DNA Cleavage by Photogenerated Rh₂(O₂CCH₃)₄(H₂O)₂⁺

Patty K.-L. Fu, Patricia M. Bradley, and Claudia Turro*

Department of Chemistry, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210

Received January 29, 2001

Metal complexes possessing reactive excited states that bind nucleic acids have been the subject of intense investigation since, upon light activation, they can act as reporters of DNA structure and environment,¹⁻⁴ promote photocleavage,^{5,6} and photorepair thymine dimers.^{5,7} The Rh₂(O₂CCH₃)₄ complex (structure shown in Figure 1) is known to bind duplex DNA and to inhibit DNA replication.⁸ In addition, the related $Rh_2(O_2CR)_2(N-N)_2^{2+}$ (R = PhCH(OH), CH₃CH(OH); N-N = 1,10-phenanthroline, 2,2'bipyridine) systems have recently been shown to act as antibacterial agents and to exhibit cytostatic activity against human oral carcinoma.9 The recent discovery of a relatively long-lived excited state of the $Rh_2(O_2CCH_3)_4(L)_2$ (L = H₂O, py, PPh₃) systems (py = pyridine, PPh_3 = triphenylphosphine) with lifetimes ranging from 3.5 to 5.0 μ s¹⁰ led us to investigate the photoreactivity of the complexes with duplex DNA.

Dirhodium tetraacetates, $Rh_2(O_2CCH_3)_4(L)_2$, with various axial ligands, L, have long been known,¹¹ and their molecular and electronic structure, bonding, and reactivity have been extensively investigated.^{12,13} Various model systems of the binding of nucleic acids to the Rh₂(O₂CCH₃)₄ core have been recently synthesized and crystallographically characterized, where complexes possessing both axial and bridging nucleobases were isolated.14 Substituted adenines and adenosines typically bind the complex as axial ligands, whereas guanines have been shown to replace two cisbridging ligands across the rhodium-rhodium bond.14 Furthermore, Rh₂(O₂CCH₃)₄ was recently shown to bind as dirhodium bis-acetate units to GG and AA sites on single-stranded oligo-

- (1) Erkkila, K. E.; Odom, D. T.; Barton, J. K. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 2777.
- (2) (a) Crites Tears, D. K.; McMillin, D. R. Chem. Commun. 1998, 2517. (b) McMillin, D. R.; Liu, F.; Meadows, K. A.; Aldridge, T. K.; Hudson, B. P. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1994, 132, 105.
- (3) (a) Mahtab, R.; Harden, H. H.; Murphy, C. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 14. (b) Brauns, E. B.; Madaras, M. L.; Coleman, R. S.; Murphy, C. J.; Berg, M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 11644.
- (4) (a) Oenfelt, B.; Lincoln, P.; Norden, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 10846. (b) Tuite, E.; Lincoln, P.; Nordén, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 239
- (5) Nunez, M. E.; Rajski, S. R.; Barton, J. K. Methods Enzymol. 2000, 319, 165.
- (6) Kim, J.; Sistare, M. F.; Carter, P. J.; Thorp, H. H. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1998, 171, 341.
- (7) Hall, D. B.; Holmlin, R. E.; Barton, J. K. Nature 1996, 382, 731-735. (8) (a) Bear, J. L.; Gray, H. B.; Rainen, L.; Chang, I. M.; Howard, R.; Serio,
- G.; Kimball, A. P. Cancer Chemother. Rep. 1975, 59, 611. (b) Howard, R. A.; Kimball, A. P.; Bear, J. L. Cancer Res. 1979, 39, 2568. (9) Bien, M.; Pruchnik, F. P.; Seniuk, A.; Lachowicz, T. M.; Jakimowicz,
- P. J. Inorg. Biochem. 1999, 73, 49. (10) (a) Bradley, P. M.; Bursten, B. E.; Turro, C. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40,
- 1376-1379. (b) Bradley, P. M.; Fu, P. K.-L.; Turro, C. Comments Inorg. Chem., in press
- (11) Johnson, S. A.; Hunt, H. R.; Neuman, H. M. Inorg. Chem. 1963, 2, 960.
- (12) Boyar, E. B.; Robinson, S. D. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1983, 50, 109.
- (13) Felthouse, T. R. *Prog. Inorg. Chem.* **1982**, *29*, 73.
 (14) (a) Catalan, K. V.; Hess, J. S.; Maloney, M. M.; Mindiola, D. J.; Ward, D. L.; Dunbar, K. R. Inorg. Chem. 1999, 38, 3904. (b) Catalan, K. V.; Mindiola, D. J.; Ward, D. L.; Dunbar, K. R. Inorg. Chem. 1997, 36, 2458. (c) Day, E. F.; Crawford, C. A.; Folting, K.; Dunbar, K. R.; Christou, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1994**, 116, 9339. (d) Dunbar, K. R.; Matonic, J. H.; Saharan, V. P.; Crawford, C. A.; Christou, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 2201.



Rh₂(O₂CCH₃)₄(L)₂

Figure 1. Structure of the $Rh_2(O_2CCH_3)_4(L)_2$ complexes (L = H₂O, py, PPh₃).

nucleotides.15 Although dirhodium complexes containing nucleic acids have been isolated and coordination of the dirhodium core to single-stranded oligonucleotides has been observed, the mode of binding of Rh₂(O₂CCH₃)₄ to double-helical DNA remains unknown.

The imaged ethidium bromide stained agarose gel in Figure 2a reveals that the excited state of $Rh_2(O_2CCH_3)_4(H_2O)_2$ itself does not cleave DNA.¹⁶ Lane 1 (Figure 2a) shows that 100 μ M (bases) pUC18 plasmid alone is found mostly as a supercoil (form I) with a small amount of nicked, circular DNA (form II). Relative to lane 1, irradiation ($\lambda_{irr} \ge 395$ nm) of 100 μ M plasmid alone (lane 2) or in the presence of 40 µM Rh₂(O₂CCH₃)₄(H₂O)₂ does not result in DNA cleavage. Excitation of Rh₂(O₂CCH₃)₄(H₂O)₂ with visible light in the presence of electron acceptors, such as 3-cyano-1-methylpyridinium tetrafluoroborate (py⁺),¹⁷ results in the formation of the one-electron-oxidized complex, Rh₂(O₂-CCH₃)₄(H₂O)₂^{+.10} Lane 6 (Figure 2a) shows the efficient cleavage of the plasmid by 40 µM Rh₂(O₂CCH₃)₄(H₂O)₂ irradiated with $\lambda_{irr} \ge 395$ nm (10 min) in the presence of 2 mM py⁺, evidenced by the disappearance of form I and the formation of form II DNA. This cleavage is not observed when the mixture is kept in the dark (lane 5). Furthermore, irradiation of 100 μ M plasmid with 2 mM py^+ (lane 4) does not result in DNA cleavage.

To ensure that the cationic electron acceptor was not taking part in the cleavage, the anionic 1,8-anthraquinone disulfonate (AQ²⁻) was utilized,¹⁷ whose negative charge precludes its binding to the polyanionic double helix. Cleavage of $100 \,\mu\text{M}$ plasmid by photoproduced ($\lambda_{irr} \ge 450$ nm, 15 min) Rh₂(O₂CCH₃)₄(H₂O)₂⁺ (25 μ M) in the presence of 20 mM AQ²⁻ is shown in Figure 2b, lane 4, with the dark control shown in lane 2. Irradiation of 20 mM AQ²⁻ with 100 μ M plasmid (lane 3) does not result in DNA cleavage. Similar results were observed when 200 μ M Ag⁺ and 200 μM Fe³⁺ were utilized as the electron acceptors.

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Asara, J. M.; Hess, J. S.; Lozada, E.; Dunbar, K. R.; Allison, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 8.

The $Rh_2(O_2CCH_3)_4(L)_2$ (L = CH₃OH, py, PPh₃) complexes were prepared (16)by published methods (see refs 10, 12, 13). The pUC18 plasmid was purchased from Bayou Biolabs and desalted using a Concert Miniprep System from Life Technology. The gels were imaged on a GelDoc 2000 (BioRad) transilluminator.

⁽¹⁷⁾ The 3-cyano-1-methylpyridinium was prepared from the reaction of 3-cyanopyridine with CH₃I. The tetrafluoroborate salt (py⁺) was obtained through the precipitation of AgI after treatment of an aqueous solution of the iodide compound with AgBF4. All the reagents were purchased from Aldrich, and AQ^{2-} was manufactured by Tokyo Chemical Industries.



Figure 2. Imaged agarose gels showing the photocleavage of 100 μ M pUC18 plasmid (5 mM Tris buffer, pH = 7.5) by Rh₂ (Rh₂ = Rh₂(O₂-CCH₃)₄(H₂O)₂) in the presence of (a) 2 mM py⁺, 40 μ M Rh₂, 10 min irradiation, $\lambda_{irr} \ge 395$ nm, and (b) 20 mM AQ²⁻, 25 μ M Rh₂, 15 min irradiation, $\lambda_{irr} \ge 450$ nm. (a) Lane 1: plasmid only, dark. Lane 2: plasmid only, irradiated. Lane 3: plasmid + Rh₂, irradiated. Lane 4: plasmid + Rh₂ + py⁺, irradiated. Lane 5: plasmid + Rh₂ + py⁺, dark. Lane 6: plasmid + Rh₂ + py⁺, irradiated. (b) Lane 1: plasmid only, dark. Lane 2: plasmid + Rh₂ + AQ²⁻, dark. Lane 3: plasmid + AQ²⁻, irradiated. Lane 4: plasmid + Rh₂ + AQ²⁻, dark. Lane 3: plasmid + AQ²⁻, irradiated. Lane 4: plasmid + Rh₂ + AQ²⁻, irradiated.

The photoinduced DNA cleavage by $Rh_2(O_2CCH_3)_4(H_2O)_2$ in the presence of electron acceptors is more effective under N_2 atmosphere than in air, consistent with the quenching of the excited state of the rhodium complex by O₂ resulting in a decreased production of the Rh₂(O₂CCH₃)₄(H₂O)₂⁺ electron transfer product. In addition, the wavelength dependence shows that the cleavage remains efficient up through $\lambda_{irr} \ge 590$ nm, and a significant amount of cleavage is also observed for $\lambda_{irr} \ge 610$ nm. No photocleavage is evident at $\lambda_{irr} \ge 630$ nm, consistent with the electronic absorption spectrum of the dirhodium complex.^{10,12,13}

No cleavage of 100 μ M pUC18 plasmid was observed for 10 μ M Rh₂(O₂CCH₃)₄(L)₂ with py and PPh₃ axial ligands, L, up to 30 min irradiation time (λ_{irr} > 395 nm) in the presence of 200 μ M Ag⁺. These results are important because they point at the necessity of a labile axial ligand, such as H₂O,¹⁸ for the DNA cleavage to take place. The need for a labile axial ligand may indicate that the presence of an open coordination site is required either for the reactivity of the complex or for its binding to DNA. The radical $Rh_2(O_2CCH_3)_4(H_2O)_2^+$ complex may be able to abstract a hydrogen atom from the DNA backbone, thus resulting in the observed cleavage. Alternatively, hydroxide ions or hydroxyl radicals formed by Rh₂(O₂CCH₃)₄(H₂O)₂⁺ may participate in the DNA cleavage and cannot be ruled out at this time. Guanine oxidation $(E_{1/2}(G^{+/0}) \approx 1.3 \text{ V vs NHE})^{19}$ by Rh₂(O₂- $CCH_3)_4(H_2O)_2^+$ ($E_{1/2}(Rh_2^{+/0}) = 1.14$ V vs NHE)²⁰ is slightly unfavorable; however, it cannot be ruled out as a means for initiation of the DNA cleavage at this time.

Acknowledgment. C.T. thanks the National Science Foundation (CHE-9733000) and the National Institutes of Health (RO1 GM64040-01) for their generous support, as well as The Arnold and Mabel Beckman Foundation for a Young Investigator Award.

IC010118W

- (18) (a) Aquino, M. A. S.; Macartney, D. H. *Inorg. Chem.* **1988**, *27*, 2868.
 (b) Aquino, M. A. S.; Macartney, D. H. *Inorg. Chem.* **1987**, *26*, 2696.
- (19) (a) Steenken, S.; Jovanovic, S. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 617.
 (b) Cullis, P. M.; Malone, M. E.; Merson-Davies, L. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 2775.
- (20) Kawamura, T.; Katayam, H.; Nishkawa, H. Yamabe, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 8156.