Inorg. Chem. 2002, 41, 6703-6710



Synthesis, Crystal Structures, and Redox Potentials of 2,3,12,13-Tetrasubstituted 5,10,15,20-Tetraphenylporphyrin Zinc(II) Complexes

Yuichi Terazono, Brian O. Patrick, and David H. Dolphin*

Department of Chemistry, University of British Columbia, 2036 Main Mall, Vancouver, BC, Canada

Received May 13, 2002

Zinc(II) complexes of antipodal β -tetrasubstituted meso-tetraphenylporphyrin with trifluoromethyl (Zn(TPP(CF₃)₄) (1a)), bromine (Zn(TPPBr₄) (2a)), and methyl groups (Zn(TPP(CH₃)₄) (3a)) were synthesized in order to examine the steric and the electronic effects of trifluoromethyl groups on the macrocycle. The analysis of X-ray crystal structures of the five-coordinate complexes Zn(TPP(CF₃)₄)(EtOH)₃ (1b), Zn(TPPBr₄)(MeOH)(DMF) (2b), and Zn(TPP- $(CH_{3})_{4})(THF)_{1.6}(CHCl_{3})_{0.4}$ (3b) revealed distorted macrocyclic cores where significant differences in the Zn–N distance between the β -substituted and the non- β -substituted side were observed. The difference was significant in **1b** due to the strong steric interactions among the peripheral substituents and the electronic effects of trifluoromethyl groups. The macrocycles of 1b-3b are saddle-distorted and slightly ruffled due to the five-coordination of zinc(II) and the peripheral substitution. Distortion of the macrocycles of 2b and 3b were modest. On the other hand, distortion in 1b was severe due to the peripheral strain. Cyclic voltammetric measurements of the four-coordinate complexes Zn(TPP) and 1a-3a were performed and their redox potentials were analyzed together with previously reported potentials of Zn(TPP(CN)₄). The oxidation potential of 1a did not gain as much as expected from the electron-withdrawing effect of the four trifluoromethyl groups. The HOMO-LUMO gap of 1a was very small (1.5 V) and cannot just be explained by macrocyclic distortion. The magnitude of this gap is very similar to that of Zn- $(TPP(CN)_4)$. Compound **2a** also exhibited a modest gap contraction. Compound **3a** was easier to oxidize and harder to reduce than Zn(TPP), even though the HOMO-LUMO gap of 3a was similar to that of Zn(TPP).

Introduction

Synthetic porphyrins used in model studies of hemoproteins have been prepared using design strategies involving both structural and electronic modifications. For example, model studies of hemoglobins and myoglobins were driven by sterically hindered synthetic porphyrins into a successful globin-like control of axial ligand coordination.^{1–3} Recent examples of structurally modified porphyrins have been involved in studies concerning nonplanarity of the porphyrin macrocycle whose link to the functions of hemoproteins has been suggested.^{4–7} Meanwhile, electronically and sterically modified porphyrins, especially incorporating strongly electronwithdrawing substituents, have focused on catalytic oxidations in order to mimic and improve their cytochrome P-450like activity.^{8–10} So far various porphyrins bearing electronwithdrawing substituents such as pentachlorophenyl, pentafluorophenyl, perfluoroalkylphenyl, fluoro, chloro, bromo, cyano, nitro, or perfluoroalkyl groups on *meso* and/or pyrrolic β -positions of the porphyrin macrocycle have been syn-

(10) Dolphin, D.; Traylor, T. G.; Xie, L. Y. Acc. Chem. Res. 1997, 30, 251 and references therein.

^{*} Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: (604) 822-4571. Fax: (604) 822-9678. E-mail: ddolphin@qltinc.com.

Collman, J. P.; Gagne, R. R.; Halbert, T. R.; Marchon, J. C.; Reed, C. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 7868.

⁽²⁾ Almog, J.; Baldwin, J. E.; Huff, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 227.
(3) Momenteau, M.; Loock, B.; Mispelter, J.; Bisagni, E. Nouv. J. Chim.

¹⁹⁷⁹, *3*, 77.

⁽⁴⁾ Barkigia, K. M.; Chantranupong, L.; Smith, K. M.; Fajer, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 7566.

⁽⁵⁾ Nurco, D. J.; Medforth, C. J.; Forsyth, T. P.; Olmstead, M. M.; Smith, K. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 10918.

⁽⁶⁾ Senge, M. O. In *The Porphyrin Handbook*; Kadish, K. M., Smith, K. M., Guilard, R., Eds.; Academic Press: San Diego, 2000; Vol. 1, 239.

⁽⁷⁾ Shelnutt, J. A.; Song, X.-Z.; Ma, J.-G.; Jia, S.-L.; Jentzen, W.; Medforth, C. J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1998, 27, 31.

⁽⁸⁾ Sheldon, R. A. Metalloporphyrins in Catalytic Oxidations; Marcel Dekker Inc.: New York, 1994.

⁽⁹⁾ Montanari, F.; Casella, L. Metalloporphyrin Catalyzed Oxidations; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, 1994.

thesized.^{11–29} Of the numerous electron-withdrawing groups, perfluoroalkyl groups are unique from a few viewpoints. Perfluoroalkyl groups are inert and strongly σ -electron-withdrawing, but they do not function as π -electron donors. Accordingly, perfluoroalkyl groups effectively stabilize the HOMO of the porphyrin macrocycle³⁰ and provide stable porphyrin ligands. In addition, perfluoroalkyl porphyrins are soluble in a wide range of solvents^{27,28} and may be useful as catalysts in special media.^{29,31}

Electron-withdrawing and bulky trifluoromethyl groups in β -trifluoromethyl-*meso*-tetraphenylporphyrins dramatically alter the properties of the macrocycle, compared with those of *meso*-tetraphenylporphyrin.³² Here, we describe the molecular and electronic properties of the zinc(II) complex of β -tetrakis(trifluoromethyl)-*meso*-tetraphenylporphyrin examined by X-ray crystal structural analysis and cyclic voltammetric studies. β -Tetrabromo and β -tetramethyl derivatives were also synthesized for comparison.

Experimental Section

Materials. All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich fine chemicals, Across Chemicals, or Fisher Scientific. Deuterated solvents for NMR measurements were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories. Chlorinated solvents were filtered using neutral alumina (Fisher, activity I) to remove trace acid. Methylene chloride for cyclic voltammetric measurements was distilled from CaH₂, degassed by three cycles of the freeze—thaw pumping, and stored over activated molecular sieves (4 Å). Free-base porphyrins were synthesized as described previously.³²

Instrumentation. UV-visible spectra were recorded on a Varian Cary 50 scan UV-visible spectrophotometer. NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AC-200 or Avance 300. Cyclic voltammetric

- (11) Wijesekera, T.; Matsumoto, A.; Dolphin, D.; Lexa, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1990, 29, 1028.
- (12) Longo, F. R.; Finarelli, M. G.; Kim, J. B. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1969, 6, 927.
- (13) Woller, E. K.; DiMagno, S. G. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 1588.
- (14) Leroy, J.; Bondon, A.; Toupet, L.; Rolando, C. Chem. Eur. J. 1997, 3, 1890.
- (15) Lyons, J. E.; Ellis, P. E. Catal. Lett. 1991, 8, 45.
- (16) Traylor, T. G.; Tsuchiya, S. Inorg. Chem. 1987, 26, 1338.
- (17) Callot, H. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1973, 4987.
- (18) Callot, H. J. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1974, 1492.
- (19) Duval, H.; Bulach, V.; Fischer, J.; Weiss, R. Inorg. Chem. 1999, 38, 5495.
- (20) Ozette, K.; Leduc, P.; Palacio, M.; Bartoli, J.-F.; Barkigia, K. M.; Fajar, J.; Battioni, P.; Mansuy, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 6442.
- (21) Palacio, M.; Mansuy-Mouries, V.; Loire, G.; Le Barch-Ozette, K.; Ledue, P.; Barkigia, K. M.; Fajar, J.; Battioni, P.; Mansuy, D. Chem. Commun. 2000, 1907.
- (22) Ono, N.; Muratani, E.; Fumoto, Y.; Ogawa, T.; Tajima, K. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1998, 3819.
- (23) Ono, N.; Kawamura, H.; Maruyama, K. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1989, 62, 3386.
- (24) Aoyagi, K.; Haga, T.; Toi, H.; Aoyama, Y.; Mizutani, T.; Ogoshi, H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1997, 70, 937.
- (25) Tamiaki, H.; Nagata, Y.; Tsudzuki, S. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 2471.
- (26) Nelson, N. Y.; Medforth, C. J.; Nurco, D. J.; Jia, S.-L.; Shelnutt, J. A.; Smith, K. M. Chem. Commun. 1999, 2071.
- (27) Goll, J. G.; Moore, K. T.; Ghosh, A.; Therien, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 8344.
- (28) DiMagno, S. G.; Williams, R. A.; Therien, M. J. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 6943.
- (29) Pozzi, G.; Montanari, F.; Quici, S. Chem. Commun. 1997, 69.
- (30) Gassman, P. G.; Ghosh, A.; Almlöf, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 9990.
- (31) Horváth, I. T.; Rábai, J. Science 1994, 266, 72.
- (32) Terazono, Y.; Dolphin, D. J. Org. Chem., submitted.

measurements were performed with a single-compartment electrochemical cell, a Pine Instrument Co. bipotentiostat model AFCBP1, and Pine Chem sweep voltammetry software for Windows ver. 2.00.

5,10,15,20-Tetraphenylporphyrinatozinc(II) (Zn(TPP)). Zn-(II) was inserted into H₂TPP by a standard procedure.³³ UV-vis (CH₂Cl₂): λ_{max} (nm) 419, 548, 582. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.73 (m, 12H, phenyl-*p*- and -*m*-*H*), 8.08 (m, 8H, phenyl-*o*-*H*), 8.90 (s, 8H, pyrr- β -*H*).

[2,3,12,13-Tetrakis(trifluoromethyl)-5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrinato]zinc(II) (Zn(TPP(CF₃)₄)) (1a). Zn(OAc)₂·2H₂O (37 mg, 0.17 mmol) in methanol (10 mL) was added to a brown suspension of 7,8,17,18-tetrakis(trifluoromethyl)-5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrin (50 mg, 0.056 mmol) in chloroform (10 mL) at room temperature. The color instantly changed to a bright green, and the solution became homogeneous. The mixture was then stirred for 30 min at room temperature, chloroform (100 mL) was added, and the mixture was washed with water (2 \times 100 mL). The volume of the green solution was reduced in vacuo, and the solution was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. Filtration and removal of the solvent gave a green powder of the product. The yield was 52 mg (98%). UV-vis (CH₂Cl₂): λ_{max} (nm) (log ϵ) 442 (5.37), 662 (4.31). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.70 (m, 12H, phenyl-m- and -p-H), 8.07 (m, 8H, phenyl-o-H), 8.43 (s, 4H, pyrr- β -H). ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ (vs CFCl₃) -48.3. CHN anal. (%), calcd for C₄₈H₂₄F₁₂N₄Zn: C, 60.68; H, 2.55; N, 5.90. Found: C, 60.60; H, 2.56; N, 5.75.



(2,3,12,13-Tetrabromo-5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrinato)zinc(II) (Zn(TPPBr₄)) (2a). Zn(II) was inserted into 7,8,17,18tetrabromo-5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrin by a standard procedure for the synthesis of metalloporphyrins.³³ LR-MS (EI, 300 °C): M⁺ (*m*/*z*) = 993; calcd for C₄₄H₂₄Br₄N₄⁶⁴Zn, 993.7. UV-vis (CH₂-Cl₂): λ_{max} (nm) 430, 560, 598. ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆): δ 8.61 (s, 4H, pyrr- β -*H*), 8.02 (m, 8H, phenyl-*o*-*H*), 7.80 (m, 12H, phenyl-*p*and -*m*-*H*). CHN anal. (%), calcd for C₄₄H₂₄Br₄N₄Zn: C, 53.18; H, 2.43; N, 5.64. Found: C, 53.25; H, 2.54; N, 5.42.

(2,3,12,13-Tetramethyl-5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrinato)zinc(II) (Zn(TPP(CH₃)₄) (3a). The free-base porphyrin (20 mg, 0.030 mmol) was dissolved in chloroform (20 mL). Zn(OAc)₂·2H₂O (20 mg, 0.091 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (10 mL) and added to the chloroform solution of the porphyrin. The mixture was refluxed for 1 h. The color of the solution changed from purple to red. After the solvents were removed by rotary evaporation, the product was dissolved in chloroform (60 mL) and washed with water (3 × 60 mL). The solution was dried using anhydrous sodium sulfate. Filtration and evaporation of the solvent yielded a red powder (18 mg, 80%). LR-MS (EI): M^+ (m/z) = 732; calcd for C₄₈H₃₆N₄⁶⁴Zn, 732.8. UV-vis (CH₂Cl₂): λ_{max} (nm) 420, 534sh,

⁽³³⁾ Adler, A. D.; Longo, F. R.; Kampas, F.; Kim, J. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1970, 32, 2443.

Table 1. Crystallographic Data for 1	b-3b	
--	------	--

	1b	2b	3b
empirical formula	C54H42F12N4-	C48H35Br4N5-	C54.80H49.20N4-
	O ₃ Zn	O ₂ Zn	ZnO _{1.60} Cl _{1.20}
fw	1088.31	1098.83	897.34
space group	<i>P</i> 1 (No. 2)	<i>P</i> 1 (No. 2)	$P2_1/n$ (No. 14)
a (Å)	12.0428(11)	12.257(2)	13.585(1)
b (Å)	13.275(2)	13.4377(8)	18.182(1)
<i>c</i> (Å)	16.909(2)	14.387(1)	18.065(2)
α (deg)	96.951(5)	83.819(2)	
β (deg)	108.124(2)	71.227(2)	93.274(3)
γ (deg)	107.354(2)	73.575(3)	
$V(Å^3)$	2383.2(5)	2151.7(3)	4454.9(6)
Ζ	2	2	4
D_{calc} (g/cm ³)	1.52	1.70	1.34
μ (Mo K α) (cm ⁻¹)	6.13	43.42	6.70
$T(^{\circ}C)$	-93 ± 1	-100 ± 1	-100 ± 1
no. of indep reflns $(I > 0.00\sigma(I))$	10728	8177	7452
no. of obsd reflns ($I > 3\sigma(I)$)	5823	6086	2621
R^a	0.053	0.033	0.051
R_{w}^{b}	0.091	0.089	0.155
		a (r b rac	F (F 2 F 2)

^{*a*} R1 = $\Sigma ||F_o| - |F_c||/\Sigma |F_o|$, $I > 3\sigma(I)$. ^{*b*} wR2 = $[\Sigma(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2)/\Sigma w(F_o^2)^2]^{1/2}$.

551, 587sh. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.34 (s, 12H, -CH₃), 7.75 (m, 12H, phenyl-*m*- and -*p*-*H*), 8.06 (m, 8H, phenyl-*o*-*H*), 8.65 (s, 4H, pyrr- β -*H*).

Zn(TPP(CF₃)₄)·(EtOH)₃ (1b). Zn(TPP(CF₃)₄ (1a) was dissolved in a 50:50 chloroform:ethanol solution, and crystallization of 1b was induced by slow evaporation at room temperature. After a period of 2 weeks, purple prisms were obtained. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.34 (t, 3H, EtOH (-OH)), 0.73 (t, 9H, EtOH (-CH₃)), 2.94 (m, 6H, EtOH (-CH₂-)), 7.70 (m, 12H, phenyl-*m*- and -*p*-H), 8.08 (m, 8H, phenyl-*o*-H), 8.37 (s, 4H, pyrr- β -H). ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ (vs CFCl₃) -48.36. UV-vis (CH₂Cl₂) λ_{max} (nm) (log ϵ): 444 (5.42), 598sh (3.82), 664 (4.34). CHN anal. (%), calcd for C₅₄H₄₂F₁₂N₄ZnO₃: C, 59.60; H, 3.89; N, 5.15. Found: C, 60.00; H, 3.64; N, 5.02.

Zn(TPPBr₄)·(MeOH)·(DMF) (2b). Crystallization was induced by slow diffusion of methanol into a solution of Zn(TPPBr₄) (**2a**) in DMF. After a period of 1–2 days shiny purple chips were obtained. ¹H NMR could not be measured in CDCl₃ because of low solubility. ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6): δ 2.75 (s, 3H, DMF (–CH₃)), 2.89 (s, 3H, DMF (–CH₃)), 3.22 (d, 3H, MeOH (–CH₃)), 4.08 (q, 1H, MeOH (–OH)), 7.78 (m, 12H, phenyl-*m*- and -*p*-H), 7.99 (s, 1H, DMF (–CHO)), 8.02 (m, 8H, phenyl-*o*-H), 8.60 (s, 4H, pyrr- β -H). CHN anal. (%), calcd for C₄₈H₃₅Br₄N₅O₂Zn (**2**): C, 52.47; H, 3.21; N, 6.37. Found: 52.32; H, 3.17; N, 6.02.

Zn(TPP(CH₃)₄)·(THF)_{1.6}·(CHCl₃)_{0.4} (3b). Zn(TPP(CH₃)₄) (**3a**) was dissolved in 50:50 chloroform:tetrahydrofuran, and crystallization was induced by slow evaporation at room temperature. After a period of 1 week, red needle crystals were obtained. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 1.76 (m, THF), 2,37 (s, *H*₂O), 3.56 (m, THF), 7.25 (s, CHCl₃), 7.75 (m, 12H, phenyl-*m*- and -*p*-*H*), 8.06 (m, 8H, phenyl-*o*-*H*), 8.65 (s, 4H, pyrr- β -*H*). CHN anal. (%), calcd for C_{54.8}H_{49.2}Cl_{1.2}N₄O_{1.6}Zn (**3**): C, 73.35; H, 5.53; N, 6.24. Found: C, 73.15; H, 5.60; N, 6.06.

X-ray Crystallography. All measurements were made on a Rigaku/ADSC CCD area detector with graphite-monochrometed Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71069$ Å). Crystal data and details of the diffraction data collections are given in Table 1. The data were collected at -93 ± 1 , -100 ± 1 , and $-100 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C to a maximum 2θ value of 60.2° , 55.7° , and 50.1° at 0.50° oscillations with 80.0, 12.0, and 58.0 s exposures for **1b**–**3b**, respectively. A sweep of

data was performed using ϕ oscillations from 0.0° to 190.0° at $\chi = -90^{\circ}$, -90° , and 0°, and a second sweep was performed using ω oscillations between -23.0° and 18.0° , -19.0° and 23.0° , and -19.0° and 23° at $\chi = -90^{\circ}$ for **1b**–**3b**, respectively. The structures were solved by heavy-atom Patterson methods³⁴ for **1b** and by direct methods³⁵ for **2b** and **3b** and expanded using Fourier techniques.³⁶ The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. The OH hydrogen atom of the coordinated ethanol was refined isotropically. In **3b**, one disordered molecule of THF coordinated to Zn(II); in addition, two different solvents, THF and CHCl₃, partially occupy the same volume in the asymmetric unit. In **3b**, all the disordered solvent molecules were refined isotropically, while all other atoms were refined anisotropically. All calculations were performed using the teXsan crystallographic software package.³⁷

Results and Discussion

X-ray Crystal Structures. ORTEP views of the crystal structures for $Zn(TPP(CF_3)_4)(EtOH)_3$ (**1b**), $Zn(TPPBr_4)-(MeOH)(DMF)$ (**2b**), and $Zn(TPP(CH_3)_4)(CHCl_3)_{0.4}(THF)_{1.6}$ (**3b**) are shown in Figure 1. Selected bond lengths and bond angles for the three molecules together with previously reported data for pentacoordinated $Zn(TPP)(H_2O)^{38}$ are summarized in Table 2.

Coordination around Zn(II) in **1b**–**3b** is pentacoordinate square pyramidal, a common geometry for Zn(II) porphyrins.³⁹ However, these exhibit the unique core structures commonly observed for antipodal β -substituted *meso*-tetraphenylporphyrinato metal complexes such as Ni(TPP(CN)₄)-(L)₂ (L = pyr or 1-meim),⁴⁰ (Fe(TPPBr₄))₂O,⁴¹ Fe(TPPBr₄)-Cl,⁴² or Zn(tTETPP)(pyr);⁴³ M–N distances are nonequivalent in different N–N vectors (i.e., N1–N3 and N2–N4). The average Zn–N distances for **1b**–**3b** along the N1–N3 vector (i.e., *Zn–N* in Table 2) are longer than those along the N2– N4 vector (i.e., Zn–N' in Table 2). The nonequivalence of the M–N distances is caused by in-plane elongation of the porphyrin core due to the steric strain enforced by the

- (34) Beurskens, P. T.; Admiraal, G.; Beurskens, G.; Bosman, W. P.; Garcia-Granda, S.; Gould, R. O.; Smits, J. M. M.; Smykalla, C. PATTY. In *The DIRDIF program system*; Technical Report; Crystallography Laboratory, University of Nijmegen: Nijmegen, The Netherlands, 1992.
- (35) Altomare, A.; Burla, M. C.; Cammalli, G.; Cascarano, M.; Giacovazzo, C.; Guagliardi, A.; Moliterni, A. G. G.; Polidori, G.; Spagna, A. J. *Appl. Crystallogr.* **1999**, *32*, 115.
- (36) Beurskens, P. T.; Admiraal, G.; Beurskens, G.; Bosman, W. P.; de Gelder, R.; Israel, R.; Smits, J. M. M. *The DIRDIF-94 program system*; Technical Report; Crystallography Laboratory, University of Nijmegen: Nijmegen, The Netherlands, 1994.
- (37) teXsan: Crystal Structure Analysis Package; Molecular Structure Corp.: The Woodlands, TX, 1985 and 1992.
- (38) Golder, A. J.; Povey, D. C. Acta Crystallogr. 1990, C46, 1210.
- (39) Scheidt, W. R. In *The Porphyrin Handbook*; Kadish, K. M., Smith, K. M., Guilard, R., Eds.; Academic Press: New York, 2000; Vol. 3, 49.
- (40) Ni(TPP(CN)₄)(L)₂: Hexacoordinate (2,3,12,13-tetracyano-5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrinato)nickel(II). L = axial ligand. (a) Reference 19. (b) Duval, H.; Bulach, V.; Fischer, J.; Weiss, R. *Acta Crystallogr.* 1997, *C53*, 1027.
- (41) (Fe(TPPBr4))₂O: (μ-Oxo)bis(2,3,12,13-tetrabromo-5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrinato)iron(III). Kadish, K. M.; Autret, M.; Ou, Z.; Tagliatesta, P.; Boschi, T.; Fares, V. *Inorg. Chem.* **1997**, *36*, 204.
- (42) Fe(TPPBr₄)Cl: Chloro(2,3,12,13-tetrabromo-5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrinato)iron(III). Duval, H.; Bulach, V.; Fischer, J.; Renner, M. W.; Fajer, J.; Weiss, R. J. Biol. Inorg. Chem. 1997, 2, 662.
- (43) Zn(tTETPP)(pyr): Pyridine(2,3,12,13-tetraethyl-5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrinato)zinc(II). Senge, M. O.; Kalisch, W. W. *Inorg. Chem.* 1997, *36*, 6103.



Figure 1. X-ray crystal structures of (a) Zn(TPP(CF₃)₄)(EtOH)₃ (**1b**), (b) Zn(TPPBr₄)(MeOH)(DMF) (**2b**), and (c) Zn(TPP(CH₃)₄)(THF)_{1.6}(CHCl₃)_{0.4} (**3b**). The axial ligand and the solvated molecules for the top views (left column) and the *meso*-phenyl groups and some solvated molecules for the side views (right column) were omitted for clarity. Ellipsoids are drawn at 30% probability.

peripheral substituents.³⁹ The mechanism of elongation of the porphyrin core is explained by repulsion of pyrrolic $\beta - \beta$ substituents that push the *meso*-phenyl groups toward the unsubstituted pyrroles. Relief of the peripheral steric strain results in, for example, $C_{\beta}-C_{\beta} > C_{\beta}'-C_{\beta}'$ and $C_{\beta}-C_{meso}-C_{ph} > C_{\alpha}'-C_{meso}-C_{ph}$, as shown in Table 2. This phenomenon is observed for **1b**-**3b**, with **1b** showing the largest elongation. The van der Waals radius of the trifluoromethyl group is estimated to be 2.2 Å⁴⁴ (or more),⁴⁵ which is larger than that of the methyl (2.0 Å) and the bromine groups (1.95 Å).⁴⁶ Thus, of the three Zn(II) porphyrins, the strongest steric interaction among the peripheral substituents is expected in **1b**. As suggested in previous reports,^{40,42} the electronic effect of β -substituents may also contribute to different M–N distances in both vectors; strongly electron-withdrawing substituents on the pyrrolic β -positions will decrease the electron density on N1 and N3, and the weakened M–N1 and M–N3 bonds will be longer than the other M–N pair. Of the three structures, the difference in the Zn–N distances is largest (Δ Zn–N = 0.117 Å) in **1b** and relatively small (Δ Zn–N = 0.070 Å) in **3b**. While all of the above factors

⁽⁴⁴⁾ Smart, B. E. In Chemistry of Organic Fluorine Compounds II. A Critical Review; Hudlický, M., Pavlath, A. E., Eds.; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1995.

⁽⁴⁵⁾ Kitazume, T.; Yamazaki, T. Experimental Methods in Organic Fluorine Chemistry; Kodansha, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers: Tokyo, 1998.

⁽⁴⁶⁾ Cotton, F. A.; Wilkinson, G. *Advanced Inorganic Chemistry*, 3rd ed.; Interscience Publishers: New York, 1972.

5,10,15,20-Tetraphenylporphyrin Zinc(II) Complexes

Table 2. Core Size, Selected Bond Lengths (Å), Distances (Å), and Bond Angles (°)



	1b	2b	3b	Zn(TPP) ^a	
N····Ct ^b	2.106	2.094	2.076	2.043	
N'····Ct	1.962	2.009	2.012		
Zn-O	2.110(3)	2.108(3)	2.182(4)	2.228	
Zn-N	2.119(2)	2.115(3)	2.092(5)	2.050	
Zn-N'	2.002(2)	2.026(3)	2.022(5)	2.050	
$N-C_{\alpha}$	1.374(3)	1.375(4)	1.375(7)	1.372	
$N'-C_{\alpha}'$	1.381(4)	1.370(4)	1.377(7)		
$C_{\alpha}-C_{\beta}$	1.451(4)	1.448(5)	1.456(9)	1.442	
$C_{\alpha}' - \dot{C}_{\beta}'$	1.450(4)	1.446(4)	1.454(9)		
$C_{\beta} - C_{\beta}$	1.368(4)	1.350(5)	1.376(9)	1 241	
$\dot{C_{\beta}}' - \dot{C_{\beta}}'$	1.336(4)	1.344(5)	1.348(8)	1.341	
$C_{\alpha}-C_{meso}$	1.422(4)	1.409(4)	1.419(8)	1 405	
$C_{\alpha}' - C_{meso}$	1.396(4)	1.401(5)	1.388(8)	1.405	
$C_{\alpha} - N - C_{\alpha}$	108.2(2)	108.2(3)	105.9(5)	106.8	
$C_{\alpha}' - N - C_{\alpha}'$	106.6(2)	106.8(3)	107.4(5)	100.8	
$C_{\alpha}-C_{meso}-C_{ph}$	118.6(3)	119.7(3)	118.2(6)	117.2	
$C_{\alpha}' - C_{meso} - \dot{C}_{ph}$	116.0(3)	115.8(3)	116.0(5)	11/.2	
$C_{\alpha} - C_{\beta} - X1(\dot{X}4)^c$	129.0(3)	129.6(3)	129.0(6)		
$C_{\alpha} - C_{\beta} - X2(X3)^{c}$	125.0(3)	129.2(2)	128.6(6)		

^{*a*} Reference 38. ^{*b*} Ct is the centroid of the four nitrogen atoms. ^{*c*} X1 = C21 or Br1, X2 = C22 or Br2, X3 = C23 or Br3, and X4 = C24 or Br4.

contribute to the conformation of **1a**, the major influence on the M–N distances results from the unique 18π -electron pathway. The free-base β -tetrakis(trifluoromethyl)-*meso*tetraphenylporphyrin has a bacteriochlorin-like chromophore where the aromatic system avoids the CF₃-substituted carbon atoms.³² The crystal structure of (2,3,12,13-tetrahydro-5,-10,15,20-tetraphenylporphinato)(pyridine)-zinc(II)⁴⁷ shows a similar core distortion with M–N bond lengths of 2.04 and 2.21 Å.

In complexes **1b**–**3b**, the Zn(II) atoms are displaced by 0.325, 0.277, and 0.234 Å from the least-squares plane through the four porphyrin nitrogen atoms (the N₄ mean plane). These values are larger than the 0.173 Å for Zn-(TPP)(H₂O).³⁸ The value of 0.325 Å for **1b** is especially large compared to those in typical five-coordinate Zn(II) porphyrins with apical Zn–O coordination.⁴⁸ Here again two factors, steric and electronic, seem to be affecting the position of the Zn(II) atoms. Displacement of the Zn(II) atoms from the N₄ plane occurs due to the larger size of the Zn(II) atom relative to the core sizes of the macrocycles.³⁹ The core sizes (N···C_t in Table 2) along the N2–N4 vector in **1b–3b** are

much smaller than that of typical porphyrin ligands like TPP; the largest displacement of the Zn(II) atom in **1b** corresponds to the smallest core size. The Zn–O distances in **1b** and **2b** are about 0.12 Å shorter than the 2.228 Å in Zn(TPP)(H₂O)³⁸ or 2.226 Å in Zn(OETPP)(MeOH)⁴⁹ but close to that (2.092 Å) in Zn(TPPF₈)(H₂O).¹⁴ Presumably, in electron-deficient porphyrins the Zn(II) atoms are pulled out of the porphyrin core by the axial ligand. Thus, the largest Zn(II) atom displacement in **1b** is due to the combination of steric and electronic effects.

Figure 2 shows the magnitude of distortion in the macrocycles of 1b-3b. For all three, pyrrole rings are alternately up and down relative to the N₄ mean plane. As shown in the displacements of the pyrrolic α -, β -, and *meso*-carbons, the pyrrole rings are slightly twisted along the M-N axes. Thus the macrocycles are mainly saddle-distorted and gently ruffled. The average displacements for the pyrrolic β -carbons from the N₄ mean plane for 1b-3b are 0.79, 0.40, and 0.46 Å, respectively. Distortion of the macrocycles of **2b** and **3b** is similar to that in Zn(TPPF8)(H2O), which is saddledistorted and has a displacement of 0.49 Å for the pyrrolic β -carbons.⁵⁰ In fact, a potentially planar porphyrin can be distorted by the mode of coordination. For example, crystal structures of a four-coordinate Zn(TPPBr₄)⁵¹ and a free base of a β -octafluoro-TPP analogue, H₂TPPF₂₈,⁵² showed planar porphyrin macrocycles. Thus, the distortion in 2b and Zn-(TPPF₈)(H₂O) seems due to the axial ligand coordination to the Zn(II) atoms. The average displacement of 0.46 Å for pyrrolic β -carbons from the N₄ mean plane in **3b** is more or less the same as that in **2b** and $Zn(TPPF_8)(H_2O)$, suggesting that the distortion in **3b** could also be due to the coordination pattern of the Zn(II) atom and that the peripheral steric strain might not be sufficiently large to cause severe macrocyclic distortion. The obviously large average value of 0.79 Å for 1 indicates that factors other than the mode of coordination may be involved in the severe distortion of the macrocycle. Comparison of the root-mean-square values (Figure 2), which are the average deviations of the 24 core atoms from their least-squares plane, also shows the considerable distortion in 1b. The van der Waals radius of fluorine is close to that of hydrogen.⁴⁶ However, the longer C-F bond length (1.3-1.4 Å) compared to the C–H bond length $(1.1 \text{ Å})^{53}$ makes the CF₃ group bulkier than the CH₃ group. Thus, steric interactions among the peripheral substituents in 1b will be larger than those in 3b. As shown in the top view of 1b (Figure 1), two meso-phenyl groups are extremely twisted due to the steric interaction with trifluoromethyl groups. The average torsion angle made by Cortho(C26 or C30)-C25-

- (51) Zou, J.-Z.; Li, M.; Xu, Z.; You, X.-Z. Jiegou Huaxue 1997, 16, 29.
- (52) H₂TPPF₂₈: 2,3,7,8,12,13,17,18-Octafluoro-5,10,15,20-tetrakis(pentafluorophenyl)porphyrin. Leroy, J.; Bondon, A.; Toupet, L. Acta Crystallogr. **1999**, C55, 464.
- (53) Handbook of Chemistry & Physics, 81st ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 2000–2001; p 9-5.

⁽⁴⁷⁾ Barkigia, K. M.; Miura, M.; Thompson, M. A.; Fajer, J. Inorg. Chem. 1991, 30, 2233.

⁽⁴⁸⁾ Cheng, B.; Scheidt, W. R. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1995, 237, 5.

⁽⁴⁹⁾ Zn(OETPP)(MeOH): Methanol(2,3,7,8,12,13,17,18-octaethyl-5,10,-15,20-tetraphenylporphyrinato)zinc(II). Barkigia, K. M.; Berber, M. D.; Fajar, J.; Medforth, C. J.; Renner, M. W.; Smith, K. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 8851.

⁽⁵⁰⁾ Zn(TPPF₈)(H₂O): Aqua(2,3,7,8,12,13,17,18-octafluoro-5,10,15,20tetraphenylporphyrinato)zinc(II). The value is the displacement from the porphyrin least-squares plane. Reference 14.



Figure 2. Displacements (in 0.01 Å units) of the porphyrin core, pyrrolic β -substituents, and Zn(II) atom relative to the N₄ mean plane (left column) and linear display (in Å units) of the skeletal deviations from the N₄ mean plane (right column) of (a) Zn(TPP(CF₃)₄)(EtOH)₃ (**1b**), (b) Zn(TPPBr₄)(MeOH)-(DMF) (**2b**), and (c) Zn(TPP(CH₃)₄(THF)_{1.6})(CHCl₃)_{0.4} (**3b**). Indicates the pyrrolic carbons bearing substituents. The rms values show the average deviation of the 24 core atoms from their least-squares plane.

C5–C_{α}(C4 or C6) is 54.4°, and similarly 52.1° for the other phenyl ring (C31-36). Interestingly, two other phenyl rings (C37-42 and C43-48) are almost orthogonal to the best plane of the porphyrin macrocycle (the corresponding torsion angles are 85.2° and 80.2°, respectively). The crystal structure of 1b viewed from a different angle (Figure 3) shows that the twisting angles of phenyl rings C25-30 and C31-36 affect those of phenyl rings C37-42 and C43-48. The steric interaction between phenyl ring C43–48 and trifluoromethyl group CF1-3 determines the orientation of the trifluoromethyl group so that no fluorine of F1-3 is pointing at the face of the phenyl ring. This orientation forces F3 to point at the adjacent CF4-6, which orients so that F3 points between F4 and F5. The orientation of CF4-6 is such that the phenyl ring C25-30 cannot lie orthogonal to the porphyrinato core due to the penetration of F6 into the π -cloud of ring C25–30. In order to avoid this situation, the phenyl ring rotates to reduce the contact with CF4–6. The relatively small C_{α}-C_{β}-X2 angle for **1b** (Table 2) indicates that phenyl ring C25–30 is pushed away by CF4– 6. Thus, an electrostatic repulsion between the π -cloud of the phenyl rings and the trifluoromethyl groups appears to be the major driving force for the macrocyclic distortion. Such interactions between fluorine atoms and the π -electrons of phenyl rings have been reported.⁵⁴ It should be noted that the 282 MHz ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of **1b** in CDCl₃ at room temperature displayed a sharp singlet at –48.4 ppm (vs CFCl₃), and this equivalency of the trifluoromethyl fluorines indicates rotation of the groups in solution.

The average torsion angles made by the Cortho-CPh-

(54) Hayashi, N.; Mori, T.; Matsumoto, K. Chem. Commun. 1998, 1905.



Figure 3. Orientations of *meso*-phenyl and β -trifluoromethyl groups in Zn(TPP(CF₃)₄)(EtOH)₃ (**1b**).

 $C_{meso}-C_{\alpha}$ are 68.4°, 78.2°, 76.8°, and 72.9° in **2b** and 75.9°, 67.6°, 71.5°, and 71.3° in **3b**, such that no severe interaction of the phenyl and pyrrolic β -substituents was observed.

Another interesting feature of the crystal structure of **1b** is coordination of the axial ligand and the macrocycle distortion. Buckling of the macrocycles along the N1–N3 vector (i.e., β -substituted direction) for **1b** is different from that for **2b** and **3b**. In square-pyramidal coordination of five-coordinate metalloporphyrins, the metal atom is displaced from the N₄ mean plane toward the axial ligand and this displacement is accompanied by doming of the porphyrinate core seems to be hampered by the severe steric interaction among the peripheral substituents.

As shown in Figure 1, the axial ethanol is hydrogen bonded to the second ethanol (O2···H1 (1.90 Å)), whose methylene hydrogen (H32) has a nonbonding contact with F9 (F9····H32 (2.71 Å)). The third ethanol is also hydrogenbonded to the second ethanol (O3···H31 (2.03 Å), where O3 is the oxygen atom in the third ethanol and H31 is the hydroxyl hydrogen of the second ethanol). This network of hydrogen bonding is no doubt enhanced by the extreme electron deficiency of the zinc indued by the peripheral trifluoromethyl groups. There were also some other F····H nonbonding contacts within 3 Å between solvent ethanols, β -pyrrolic hydrogen, or phenyl ring hydrogen and trifluoromethyl moieties across the different porphyrin units. Intermolecular ${}^{\delta+}C-F^{\delta-}$ and ${}^{\delta-}C-H^{\delta+}$ bond dipole interactions or C-H···F-C hydrogen bonding is well-known.44 Nonbonding contacts between ethanol molecules and trifluoromethyl groups in solution are also suggested by the high-field shifts of the ethanol peaks ($\delta 0.34$ (t, -OH); 0.73 $(t, -CH_3)$; 2.94 (m, $-CH_2-$)) in the ¹H NMR spectrum of 1b in CDCl₃. The large high-field shifts of the ethanol signals of **1b**, compared to free ethanol in CDCl₃ (δ 1.18 (t, $-CH_3$); 2.05 (bs, -OH); 3.65 (q, $-CH_2-$)), indicates that the ethanol molecules in 1b exist in the pocket created by the four





Figure 4. Optical spectra of (a) **1a**, (b) **2a**, and (c) **3a** in CH₂Cl₂. Q-bands are magnified by five times.

trifluoromethyl groups where they are shielded by the ring current of the macrocycle. It is not possible that the intramolecular hydrogen bonding in **1b**, shown in Figure 1, is the rationale for the mode of buckling, since we also observed similar coordination and distortion patterns for a crystal structure of $\text{Co}^{II}(\text{TPP}(\text{CF}_3)_4)(\text{pyr})$,⁵⁶ which has no such bonding.

Optical Spectra. Figure 4 shows the optical spectra of **1a**–**3a**. **3a** shows a spectrum similar to that of Zn(TPP) (419, 548, and 582 nm), while **2a** shows a small red shift. Compound **1a**, on the other hand, exhibits a unique optical spectrum. The extremely red-shifted Q-band is indicative of a narrower HOMO–LUMO, see below, which is caused by both the macrocyclic distortion⁴ and the bacteriochlorin-like electronic structure.³² It is interesting to note that [*meso*-tetrakis(trifluoromethyl)porphyrinato]zinc(II) (λ_{max} , nm (log ϵ) 409 (5.27), 554 (3.99), 593 (4.29)),²⁷ where the four CF₃ groups are bonded to the *meso* positions, exhibits an optical spectrum²⁷ similar to that of Zn(TPP).

Redox Potentials of Zn(II) Porphyrins. In the previous section, the relationship between the optical properties and structures was described briefly. In addition, we have also attempted to analyze the electronic structures of the Zn(II) porphyrins using cyclic voltammetry. Cyclic voltammetric measurements were performed using the four-coordinate complexes Zn(TPP(CF₃)₄) (1a), Zn(TPPBr₄) (2a), and Zn- $(TPP(CH_3)_4)$ (**3a**). As presented in Figure 5a, two reversible one-electron waves were observed for 1a. The first oxidation and reduction potentials of **1a** (β -CF₃), **2a** (β -Br), **3a** (β -CH₃), Zn(TPP) (β -H), and Zn(TPP(CN)₄)⁵⁷ (β -CN) are plotted against the $4\sigma_p$ value (Figure 5b).⁵⁸ As the $4\sigma_p$ value increases, both the oxidation (removal of an electron from the HOMO) and reduction (filling of an electron into the LUMO) potentials increase. The first oxidation potentials change almost linearly. A slight deviation of the first

⁽⁵⁶⁾ Co(TPP(CF₃)₄)(py): Pyridine[2,3,12,13-tetrakis(trifluoromethyl)-5,-10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrinato]cobalt(II). Manuscript in preparation.

⁽⁵⁷⁾ Zn(TPP(CN)₄): (2,3,12,13-Tetracyano-5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrinato)zinc(II). Source for the redox potentials of Zn(TPP(CN)₄): Giraudeau, A.; Callot, H. J.; Gross, M. *Inorg. Chem.* **1979**, *18*, 2011.

⁽⁵⁸⁾ σ_p values for the substituents were obtained from ref 58a. See ref 58b for the redox potentials vs σ values. (a) Hansch, C.; Leo, A.; Taft, R. W. *Chem. Rev.* **1991**, *91*, 165. (b) Kadish, K. M.; Morrison, M. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1976**, *98*, 3326.



Figure 5. Cyclic voltammetric analysis of the four-coordinate antipodal β -tetrasubstituted *meso*-tetraphenylporphyrin Zn(II) complexes: (a) cyclic voltammogram of **1a** in CH₂Cl₂ at [**1a**] = 0.001 M, [TBAPF₆] = 0.1 M, and a scan rate of 50 mV/s, and (b) $4\sigma_p$ vs the first oxidation (\bullet) and the first reduction (\bigcirc) potentials. The white arrow in part a of the figure indicates the internal reference; ferrocene/ferrocenium coupling.

oxidation potential for **1a** (β -CF₃) from this curve is about 0.2 V, which is probably off set by the porphyrin macrocycle distortion. Previous studies have shown that oxidation of the macrocycle is sensitive to structural changes of the porphyrin and the reduction is not; nonplanarity of the macrocycle contributes to lowering the energy level of the HOMO, and that of the LUMO changes linearly with the electronwithdrawing effect of the substituents regardless of the structural changes of the macrocycle.⁵⁹⁻⁶¹ The plots of the reduction potentials in Figure 5b show an interesting result, in which the reduction potential is not proportional to the sum of the $\sigma_{\rm p}$ value, and the plots show a gentle sigmoid curve. The number of available data is limited, but the plots show that both oxidation and reduction potentials seem to be leveling off at higher $4\sigma_p$ values leading to a narrow HOMO-LUMO gap. It is unlikely that the fourcoordinate Zn(II) complexes except for **1a** have very distorted macrocycles, and crystal structure analysis for hexacoordinate Ni(II) complexes of β -tetracyano-TPP showed

Terazono et al.

coplanarity of the porphyrinato ligand. This implies that macrocyclic distortion is also unlikely in the four-coordinate Zn(TPP(CN)₄). Thus, the HOMO–LUMO gap contraction should be mainly related to the electronic effect of the β -substituents. In a recent report, we demonstrated that a dramatic HOMO-LUMO gap contraction is observed for the free bases of β -trifluoromethyl-meso-tetraphenylporphyrins with a fixed 18π -electron pathway.³² Thus, the large HOMO-LUMO gap contraction in 1a presumably originates from its unique electronic structure. In β -octasubstituted porphyrins the electronic effect of peripheral substituents does not normally affect the HOMO-LUMO gap whereas macrocyclic distortion does.⁵⁹ It should be noted that the gap contraction observed for the antipodally β -substituted electrondeficient porphyrins such as 1a or Zn(TPP(CN)₄) is significantly larger (>600 mV) when compared to an offset by severe macrocyclic distortion (<500 mV for Zn(II) complexes of β -octabromoporphyrins^{61,62}). It also should be noted that the HOMO-LUMO gap of [meso-tetrakis(trifluoromethyl)porphyrinato]zinc(II) (Zn(P(CF₃)₄))²⁷ has been reported as 2.15 V (in benzonitrile), which is similar to that of Zn-(TPP). The large difference between **1a** and $Zn(P(CF_3)_4)$ indicates that the position of the groups affects the HOMO-LUMO gap greatly. The electronic effect of the groups in 3a is not significant.

Conclusion

A comparative analysis of the structures of three 2,3,12,-13-tetrasubstituted porphyrins determined by X-ray crystallography revealed that the electronic and steric effects of pyrrolic β -trifluoromethyl groups on the macrocycle are dramatic. Steric interactions between the trifluoromethyl and phenyl groups and the strong electron-withdrawing effect of the trifluoromethyl groups are the driving force for the severe macrocycle in-plane and out-of-plane distortions. The electronic structure, specifically of **1a**, also reveals the dramatic effects of the β -trifluoromethyl substitution where, as with the free base,³² the 18 π -aromatic system is locked and avoids the atoms bearing these groups.

Acknowledgment. We thank Prof. Brian James and his group in the Department of Chemistry at the University of British Columbia for their generous support of the cyclic voltammetric measurements. This work was supported by the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

Supporting Information Available: Table of redox potentials of **1a**–**3a**. X-ray crystallographic files in CIF format. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

IC020339H

⁽⁵⁹⁾ Takeuchi, T.; Gray, H. B.; Goddard, W. A., III. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 9730.

⁽⁶⁰⁾ Ochsenbein, P.; Ayougou, K.; Mandon, D.; Fischer, J.; Weiss, R.; Austin, R. N.; Jayaraj, K.; Gold, A.; Terner, J.; Fajar, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 348.

⁽⁶¹⁾ D'Souza, F.; Zandler, M. E.; Tagliatesta, P.; Ou, Z.; Shao, J.; Van Caemelbecke, E.; Kadish, K. M. *Inorg. Chem.* **1998**, *37*, 4567.

⁽⁶²⁾ Hodge, J. A.; Hill, M. G.; Gray, H. B. Inorg. Chem. 1995, 34, 809.