Inorg. Chem. **2003**, *42*, 1023−1030

A New Zinc(II) Fluorophore 2-(9-Anthrylmethylamino)ethyl-Appended 1,4,7,10-Tetraazacyclododecane

Shin Aoki,*,† Saori Kaido,† Haruto Fujioka,‡ and Eiichi Kimura*,§

Division of Medicinal Chemistry, Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Hiroshima University, Kasumi 1-2-3, Minami-ku, Hiroshima 734-8551, Japan, Faculty of Pharmacy, Fukuyama University, Gakuen-cho 1, Fukuyama 729-0292, Japan, and Faculty of Integrated Arts and *Sciences, Hiroshima Uni*V*ersity, Kagamiyama 1-7-1, Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8521, Japan*

Received September 9, 2002

A new 2-(9-anthrylmethylamino)ethyl-appended cyclen, L3 (1-(2-(9-anthrylmethylamino)ethyl)-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane) (cyclen $= 1,4,7,10$ -tetraazacyclododecane), was synthesized and characterized for a new Zn²⁺ chelationenhanced fluorophore, in comparison with previously reported 9-anthrylmethylcyclen L¹ (1-(9-anthrylmethyl)-1,4,7,10tetraazacyclododecane) and dansylamide cyclen L2 . L3 showed protonation constants log *K*a*ⁱ* of 10.57 ± 0.02, 9.10 \pm 0.02, 7.15 \pm 0.02, <2, and <2. The log K_{a3} value of 7.15 was assigned to the pendant 2-(9-anthrylmethylamino)ethyl on the basis of the pH-dependent ¹H NMR and fluorescence spectroscopic measurements. The potentiometric pH titration study indicated extremely stable 1:1 Zn²⁺–L³ complexation with a stability constant log K_s(ZnL³) (where $K_s(ZnL^3) = [ZnL^3]/[Zn^2+][L^3]$ (M⁻¹)) of 17.6 at 25 °C with $I = 0.1$ (NaNO₃), which is translated into the much $K_s = (TnL^3)(I - Tn^2L)$. [1.3], $I(TnL^3)$ of 2×10^{-11} M with respect to 5×10^{-8} M for smaller apparent dissociation constant K_d (=[Zn^{2+]}free[L³]_{free}/[ZnL³]) of 2×10^{-11} M with respect to 5×10^{-8} M for 1 J at nH 7.4 The quantum viold ($\Phi = 0.44$) in the fluencescapt emission of L³ L¹ at pH 7.4. The quantum yield ($\Phi = 0.14$) in the fluorescent emission of L³ increased to $\Phi = 0.44$ upon complexation with zinc(II) ion at pH 7.4 (excitation at 368 nm). The fluorescence of 5 μ M L³ at pH 7.4 linearly increased with a 0.1−5 *µ*M concentration of zinc(II). By comparison, the fluorescent emission of the free ligand L1 decreased upon binding to Zn²⁺ (from $\Phi = 0.27$ to $\Phi = 0.19$) at pH 7.4 (excitation at 368 nm). The Zn²⁺ complexation with L³ occurred more rapidly (the second-order rate constant k_2 is 4.6 \times 10² M⁻¹ s⁻¹) at pH 7.4 than that with L^1 ($k_2 = 5.6 \times 10 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) and L^2 ($k_2 = 1.4 \times 10^2 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$). With an additionally inserted ethylamine
in the pendant group, the macrocyclic ligand 13 is a more effective and practical z in the pendant group, the macrocyclic ligand L^3 is a more effective and practical zinc(II) fluorophore than L^1 .

Introduction

Development of new zinc(II) fluorescent sensors is attracting great interest.¹⁻¹⁴ Previously, the principle of PET (photoinduced electron transfer)-retarded fluorescence by Zn^{2+} has been reported for 1-(9-anthrylmethyl)-1,4,7,10-

10.1021/ic020545p CCC: \$25.00 © 2003 American Chemical Society **Inorganic Chemistry,** Vol. 42, No. 4, 2003 **1023** Published on Web 01/25/2003

tetraazacyclododecane $(1, L¹)$ and other macrocyclic homologues.² Despite an extremely strong Zn^{2+} uptake by the 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane ("cyclen") part (see **2**), its

- (3) (a) Koike, T.; Watanabe, T.; Aoki, S.; Kimura, E.; Shiro, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **¹⁹⁹⁶**, *¹¹⁸*, 12696-12703. (b) Kimura, E. *S. Afr. J. Chem.* **¹⁹⁹⁷**, *⁵⁰*, 240-248. (c) Kimura, E.; Koike, T. *Chem. Soc. Re*V*.* **¹⁹⁹⁸**, *²⁷*, 179-184. (4) Koike, T.; Abe, T.; Takahashi, M.; Ohtani, K.; Kimura, E.; Shiro, M.
- *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **²⁰⁰²**, 1764-1768.
- (5) (a) Zalewski, P. D.; Forbes, I. J.; W. H. Betts, *Biochem. J.* **1993**, *296*, ⁴⁰³-408. (b) Coyle, P.; Zalewski, P. D.; Philcox, J. C.; Forbes, I. J.; Ward, A. D.; Lincoln, S. F.; Mahadevan, I.; Rofe, A. M. *Biochem. J.* **¹⁹⁹⁴**, *³⁰³*, 781-786. (c) Zalewski, P. D.; Forbes, I. J.; Seamark, R. F.; Borlinghaus, R.; Betts, W. H.; Lincoln, S. F.; Ward, A. D. *Chem. Biol.* **¹⁹⁹⁴**, *¹*, 153-161. (d) Hendrickson, K. M.; Rodopoulos, T.; Pittet, P.-A.; Mahadevan, I.; Lincoln, S. F.; Ward, A. D.; Kurucsev, T.; Duckworth, P. A.; Forbes, I. J.; Zalewski, P. D.; Betts, H. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **¹⁹⁹⁷**, 3879-3882.
- (6) (a) Nasir, M. S.; Fahrni, C. J.; Suhy, D. A.; Kolodsick, K. J.; Singer, C. P.; O'Halloran, T. V. *J. Biol. Inorg. Chem.* **¹⁹⁹⁹**, *⁴*, 775-783. (b) Fahrni, C. J.; O'Halloran, T. V. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **¹⁹⁹⁹**, *¹²¹*, 11448- 11458. (c) Suhy, D. A.; Simon, K. D.; Linzer, D. I. H.; O'Halloran, T. V. *J. Biol. Chem.* **¹⁹⁹⁹**, *²⁷⁴*, 9183-9192.

^{*} Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: sinaoki@hiroshima-u.ac.jp (S.A.); ekimura@hiroshima-u.ac.jp (E.K.).

[†] Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, HiroshimaUniversity. ‡ Fukuyama University.

[§] Faculty of Integrated Arts and Sciences, Hiroshima University.

^{(1) (}a) Czarnik, A. W. *Fluorescenct Chemosensors for Ion and Molecule Recognition*; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1993. (b) Prasanna de Silva, A.; Nimal Gunaratne, H. Q.; Gunnlaugsson, T.; Huxley, A. J. M.; McCoy, C. P.; Rademacher, J. T.; Rice, T. E. *Chem. Re*V. **¹⁹⁹⁷**, *⁹⁷*, 1515-1566. (c) Harrop, T. C.; Mascharak, P. K. *Chemtracts* **²⁰⁰¹**, *14,* ⁴⁴²-449. (d) Kimura, E.; Aoki, S. *BioMetals*

²⁰⁰¹, *¹⁴*, 191-204. (2) (a) Akkaya, E. U.; Huston, M. E.; Czarnik, A. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **¹⁹⁹⁰**, *112,* ³⁵⁹⁰-3593. (b) Huston, M. E.; Engleman, C.; Czarnik, A. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **¹⁹⁹⁰**, *¹¹²*, 7054-7056. (c) Czarnik, A. W. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **¹⁹⁹⁴**, *27,* ³⁰²-308.

Scheme 1 Scheme 2

biggest drawback was a similarly occurring PET-retarded fluorescence by a competitive uptake of two protons at neutral pH (expressed as $1.2H^+$). Accordingly, to use L^1 as a Zn^{2+} -sensitive fluorophore, one must avoid the protonations; i.e., an extremely alkaline medium ($pH > 12$) should be employed (Scheme 1). Since then, however, the anthryl unit as a fluorescent group has been frequently incorporated in metal sensors.^{1b,15}

On the other hand, we designed a dansylamide-pendant macrocycle such as $3(L^2)$ as a new type of biomimetic Zn^{2+} chemosensor after fluorescent dansylamide binding to Zn^{2+} at the active center of carbonic anhydrase.^{3,4} Indeed, L^2 strongly bound to Zn^{2+} in an amide-deprotonated complex, **4** ($\text{Zn}(H_{-1}L^2)$), at neutral pH, resulting in stronger dansylamide fluorescence from $\Phi = 0.03$ to $\Phi = 0.11$ (Scheme 2). The dissociation constant K_d of $Zn(H_{-1}L^2)$ was very small (8 pM) at pH 7.4, and therefore, the fluorescence with 5 μ M L² at pH 7.3 was linearly responsive to a 0.1-5 μ M concentration of Zn^{2+} , which was unperturbed by the presence of millimolar concentrations of other biological metal ions such as Na^+ , K^+ , Ca^{2+} , and Mg^{2+} . Very recently, L² has been found to be cell-permeable and emit fluorescence upon binding to Zn^{2+} ions in HeLa cells.¹⁶

- (7) (a) Walkup, G. K.; Burdette, S. C.; Lippard, S. J.; Tsien, R. Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **²⁰⁰⁰**, *112,* ⁵⁶⁴⁴-5645. (b) Burdette, S. C.; Walkup, G. K.; Spingler, B.; Tsien, R. Y.; Lippard, S. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**,
- *123,* ⁷⁸³¹-7841. (8) Gee, K. R.; Zhou, Z.-L.; Qian, W.-J.; Kennedy, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **²⁰⁰²**, *124,* ⁷⁷⁶-778.
- (9) Hirano, T.; Kikuchi, K.; Urano, Y.; Higuchi, T.; Nagano, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **²⁰⁰⁰**, *³⁹*, 1052-1054.
- (10) Godwin, H. A.; Berg, J. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **¹⁹⁹⁶**, *¹¹⁸*, 6514- 6515.
- (11) (a) Walkup, G. K.; Imperiali, B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **¹⁹⁹⁶**, *¹¹⁸*, 3053- 3054. (b) Walkup, G. K.; Imperiali, B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, ³⁴⁴³-3450. (c) Walkup, G. K.; Imperiali, B. *J. Org. Chem.* **¹⁹⁹⁸**, *⁶³*, 6727-6731. (d) Pearce, D. A.; Jotterand, N.; Carrico, I. S.; Imperiali, B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **²⁰⁰¹**, *123,* ⁵¹⁶⁰-5161.
- (12) Reany, O.; Gunnlaugsson, T.; Parker, D. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **²⁰⁰⁰**, 473-474.
- (13) Fabbrizzi, L.; Licchelli, M.; Pallavicin, P.; Perotti, A.; Taglietti, A.; Sacchi, D. *Chem.*-Eur. J. 1996, 2, 75-82.
- (14) Prodi, L.; Montali, M.; Bradshaw, J. D.; Izatt, R. M.; Savage, P. B. *J. Inclusion Phenom. Macrocycl. Chem*. **²⁰⁰¹**, *⁴¹*, 123-137.
- (15) (a) Amendola, V.; Mangano, C.; Pallavicini, P.; Perotti, A.; Taglietti, A. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **²⁰⁰⁰**, 185-189. (b) Gunnlaugsson, T.; Nieuwenhuyzen, M.; Xu, L. X.; Thoss, V. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2* **²⁰⁰²**, 141-150. (c) Xu, H.; Xu, X.; Brown, G. M.; Fan, L.; Patton, S.; Ji, H.-F. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2* **²⁰⁰²**, 636-643. (d) Fabbrizzi, L.; Licchelli, M.; Mancin, F.; Pizzeghello, M.; Raboioli, G.; Taglietti, A.; Tecilla, P.; Tonellato, U. Chem.-Eur. J. 2002, 8, ⁹⁴-101. (e) Amendola, V.; Casa, M. D.; Fabbrizzi, L.; Licchelli, M.; Mangano, C.; Pallavicini, P.; Poggi, A. *J. Inclusion Phenom. Macrocycl. Chem*. **²⁰⁰¹**, *⁴¹*, 13-18. (f) Fabbrrizzi, L.; Licchelli, M.; Marcotte, N.; Stomeo, F.; Taglietti, A. *Supramol. Chem.* **2002**, *14*, ¹²⁷-132. (16) Kimura, E.; Kikuta, E.; Aoki, S. Unpublished results.
-

Scheme 3

With new useful knowledge about competitive coordination vs protonation characters to pendant-attached cyclen, $17,18$ we now have synthesized 2-(9-anthrylmethylamino)ethylpendant cyclen $5(L^3)$ in our effort to develop new zinc(II) fluorophores. We were particularly interested in (1) the log K_a value of the new aminoethyl pendant, (2) whether PET is retarded by the protonation of this amine at neutral pH, (3) whether this potentially fifth donor amine binds to $\mathbb{Z}n^{2+}$, and (4) how the PET-retarded fluorescence of L^1 by complexation with Zn^{2+} would be improved by the additionally inserted aminoethyl pendant group (Scheme 3). We have compared the complexation and fluorescent behaviors of $L³$ with those of L^1 and L^2 . Since detailed data with L^1 were not available,² we have simultaneously studied $L¹$ under the common conditions.

Experimental Section

General Information. L¹ was synthesized as described.² All other reagents and solvents used were of the highest commercial quality and used without further purification. $ZnCl_2$, $Fe(NO_3)_3$. $9H₂O$, and AgNO₃ were purchased from Kanto Chemical Co. Ltd.

(18) (a) Aoki, S.; Kawatani, H.; Goto, T.; Kimura, E.; Shiro, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **²⁰⁰¹**, *¹²³*, 1123-1132. (b) Aoki, S.; Iwaida, K.; Hanamoto, N.; Shiro, M.; Kimura, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **2002**, *124*, ⁵²⁵⁶-5257. (c) Kimura, E.; Gotoh, T.; Aoki, S.; Shiro, M. *Inorg. Chem*. **²⁰⁰²**, *⁴¹*, 3239-3248.

^{(17) (}a) Kimura, E. In *Progress in Inorganic Chemistry*; Karlin, K. D. Ed.; Kimura, E.; Koike, T. In Advances in Inorganic Chemistry; Sykes, Kimura, E.; Koike, T. In *Ad*V*ances in Inorganic Chemistry*; Sykes, A. G. Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1997; Vol. 44, pp. 229–261.
(c) Kimura E : Koike. T. In *Comprehensive Supramolecular Chem-*(c) Kimura, E.; Koike, T. In *Comprehensive Supramolecular Chemistry*; Reinhoudt, D. N., Ed.; Pergamon: Tokyo, 1996; Vol. 10, pp ⁴²⁹-444. (d) Kimura, E.; Koike, T. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun*. **¹⁹⁹⁸**, 1495-1500. (e) Kimura, E.; Koike, T. In *Bioinorganic Catalysis*; Reedijk, J., Bouwman, E., Eds.; Marcel Dekker, Inc.: New York, 1999; pp 33-54. (f) Kimura, E. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **²⁰⁰⁰**, *⁴*, 207- 213. (g) Kimura, E. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **²⁰⁰¹**, *³⁴*, 171-179.

 $CdCl₂$ ⁻2.5H₂O and $Cd(NO₃)₂$ ⁻⁴H₂O were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries Co. Ltd. ZnSO₄·7H₂O, CoSO₄·7H₂O, $NiSO_4 \cdot 6H_2O$, $HgCl_2$, and $CuSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ were purchased from Yoneyama Yakuhin Kogyo Co. Ltd. $MnSO_4 \cdot H_2O$ and $Pd(NO_3)_2$ were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co., Inc. All aqueous solutions were prepared using deionized and redistilled water. Buffer (1 or 10 mM) solutions (KCl, pH 12.0; CAPS, pH 11.0, 10.0; CHES, pH 9.0, 8.5; HEPES, pH 8.0, 7.5, 7.4, 7.0; MES, pH 6.2, 6.0, 5.0; AcOH, pH 4.0) were used, and the ionic strengths of all were adjusted to 0.10 with NaNO₃. Good's buffer reagents (pK_a at 20 °C) were purchased from Dojindo and were used without further purification: CAPS (3-(cyclohexylamino)propanesulfonic acid; 10.4), CHES (2-(3-cyclohexylamino)-2-hydroxypropanesulfonic acid; 9.0), HEPES (2-(4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazinyl)ethanesulfonic acid; 7.6), and MES (2-morpholinoethanesulfonic acid; 6.2). Melting points were measured on a Yanaco melting point apparatus and are listed without correlation. IR spectra were recorded on a HORIBA FT-710 spectrometer. IR cards (type 62) were purchased from 3M Co. Ltd. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL JMN Lambda FT NMR spectrometer (500 MHz) or JEOL JMN Alpha FT NMR spectrometer (400 MHz). Tetramethylsilane (TMS) and 3-(trimethylsilyl)propionic-*2*,*2*,*3*,*3-d4* acid sodium salt (TSP) were used as internal references for ¹H NMR measurements in CDCl₃ and D₂O, respectively. The pD values in D_2O were corrected for a deuterium isotope effect using $pD = pH$ meter reading $+$ 0.40. Elemental analysis was performed on a Perkin-Elmer CHN analyzer 2400. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) and silica gel column chromatography were performed using Merck article 5554 (silica gel) TLC plates and Fuji Silysia Chemical FL-100D (silica gel), respectively.

1-Cyanomethyl-4,7,10-tris(*tert***-butylcarbonyl)-1,4,7,10-tetraazacycldodecane (8).** 3Boc-cyclen $(7)^{19}$ (5.6 g, 12 mmol) was reacted with bromoacetonitrile (2.7 g, 23 mmol) in $CH₃CN$ (40 mL) in the presence of Na₂CO₃ (1.3 g, 12 mmol) at 70 °C under an argon atmosphere for 2 days. After insoluble inorganic salts were removed, the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The remaining residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexanes/AcOEt) to obtain **8** as a colorless amorphous solid (5.5 g, 91%). $R_f = 0.6$ (hexanes/AcOEt, 2:3). IR (KBr): 2231, 1678, 1458, 1363, 1252, 1171 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz, TMS): δ = 1.44 (s, 18H; C(CH₃)₃), 1.47 (s, 9H; C(CH₃)₃), 2.78–2.87 (br, 4H), 3.28-3.53 (m, 12H), 3.29-3.33 (br s, 2H). 13C NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 28.52, 28.77, 46.50, 47.40, 49.93, 50.17, 53.98,$ 54.49, 79.62, 79.94, 80.25, 114.55, 155.15, 155.96, 156.12.

1-(2-(9-Anthrylmethylamino)ethyl)-1,4,7-tris(*tert***-butyloxycarbonyl)-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane (9).** A mixture of **8** (4.6 g, 9.0 mmol), Raney nickel (Aldrich (Raney 2800 nickel), 50% slurry in water), and 1 M NaOH (12 mL, 12 mmol) in EtOH (100 mL) was stirred under H_2 (20 atm) at room temperature for 5 days. After Raney nickel was filtered off with Celite (no. 545), the filtrate was evaporated. The remaining residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexane/AcOEt and then MeOH) and then passed through aluminum oxide 90 (Merck, active basic (activity I), 70-230 mesh) to obtain 1-(2*-*aminoethyl)-4,7,10-tris(*tert*butylcarbonyl)-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane^{18b,c} as a colorless amorphous solid (3.1 g, 67% yield). $R_f = 0.2$ (MeOH). IR (IR card): 2974, 2931, 2810, 1687, 1462, 1414, 1365, 1250, 1169, 1153, 976, 773 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): $\delta = 1.45$ (s, 9H; C(CH₃)₃), 1.47 (s, 18H; C(CH₃)₃), 2.55-2.88 (m, 4H; CH₂ of cyclen), 2.59 (t, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2H; CH₂ of side chain), 2.83 (t, $J =$ 7.0 Hz, 2H; CH₂ of side chain), 3.18-3.68 (m, 12H; CH₂ of cyclen).

¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 28.53, 28.69, 38.27, 48.10, 50.02, 54.67, 55.69, 56.70, 79.39, 155.44, 155.78, 156.22.

9-Chloromethylanthracene (920 mg, 4.1 mmol) was added to a mixture of 2-aminoethyl-tris(*tert*-butyloxycarbonyl)-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane (2.1 g, 4.1 mmol) and Na_2CO_3 (860 mg, 8.1 mmol) in CH₃CN (150 mL) at 40 $^{\circ}$ C, and the whole was stirred for 12 h. Insoluble materials were filtered off, and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The remaining residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent hexanes/ AcOEt) to yield **9** as a pale yellow amorphous solid (1.7 g, 59%). $R_f = 0.2$ (hexanes/AcOEt, 1:5). IR (IR card): 2973, 2929, 2815, 1687, 1459, 1413, 1365, 1317, 1247, 1153, 1029, 975, 885, 860, 773, 755, 732 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): $\delta = 1.43$ $(S, 9H; (CH_3)_3)$, 1.47 $(S, 18H; (CH_3)_3)$, 2.64-2.75 (m, 8H; CH₂), 3.01 (t, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 2H; CH₂), 3.15-3.52 (m, 12H; CH₂), 4.75 (s, 2H; NC*H*₂), 7.48 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 8.3 Hz, 2H; Ar*H*(3',6')), 7.54 (ddd, $J = 8.7, 7.9, 1.2$ Hz, 2H; Ar $H(2',7')$), 8.01 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 2H; Ar*H* (4',5')), 8.33 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2H; Ar*H*(1',8')), 8.40 (s, 1H, Ar*H*(10[']) (for assignment, see Scheme 3). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 28.51, 28.72, 45.89, 46.07, 46.53, 47.71, 48.07, 49.96 53.84, 54.74, 56.07, 79.23, 79.48, 124.08, 124.97, 126.16, 127.30, 129.22, 130.29, 131.45, 131.57.

1-(2-(9-Anthrylmethylamino)ethyl)-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane Tetrahydrochloride Salt (5'**4HCl**'**2H**2**O)**. To a solution of **9** (1.6 g, 2.3 mmol) in EtOH (15 mL) was added 6 M aqueous HCl at room temperature. After being stirred for 5 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude powder was recrystallized from EtOH/H2O to afford **⁵**'4HCl' $2H_2O$ as a pale yellow powder (923 mg, 68%). $R_f = 0.33$ (MeOH/ 10% aqueous NaCl, 1:1). Mp: >²⁵⁰ °C. IR (KBr): 3409, 2958, 2769, 2439,2372, 1619, 1448, 1286, 1159, 1072, 960, 894, 846, 786, 736 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, D₂O, TSP): $\delta = 2.84 - 3.04$ (m, 12H; C*H*2), 3.08-3.23 (m, 8H; C*H*2), 3.48 (t-like, 2H; C*H*2- NHCH₂Ar), 5.36 (s, 2H; NCH₂Ar), 7.64 (dd, *J* = 7.7, 8.5 Hz, 2H; Ar*H* (3'6')), 7.76 (dd, $J = 7.7$, 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar*H* (2'7')), 8.21 (d, *J* $= 8.5$ Hz, 2H; Ar $H(4'5')$), 8.33 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2H; Ar $H(1'8')$), 8.76 (s, 1H; Ar $H(10')$). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, D₂O): $\delta = 44.43$, 44.90, 46.36, 47.44, 50.23, 50.67, 123.46, 125.56, 128.63, 130.89, 132.54, 133.35, 133.68, 133.89. Anal. Calcd for C₂₅H₄₃N₅O₂Cl₄: C, 51.11; H, 7.38; N, 11.92. Found: C, 50.78; H, 7.13; N, 11.68.

Potentiometric pH Titrations. The preparation of the test solutions and the calibration method of the electrode system (potentiometric automatic titrator AT-400 and auto piston buret APB-410 (Kyoto Electronics Manufacturing Co. Ltd.) with an Orion Research Ross combination pH electrode 8102BN) have been described previously.3,18,19 All the test solutions (50 mL) were kept under an argon (>99.999% purity) atmosphere. The potentiometric pH titrations were carried out with $I = 0.10$ (NaNO₃) at 25.0 \pm 0.1 °C using 0.1 M NaOH as a base, and at least two independent titrations were performed. Deprotonation constants and intrinsic complexation constants defined in the text were determined by means of the program BEST.²⁰ All the σ fit values defined in the program are smaller than 0.1. The K_{W} ($=$ a_{H} + a_{OH}), K'_{W} ($=$ $[H^+][OH^-]$)
and f_{eff} values used at 25 °C are $10^{-14.00}$, $10^{-13.79}$, and 0.825. The and $f_{\text{H}+}$ values used at 25 °C are 10^{-14.00}, 10^{-13.79}, and 0.825. The corresponding mixed constants K_2 (=[HO⁻-bound species] $a_{\text{H}}^{+}/$ [H₂O-bound species]) are derived using [H⁺] = a_H +/ f_H +. The species distribution values (%) against pH (= $-\log$ [H⁺] + 0.084) were obtained using the program SPE.20

UV Spectrophotometric Titrations and Fluorescence Titrations. UV spectra and fluorescence emission spectra were recorded

⁽¹⁹⁾ Kimura, E.; Aoki, S.; Koike, T.; Shiro, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119,* ³⁰⁶⁸-3076.

⁽²⁰⁾ Martell, A. E.; Motekaitis, R. J. *Determination and Use of Stability Constants*, 2nd ed.; VCH: New York, 1992.

on a Hitachi U-3500 spectrophotometer and a Hitachi F-4500 fluorescence spectrophotometer, respectively, at 25.0 ± 0.1 °C. For fluorescence titrations, a sample solution in a 10 mm quartz cuvette was excited at the isosbestic points determined by UV titrations. The obtained data of the fluorescence titrations (increases or decreases in fluorescence emission intensity at a given wavelength) were analyzed for apparent complexation constants K_{app} using the program Bind Works (Calorimetry Sciences Corp.). Quantum yields were determined by comparison of the integrated corrected emission spectrum of standard quinine, which was excited at 366 nm in 0.10 M H_2SO_4 (the quantum yield (Φ) is 0.55). Zinc(II) supplement capsules and tablets were purchased from FANCL Co. Ltd. (Japan) and Orihiro Co. Ltd. (Takasaki, Japan), and their sample solutions in water were prepared by dissolving zinc(II) powder contained in a capsule or a tablet in aqueous solutions.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis of 2-(9-Anthrylmethylamino)ethylcyclen $(L^3, 5)$. The new ligand 5 was synthesized as summarized in Scheme 4. **7**¹⁹ was allowed to react with bromoacetonitrile to obtain **8**. A nitrile group of **8** was reduced with H_2 in the presence of Raney nickel,^{18b,c} and the resulting amino compound was treated with 9-chloromethylanthracene to obtain 9. Deprotection of 9 with aqueous HCl yielded L³ as a 4HCl salt.

Protonation Constants of 1 and 5. The protonation constants K_{ai} of 5 were determined by potentiometric pH titration of 1 mM L^3 -5HCl (prepared from 1 mM L^3 -4HCl·
2H₂O + 1 equiv of HCl) against 0.1 M NaOH with $I = 0.1$ $2H₂O + 1$ equiv of HCl) against 0.1 M NaOH with $I = 0.1$ (NaNO₃) at 25 °C (Figure 1). The titration data were analyzed for the acid-base equilibrium in eq 1, where a_{H^+} is the

Figure 1. pH titration curves of 1 mM $L^3 \cdot 5H^+$ (a), 1 mM $L^3 \cdot 5H^+ + 1$ mM Zn²⁺ (b), and 1 mM L³·5H⁺ + 1 mM Cd²⁺ (c) at 25 °C with $I = 0.1$ (NaNO₃), where $eq(OH^-)$ is the number of equivalents of base added.

Table 1. Protonation Constants *K*^a*ⁱ* and Complexation Constants of Cyclen, 9-Anthrylmethyl-Pendant Cyclen 1 (L¹), Dansylamide-Pendant Cyclen **3** (L2), and 2-(9-Anthrylmethylamino)ethyl-Pendant Cyclen **5** (L³) at 25 °C with $I = 0.10$ (NaNO₃)^{*a*}

a For the definition of K_{ai} , $K_s(ML)$, and $K_{app}(ML)$, see the text. *b* From ref 21 at 25 °C with $I = 0.1$ (NaNO₃). ^c From ref 3a at 25 °C with $I = 0.1$ (NaNO3). *^d* Apparent complexation constants at pH 7.4 calculated from the potentiometric pH titration results. *^e* The deprotonation constant p*K*^a for $ZnL^1(H_2O)$ (2a) \Rightarrow $ZnL^1(HO^-)$ (2b) + H⁺ ($K_a = [2b]a_H/[2a]$) was 7.77 ± 0.03 at 25 °C with *I* = 0.1 (NaNO₃) (see Scheme 1).

Scheme 5

activity of H⁺. The five protonation constants K_{ai} ($i = 1-5$) were calculated by using the program BEST.²⁰

$$
H_{i-1}L^3 + H^+ \rightleftharpoons H_iL^3 (K_{ai} = [H_iL^3]/[H_{i-1}L^3]a_{H^+}) (i = 1-5)
$$
\n(1)

Table 1 summarizes the log K_{ai} values for L^3 in comparison with those for L^1 and L^2 . The four protonation constants log K_{a1} , log K_{a2} , log K_{a4} , and log K_{a5} for the cyclen nitrogens (Scheme 5) were nearly the same as the corresponding ones for the unsubstituted cyclen having log K_{ai} values of 11.0, 9.9, <2, and <2.²¹ The remaining log K_{a3} value of 7.15 \pm 0.02 for $L³$ was assigned to the protonation of the amine in

^{(21) (}a) Shionoya, M.; Ikeda, T.; Kimura, E.; Shiro, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **¹⁹⁹⁴**, *116,* ³⁸⁴⁸-3859. (b) Koike, T.; Kajitani, S.; Nakamura, I.; Kimura, E.; Shiro, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **¹⁹⁹⁵**, *¹¹⁷*, 1210-1219.

Figure 2. pH-dependent chemical shifts of ArC*H*2N in the 1H NMR titration of 2.0 mM L^3 in D₂O at 35 °C.

Figure 3. (a) UV spectra of L^3 (50 μ M) in aqueous solution at pH 4.0 (10 mM acetate), 7.4 (10 mM HEPES), and 10.9 (10 mM CAPS) with $I =$ 0.1 (NaNO₃) at 25 °C. (b) Fluorescence emissions spectra of L³ (5 μ M) at 25 °C with $I = 0.1$ (NaNO₃) (excitation at 368 nm) at pH 4.0 (1 mM acetate), 7.4 (1 mM HEPES), and 10.9 (1 mM CAPS) with $I = 0.1$ (NaNO₃) at 25 °C. (c) pH-dependent change of emission intensities of 5 μ M L¹ (open circle) and $5 \mu M L^3$ (closed circle) at 25 °C with $I = 0.1$ (NaNO₃). *I*₀ is the emission intensity of L^3 at pH 7.4 for Figure 3b and 3c.

the pendant, in collaboration with the results of ¹H NMR and fluorescence spectral titrations of L^3 .

¹H NMR, UV Absorption, and Fluorescence Spectro**photometric pH Titration of L**³ **.** To confirm the log *K*a3 value of 7.15 for the protonation of the pendant amine, the pH-dependent chemical shift of the anthrylmethylene protons of L^3 (2 mM) was studied in D₂O at 35 °C. The ArC*H*₂N singlet shifted from $\delta = 5.36$ to $\delta = 4.79$ as pD increased from 4.0 to 10.0, giving a sigmoidal curve as shown in Figure 2, from which the log K_{a3} value for L³ of 7.0 \pm 0.3 was obtained.

While the UV absorption spectra of L^3 (50 μ M) changed little in the pH range $4.0-10.9$ (Figure 3a), the fluorescence emission spectra significantly changed (Figure 3b). In acidic pH 4-5, where the pendant amine (log $K_a = 7.15$) is protonated, L^3 had a large fluorescence intensity ($\Phi = 0.22$). As pH was raised, the pendant amine became less protonated and as a result the emission intensity decreased (Figure 3c). From this sigmoidal curve, the log K_a value of 7.2 \pm 0.2

was calculated. The log K_a values for L^3 obtained by ¹H NMR (7.0 \pm 0.3) and fluorescence titrations (7.2 \pm 0.2) agreed with the log K_{a3} value of 7.15 determined by the potentiometric pH titrations. Likewise, for L^1 the log K_a value of 8.5 \pm 0.2 (Figure 3c) agreed with 8.46 (Table 1) determined potentiometrically for the cyclen N bound to the anthrylmethyl side arm. PET from the anthrylmethylamine at pH 7.4 thus significantly occurred to L^3 , but less so to $L^{1,22}$ The weaker emission of L^{3} than L^{1} at neutral pH suggested that L^3 might be more responsive fluorometrically to $\mathbb{Z}n^{2+}$ complexation than L^1 .

Study of Zinc(II) Complexation Properties of L¹ **and L**³ **by Potentiometric pH Titrations.** The complexations of zinc(II) with L^1 and L^3 were determined by potentiometric pH titration of 1 mM L¹·4HCl and L³·5HCl (from L³·4HCl
+ 1 equiv of HCl) in the presence of an equippelar amount + 1 equiv of HCl) in the presence of an equimolar amount of $ZnSO_4$ at 25 °C with $I = 0.10$ (NaNO₃) (Figure 1b for $L^3 \cdot 5H^+ + Zn^{2+}$). The 1:1 complexation constants $K_s(ZnL)$
and the apparent 1:1 complexation constants $K_s(ZnL)$ at and the apparent 1:1 complexation constants $K_{\text{app}}(ZnL)$ at pH 7.4, defined by eqs $2-4$, were calculated and are summarized in Table 1. Evidently, $ZnL³$ is much more stable than $ZnL¹$ due to the additional coordination of the amine in the pendant.

$$
Zn^{2+} + L \rightleftharpoons ZnL \qquad K_s(Zn) = [ZnL]/[Zn^{2+}][L] (M^{-1})
$$
\n(2)

$$
K_{\rm app}(\text{ZnL}) = [\text{ZnL}]/[\text{Zn}^{2+}]_{\rm free}[\text{L}]_{\rm free} (\text{M}^{-1}) \text{ (at a given pH)}
$$
\n(3)

$$
[L]_{\text{free}} = \sum [H_n L]_{\text{free}} \ (n = 0 - 4 \text{ for } L^1, n = 0 - 5 \text{ for } L^3) \tag{4}
$$

The pH-dependent speciation diagram (Figure 4a) for a mixture of 5 μ M L³ and 5 μ M Zn²⁺ (the same concentrations for the fluorescence experiments) at 25 °C with $I = 0.1$ (NaNO3) indicated nearly quantitative (>98%) complexation of L^3 with Zn^{2+} over pH 6.²³ Under the same conditions, L^1 (91%) was found to complex with Zn^{2+} (in the forms of 64% $ZnL¹(OH₂)$ (2a) and 27% $ZnL¹(OH⁻)$ (2b)) at pH 7.4 (Figure 4b).²⁴ A similar Cd²⁺ complexation constant K_s (CdL) was determined (Figure 1c and Table 1).

For comparison, a speciation diagram for a mixture of 5 μ M L² and 5 μ M ZnSO₄ is shown in Figure 4c.³ The quantitative (>99%) formation of **⁴** is evident at pH 7.4. However, compared with L^3 , the complexation of L^2 with Zn^{2+} required more difficult deprotonation of the pendant sulfonamide (log $K_a = 10.8$; see Table 1) for its apical coordination. Accordingly, L^2 works as a zinc(II) sequestering agent at higher pH than L^3 . The K_d value at pH 7.4, however, is 2.5 times smaller for ZnL^2 ($K_d = 10^{-11.1}$ M)

⁽²²⁾ We presume that the lower log K_{a3} value (7.15) of L^3 may be due to a destabilization of the H3L form (Scheme 5) by the repulsive interaction between the protonated side chain and the diprotonated cyclen ring.

⁽²³⁾ The FAB (fast atom bombardment) mass spectrum (positive) of ZnL3 in aqueous solution (pH 8.0 ± 0.1) at m/z 468, 470, 472, and so on fits the theoretical distribution spectrum for $C_{25}H_{34}N_5Zn$ ((ZnL³-H)⁺) (see the Supporting Information).

⁽²⁴⁾ The deprotonation constant p K_a for ZnL¹(H₂O) (2a) \rightleftharpoons ZnL¹(HO⁻) $(2b) + H^+$ ($K_a = [2b]a_H/[2a]$) was determined to be 7.77 \pm 0.03 by potentiometric pH titration at 25 °C with $I = 0.1$ (NaNO₃).

Figure 4. Speciation diagrams for $5 \mu M L^3 + 5 \mu M Zn^{2+}$ (a), $5 \mu M L^1 + 5 \mu M Zn^{2+}$ (b) and $5 \mu M L^2 + 5 \mu M Zn^{1}$ (c) as a function of pH at 25 $+ 5 \mu M Zn^{2+}$ (b), and $5 \mu M L^2 + 5 \mu M Zn^{II}$ (c) as a function of pH at 25
^oC with $I = 0.1$ (NaNO₂). For the structures of 2a and 2h in Figure 4a, see $^{\circ}$ C with $I = 0.1$ (NaNO₃). For the structures of **2a** and **2b** in Figure 4a, see Scheme 1. In Figure 4c, species of less than 10% relative concentration were omitted for clarity.

Figure 5. Spectral change in the fluorescence emission of $5 \mu M L^3$ upon addition of Zn^{2+} (0-2 equiv) at pH 7.4 (1 mM HEPES with $I = 0.1$ (NaNO₃)) and 25 °C (excitation at 368 nm).

than that for ZnL^3 ($K_d = 10^{-10.7}$ M), since the deprotonated dansylamide anion apically binds to Zn^{2+} more strongly in **4** than the neutral amine in **6**.

Fluorometric Titrations of L³ **, L**¹ **, and L**² **with Zn**²+**.** The interaction of L³ (5 μ M) with Zn²⁺ (0-2 equiv) was examined by fluorometric signaling at pH 7.4 (1 mM HEPES with $I = 0.1$ (NaNO₃)) and 25 °C to see how the Zn²⁺ coordination would affect the PET from the anthylmethylamine. From the potentiometric titration results for L^3 , we knew that almost 50% protonated amine pendant at pH 7.4 would become almost 100% Zn^{2+} -bound. As anticipated, Figure 5 displays the increasing emission of L^3 until an equivalent $[Zn^{2+}]$. The fluorescence titration curves of L^3 , $L¹$, and $L²$ with ZnSO₄ at common pH 7.4 are compared in Figure 6. The emission of L^3 increased about 3.1 times with an increasing $[Zn^{2+}]$ ($\Phi = 0.14 \rightarrow 0.44$). The emission

Figure 6. Fluorescence titration curves of L^3 (a), L^1 (b), and L^2 (c) at pH 7.4 (1 mM HEPES with $I = 0.1$ (NaNO₃)) and 25 °C with Zn^{2+} (closed squares) Cd^{2+} (open squares) and Cu^{2+} (open circles) ($II = 5 \mu M$) squares), Cd^{2+} (open squares), and Cu^{2+} (open circles) ([L] = 5 μ M)
(excitation at 368 nm and emission at 416 nm for I^{\perp} and I^{\perp} and excitation (excitation at 368 nm and emission at 416 nm for $L¹$ and $L³$ and excitation at 330 nm and emission at 528 nm for L^2). $eq(M^{2+})$ is the number of equivalents of metal added against the ligands. *I*⁰ values are the emission intensities of each ligand (5 μ M) at 416 nm (for L³ and L¹) or 528 nm (for L2) in the absence of metal ions at pH 7.4.

increase in the case of L^3 is accounted for by the Zn^{2+} coordination of the anthrylmethylamine, whereby its PET is retarded. On the contrary, the emission of $L¹$ did not increase, but rather decreased a little ($\Phi = 0.27 \rightarrow 0.19$). In this case, the retardation of PET from the anthrylmethylamine would not change much by protonation or by Zn^{2+} coordination. A significant improvement of the $\mathbb{Z}n^{2+}$ signaling thus has been achieved by the insertion of an aminoethyl adjacent to the anthrylmethyl pendant.25

The fluorescent responses of L^2 to Zn^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , and Cu^{2+} under the same conditions are compared in Figure 6c (excitation at 330 nm and emission at 528 nm).3 The detection limit of L³ (5 μ M) at pH 7.4 is [Zn²⁺] = 0.1 μ M,²⁶ which is almost the same as the reported limit for L^2 at the same pH. Despite the similar $K_d(ZnL)$ and $K_d(CdL)$ values, L^3 signaled Zn^{2+} more strongly than Cd^{2+} (Figure 6a,b), whereas L^2 unselectively responded to Zn^{2+} and Cd^{2+} (Figure

⁽²⁵⁾ From the fluorometric titration curves in Figure 6a,b, both apparent complexation constants log $K_{\text{app}}(ZnL)$ for L^3 and L^1 in pH 7.4 buffer were estimated to be >7 .

⁽²⁶⁾ To demonstrate a practical application of $L³$, we analyzed the content of Zn^{2+} in capsules and tablets of commercially available zinc(II) supplements (purchased from FANCL Co. Ltd. and Orihiro Co. Ltd.) that contain various kinds of potentially disturbing ingredients. From
an increase of emission of $L³$ (5 μ M) after addition of an aliquot of an aqueous solution of Zn^{2+} supplements, the Zn^{2+} contents were determined to be 7.5 \pm 0.2 mg/capsule and 2.0 \pm 0.3 mg/tablet, values agreeing with the indicated values (7.5 mg/capsule and 2 mg/tablet, respectively).

Figure 7. pH-dependent change of the fluorescence emission of L³ (closed circles) and $ZnL³$ (closed squares) (a) and $L¹$ (open circles) and $ZnL¹$ (open squares) (b) at 7.4 (1 mM HEPES with $I = 0.1$ (NaNO₃)) and 25 °C ([L] $=[ZnL$ (prepared in situ)] = 5 μ M). *I*₀ is the emission intensity at 416 nm of L^3 (5 μ M) in the absence of Zn^{2+} at pH 7.4.

6c). Addition of Cu^{2+} caused the fluorescent quenching for all L^1-L^3 .
Figure 7

Figure 7 compares the pH-dependent fluorescent changes of the free ligands L^3 and L^1 and the complexes ZnL^3 and $ZnL¹$ (5 μ M, prepared in situ). The emission of $ZnL³$ increased as pH was raised from pH 4 and reached a maximum plateau at pH $7-12$, while L³ emission reached a minimum plateau at $pH 9-12$. Accordingly, the maximum Zn^{2+} sensitivity (20 nM) with L³ was obtained at pH > 9. By comparison, the maximum Zn^{2+} selectivity of L^1 was found at $pH \geq 11$.

Selective Metal Signaling of L^1-L^3 **. The fluorescence
sponses of** L^1 **and** L^3 **(5** μ **M) to** Zn^{2+} **,** Cd^{2+} **,** Cu^{2+} **, and** responses of L^1 and L^3 (5 μ M) to Zn^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , and other metal ions (5 μ M) at pH 7.4 (1 mM HEPES with $I =$ 0.10 (NaNO₃)) and 25 °C are summarized in Figure 8. The Zn^{2+} selectivity is evident with L³ at pH 7.4, while the Zn^{2+} selectivity with L^1 occurred only at higher pH. The dansylamide-pendant cyclen L^2 signaled Zn^{2+} and Cd^{2+} almost equally at pH 7.4.3 The presence of an excess amount (up to $10-100$ mM) of Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, and K⁺ had a negligible effect on the emission of $5 \mu M ZnL^3$ or CdL³. Counterions such as NO_3^- , ClO_4^- , SO_4^{2-} , F^- , Cl^- , Br^- , I^- , and HPO_4^{2-} , 2^7 thymidyl anion,^{21a,28} and barbiturate anion²⁷ (up to 5 mM) did not affect the emission intensity of $ZnL³$, implying that these anionic ligands did not displace the Zn^{2+} -apical amine coordination. On the other hand, the 4-coordinate Zn^{2+} cyclen complexes interact with these anions, especially barbiturate²⁷ and thymidyl anions²⁸ with a log K_{app} of 3-4.2

Figure 8. Relative fluorescence intensity at 416 nm of L^1 (a) and L^3 (b) responding to 1 equiv of metal ions at various pH values with $I = 0.1$ (NaNO₃) at 25 °C (excitation at 368 nm). I_0 is the emission intensity at 416 nm of L^1 and L^3 (5 μ M) in the absence of metal ions at pH 7.4.

at pH 8 in aqueous solution.²⁹ L³ is interesting in comparison with a recently reported structurally similar anion sensor, **10**, in which the pendant arylamine bound to Cd^{2+} ion was displaced by inorganic anions at pH 7.4.30

Kinetics of Zn²+ **Complexation of L**² **and L**³ **.** The kinetics of Zn^{2+} complexation of L^3 were compared those of L^2 in pH 7.4 aqueous solution with $I = 0.1$ (NaNO₃) at 25 °C. The initial reaction rates were followed by measuring

^{(27) (}a) Koike, T.; Takamura, M.; Kimura, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **1994**, *116*, 8443–8449. (b) Fujioka, H.; Koike, T.; Yamada, N.; Kimura, E.
Heterocycles 1996, 42, 775–787. (c) Kimura, E.: Koike, T. *J. Chem Heterocycles* **¹⁹⁹⁶**, *42,* ⁷⁷⁵-787. (c) Kimura, E.; Koike, T. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun*. **¹⁹⁹⁸**, 1495-1500. (d) Kimura, E. *Acc. Chem. Res*. **²⁰⁰¹**, *³⁴*, 171-179.

the increase in the emission of reacting solutions of L^2 and L³ (2 or 5 μ M) immediately after addition of Zn^{2+} (5, 20, and 100 μ M). The second-order rate constant k_2 for the 1:1 $\text{Zn}^{2+}-\text{L}^3$ complexation was determined to be $4.6 \times 10^2 \text{ M}^{-1}$ s^{-1} , while k_2 values for ZnL¹ and ZnL² were 5.6 \times 10 and 1.4×10^2 M⁻¹ s⁻¹, respectively; i.e., the ZnL³ formation was 8 and 3.3 times faster than $ZnL¹$ and $ZnL²$ formation (see the Supporting Information). Thus, the insertion of an ethylamine pendant L^3 not only thermodynamically but also kinetically improved the Zn^{2+} complexation.

Conclusion. We have designed a new ligand, L^3 , for an effective zinc(II) fluorophore and the equilibria and kinetics of Zn^{2+} complexation. The Zn^{2+} -responsive fluorescent emissions disturbed by protonation were studied in comparison with those of homologous $L¹$ and our previous zinc(II) fluorophore L^2 . Several advantages of L^3 over L^1 or L^2 as a zinc(II) fluorophore were found: (1) The stable 1:1 complex of L^3 with Zn^{2+} was formed at lower pH (>6) than $ZnL¹$ and $ZnL²$, allowing wider pH applications of $L³$. (2) Upon complexation with Zn^{2+} , the fluorescent emission of L^3 linearly increased like that of L^2 , while the emission of $L¹$ decreased at physiological pH. The detection limit of $L³$ $(5 \mu M)$ is $[Zn^{2+}] = 0.1 \mu M$ at pH 7.4, which is almost equal to the sensitivity of L^2 , and $[Zn^{2+}] = 20$ nM at pH 9.0. (3)
 Zn^{2+} ion was better sensed by L^3 than by L^1 at neutral pH Zn^{2+} ion was better sensed by L^3 than by L^1 at neutral pH. (4) L² responded to Zn^{2+} and Cd^{2+} undiscriminately at neutral pH, while L^3 was more selective to Zn^{2+} over Cd^{2+} . (5) The Zn^{2+} uptake emission response by L^3 was 8 and 3.3 times faster than those by by L^1 and L^2 , respectively, in pH 7.4 aqueous solution. Thus, L^3 is thermodynamically, fluorometrically, and kinetically promising as a new zinc(II) fluorophore. Moreover, the present knowledge will be helpful in designing more useful macrocyclic zinc(II) fluorophores.

Acknowledgment. E.K. and S.A. are thankful for Grantsin-Aid from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture in Japan (Nos. 12470479, 12033237, 12771355, and 13557195). S.A. thanks the Research Foundation for Pharmaceutical Sciences, Japan, the Asahi Glass Foundation, Japan, and Takeda Science Foundation, Japan, for grants. We thank the Research Center for Molecular Medicine (RCMM) at Hiroshima University for use of an NMR instrument (a JEOL Alpha (400 MHz)).

Supporting Information Available: Figures S1 and S2 showing an FAB mass spectrum of ZnL^3 , a theoretical distribution for ZnL^3 $H^+(C_2, H_{34}N_5Zn)^+$, and the initial increase of fluorescence emission of L^2 and L^3 after addition of Zn^{2+} (PDF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

IC020545P

^{(28) (}a) Shionoya, M.; Kimura, E.; Shiro, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *¹¹⁵*, 6730-6737. (b) Kimura, E.; Ikeda, T.; Aoki, S.; Shionoya, M. *J. Biol. Inorg. Chem.* **¹⁹⁹⁸**. *³*, 259-267. (c) Aoki, S.; Honda, Y.; Kimura, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **¹⁹⁹⁸**, *¹²⁰*, 10018-10026. (d) Aoki, S.; Sugimura, C.; Kimura, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **¹⁹⁹⁸**, *¹²⁰*, 10094- 10102. (e) Koike, T.; Gotoh, T.; Aoki, S.; Kimura, E.; Shiro, M. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **¹⁹⁹⁸**, *²⁷⁰*, 424-432. (f) Kimura, E.; Kikuchi, M.; Kitamura, H.; Koike, T. *Chem.*-*Eur. J.* **1999**, 5, 3113-123. (g) Kikuta, E.; Murata, M.; Katsube, N.; Koike, T.; Kimura, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **¹⁹⁹⁹**, *¹²¹*, 5426-5436. (h) Aoki, S.; Kimura, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **²⁰⁰⁰**, *122,* ⁴⁵⁴²-4548. (i) Kimura, E.; Kitamura, H.; Ohtani, K.; Koike, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **²⁰⁰⁰**, *¹²²*, 4668-4677. (j) Kimura, E.; Kikuta, E. *J. Biol. Inorg. Chem.* **²⁰⁰⁰**, *⁵*, 139-155. (k) Kikuta, E.; Matsubara, R.; Katsube, N.; Koike, T.; Kimura, E. *J. Inorg. Biochem*. **²⁰⁰⁰**, *⁸²*, 239-249. (l) Kikuta, E.; Aoki, S.; Kimura, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **²⁰⁰¹**, *¹²³*, 7911-7912. (m) Kikuta, E.; Aoki, S.; Kimura, E. *J. Biol. Inorg. Chem*. **²⁰⁰²**, *⁷*, 473-482. (n) Kimura, E.; Katsube, N.; Koike, T.; Shiro, M.; Aoki, S. *Supramol. Chem*. **2002**,

¹⁴, 95-102.
(29) By addition of thymidine (dT) to 20 μ M ZnL¹ at pH 7.4 (10 mM HEPES with $I = 0.1$ (NaNO₃)) and 25 °C, emission of ZnL¹ increased and its titration curve gave a 1:1 complexation constant log $K_{app}(ZnL^{1-d}T^{-})$ ($K_{app}(ZnL^{1-d}T^{-}) = [ZnL^{1-d}T^{-}]/[ZnL^{1}]_{free}[dT]_{free}$
(M⁻¹)) of 4.4 + 0.2. Addition of HPO.³⁻ to 20 μ M L¹ caused colorless $(M¹)$ of 4.4 \pm 0.2. Addition of HPO₄³⁻ to 20 μ M L¹ caused colorless precipitations. precipitations.

⁽³⁰⁾ Mizukami, S.; Nagano, T.; Urano, Y.; Odani, A.; Kikuchi, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **²⁰⁰²**, *¹²⁴*, 3920-3925.