1.47 (1.48) Å. for complex A (C) agree within experimental error with the average value of 1.44 Å. for the nickel complex. The average value of 1.46 A. for the three metal complexes appears to be significantly longer than the average values of 1.36 and 1.38 *8.* found for the open allylic fragment in $[(C_3H_5)PdCl]_2$ ^{25,26} These longer allylic bonds for the cyclobutenyl-metal complexes may be inherent in the strained four-membered carbon ring system and do not necessarily reflect a difference in the strength of interaction between the metal and the two types of allylic fragments present. This conclusion is supported by the average allylic C-C bond length of 1.41 Å. found³⁰ for the uncomplexed cyclobutenium cation in $[(C_6H_5)_4C_4Cl]^+$ SnCl₆-.

The average bond length of the allylic carbons to the phenyl carbons in complex A (C) is 1.46 (1.45) Å., whereas a bond length of 1.52 (1.54) Å. is observed between the cyclobutenyl tetrahedral carbon and its bonded phenyl carbon. The cyclobutenyl ring bond angles for the three metal complexes (Figure 4) are similar to those in the cyclobutenium ring, and all are reduced by equivalent amounts from the *ideal* strainfree values. For all four rings (Figure 4) the bonds from the ring carbons to the attached allylic ring substituents essentially bisect the external angles *(ie.,* \sim 133 \pm 8°). The small differences in bond lengths and angles for the metal-complexed cyclobutenyl systems compared to those for the planar cyclobutenium cation no doubt are due to the rigidity of the fourmembered ring system.

Of interest also is a comparison of the directions of the lines perpendicular to the planes defined by the three bonding carbon neighbors of each of the allylic carbons. For an ideally trigonally hybridized carbon these lines define the direction of the ρ_{π} -type orbitals. A determination of the angles between these lines allows

a qualitative estimate of the amount of electron delocalization retained in the cyclobutenyl system in complexes A and C and $[(CH_3)_4C_4C_5H_5]NiC_5H_5$. The results of such a calculation are given below for the three cyclobutenyl-metal systems

Here P_{C_4} and P_{C_4} represent the perpendicular lines extending from the planes of attached carbons for the terminal allylic carbons and P_{C_2} the line from the central carbon. The results of these calculations again show the similarities in the three transition metalcyclobutenyl systems. The small differences observed among corresponding angles are not significant. There is a symmetrical distortion of the σ -framework such that the normals through the terminal allylic carbons remain essentially parallel. It is concluded that considerable electron delocalization in the allylic portion of the cyclobutenyl ring is retained on forming these metal complexes.

Acknowledgments.-The authors are grateful to both the National Science Foundation and to the United States Atomic Energy Commission for their financial support of this work. W. 0. wishes to thank Procter and Gamble for a one-year fellowship (1963-1964). We wish to extend special thanks to Dr. M. D. Glick and Miss Judith Coleman for their help in the refinement of these compounds. We also acknowledge the use of the IBM 704 computer at MURA (under the Atomic Energy Commission) and the use of the CDC 1604 computer at the Department of Computer Sciences (University of Wisconsin).

CONTRIBUTION FROM THE HERBERT JONES CHEMICAL LABORATORY, THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

The Structure of a Self -Condensation Product of o-Aminobenzaldehyde in the Presence of Nickel Ions¹

BY EVERLY B. FLEISCHER AND EDWARD KLEM

Received November 16, 1964

The structure of the closed tridentate macrocyclic ligand nickel complex, tribenzo[b,f,j] **[1.5.9]triazacycloduodecinenickel** nitrate, has been determined by X-ray diffraction. The nickel is in an octahedral configuration with the three nitrogens of the macrocyclic ligand occupying a face of the octahedron. Two waters and a nitrate fill the remainder of the positions. A second nitrate is not bound directly to the nickel but connected to the complex by a system of hydrogen bonds.

(1) This research was suppoited by a grant from the Public Health *(2)* G. L. Eichhorn **and** R. A. Latif, *J. Am. Chem.* Soc , **76,** 5180 **(19541,**

Introduction composition $Ni(TRI)(H_2O)_2(NO_3)_2$ (see Figure 1). This work was undertaken to determine the struc-
At the time this work was undertaken the structure of ture of the nickel complex of tribenzo [b,f,j][1.5.9]tri-
the TRI ligand and the details of the coordination azacycloduodecine (hereafter called TRI) with the around the nickel ion were not known.² Melson and

G. A. Melson and D. H. Busch, Proc. Chem. Soc., 223 (1963).

TABLE I

OBSERVED F VALUES

"10 F_{obs} given for each HKL.

H is the running index and each KL set is given. Space Group Requires that HOL, $H = 2n$ and OKO, $K = 2n$. U means unobserved.

Busch³ have now concluded that the TRI ligand is a closed ring structure and the coordination around the nickel ion is octahedral. These conclusions have been confirmed by our work.

Experimental

The crystals of $Ni(TRI)(H_2O)_2(NO_3)_2$ were kindly supplied by Busch. They were dissolved in weak HNO₃ and recrystallized. The solvent was allowed to evaporate, and the resulting crystals were used without any further treatment. Our method of preparation of the crystals differed from that of Busch in that he iso-

(3) G. A. Melson and D. H. Busch, private communication, to be published.

Figure 1.-The TRI ligand.

lated the crystals by suction filtration and dried them *in vacuo* at room temperature over anhydrous $Mg(C1O₄)₂$. This may account for the difference in water content of our material and Busch's. We found two waters per nickel ion while his analysis clearly showed only one water per nickel.

Precession photographs of a single crystal showed the crystal to be monoclinic. The unit cell dimensions are $a = 20.0 \pm 0.1 \text{ Å}$. $b = 16.70 \pm 0.08$ Å., $c = 7.24 \pm 0.04$ Å., $\beta = 64^{\circ} 35' \pm 30'$. The unit cell volume is $2185 \text{ Å}.3$. The density as determined by the flotation method is 1.59 ± 0.02 g./cm.³. The calculated density is 1.60 g./cm.^3 with four molecules per unit cell. Systematic extinctions of reflections for *(h01)* when *h* is odd and for $(0k0)$ when *k* is odd determined the space group uniquely as $P2₁/a$. Three-dimensional intensity data were collected using the G.E. XRD-5 with a Eulerian cradle and Cu K_{α} radiation. The intensities of 1750 independent reflections with $0 < 2\theta < 90^{\circ}$ were measured using a scintillation counter.⁴ Of these 85% or 1487 had intensities that were measurable. The intensities were determined by taking a 10-sec. reading on the peak and subtracting from this a background reading taken at a 2 θ value 3 \degree from the peak. For low 2θ values where the background readings differed significantly on either side of the peak, the average of the two background readings was used. An unground crystal averaging about 0.25 mm. in diameter was used and no absorption correction was required. The set of intensities was corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors.

Determination **of** Structure

A Patterson synthesis, *P(uvw),* using all the measured reflections led immediately to the nickel positions. The value of *R* $(R = (\Sigma^{\parallel} F_o) - [F_o])/\Sigma F_o)$ was 0.535 for the nickel contributions alone. A series of three-dimensional Fourier syntheses using an over-all thermal parameter B and the carbon form factor for all light atoms was then used to arrive at the final structure by successive approximations. Atom contributions were included as the atoms appeared as peaks in the Fourier maps. In the early stages of the determination no molecular model was assumed since at that time we did not know whether the trimer was open or closed, how many water molecules were present, or where the nitrates and waters were located around the nickel. The structural analysis proceeded by

(4) The calculated structure factors for each of **57** pairs of *(Okl)* and *(Okl)* reflections were averaged and the mean structure factor assigned the Miller indices *(Okl).* The average standard deviations of the pairs is under 1070, indicating minimal absorption errors.

the usual Fourier techniques until all the atoms were found and assigned.

Structurally distinct classes of atoms were then assigned their proper form factors and individual isotropic thermal parameters were introduced. Final refinements of coordinates and thermal parameters were calculated by a number of cycles of least squares. Anisotropic thermal parameters were then introduced for the eleven atoms lying outside the ligand (Ni, 8 oxygens, N_4 , N_5). A final cycle of least squares on coordinates produced the final *R* value of 0.088 for observed intensities and 0.111 for all 1750 intensities. Table I gives the observed structure amplitudes. The final difference map showed the positions of sixteen of the nineteen hydrogen atoms. No refinement was made on the hydrogen coordinates and their contributions are not included in the final value of *R.*

Tables I1 and I11 list the atomic coordinates with associated standard deviations and the individual thermal parameters.

Discussion of Results

The bond distances and bond angles for the complex are shown in Figures 2-4 and given in Tables IV-VII. The nickel ion is 1.27 ± 0.02 A. above the plane

TABLE III

 $0\,.29^a$ $\,$ Isotropic thermal parameter B and standard deviation.

 C_{21}

 2.11

Figure 2.-Bond distances in the TRI ligand.

Figure 3.-Octahedral configuration around the nickel ion.

 Table IV BOND LENGTHS WITH STANDARD DEVIATIONS IN Å.

| | d | σd | d σd | | |
|---------------------|---------|------------|---|--|--|
| | Ring I | | Twelve-Membered Ring | | |
| $C_4 - C_5$ | 1.40 | 0.02 | C_1-N_1 1.28 0.02 | | |
| $C_5 - C_6$ | 1.43 | 0.02 | 0.02 $N_1 - C_4$ 1.46 | | |
| C_6-C_7 | 1.37 | 0.02 | 0.02 $C_9 - C_2$ 1.48 | | |
| $C7$ $-C8$ | 1.40 | 0.02 | C_2-N_2 0.02 1.27 | | |
| C_8-C_9 | 1.41 | 0.02 | $\mathrm{N_{2}\!\!\!\rightarrow\!\!C_{10}}$ 1.42 0.02 | | |
| $C_9 - C_4$ | 1.40 | 0.02 | C_{15} - C_3 0.02 1.50 | | |
| | | | 0.02 $\rm C_{3}-N_{3}$ 1.25 | | |
| | Ring II | | 0.02 $\mathrm{N_{3}\!\!-\!\!C_{16}}$ 1.44 | | |
| C_{10} - C_{11} | 1.41 | 0.02 | C_{21} - C_1 1.45 0.02 | | |
| C_{11} - C_{12} | 1.43 | 0.02 | | | |
| C_{12} – C_{13} | 1.37 | 0.02 | Coordinating Nitrate | | |
| C_{13} – C_{14} | 1.44 | 0.02 | O_3-N_4 0.02 1.28 | | |
| C_{14} – C_{15} | 1.42 | 0.02 | $\mathrm{O_4}\text{--}\mathrm{N_4}$ 0.02 1.23 | | |
| C_{16} - C_{10} | 1.41 | 0.02 | O_5-N_4 0.02 1.22 | | |
| Ring III | | | Unbound Nitrate | | |
| C_{16} - C_{17} | 1.43 | 0.02 | $O_6 - N_5$ 1.26 0.02 | | |
| C_{17} – C_{18} | 1.39 | 0.02 | 0.02 $\mathrm{O_7}\text{--}\mathrm{N_5}$ 1.21 | | |
| $C_{18} - C_{19}$ | 1.36 | 0.02 | $O_8 - N_5$ 1.15 0.02 | | |
| C_{19} - C_{20} | 1.41 | 0.02 | | | |
| C_{20} – C_{21} | 1.42 | 0.02 | | | |
| C_{21} – C_{16} | 1.40 | 0.02 | | | |

formed by the three coordinating nitrogens (N_1, N_2, N_3) and located equidistant (2.03 Å) from them. The TRI ligand itself is slightly propeller-shaped. The

Figure 4.-The nickel, water, and nitrate configuration.

TABLE V

| | | | OCTAHEDRAL COORDINATION | | |
|---------------|----------------|------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|-----|
| | d, Λ . | σd | | Angle, deg. | |
| | | | | | σZ |
| Nı–Ni | 2.03 | 0.01 | $\mathrm{N}_1\mathrm{Ni}\mathrm{N}_2$ | 86.0 | 0.1 |
| $N_{2}-Ni$ | 2.03 | 0.01 | N_1NN_3 | 85.0 | 0.1 |
| N_{s} -Ni | 2.03 | 0.01 | $\rm N_2NiN_8$ | 84.6 | 0.1 |
| O_i –Ni | 2.11 | 0.01 | O_1 Ni O_2 | 88.8 | 0.1 |
| O_{2} -Ni | 2.09 | 0.01 | $O1$ Ni $O3$ | 88.4 | 0.1 |
| O_3-Ni | 2.08 | 0.01 | O_2NiO_8 | 86.3 | 0.1 |
| $O_1 - O_2$ | 2.94 | 0.01 | O ₁ NiN ₂ | 177.0 | 0.3 |
| $O_1 - O_3$ | 2.92 | 0.01 | O_2NiN_1 | 177.7 | 0.3 |
| O_2-O_3 | 2.85 | 0.01 | O_3 NiN $_3$ | 177.0 | 0.3 |
| N_1-N_2 | 2.77 | 0.01 | $N_1N_2N_3$ | 59.9 | 0.3 |
| N_1-N_3 | 2.75 | 0.01 | $N_2N_3N_1$ | 60.7 | 0.3 |
| N_2-N_3 | 2.73 | 0.01 | $\rm N_3N_1N_2$ | 59.4 | 0.3 |
| O_i-N_i | 2.96 | 0.01 | $O_1O_2O_3$ | 60.6 | 0.3 |
| $O_1 - N_2$ | 4.14 | 0.01 | $O_2O_3O_1$ | 61.2 | 0.3 |
| $O_1 - N_3$ | 3.04 | 0.01 | $O_3O_2O_2$ | 58.3 | 0.3 |
| $O_{2}N_{1}$ | 4.12 | 0.01 | | | |
| O_2-N_2 | 3.01 | 0.01 | | | |
| $O_{2}-N_{3}$ | 2.98 | 0.01 | | | |
| O_3-N_1 | 3.06 | 0.01 | | | |
| O_3-N_2 | 2.97 | 0.01 | | | |
| $O_3 - N_3$ | 4.12 | 0.01 | | | |

TABLE VI

shape of the ligand can be visualized by first considering the entire ligand as planar. Then raise N_1 about 0.35 Å. out of the plane pulling C_4 up slightly, and lower C_2 about 0.25 Å. below the plane pulling C_9 down slightly. The plane of benzene I then makes an angle of $15 \pm 3^{\circ}$ with the plane formed by N₁, N₂, and N_3 . Now if N_2 and N_3 are raised out of the plane and C_3 and C_1 lowered below the plane by similar amounts, benzenes I1 and I11 will be twisted so they make angles of $13 \pm 3^{\circ}$ and $10 \pm 3^{\circ}$, respectively,

TABLE VI1

with the plane of the three nitrogens. The average bond distances for benzene rings I, 11, and I11 are 1.40 ± 0.02 Å., 1.41 ± 0.02 Å., and 1.40 ± 0.02 Å., respectively, as compared with the accepted value of 1.395 *8.* The average value for an interior angle for each benzene ring as well as for the main twelvemembered ring is $120 \pm 2^{\circ}$.

Figures 3 and 4 represent the positions around the nickel of the atoms in the complex excluding the TRI ligand. The two nitrate groups are planar. If the three coordinating oxygens (O_1, O_2, O_3) are considered to be in the plane of Figure 4, then the plane of the coordinating nitrate (O₃, O₄, O₅, N₄) makes a clockwise angle of $28 \pm 2^{\circ}$ and that of the noncoordinating nitrate (O_6, O_7, O_8, N_5) makes a counterclockwise angle of $38 \pm 2^{\circ}$ with the plane of the figure and the coordinating oxygens. The nickel ion is behind the plane of the figure 1.25 Å. The TRI ligand is behind the plane of the figure with the plane of (N_1, N_2, N_3) being parallel to that of (O_1, O_2, O_3) and 2.53 ± 0.03 Å. away from it. There appears to be a hydrogen bond between the water molecule of $O₁$ and the oxygens *⁰⁴*and *06* in the coordinating and noncoordinating nitrates, respectively. Similarly, a hydrogen bond appears to exist between the water molecule of $O₂$ and the oxygen *07* of the unbound nitrate. This hydrogen bonding is probably responsible for the orientation of the two nitrates.

If the coordination around the nickel postulated by Melson and Busch was present in the crystals they worked with, one of the waters we found present must have come off when the crystals were vacuum dried. The noncoordinating nitrate would then have popped

into the position formerly occupied by the water to complete the octahedral coordination around the nickel.

Figure **3** represents the octahedral coordination around the nickel. The configuration of atoms can be thought of as two parallel equilateral triangles with the nickel ion between them. One triangle has the three coordinating nitrogens (N_1, N_2, N_3) at its vertices and has an average side of 2.75 ± 0.004 Å. The other triangle has the three coordinating oxygens (O_1, O_2, O_3) at its vertices with an average side of 2.90 ± 0.006 Å. The average distance between a nitrogen and the two nearest oxygens on the parallel triangle is 3.00 \pm 0.006 Å. The angle taken with the nickel as the vertex between a nitrogen and the oxygen farthest from its averages $177 \pm 2^{\circ}$, which is very close to the angle of 180" expected for octahedral

coordination. The angle made between the planes of the two triangles infinitely extended is $179.5 \pm 2^{\circ}$, so that they can be considered parallel.

The NiTRI complex is very inert with respect to hydrolysis by strong acids. 3 This could be accounted for by the positioning of the nitrogen atoms in the TRI ligand. The nitrogen atoms are all "turned up" toward the nickel. In this position they are effectively shielded from attack by protons from the rear by the large benzene rings and the nonbenzene carbons that are "turned down" below each of the turned up nitrogens. The nitrogens are similarly shielded on the other side by the nickel, two waters, and two nitrates that effectively block any possibility of attack from the front. Some idea of the shielding available can be had by constructing a model of the molecule using the Stuart and Briegleb models.

CONTRIBUTION NO. 3198 FROM THE GATES AND CRELLIN LABORATORIES OF CHEMISTRY, CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHSOLOGY, PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

Ace tylace tone Complexes of Vanadium (II)

BY WILLIAM P. SCHAEFER

Received December 14. 1964

Vanadium(II) forms mono, bis, and tris acetylacetone complexes; the formation constants in 1.00 *F* KCl are log $\beta_1 = 5.383$, $\log \beta_2 = 10.189$, $\log \beta_3 = 14.704$. These formation constants are compared with those for the acetylacetone complexes of all the other divalent ions of the first transition series. The vanadium(II) complex is an intense blue color, with ϵ_{max} 2700 at 700 m μ . The standard potential for the oxidation of the tris complex to the vanadium(III) complex is $+1.0$ v. ι s. n.h.e., indicating the vanadium(11) complex is *a* powerful reducing agent.

In the course of a survey of the properties of various complexes of vanadium(II), the acetylacetone $(2,4$ pentanedione) complex was prepared. Aqueous solutions of this complex were so intensely colored that a further study of the system seemed useful. This paper reports the results of spectrophotometric, potentiometric, and pH studies of the vanadium(I1)-acetylacetone complexes. A least-squares method of computing complex ion stability constants is also described. A paper has appeared¹ reporting $\log \beta_1$ and $\log \beta_2$ values for these complexes in aqueous dioxane, but no other information was given. The present work was done in water solutions of ionic strength 1.00; in this medium a tris complex is formed, and values for all three stability constants have been obtained.

Experimental

Chemicals. Preparation of Vanadium(II) Solutions.---Reagent grade vanadium pentoxide was heated with excess concentrated hydrochloric acid until it appeared that all the vanadium was reduced to the $+4$ state. As much as possible of the excess acid was then evaporated off, and the solution was diluted with distilled water to give an acid solution of VOCl2 of the desired concentration. This was placed in a cell and electrolyzed at a mercury cathode under a positive pressure of nitrogen until it appeared that all the vanadium was in the $+2$ state, and then for 30 min. longer; then it was transferred directly to a storage buret.2 This method of preparation resulted in a solution containing only V^{2+} , H^+ , and Cl^- ions. The V^{2+} content was determined by coulometric titration with bromine and the $H⁺$ content by titration with ethylenediamine solution. The total vanadium content was determined by titration with potassium permanganate solution; the V^{2+} always accounted for at least 99.7% of the vanadium and any other species were ignored.

Other Chemicals.--Acetylacetone, Eastman White Label, was distilled within 24 hr. of its use. Ethylenediamine, practical grade, was used without further purification. The standard hydrochloric acid and sodium hydroxide were prepared from p-H Tamm ampoules (Chem-Tam, Sweden) and were checked by standard procedures; all other chemicals were reagent grade.

Instrumental.-Polarograms were recorded on a Sargent Modcl XV polarograph; the cell used *was* a conventional H-cell with a saturated calomel reference electrode. No special care was taken in measuring half-wave potentials; they are considered accurate to ± 0.02 v.

The pH measurements were made with a Radiometer Model pHM 4c pH meter, a Beckman Type E-2 glass electrode, and a pressurized, carborunduni-frit type saturated calomel electrode (Beckman). The electrode pair was used to measure hydrogen ion concentration as described previously, with an estimated precision of ± 0.005 log [H⁺] unit.³ All equilibrium constants

⁽¹⁾ J. M. Crabtree, D. W. Marsh, J. C. Tomkinson, R. J. P. Williams, and W. C. Fernelius, *Pvoc. Chenz. SOC., 336* (1961).

⁽²⁾ R. I,. Pecsok and W. P. Schaefer, *J. Am Chern. Soc.,* **83,** 62 !1961).

⁽³⁾ W. P. Schaefer and M. E. Mathisen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 4, 431 (1965).