

Neutral and Ionic Aluminum, Gallium, and Indium Compounds Carrying Two or Three Terminal Ethynyl Groups[†]

Marcus Schiefer, N. Dastagiri Reddy, Hans-JUrgen Ahn, Andreas Stasch, Herbert W. Roesky,* A. Christine Schlicker, Hans-Georg Schmidt, Mathias Noltemeyer, and Denis Vidovic

Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Universität Göttingen, Tammannstrasse 4, D-37077 Göttingen, Germany

Received March 14, 2003

The syntheses of the ionic compounds $[Li^+2 \text{ dioxane } (2,6-iPr_2C_6H_3N(SiMe_3)A](C \equiv CSiMe_3)_3)^-] \cdot 0.75 \text{ dioxane } (1),$ [(Li⁺)₂•(dioxane)₇]_{0.5} [2,6-Pr₂C₆H₃N(SiMe₃)Ga(C≡CSiMe₃)₃⁻]•1.5 dioxane (2), and [(Li⁺)₂•(dioxane)₇]_{0.5} [2,6-iPr₂C₆H₃N(SiMe₃)Ga(C≡CSiMe₃)₃⁻]•1.5 dioxane (2), and [(Li⁺)₂•(dioxane)₇]_{0.5} [2,6-iPr₂C₆H₃N(SiMe₃)₃]·[(Li⁺)₂•(dioxane)₇]_{0.5} [2,6-iPr₂C₆H₃N(SiMe₃)Ga(C≡CSiMe₃)₃-]•1.5 dioxane (2), and [(Li⁺)₂•(dioxane)₇]_{0.5} [2,6-iPr₂C₆H₃N(SiMe₃)₃-]•1.5 dioxane (2), and [(Li⁺)₂•(dioxane)₇]_{1.5 dioxane (2), and [(Li⁺)₂+1.5 dioxane (2), and [(Li⁺)₂•(dioxane)₇]_{1.5 dioxane (2), and [(Li⁺)₂+1.5 dioxane (2), and [(Li⁺)₂+1.5 dioxane (2), and [(Li⁺)₂+1.5 dioxane (2), and [(Li⁺)₂+1.5 dioxane (}} $(SiMe_3)In(C \equiv CSiMe_3)_3^{-1} \cdot 1.5$ dioxane (3) by the reaction of the corresponding organo metal chloride with LiC = CSiMe₃ are reported. The neutral ethynyl compounds $Br-Al(C \equiv C tBu)_2 \cdot 2 THF$ (4), $Cl-Ga(C \equiv C tBu)_2 \cdot THF$ (5), Cl- $\ln(C \equiv C Bu)_2 \cdot 2$ THF (6), $Al(C \equiv C Bu)_3 \cdot C \{N(Me)CMe\}_2$ (7), $Ga(C \equiv C Bu)_3 \cdot dioxane$ (8), and $\ln(C \equiv C Bu)_3 \cdot NEt_3$ (9) have been obtained in good yields from the reaction of AlBr₃, GaCl₃, and InCl₃ with LiC≡CtBu in the presence of a Lewis base. Compound 7 is the first heterocyclic carbene substituted ethynyl derivative. Aluminum and gallium compounds with three terminal ethypyl groups $AI(C \equiv CPh)_3 \cdot NMe_3$ (10) and $Ga(C \equiv CPh)_3 \cdot NMe_3$ (11) have been prepared by the reaction of AlH₃·NMe₃ or GaH₃·NMe₃ with three equivalents of phenylethyne. All the abovementioned compounds have been structurally studied. In compound 1 the lithium ion is coordinated to the three terminal ethynyl groups, whereas in compounds 2 and 3 the lithium is coordinated to the solvent (dioxane). Compound 8 crystallizes as a coordination polymer with dioxane molecules bridging the individual gallium units.

Introduction

Despite their synthetic use in organic chemistry^{1a-c,2} only a few alkynyl derivatives of group 13 metals are known in the literature (Al,^{1,3,4} Ga,^{1e,5} In^{2,5d,6}) and very few of them are structurally characterized (Al, ^{1c,d,3,4} Ga, ⁵ In^{5d,6}). Recently we have reported the first ionic aluminum compounds

- (1) (a) Fujiwara, J.; Sano, H.; Maruoka, K.; Yamamoto, H. Tetrahedron Lett. **1984**, 25, 2367–2370. (b) Reddy, G. B.; Hanamoto, T.; Hiyama, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, *32*, 521–524. (c) Zheng, W.; Mösch-Zanetti, N. C.; Roesky, H. W.; Hewitt, M.; Cimpoesu, F.; Schneider, T. R.; Stasch, A.; Prust, J. Angew. Chem. 2000, 112, 3229-3231; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3099-3101. (d) Erker, G.; Albrecht, M.; Krüger, C.; Werner, S. Organometallics 1991, 10, 3791-3793. (e) Demarne, H.; Cadiot, P. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1968, 1, 211-216. (f) Trost, B. M.; Martin, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 4263-4265. (g) Starowieyski, K. B.; Chwojnowski, A.; Kuśmierek, Z. J. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 192, 147-154.
- (2) Pérez, I.; Sestelo, J. P.; Sarandeses, L. A. Org. Lett. **1999**, *1*, 1267–1269. (b) Pérez, I.; Sestelo, J. P.; Maestro, M. A.; Mouriño, A.; Sarandeses, L. A. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 10074-10076
- (3) Stucky, G. D.; McPherson, A. M.; Rhine, W. E.; Eisch, J. J.; Considine, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 1941-1942.
- (4) (a) Schiefer, M.; Hatop, H.; Roesky, H. W.; Schmidt, H.-G.; Noltemeyer, M. Organometallics 2002, 21, 1300–1303. (b) Zheng, W.; Roesky, H. W. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2002, 2787-2796.

carrying three terminal ethynyl groups and demonstrated that this type of compounds can show interesting structural properties.⁴ This discovery has prompted us to explore the comparable compounds of Ga and In as well as their neutral analogues. Moreover, so far there is only one structural study on the analogous neutral trialkynyl derivatives of indium,^{6b} and none have been reported for aluminum and gallium. In our continuing research on this class of compounds we have synthesized the first neutral halogeno compounds of Al, Ga, and In with two ethynyl moieties connected to the metal. Herein, we report the syntheses and structural analyses of $[Li^+ 2 \text{ dioxane } (2,6-iPr_2C_6H_3N(SiMe_3)Al(C \equiv CSiMe_3)_3)^-]$ 0.75 dioxane (1), $[(Li^+)_2 \cdot (dioxane)_7]_{0.5}$ [2,6-*i*Pr₂C₆H₃N(Si- $Me_3)Ga(C \equiv CSiMe_3)_3^{-}] \cdot 1.5 \text{ dioxane } (2), [(Li^+)_2 \cdot (dioxane)_7]_{0.5}$

10.1021/ic0342806 CCC: \$25.00 © 2003 American Chemical Society

^{*} Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: hroesky@gwdg.de. Fax: (+49)551-393373.

Dedicated to Professor T. Nakajima in recognition of his outstanding contribution to fluorine chemistry.

^{(5) (}a) Balch, A. L.; Latos-Graźyński, L.; Noll, B. C.; Phillips, S. L. Inorg. Chem. 1993, 32, 1124-1129. (b) Lee, K. E.; Higa, K. T.; Nissan, R. A.; Butcher, R. J. Organometallics 1992, 11, 2816-2821. (c) Tecle, B.; Ilsley, W. H.; Oliver, J. P. Inorg. Chem. 1981, 20, 2335-2337. (d) Uhl, W.; Spies, T. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2000, 626, 1059–1064.
(6) (a) Edwards, A. J.; Fallaize, A.; Raithby, P. R.; Rennie, M.-A.; Steiner,

A.; Verhorevoort, K. L.; Wright, D. S. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1996, 133-137. (b) Schumann, H.; Seuss, T. D.; Just, O.; Weimann, R.; Hemling, H.; Görlitz, F. H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1994, 479, 171-186. (c) Prust, J. Dissertation, Universität Göttingen, Germany, 2001. (d) Fries, W.; Schwarz, W.; Hausen, H. D.; Weidlein, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, 159, 373-384.

Scheme 1



 $[2,6-iPr_2C_6H_3N(SiMe_3)In(C \equiv CSiMe_3)_3^-] \cdot 1.5$ dioxane (3), Br-Al(C \equiv CtBu)_2 \cdot 2 THF (4), Cl-Ga(C $\equiv CtBu)_2 \cdot$ THF (5), Cl-In(C $\equiv CtBu)_2 \cdot 2$ THF (6), Al(C $\equiv CtBu)_3 \cdot C\{N(Me)CMe\}_2$ (7), Ga(C $\equiv CtBu)_3 \cdot dioxane$ (8), In(C $\equiv CtBu)_3 \cdot NEt_3$ (9), Al(C $\equiv CPh)_3 \cdot NMe_3$ (10), and Ga(C $\equiv CPh)_3 \cdot NMe_3$ (11).

Results and Discussion

Synthesis. Compound **1** was obtained in good yield by treating six equiv of LiC=CSiMe₃ with $[2,6-iPr_2C_6H_3N-(SiMe_3)AlCl_2]_2$ in THF at 55 °C. Compound **1** is an air- and moisture-sensitive crystalline solid that decomposes above 300 °C without melting. Consequently we changed the central metal atom in **1** to gallium and indium which leads to the first examples of ionic compounds carrying three terminal ethynyl groups (**2** and **3**). The reaction between $[Li^+$ · Et₂O (2,6-*i*Pr₂C₆H₃N(SiMe₃)GaCl₃)⁻] (**12**), which was prepared from the reaction of 2,6-*i*Pr₂C₆H₃N(SiMe₃)Li and GaCl₃ in Et₂O, and three equiv of LiC=CSiMe₃ in THF leads to the formation of **2**, whereas **3** was synthesized by using $[Li^+ \cdot 3$ THF (2,6-*i*Pr₂C₆H₃N(SiMe₃)InCl₃)⁻] and LiC=CSiMe₃ (Scheme 1, eq 1).

Compounds 4, 5, and 6, which each contain a halogen atom and two $tBuC \equiv C-$ moieties bonded to the metal were prepared by the reaction of the corresponding metal trihalide (AlBr₃, GaCl₃, InCl₃) and two equivalents of LiC \equiv CtBu (Scheme 1, eq 2). In our unsuccessful attempts using LiC \equiv CPh instead of LiC \equiv CtBu formation of the expected products was not observed. Owing to the reactivity of M-X bonds (M = Al, Ga, In and X = Cl, Br) 4, 5, and 6 can be derivatized easily using monoanionic ligands.

In a facile reaction between MX₃ (M = Al, Ga or In, X = Br or Cl) and three equivalents of LiC=CtBu in the presence of a Lewis base, 7, 8, and 9 were formed (Scheme 1, eq 3). However, a different approach was followed to

synthesize compounds **10** and **11**. MH₃•NMe₃ (M = Al or Ga) gave **10** and **11** in good yield when it was treated with an excess of phenylethyne in refluxing hexane and toluene, respectively, at 55 °C. Increasing the reaction temperature above 60 °C in toluene resulted in polymeric products, whereas decreasing the temperature resulted in either low yields or no reaction (Scheme 1, eq 4). For example, no reaction took place when a mixture of AlH₃•NMe₃ and HC \equiv CPh in toluene was stirred overnight at room temperature, but a significant amount of polymeric material was observed when the mixture was refluxed in toluene even for 1 h.

Compounds 1–11 were characterized by IR, NMR, and elemental analysis, and the data were found to be in good agreement with their solid-state X-ray structures. Suitable mass spectra containing the molecular ions were not obtained for most of the compounds (1–11) because of their low vapor pressure^{5b} and low decomposition temperature. In the IR spectra of all compounds a sharp absorption band was observed around 2100 cm⁻¹ which can be attributed to the ν (C=C) stretching frequency.

Structural Studies. Crystallographic data for the structural analyses of compounds 1-11 are given in Table 1 and their molecular structures are shown in Figures 1-6. Tables 2 and 3 list important bond lengths and angles. Single-crystal X-ray analysis of 1 reveals that the lithium is coordinated to two ethynyl groups and two dioxane molecules. The three trimethylsilylethynyl groups and the 2,6-*i*Pr₂C₆H₃N(SiMe₃) ligand form a slightly distorted tetrahedron around the Al. The Al-C bond distances in 1 (1.947(4) - 1.979(4) Å) are comparable to those found in the previously reported structure of $[Li^+ \cdot dioxane (2,6-iPr_2C_6H_3N(SiMe_3)A](C \equiv$ CPh)₃)⁻]₂·2 dioxane (1.943(3)–1.998(3) Å). However, while the average Li– C_{α} bond lengths (2.338 Å) of the two compounds are comparable (2.318 Å), the average Li $-C_{\beta}$ bond distance (2.751 Å) of **1** is longer than that of the latter compound (2.529 Å). This result can be attributed to the steric hindrance caused by the bulkier SiMe₃ group compared to the phenyl group.

The single-crystal X-ray analyses of **2** and **3** show that in contrast to **1** the lithium ion does not interact with the ethynyl groups. In **2** and **3** two lithium ions are bridged by a dioxane molecule and additionally each lithium ion is exclusively coordinated by three dioxane molecules. The different coordination behaviors of **1**, **2**, and **3** might be due to stronger polarized Al–C bond that initiates a stronger polar C=C bond compared to those of the heavier congeners (Ga, In). The average Ga–C and In–C bond lengths are in the range of previously reported values.^{5,6} The Ga–C=C bond angles range from 169.9(6)° to 173.7(6)° and the In–C=C-bond angles range from 168.1(2)° to 170.9(2)°. A closer look at the M–C=C bond angle in **1**, **2**, and **3** discloses that the deviation of the bond angle from the ideal angle of 180° follows the order Al < Ga < In.

The solid-state molecular structures of **4** and **6**, which were determined by single-crystal X-ray analyses, reveal that they possess a trigonal bipyramidal structure with the aluminum in the center. The two $-C \equiv CtBu$ groups and the halogen atom form the horizontal plane while the two THF molecules

Table 1.	Crystallogra	phic Data for tl	e Structural	Analyses of	Compounds 1–11
----------	--------------	------------------	--------------	-------------	----------------

	1	2	3	4	5	6
formula	C41H75AlLiNO5.5Si4	C50H93GaLiNO10Si4	C50H93InLiNO10Si4	C ₂₀ H ₃₄ AlBrO ₂	C ₁₆ H ₂₆ ClGaO	C ₂₀ H ₃₄ ClInO ₂
fw	816.30	1057.27	1102.37	413.36	339.54	456.74
<i>T</i> , K	200(2)	200(2)	133(2)	203(2)	133(2) K	293(2)
cryst syst	triclinic	triclinic	triclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic
space group	$P\overline{1}$	$P\overline{1}$	$P\overline{1}$	$P2_{1}/c$	$P2_{1}/c$	$P2_{1}/c$
a, Å	12.024(4)	13.284(17)	13.441(3)	10.278(2)	15.162(3)	10.281(2)
b, Å	19.507(8)	15.030(14)	15.106(3)	12.082(2)	11.267(2)	12.472(3)
<i>c</i> , Å	23.550(15)	17.574(17)	17.374(4)	17.997(4)	11.592(2)	17.881(4)
α, deg	96.41(6)	65.19(8)	65.49(3)	90	90	90
β , deg	94.38(3)	84.09(7)	83.97(3)	91.59(3)	111.02(3)	90.66(3)
γ, deg	107.574(17)	79.29(7)	78.81(3)	90	90	90
<i>V</i> , Å ³	5200.0(1)	3130.0(1)	3147.6(11)	2233.8(8)	1848.5(6)	2292.5(8)
D (calcd), g cm ⁻³	1.043	1.122	1.163	1.229	1.220	1.323
Ζ	4	2	2	4	4	4
μ (Mo K α), mm ⁻¹	0.168	0.564	0.499	1.888	1.625	1.156
no. of reflns	14045	5964	58440	2920	3029	20942
collected						
no. of indep	13532/0.1123	5774/0.1077	10639/0.0752	2902/0.1261	3029	3883/0.1133
reflns/R(int)						
data/restraints	13532/1397/1013	5774/830/614	10639/0/604	2902/329/223	3029/190/224	3883/9/238
/params						
Ŝ	1.022	1.032	1.001	1.040	1.009	1.056
$R1, R2 (I > 2\sigma(I))^a$	0.0695, 0.1795	0.0740, 0.1897	0.0256, 0.0654	0.0809, 0.2058	0.0368, 0.0919	0.0392, 0.0953
R1, R2 (all data) ^{a}	0.0924, 0.2013	0.0977, 0.2130	0.0296, 0.0664	0.0998, 0.2277	0.0401, 0.0936	0.0466, 0.0977
$\Delta \rho(\min), \Delta \rho(\max),$ e Å ⁻³	0.837, -0.676	0.858, -1.053	0.674, -0.718	$1.689, -1.773^a$	0.602, -0.796	1.582, -1.309

	7.0.5 toluene	8	9 •0.5 hexane	10	11
formula	C _{28.5} H ₄₃ AlN ₂	C22H35GaO2	C27H49InN	C ₂₇ H ₂₄ AlN	C27 H24 Ga N
fw	440.63	401.22	502.49	389.45	432.19
<i>T</i> , K	200(2)	133(2)	133(2)	150(2)	203(2)
cryst syst	monoclinic	orthorhombic	trigonal	monoclinic	monoclinic
space group	C2/c	Pnma	$P\overline{3}c1$	$P2_1/n$	$P2_1/n$
a, Å	23.65(3)	18.910(4)	15.659(2)	13.905(4)	13.919(2)
b, Å	12.913(4)	17.713(4)	15.659(2)	11.914(3)	11.9212(15)
<i>c</i> , Å	18.980(5)	6.8213(14)	14.032(3)	13.999(5)	14.019(4)
α , deg	90	90	90°	90	90
β , deg	93.64(8)	90	90°	94.16(4)	94.363(16)
γ , deg	90	90	120°	90	90
$V, Å^3$	5790(1)	2284.8(8)	2979.7(8)	2313.1(12)	2319.5(8)
D (calcd), g cm ⁻³	1.012	1.166	1.120	1.118	1.238
Z	8	4	4	4	4
μ (Mo K α), mm ⁻¹	0.086	1.215	0.804	0.099	1.198
no. of reflns	6032	10285	16974	2181	3241
collected					
no, of indep.	3790/0.0737	2012/0.07291	1712/0.05831	1751/0.0430	3022/0.1175
eflns/R(int)					
data/restraints	3790/42/315	2012/0/159	1712/239/153	1751/0/265	3022/0/265
/narams	0190,12,010	2012,0,109	1,12,20,,100	1,01,0,200	0022,0,200
S	1.029	1.052	0.965	1 112	1 184
$R_{1} R_{2} (I > 2\sigma(I))^{a}$	0.0962 0.2510	0.0233.0.0687	0.0263 0.0687	0.0890.0.2373	0.0435 0.1212
R1, R2 (all data) ^a	0 1330 0 2058	0.0261.0.0699	0.0416.0.0735	0 1198 0 2802	0.0455 0.1231
$\Lambda_0(\min)$ $\Lambda_0(\max)$					
/ . / / . /	0.1339, 0.2938 0.695 - 0.459	0.369 - 0.464	0.486 - 0.287	0.351 - 0.379	0.827 - 0.706

^a The largest difference peaks for compound **4** are near bromine and may be due to absorption effects.

occupy the vertical axes. The M–C_{alkynyl} bond lengths and M–C=C bond angles of **4** and **6** are in good agreement with those of the previously reported structures.^{1d,3,6} The C–M–C bond angle is found to be larger than 120° (**4**, 125.9(3)°; **6**, 130.6(2)°). Interestingly, in a similar compound, **5**, the gallium is coordinated by only one THF molecule and hence the geometry around the gallium is tetrahedral. As with the previously studied structures of tetra coordinated gallium compounds,⁷ the L–Ga–LB (L = covalently bound ligand (alkynyl group or Cl in **5**), LB = coordinating Lewis base (THF in **5**)) bond angle is smaller than that of L–Ga–L. All the bond angles among the alkynyl groups and Cl around gallium (C(3)–Ga(1)–C(1), 124.6(1)°; (C(3)–Ga(1)–Cl-

(1), 113.1(7)°; C(1)–Ga(1)–Cl(1), 111.4(8)°) are larger than the tetrahedral angle (109°), while all the bond angles which arise from the THF oxygen (C(3)–Ga(1)–O(1), 100.5(3)°; C(1)–Ga(1)–O(1), 99.2(3)°; O(1)–Ga(1)–Cl(1), 103.8(3)°) are smaller. This can be attributed to the gallium atom approaching the ideal sp² hybridization with the THF oxygen atom presumably donating its electron pair to a gallium orbital of higher p character.⁷ In other words, a strong covalent bond prefers utilizing a lower energy orbital with higher s character, whereas a weaker bond like a coordinative bond, involves an orbital of higher p character (Bent's rule).^{7,8}

An important feature of 8 from a structural point of view is that it crystallizes as a coordination polymer, which was

(8) Bent, H. A. Chem. Rev. 1968, 68, 587-648.

⁽⁷⁾ Vanderhooft, J. C.; Ernst, R. D.; Cagle, F. W., Jr.; Neustadt, R. J. Inorg. Chem. 1982, 21, 1876–1880.



Figure 1. Stick and ball model of 1. For clarity, the hydrogen atoms have been omitted.



Figure 2. Stick and ball model of the anionic part of isomorphous compounds 2 (M = Ga) and 3 (M = In). All hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.



Figure 3. Stick and ball model of isomorphous compounds 4 (M = Al, X = Br) and 6 (M = In, X = Cl). All the hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

determined by single-crystal X-ray studies. Each gallium unit, which represents a trigonal bipyramid, comprises three $C \equiv CtBu$ groups in the trigonal plane. Dioxane molecules link



Figure 4. ORTEP plot of **5**. For clarity, the hydrogen atoms have been omitted. Nonhydrogen atoms are represented by thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level.



Figure 5. Stick and ball plot showing the basic structure of 7 (M = Al, $C_R = tBu$, $LB = C\{N(Me)CMe\}_2$), 9 (M = In, $C_R = tBu$, $B = NEt_3$), 10 (M = Al, $C_R = Ph$, $B = NMe_3$), and 11 (M = Ga, $C_R = Ph$, $B = NMe_3$).



Figure 6. ORTEP plot showing the polymeric structure of **8**. All the hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Nonhydrogen atoms are represented by thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level.

the gallium units while occupying the vertical axes of the trigonal bipyramid.

Single-crystal X-ray analyses of compounds **7**, **9**, **10**, and **11** show that all of them possess essentially the same distorted tetrahedral geometry around the metal atom. The phenomenon of deviation of bond angles from the ideal tetrahedral bond angle, which is observed in compound **5**, is also found in these structures. It is interesting to mention that compound **7** is the first aluminum alkynyl compound containing three terminal alkynyl groups and which is stabilized using a heterocyclic carbene. Obviously the carbene adduct is more stable than the corresponding Me₃N derivative.

In summary we have shown that group 13 derivatives containing terminal alkynyl groups are easily prepared in the presence of a Lewis base. The coordination number of 4 is the preferred coordination pattern. However, the molecules

Table 2. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (deg) for Compounds 1-6

	1 M = Al, X = C(5)	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{Ga}, \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{C}(5) \end{array}$	M = In, X = C(5)	$\begin{array}{c} 4 \\ \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{Al}, \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Br} \end{array}$	5 M = Ga, X = Cl	$\begin{array}{c} 6 \\ \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{In}, \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Cl} \end{array}$
M-C(1)	1.972(5)	1.966(8)	2.148(2)	1.937(7)	1.911(2)	2.104(4)
M-C(3)	1.979(4)	1.971(8)	2.154(2)	1.934(7)	1.908(2)	2.101(4)
M-C(5)	1.947(4)	1.970(8)	2.169(2)			
M - C(1) - C(2)	175.0(4)	173.7(6)	170.9(2)	177.1(7)	175.5(2)	177.6(4)
M - C(3) - C(4)	176.6(4)	169.9(6)	169.0(2)	176.3(6)	175.3(2)	175.9(3)
M - C(5) - C(6)	177.3(4)	170.9(6)	168.1(2)			
C(1)-M-C(3)	103.0(2)	111.8(3)	112.5(7)	125.9(3)	124.6(1)	130.6(2)
C(1)-M-X	109.3(2)	107.4(3)	106.9(7)	118.1(2)	111.4(8)	115.3(1)
C(3)-M-X	108.9(2)	111.8(3)	112.5(7)	115.9(2)	113.1(7)	114.0(1)

Table 3. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (deg) for Compounds $7{-}11$

	M = Al	M = Ga	9 M = In	M = A1	11 M = Ga
M-C(1)	1.940(2)	1.933(2)	2.116(3)	1.913(1)	1.940(4)
M-C(3)	1.943(2)	1.932(2)	а	1.917(9)	1.940(4)
M-C(5)	1.939(3)	a	а	1.930(1)	1.929(4)
M - C(1) - C(2)	171.7(2)	178.5(2)	172.3(3)	174.1(8)	172.0(4)
M - C(3) - C(4)	176.0(2)	178.0(1)	a	179.3(8)	173.8(3)
M - C(5) - C(6)	171.3(2)	a	а	174.6(7)	178.6(4)
C(1)-M-C(3)	109.7(1)	120.6(4)	116.4(5)	116.0(4)	113.2(2)
C(1)-M-C(5)	115.9(1)	a	a	112.2(4)	116.3(2)
C(3)-M-C(5)	112.8(9)	а	а	114.8(4)	115.8(2)

^{*a*} Symmetry transformations are used to generate equivalent atoms and hence these bond lengths and angles are same as the equivalent ones.

containing a metal-halogen bond (4, 5, and 6) utilize a trigonal bipyramidal surrounding. This geometry is also found in the coordination polymer of 8.

Moreover, aluminum compounds containing terminal alkynyl groups are ideal precursors for the preparation of aluminum hydride clusters, which can be used for cluster surface chemistry.⁹ Finally, the alkynyl compounds of group 13 are probably good precursors for the preparation of new materials. Research work in this direction is in progress.

Experimental Section

All experiments were carried out using standard Schlenk techniques under a dry nitrogen atmosphere because of the sensitivity of the reactants and products toward air and moisture. A Braun MB 150-GI Box was used to store the compounds and to prepare the samples for spectroscopic characterization. All solvents were distilled from sodium/benzophenone and degassed prior to use. [Li⁺·3THF (2,6-*i*Pr₂C₆H₃N(SiMe₃)InCl₃)⁻],¹⁰ C{N(Me)CMe}₂ (= 1,2,4,5-tetramethylimidazol-2-ylidene),¹¹ AlH₃•NMe₃,¹² GaH₃• NMe₃,¹³ and [2,6-*i*Pr₂C₆H₃N(SiMe₃)AlCl₂]₂^{4a} were prepared as described in the literature. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 200 or Bruker AC 250. 1H, 13C, and 29Si NMR data were referenced to trimethylsilane, whereas 7Li NMR data were referenced to LiCl. FT-IR spectra were measured on a Bio-Rad FTS-7 instrument as Nujol mulls in the range of 4000-400 cm⁻¹. Mass spectra were obtained on a Finnigan MAT 95. Melting points were measured in sealed glass tubes on a Büchi 540 instrument.

- (9) Stasch, A.; Ferbinteanu, M.; Prust, J.; Zheng, W.; Cimpoesu, F.; Roesky, H. W.; Magull, J.; Schmidt, H.-G.; Noltemeyer, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 5441–5448.
- (10) Prust, J.; Müller, P.; Rennekamp, C.; Roesky, H. W.; Usón, I. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1999, 2265–2266.
- (11) Kuhn, N.; Kratz, T. Synthesis 1993, 561-562.
- (12) Ruff, J. K.; Hawthorne, M. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1960, 82, 2141-2144.
- (13) Shriver, D. F.; Shirk, A. E. Inorg. Synth. 1977, 17, 42-45.

Synthesis of $[Li^+ \cdot 2 \text{ dioxane } (2,6-iPr_2C_6H_3N(SiMe_3)Al(C=$ $CSiMe_3)_3$]-0.75 dioxane (1). A solution of HC=CSiMe_3 (1.50) g, 15.3 mmol) in THF (75 mL) was treated at -78 °C with a solution of n-BuLi in hexane (2.5 M, 6.1 mL, 15.3 mmol). The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature and [2,6 $iPr_2C_6H_3N(SiMe_3)AlCl_2]_2$ (1.76 g, 2.55 mmol) was added at -78 °C. The resultant mixture was further stirred for 10 h at room temperature and 3 h at 55 °C. After the flask was cooled to room temperature, the volatiles were removed under vacuum and the residue was treated with hexane. The mixture was filtered, and the oily mass obtained after evaporating the hexane from the filtrate was dissolved in dioxane (15 mL). Storing the solution overnight at room temperature afforded colorless crystals of 1. Yield: 2.83 g (74%). mp: > 300 °C. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, THF- d_8): δ 6.90– 6.60 (m, 3H, ArH), 4.02 (m, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H, CH(CH₃)₂), 3.36 (s, 8H, dioxane), 1.24 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.13 (d, J =6.9 Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂), 0.07 (s, 9H, Si(CH₃)₃), -0.04 (s, 27H, C=CSi(CH₃)₃). ⁷Li NMR (97 MHz, THF- d_8): δ -0.3. ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, THF- d_8): δ 150.5, 147.6, 122.5, 120.9 (arom. C), 109.0 (br, Al-C), 95.3 (C-SiMe₃), 67.9 (dioxane), 27.7 (CH), 27.1 (CH₃), 3.4 (NSi(CH₃)₃), 1.0 (CSi(CH₃)₃). ²⁷Al NMR (65 MHz, THF-d₈): δ 84.3. ²⁹Si NMR (99 MHz, THF-*d*₈): δ -3.6, -25.3. IR (Nujol): $\tilde{v} = 2089, 2054, 1316, 1262, 1250, 1184, 1106, 1075, 931, 870,$ 853, 841, 795, 759, 733, 686, 451 cm⁻¹. MS (FAB): *m/z* (%) 566 $([M^- - \text{dioxane}], 100)$. Anal. Calcd for $C_{38}H_{69}AlLiNO_4Si_4$ (with two dioxane molecules) (750.23): C, 60.84; H, 9.27; N 1.87. Found: C, 59.67; H, 9.11; N, 1.89.

Synthesis of [(Li⁺)₂·(dioxane)₇]_{0.5} [2,6-*i*Pr₂C₆H₃N(SiMe₃)Ga- $(C \equiv CSiMe_3)_3^{-1}$.1.5 dioxane (2). To a solution of [Li⁺·Et₂O (2,6-^{*i*}Pr₂C₆H₃N(SiMe₃)GaCl₃)⁻] **12** (0.51 g, 1.0 mmol) in THF (75 mL) was added Me₃SiC=CLi (0.31 g, 3.0 mmol). The resultant mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature and the solvent was removed under vacuum. Toluene (40 mL) was added to the residue, which was then filtered over Celite, and the filtrate was dried under vacuum. The residue from the filtrate was treated with dioxane (10 mL) at room temperature for 24 h and dried under vacuum. The crude product was recrystallized from toluene (30 mL) at -32 °C. Yield: 0.48 g (61%). mp: > 300 °C. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, THF d_8): δ 7.00-6.50 (m, 3H, ArH), 4.05 (sept, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H, $CH(CH_3)_2$), 3.58 (s, 8H, dioxane), 1.21 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 6H, CH- $(CH_3)_2$, 1.12 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 6H, $CH(CH_3)_2$), 0.07 (s, 9H, Si- $(CH_3)_3$, -0.03 (s, 27H, C=CSi(CH_3)_3). ⁷Li NMR (97 MHz, THF d_8): $\delta -0.5$. ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, THF- d_8): δ 151.8, 148.1, 123.4, 120.6 (arom. C), 107.3 (br, Ga-C), 95.2 (C-SiMe₃), 27.6 (CH), 26.9 (CH₃), 3.6 (NSi(CH₃)₃), 1.0 (CSi(CH₃)₃). ²⁹Si NMR (99 MHz, THF- d_8): $\delta - 4.2, -25.2$. IR (Nujol): $\tilde{\nu} = 2074, 2062, 1315, 1261,$ 1247, 1191, 1105, 1051, 1041, 936, 848, 759, 687 cm⁻¹. MS (EI): m/z (species, %) 616 ([M⁺ - dioxane - H], 15), 511 ([M⁺ dioxane - Li - C≡CSiMe₃], 100). Anal. Calcd for C₃₈H₆₉-GaLiNO₄Si₄ (792.98): C, 57.20; H, 8.80; N, 1.60. Found: C, 56.82; H, 8.39; N, 1.60.

Al, Ga, and In Compounds with Terminal Ethynyl Groups

Synthesis of [(Li⁺)₂·(dioxane)₇]_{0.5} [2,6-*i*Pr₂C₆H₃N(SiMe₃)In- $(C \equiv CSiMe_3)_3^{-1}$:1.5 dioxane (3). Me_3SiC $\equiv CLi (0.31 \text{ g}, 3.0 \text{ mmol})$ was added to a solution of [Li+·3 THF (2,6-iPr₂C₆H₃N(SiMe₃)- $InCl_3$ ⁻] (0.69 g, 1.0 mmol) in toluene (60 mL) at -78 °C and the mixture was stirred for 3 d at room temperature before it was filtered over Celite. The volume of the filtrate was reduced to 10 mL and dioxane (5 mL) was added. Keeping the mixture at room temperature for 5 d yielded colorless crystals of compound 3. The crystals were washed with pentane (3 mL) and dried under vacuum. Yield: 0.82 g (74%). mp: 160 °C. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, THF- d_8): δ 7.00– 6.50 (m, 3H, ArH), 4.02 (m, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, CH(CH₃)₂), 3.56 (s, 8H, dioxane), 1.18 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.12 (d, J =7.0 Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂), 0.06 (s, 9H, NSi(CH₃)₃), -0.02 (s, 27H, C=CSi(CH₃)₃). ⁷Li NMR (97 MHz, THF- d_8): δ -0.2. ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, THF-d₈): δ 152.3, 147.3, 122.4, 120.1 (arom. C), 114.1 (br, In-C), 95.2 (C-SiMe₃), 27.5 (CH), 26.5 (CH₃), 3.6 (NSi- $(CH_3)_3$, 1.1 (CSi(CH₃)₃). ²⁹Si NMR (99 MHz, THF- d_8): δ -4.5, -25.2. IR (Nujol): $\tilde{\nu} = 2063, 2051, 1250, 1123, 1080, 1032, 941,$ 876, 845, 760, 722, 676, 606 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C₃₈H₆₉InLiNO₄-Si₄ (792.98): C, 54.46; H, 8.30; N, 1.67. Found: C, 53.85; H, 7.71; N, 1.14.

Synthesis of Br−**Al**(**C**≡**C***t***Bu**)₂·2 **THF** (4). To a solution of AlBr₃ (0.53 g, 2.0 mmol) in a mixture of hexane (2 mL) and THF (60 mL) was added LiC≡C*t*Bu (0.35 g, 4.0 mmol) at −78 °C. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 2 d. The volatiles were removed under vacuum and the product was extracted with hexane (150 mL). Removal of hexane afforded a white solid, which was crystallized from hexane (10 mL). Yield: 0.55 g (67%). mp: 145 °C. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 3.78 (m, 8H, OCH₂−CH₂), 1.25 (m, 8H, OCH₂−CH₂), 1.22 (s, 18H, *t*Bu). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 92.6 (*C*−*t*Bu), 67.9 (br, Al−*C*), 67.2 (THF), 30.9 (Me), 27.3 (*C*−Me₃), 25.8 (THF). IR (Nujol): $\tilde{v} = 2165$, 2129, 1577, 1260, 1251, 1204, 1091, 1023, 923, 861, 803, 757, 632, 551, 484 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₃₄-AlBrO₂ (413.37): C, 58.11; H, 8.29. Found: C, 57.30; H, 8.07.

Synthesis of Cl−Ga(**C≡***Ct***Bu**)₂**·THF** (5). Compound 5 was prepared by using GaCl₃ (0.30 g, 1.70 mmol)) and LiC**≡***Ct*Bu (0.30 g, 3.41 mmol), and following the procedure mentioned for the preparation of **4**. Yield: 0.38 g (66%). mp: 110 °C. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 3.81 (m, 4H, OCH₂−CH₂), 1.17 (s, 18H, *t*Bu), 1.08 (m, 4H, OCH₂−*CH*₂). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 116.4 (*C*−*t*Bu), 83.7 (br, Ga−*C*), 70.2 (THF), 31.2 (Me), 28.3 (*C*−Me₃)), 25.0 (THF). IR (Nujol): $\tilde{\nu} = 2177, 2144, 1378, 1366, 1260, 1253, 1204, 1096, 1017, 919, 861, 802, 749, 723, 630, 592, 488 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₆ClGaO (339.56): C, 56.60; H, 7.72. Found: C, 56.29; H, 7.73.$

Synthesis of ClIn(C≡CtBu)₂•2 **THF** (6). Compound 6 was prepared by using InCl₃ (0.45 g, 2.0 mmol)) and LiC≡CtBu (0.36 g, 4.0 mmol) and following the procedure given for 4. Yield: 0.35 g (38%). mp: 112 °C. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 3.84 (m, 8H, OCH₂−CH₂), 1.30 (m, 8H, OCH₂−CH₂), 1.24 (s, 18H, tBu). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 118.2 (*C*−tBu), 88.3 (br, In−C), 68.5 (THF), 31.6 (Me), 28.5 (*C*−Me₃), 25.3 (THF). IR (Nujol): $\tilde{\nu} =$ 2063, 2051, 1250, 1123, 1080, 1032, 941, 876, 845, 760, 722, 676, 606 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₃₄ClInO₂ (456.76): C, 52.59; H, 7.50. Found: C, 52.19; H, 7.64.

Synthesis of Al(C=CtBu)₃·C{N(Me)CMe}₂ (7). Toluene (25 mL) was added to a mixture of AlCl₃ (0.570 g, 4.27 mmol), C{N-(Me)CMe}₂ (0.53 g, 4.27 mmol), and tBuC=CLi (1.18 g, 13.5 mmol), and the resulting suspension was stirred for 6 h. The mixture was filtered and the solvent was removed in vacuo giving 1.54 g (3.90 mmol, 91%) (7) of a white solid. Crystals suitable for X-ray structural analysis of 7·0.5 toluene were grown from a concentrated

(ca. 0.5 g in 5 mL) solution in toluene at 4 °C. mp: 162 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 3.59 (s br, 6 H, NCH₃), 1.24 (s, 27H, C(CH₃)₃), 1.19 (s br, 6H, CH₃-C-N). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 165.3 (very br, NCAl), 124.9 (Me-C-N), 116.2 (br, C-tBu), 93.8 (very br, Al-C), 34.1 (br, NCH₃), 31.8 (C(CH₃)₃), 28.5 (C(CH₃)₃), 7.86 (CH₃-C-N). MS (EI): m/z (%) 394 (M⁺, 8), 379 (M⁺ - Me, 17), 337 (M⁺ - tBu, 100). IR (Nujol): $\tilde{\nu} =$ 2164, 2120, 1698, 1650, 1605, 1309, 1260, 1249, 1202, 1097, 1024, 917, 847, 746, 727, 697, 571, 532, 475, 402 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C_{28.5}H₄₃AlN₂ (incl. 0.5 toluene molecules) (440.63): C, 77.68; H, 9.84; N, 6.36. Found: C, 76.48; H, 9.65; N, 6.47.

Synthesis of Ga(C≡CtBu)₃·dioxane (8). Compound **8** was prepared by using GaCl₃ (0.29 g, 1.64 mmol) and LiC≡CtBu (0.44 g, 4.9 mmol) and following the procedure mentioned for **7**. Yield: 0.50 g (67%). mp: 330 °C. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 3.54 (s, 8H, dioxane), 1.15 (s, 27H, tBu). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 116.5 (*C*−tBu), 86.3 (br, Ga−*C*), 67.3 (dioxane), 31.3 (Me), 28.3 (*C*−Me). IR (Nujol): $\tilde{\nu} = 2171$, 2138, 1363, 1304, 1251, 1204, 1112, 1094, 1077, 1043, 1030, 889, 860, 803, 743, 609, 551, 478 cm⁻¹. MS (EI): *m*/z (%) 312 ([M⁺ − dioxane − C≡CtBu], 100). Anal. Calcd for C₂₂H₃₅GaO₂ (401.24): C, 65.86; H, 8.79. Found: C, 65.46; H, 9.06.

Synthesis of In(C≡CtBu)₃·NEt₃ (9). Compound **9** was prepared by using InCl₃ (0.22 g, 1.0 mmol) and LiC≡CtBu (0.26 g, 3.0 mmol) and following the procedure given for **4**. A mixture of triethylamine (25 mL) and THF (25 mL) was used instead of pure THF. Yield: 0.38 g (83%). mp: 220 °C. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 2.66 (m, J = 7.2 Hz, 6 H, CH₂CH₃), 1.19 (s, 27H, tBu), 1.08 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 9H, CH₂CH₃). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 119.2 (*C*−*t*Bu), 90.3 (br, In−C), 48.2 (N−CH₂), 31.6 (Me), 28.5 (*C*−Me₃), 10.7 (CH₂−CH₃). IR (Nujol): $\tilde{\nu}$ = 2160, 2124, 1400, 1362, 1328, 1261, 1249, 1204, 1171, 1101, 1053, 1026, 908, 807, 748, 735, 547, 453 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C₂₄H₄₂InN (459.42): C, 62.74; H, 9.22; N, 3.05. Found: C, 61.93; H, 8.75; N, 2.31.

Synthesis of Al(C≡CPh)₃·NMe₃ (10). To a suspension of AlH₃· NMe₃ (1.00 g, 11.2 mmol) in hexane (50 mL) phenylethyne (5.00 g, 49.0 mmol) was added and stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 1 h and filtered. All the solvent and the excess of phenylethyne were removed from the filtrate under vacuum, and the residue obtained was crystallized from toluene (10 mL) to obtain colorless crystals of **10**. Yield: 3.2 g, (73%). mp: 183 °C (decomp). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.47 (m_c, *m*-C₆H₅, 6H), 7.25 (m_c, *o*- and *p*-C₆H₅, 9H), 2.80 (s, CH₃, 9H). ¹³C NMR: δ 132.0, 128.0, 127.7, 124.6 (arom. *C*), 107.5 (C₆H₅-*C*), 101.2 (br, Al-*C*), 47.4 (*C*H₃). IR (Nujol): $\tilde{\nu}$ = 2125, 1594, 1570, 1378, 1212, 990, 816, 757, 693, 611 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C₂₇H₂₄AlN (389.45): C, 83.27; H, 6.21; N, 3.60. Found: C, 84.01; H, 6.12; N, 3.29.

Synthesis Ga(C≡CPh)₃·NMe₃ (11). To a solution of GaH₃· NMe₃ (3.00 g, 22.7 mmol) in toluene (150 mL) phenylethyne (23.1 g, 227 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred overnight at 55 °C. After the mixture was cooled to room temperature the volatiles were evaporated under vacuum. The resulting residue was once again dissolved in toluene (50 mL) and filtered. The amount of filtrate was reduced to 7 mL and stored at 4 °C overnight to give **11** as colorless crystals. Yield: 6.96 g (16.1 mmol, 71%). mp: 151 °C. ¹H NMR (500.13 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 7.51−7.49 (m, *o*-C₆H₅, 6H), 6.97−6.95 (m, *m*- and *p*-C₆H₅, 9H), 2.23 (s, CH₃, 9H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 132.3, 128.4, 128.0, 125.1 (arom. *C*), 107.2 (C₆H₅−*C*), 99.2 (br, Ga−*C*), 47.3 (*C*H₃). IR (Nujol): $\tilde{\nu}$ = 3053, 2137, 1597, 1570, 1210, 996, 796, 764, 692, 568, 535, 384 cm⁻¹. MS (EI): *m*/*z* (%) 431 (M⁺, 30), 372 ([M⁺ − NMe₃], 100). Anal. Calcd for $C_{27}H_{24}GaN$ (432.21): C, 75.03; H, 5.60; Ga, 16.13; N, 3.24. Found: C, 75.10; H, 5.60; Ga, 15.30; N, 3.33.

Synthesis of $[Li^+ Et_2O (2,6-iPr_2C_6H_3N(SiMe_3)GaCl_3)^-]$ (12). A solution of 2,6-*i*Pr₂C₆H₃N(SiMe₃)Li (12.77 g, 50.0 mmol) in diethyl ether (150 mL) was treated with a solution of GaCl₃ (8.80 g, 50.0 mmol) in diethyl ether (50 mL) at -78 °C while stirring. The mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature and stirred for 4 h. All the volatiles were removed under vacuum. The residue was washed with cold pentane (10 mL), and the product was extracted with CHCl₃ (100 mL) using a Soxhlet apparatus. Yield: 6.49 g (26%). mp: 98 °C. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 7.20-6.70 (m, 3H, ArH), 3.87 (sept, 2H, $CH(CH_3)_2$), 3.38 (q, J = 6.8Hz, 4H, OCH₂), 1.14 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.13 (d, J =6.8 Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.11 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H, OCH₂CH₃), 0.07 (s, 9H, Si(CH₃)₃). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, THF- d_8): δ 148.3, 147.0, 124.2, 123.0 (arom. C), 66.3 (OCH₂), 27.8 (CH), 26.0 (CH₃), 15.7 (CH_2CH_3) , 3.1 $(NSi(CH_3)_3)$. ⁷Li NMR (97 MHz, C₆D₆): δ -0.43. MS (EI): m/z (%) 389 ([M⁺ – LiCl – Et₂O], 30), 354 ([M⁺ – Cl - LiCl - Et₂O], 15), 248 ([M⁺ - GaCl₂ - LiCl - Et₂O], 100).

IR (Nujol): $\tilde{\nu} = 1433$, 1336, 1317, 1249, 1186, 1105, 1041, 915, 886, 870, 834, 803, 751 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₃₆Cl₃GaLiNOSi (505.61): C, 45.14; H, 7.18; N, 2.77. Found: C, 45.48; H, 7.32; N, 2.70.

X-ray Crystallography. Data for all compounds were collected on a Stoe four-circle diffractometer using Mo K α radiation ($\lambda =$ 0.71073 Å). All structures were solved by direct methods and refined anisotropically with SHELXTL.¹⁴

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Göttinger Akademie der Wissenschaften. N.D.R. thanks the Alexander von Humboldt-Stiftung for a research fellowship.

Supporting Information Available: X-ray crystallographic files, in CIF format, for the structures of 1-11. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

IC0342806

⁽¹⁴⁾ Sheldrick, G. M. SHELX-97, Program for Crystal Structure Refinement; University of Göttingen: Germany, 1997.