matters even more difficult, it turned out that the z coordinate of the fifth atom was close to 1/4, which meant that for this atom the symmetry peak of the general type x + y, x - y, 2z fell on the special Patterson section z = 1/2 and was obscured by symmetry peaks of other types derived from all atoms. Disorder among two atomic sites also greatly hindered the refinement.

The very intense (220) reflection was an aid in setting up the first trial structure. There were indications from the vector map that no atom lay close to any of the special positions of the space group, all of which have values of x and y equal to 0 or 1/2 where the contribution to the (220) structure amplitude (which has no imaginary component) is maximum positive. This amplitude was therefore assumed to be negative and, because of its very high unitary structure factor ( $U_{obsd}$ = 0.6;  $U_{calcd}$  = 0.8 from final refinement), it indicated the broad regions of the unit cell where atoms could reside.

In the space group P42<sub>1</sub>c, an atom at x, y, z is related to atoms in equivalent positions by the vectors  $2x, 2y, 0; x + y, y - x, 2z; \frac{1}{2} - 2x, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - 2z; \frac{1}{2} - (x - y), \frac{1}{2} + (x - y), \frac{1}{2}$  and symmetry related vectors. General areas of the vector map were searched for vectors of the type x + y, y - x, 2z, identifiable by their membership of a self-consistent set as indicated above. It should be noted that an atomic site x, y, z cannot be distinguished from  $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2$ 

x,  $\frac{1}{2} + y$ , z by this method. Eventually six atom sites, comprising three C-centered pairs, were selected for a trial structure. One of these sites was assigned to a Br atom on account of its environment, while all others, for the purposes of structure factor calculation, were assigned to S atoms. Structure factor agreement was sufficiently good to justify commencement of a least-squares refinement, but after eight cycles, using the block-diagonal approximation, the R index for all reflections remained at 0.33. One sulfur atom was then removed on the evidence of an  $F_{obsd}$  electron density map, supported by an anomalously high shift in its thermal parameter. The remaining five atoms were then consistent with the over-all chemical composition, but R was reduced only slightly. At this stage, anomalous thermal parameters for Br and for the atom related to it by the quasi-lattice centering suggested that the electron density at these two sites should be much more nearly equal. After 2/3Br (i.e., approximately  $\frac{1}{2}Br + \frac{1}{2}S$  was placed at each site, refinement continued and after a further ten cycles R was 0.18. Of the three atoms being considered as "sulfur" to this point, one, according to the chemical composition, was phosphorus. This atom was readily identified by its approximately tetrahedral environment. The two equal composite atoms, each required by the composition to be  $(1/_2Br + 1/_2S)$ , formed a significantly extended region of electron density which suggested an atom separation of about 0.3 Å. Approximate distances from the phosphorus atom of points on each extended peak separated by 0.3 Å. were consistent with known lengths of P-Br and P=S bonds. Refinement was then continued after placing  $1/_2Br$  and  $1/_{2}S$  at such points. All parameters behaved normally and refined to the values listed in Table I, which also

TABLE	Ι	
-------	---	--

	x	y	z	B, Å.2
Р	0.2994	0.0150	0.2423	3.5
S(1)	0.4394	0.1605	0.3050	3.9
	(0.9394)	(0.3395)	(0.1950)	
S(2)	0.4164	-0.1483	0.1781	3.9
S(3)	0.1884	0.0475	0.1145	5.7
S(4)	0.2018	-0.0115	0.3846	3.3
Br(3)	0.1898	0.0808	0.0995	4.8
	(0.6898)	(0.4192)	(0.4005)	
Br(4)	0.1921	-0.0427	0.3901	4.9

<sup>*a*</sup> Coordinate values in parentheses are related to those above them by a space group symmetry operation and illustrate the quasi-C-centered relationship between S(1) and S(2) and between composite atoms (3) and (4).

illustrates the quasi-C-centered arrangement in which all atoms except phosphorus are involved. Atomic scattering factors used were those calculated from the self-consistent field model with exchange and corrected for anomalous dispersion.<sup>5</sup>

An acceleration procedure<sup>6</sup> was used to assist the normal least-squares process at the end of the refine-

<sup>(4)</sup> This density value, obtained with a freshly prepared sample, is to be contrasted with the much lower value of 1.82 previously reported.<sup>1</sup> Aged samples were observed to dissolve partially in the flotation liquid leaving a residue of lower density. We assume that the earlier value is erroneous and may refer to decomposition products.

<sup>(5) &</sup>quot;International Tables for X-ray Crystallography," Vol. III, The Kynoch Press, Birmingham, England, 1962, pp. 203, 206, 214.
(6) L. I. Hodgson and J. S. Rollett, Acta Cryst., 16, 329 (1963).

н к	FO IFCI	H K FO IFCI	H K FO IFCI	H K FO IFCI	H K FO IFCI	H K FO IFCI	H K FO IFCI
000001111111111222222222222233333333444544544554455455556666666777788	$\begin{array}{c} & 0 \\ 354 \\ 517 \\$	$ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} = & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 12766 & 1538 \\ 2766 & 1538 & 3729 \\ 5 & 0 & 12766 & 1538 \\ 9 & 0 & 2464 & 372 \\ 9 & 0 & 0 & 1166 & 325 \\ 1 & 1 & 20546 & 1644 & 6855 \\ 1 & 1 & 20546 & 1284 \\ 2 & 1 & 18277 & 1284 \\ 2 & 1 & 18274 & 1284 \\ 1 & 1927 & 10 & 1284 \\ 1 & 1927 & 10 & 1284 \\ 1 & 1927 & 10 & 1284 \\ 1 & 1927 & 10 & 1284 \\ 1 & 106 & 117 \\ 1 & 1 & 1776 & 635 \\ 1 & 1 & 1776 & 635 \\ 1 & 1 & 106 & 117 \\ 1 & 1 & 1284 \\ 2 & 2 & 3387 & 3248 \\ 1 & 108 & 1284 \\ 1 & 108 & 1284 \\ 1 & 108 & 1284 \\ 1 & 106 & 117 \\ 1 & 1 & 106 & 117 \\ 1 & 1 & 106 & 1127 \\ 1 & 1 & 106 & 123 \\ 2 & 2 & 2509 & 365 \\ 1 & 1 & 1077 & 108 \\ 1 & 1 & 106 & 123 \\ 2 & 2 & 2369 & 355 \\ 1 & 1 & 106 & 117 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 2999 \\ 1 & 108 & 108 & 1291 \\ 1 & 108 & 118 \\ 1 & 108 & 118 \\ 1 & 108 & 118 \\ 1 & 108 & 118 \\ 1 & 108 & 118 \\ 1 & 108 & 118 \\ 1 & 108 & 118 \\ 1 & 108 & 118 \\ 1 & 108 & 118 \\$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c} L = 4 \\ 1 & 0 & * & 60 \\ 3 & 0 & 105 & 93 \\ 5 & 0 & 164 & 986 \\ 5 & 0 & 164 & 1155 \\ 7 & 0 & 187 & 1526 \\ 9 & 0 & 144 & 154 \\ 111 & 0 & * & 30 \\ 1 & 178 & 1786 \\ 1 & 1125 & 1786 \\ 1 & 1314 & 1324 \\ 1 & 134 & 134 \\ 1 & 134 & 134 \\ 2 & 1 & 1786 \\ 1 & 121 & 1786 \\ 1 & 121 & 1786 \\ 1 & 121 & 1786 \\ 1 & 121 & 1786 \\ 1 & 121 & 1786 \\ 1 & 121 & 1786 \\ 1 & 121 & 1786 \\ 1 & 121 & 1786 \\ 1 & 121 & 1786 \\ 1 & 121 & 1786 \\ 1 & 121 & 1786 \\ 1 & 121 & 1786 \\ 1 & 121 & 1786 \\ 1 & 121 & 1786 \\ 1 & 121 & 1786 \\ 1 & 1314 & 2370 \\ 1 & 121 & 2370 \\ 1 & 121 & 2370 \\ 1 & 121 & 2370 \\ 1 & 121 & 2370 \\ 1 & 121 & 2370 \\ 1 & 121 & 2370 \\ 1 & 121 & 2370 \\ 1 & 121 & 2370 \\ 1 & 121 & 2370 \\ 1 & 111 & 22 & 2920 \\ 1 & 121 & 2370 \\ 1 & 1314 & 2370 \\ 1 & 111 & 22 & 2920 \\ 1 & 121 & 2271 \\ 2 & 2920 \\ 1 & 121 & 2270 \\ 1 & 121 & 2270 \\ 1 & 121 & 2270 \\ 1 & 121 & 2270 \\ 1 & 121 & 2270 \\ 1 & 121 & 2270 \\ 1 & 121 & 2270 \\ 1 & 121 & 2270 \\ 1 & 121 & 2270 \\ 1 & 121 & 2270 \\ 1 & 121 & 2270 \\ 1 & 121 & 1212 \\ 1 & 121 & 2270 \\ 1 & 121 & 1212 \\ 1 & 121 & 2270 \\ 1 & 121 & 1212 \\$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9 0 131 146 10 0 278 249 11 1 0 605 3254 1 1 342 360 754 1 1 3800 754 1 1 3800 754 1 1 3800 754 1 1 333 343 1 800 754 1 1 3233 343 7 1 281 258 9 1 331 185 7 1 281 258 9 1 331 185 7 1 281 258 9 1 331 185 6 2 2 2 117 1 57 9 2 2 2 177 229 10 2 177 297 10 1 1 1 10 666 3 2 2 2 177 247 10 57 10 2 177 297 10 2 177 297 10 3 345 10 56 1 2 2 3 345 10 7 8 9 2 2 177 247 10 3 345 10 7 8 9 2 2 177 247 10 3 3 5669 10 3 3 366 7 7 2 2 2 747 10 3 3 15 10 3 3 15 10 3 3 15 10 3 3 15 10 3 3 16 10 3 3 11 3 3 2 277 2407 7 2 2 2 74 10 3 3 15 10 3 3 10 1 3 3 1

TABLE II Observed and Calculated Structure Amplitudes<sup>a</sup>

 $^{a}$  An asterisk indicates unobserved reflection. E indicates one of 13 reflections before application of an empirical extinction correction.

ment, and seven further cycles led to a final R value of 0.13 for all 412 reflections. Included in these reflections were all which had been unobserved and also 13 which were badly affected by extinction and to which an empirical correction had been applied. If these two classes are excluded, R is 0.11. As can be seen from Table II, which lists all reflections, those 13 which were corrected were the most intense in their respective levels and all were observed much too low. For the last four least-squares cycles, the original Hughes type weighting scheme was replaced by the two-parameter function

$$w = 1/\left[1 + \left(\frac{F_{\text{obsd}} - b}{a}\right)^2\right]$$

suggested by Mills and Rollett,<sup>7</sup> assigning a and b the values 310 and 250, respectively. This choice of parameters had the effect of greatly down-weighting both the unobserved reflections and also the very intense reflections. No interference with the acceleration procedure was observed. The final coordinate shifts were all less than half the corresponding indicated standard errors when the refinement was terminated.

(7) O. S. Mills and J. S. Rollett, "Computing Methods and the Phase Problem in X-ray Crystal Analysis," Pergamon Press, London, 1961, p. 117.

## Discussion

The Crystal Structure.—The nature of the packing of  $P_2S_6Br_2$  molecules is illustrated in Figure 1. This shows the layering of the structure in planes normal to the *c* axis. The mean plane of a molecule is, to a good approximation, parallel to (001) and includes its own pair of phosphorus atoms. Accordingly the mean heights of the two pairs of molecules which define one layer, as illustrated, are z = 0.24 and 0.26, respectively, relative to the  $\overline{4}$  inversion centers at z = 0 and  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Molecular layers are therefore separated by about  $\frac{1}{2c}$ . There are no intermolecular contacts shorter than 3.55 Å. (Br–S) and, of those under 3.8 Å., most occur between rather than within molecular layers.

**Molecular Structure.**—The  $P_2S_6Br_2$  molecule in its averaged crystal setting, as illustrated in Figure 2, possesses a two fold rotation axis, the crystal asymmetric unit being a grouping of three sulfur atoms and one bromine atom around a phosphorus in a distorted tetrahedral configuration.

Intramolecular distances and angles are listed in Table III together with standard deviations based on *twice* the coordinate errors derived from the least-squares refinement. For distances and angles within the  $P_2S_4$  ring these estimates of error should be a safe

 $S(2) \cdots Br(3)$ 

 $S(2) \cdots S(4)$  $S(2) \cdots Br(4)$ 

	Molecular Interatomic Distances and Valency Angles, with Standard Deviations <sup>a</sup>				
	Length, Å.	σ, Å.	Angle	Value, deg.	σ, đeg.
P-S(1)	2.104	0.014	S(1)PS(2)	106.3	0.5
P-S(2)	2.101	0.014	PS(1)S(2)'	99.5	0.6
S(1)-S(2)'	2.034	0.015	PS(2)S(1)'	99.8	0.6
P-S(3)	1.856	(0.029)	S(1)PS(3)	122.5	(1.0)
PS(4)	1.914	(0.024)	S(1)PBr(3)	113.6	(0.6)
P-Br(3)	2.066	(0.016)	S(1)PS(4)	97.1	(0.9)
P-Br(4)	2.078	(0.016)	S(1)PBr(4)	103.4	(0.7)
$S(1) \cdots S(2)$	3.36		S(2)PS(3)	99.8	(1.1)
$S(1) \cdots S(1)'$	3.36		S(2)PBr(3)	103.9	(0.7)
$S(2) \cdots S(2)'$	3.32		S(2)PS(4)	118.0	(0.9)
$S(1) \cdots S(3)$	3.47		S(2)PBr(4)	110.0	(0.7)
$S(1) \cdots Br(3)$	3.49		S(3)PBr(4)	113.5	(1.2)
$S(1) \cdots S(4)$	3.01		S(4)PBr(3)	117.6	(1.1)
$S(1) \cdots Br(4)$	3.28				
$S(2) \cdots S(3)$	3.03				

TABLE III

<sup>a</sup> Atoms numbered (3) and (4) are half-atoms. Listed errors in lengths or angles involving them, although derived from the leastsquares results in the same way as for the other values in the table, have only limited significance because of the lack of resolution of these atoms.

upper limit. The coordinates of the terminal halfatoms will be expected to interact strongly so that distances and angles in which these atoms are involved will be subject to errors which are hard to assess and may well be larger than those given. In fact, all distances are quite consistent internally. The same cannot be said, however, of the bond angles involving terminal atoms. The tetrahedral environment of phosphorus appears to be considerably distorted by means of a 7° twisting of the planes of X(3)-PX(4) away from the normal to the plane S(1)PS(2). It is difficult to account for such a distortion, except on

3.28 3.44

3 44



Figure 1.—The arrangement of P<sub>2</sub>S<sub>6</sub>Br<sub>2</sub> molecules in one layer viewed normal to the *c* axis. In this projection there is overlap with alternate layers which may be visualized as being generated by the *c* glide planes.

grounds of intermolecular packing, as it increases the nonbonded repulsions within the molecule.

Both cis and trans isomers are possible with this molecular structure. The nature of the disordering means that the crystal structure is consistent with either cis or trans or a mixture of both. Whatever the nature of the geometrical isomerism, there will be equal numbers of d and l optical isomers because of the operation of the  $\overline{4}$  symmetry axes.



Figure 2.- The molecule P2S6Br2 as it appears in its averaged crystal setting, dimensions of the ring portion being shown. A crystallographic twofold axis relates primed with unprimed atoms. X represents a multiple atomic site  $(1/_2Br + 1/_2S)$ .

The Six-Membered Ring .-- In no other known phosphorus sulfide or thiohalide has a molecular structure been established as based on one simple ring or as containing S-S bonds. The "P<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub>" ring in this structure is of the skew boat type and it is interesting to examine the reasons why this form should be preferred to the chair form which would be expected to minimize nonbonded repulsions within the ring. We may compare the sulfur bond angles, the lengths of S-S bonds, and the torsion angles about them, as they occur in the  $P_2S_4$  ring, with those found in other cyclic structures and also in some noncyclic structures. Representative results of the more recent studies are listed in Table

0001	on poblon .	0.100	
Compound	S-S length, Å.	Bond angle at S, deg.	Torsion angle about S-S, deg.
$S_8^d$	2.06	107.9	98.9
$S_6$	2.06	102.2	74.5
$P_2S_6Br_2$	2.03	100, 100	86
$(S_4O_6)^{2-f}$	$2.02^{a}$	104	90
Formamidinium disulfide (I) <sup>/</sup>	$2.04^{b}$	$102^{b}$	$97^{b}$
1,2-Dithiolane-4- carboxylic acid (II) <sup>f</sup>	2.10	93, 97	27
4-Methyl trithione (III) <sup>g</sup>	2.05	91, 99	$2.5^{\circ}$

TABLE IV DISTANCES, ANGLES, AND TORSION ANGLES ASSOCIATED WITH SULFUR-SULFUR BONDS

<sup>a</sup> Length of the central bond in the four-atom sulfur chain. <sup>b</sup> Mean figures for the dibromide and diiodide derivatives. <sup>c</sup> There are a number of other examples of planar five-membered rings containing carbon and nitrogen. <sup>d</sup> J. Donohue, private communication referred to ref. e. <sup>e</sup> J. Donohue, A. Caron, and E. Goldish, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 83, 3748 (1961). <sup>f</sup> O. Foss, et al., Acta Chem. Scand., 12, 1700, 1782, 1810 (1958). <sup>g</sup> G. A. Jeffrey and R. Shiono, Acta Cryst., 12, 447 (1959).

IV. Fuller information is available in the reviews of Abrahams<sup>8</sup> and Foss.<sup>9</sup>

![](_page_4_Figure_6.jpeg)

There is clearly a preference for a torsion angle of about 90° which has been attributed to repulsions between the unshared  $p\pi$  electron pairs on adjacent sulfur atoms.<sup>10</sup> This preference is sacrificed only in the special case of the five-membered ring of compound II and in general with conjugated rings such as in compound III. In these cases approximate ring planarity is apparently the more pressing energetic requirement. In the P<sub>2</sub>S<sub>6</sub>Br<sub>2</sub> molecule there are no other such requirements apart from the condition that nonbonded atoms should be as far apart as possible. If we assume that bond lengths and bond angles are fixed within the narrow limits (2.02-2.06 Å. and 102-108°) indicated in the comparable compounds in Table IV, we may then regard the molecular conformation as being determined by the two requirements of (a) a torsion angle about S-S of  $90^{\circ}$  ( $\pm 10^{\circ}$ ) and (b) a minimization of nonbonded repulsions.

Study of models shows that the inherently rigid *chair* ring such as is exhibited by  $S_{\theta}$ , the only other molecule with S–S bonds in a six-membered ring, leads to serious repulsions between terminal atoms and ring

sulfur atoms in P2S6Br2. Relief of the consequent strain would be possible only by a drastic reduction of the S-S torsion angle which would, in turn, require an accompanying large increase in the sulfur bond angles. The *skew boat* ring is, however, inherently flexible; *i.e.*, a range of torsion angles can be accommodated without large accompanying changes in bond angles. Torsion angles about P-S bonds are expected to be less critical than those about S-S bonds because the repulsion between a bond pair and a lone pair on adjacent bonded second-row atoms is less than that between two lone pairs. It is reasonable, therefore, to assume that, in P<sub>2</sub>S<sub>6</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>, changes in the ring conformation to minimize repulsions between nonbonded atoms may be accommodated by changes in the P-S torsion angles within the ring while S-S torsion angles need not change. The small values (40 and  $42^{\circ}$ ) observed for the P-S torsion angles are therefore not unexpected.

The discovery of the  $P_2S_4$  ring in  $P_2S_6Br_2$  suggests that there are likely to be other instances of this previously unknown structural feature in sulfur-rich phosphorus sulfide phases, including polymeric amorphous phases of the type found by Moedritzer and Van Wazer.<sup>11</sup> These authors did in fact suggest some such bonding system, but structural evidence was lacking.

This structure also leads us to compare the behavior toward sulfur of phosphorus and nitrogen. There is an extensive chemistry based on nitrogen–sulfur ring systems of various kinds,<sup>12</sup> nitrogen exhibiting a covalency of three and coordination numbers two or three. Phosphorus, on the other hand, prefers expansion of its valence shell. In none of the known phosphorus sulfides and thiohalides does phosphorus show coordination less than three and consequently three-dimensional cage structures are preferred to simple rings. If phosphorus is to form simple rings with sulfur, part of its valence requirements must be met externally to the ring, either as in  $P_2S_6Br_2$ or by polymerization.

Acknowledgment.—We wish to thank Drs. J. E. Fergusson and C. J. Wilkins for their advice concerning the preparation of the compound and the measurement of its density; also, Drs. D. N. Kirk and M. P. Hartshorn for helpful discussions concerning the ring conformation. Computations were performed on an IBM 1620 at the Mobil Computer Laboratory of the University of Canterbury using, in part, programs of D. van der Helm from the Institute for Cancer Research, Philadelphia, Pa., and of G. Mair from the Pure Chemistry Division, National Research Council, Ottawa, Canada. This work was supported by the New Zealand University Grants Committee in the form of equipment and a Research Fellowship (to F. W. B. E.)

<sup>(8)</sup> S. C. Abrahams, Quart. Rev. (London), 10, 407 (1956).

<sup>(9)</sup> O. Foss, Advan. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem., 2, 237 (1960).

<sup>(10)</sup> L. Pauling, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S., 35, 495 (1949).

<sup>(11)</sup> K. Moedritzer and J. R. Van Wazer, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 25, 683 (1963).

<sup>(12)</sup> M. Becke-Goehring, Progr. Inorg. Chem., 1, 207 (1959).