

Structurally Characterized Ternary U–O–N Compound, UN₄O₁₂: $UO_2(NO_3)_2 \cdot N_2O_4$ or $NO^+UO_2(NO_3)_3^-$?

Margaret-Jane Crawford* and Peter Mayer

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Ludwig-Maximilian University (LMU), Butenandtstrasse 5–13 (Haus D), D-81377 Munich, Germany

Received March 19, 2005

The synthesis and characterization of the ternary U–O–N compound NO⁺UO₂(NO₃)₃⁻ (1) using IR and low-temperature and room-temperature Raman spectroscopy as well as ¹⁴N and ¹⁵N NMR spectroscopy are reported. In addition, solution Raman spectra of compound 1 recorded in various solvents are reported. The structure of compound 1 was determined using single-crystal X-ray diffraction techniques: monoclinic, *C*2/*c*, *a* = 13.3992(4) Å, *b* = 9.9781(4) Å, *c* = 7.6455(2) Å, β = 115.452(2)°, *V* = 922.98(5) Å³, *Z* = 4. Compound 1 is highly moisture-sensitive and must be handled under an inert atmosphere. It reacts with water with the liberation of NO₂. For the first time, this important precursor for the synthesis of anhydrous uranyl nitrate could be unambiguously identified and has been shown to be an ionic nitrosonium salt and not an adduct between uranyl nitrate and dinitrogen tetroxide, UO₂(NO₃)₂·N₂O₄, as is incorrectly and predominantly cited in the literature.

Introduction

Uranyl nitrates are extremely important in the chemistry of U(VI) and have been widely investigated in various forms for many years.¹ The uranyl nitrate hydrates, for example, UO₂(NO₃)₂•2H₂O,^{2a,b} UO₂(NO₃)₂•3H₂O,^{2c,d} [UO₂(OH)₂UO₂]- $(NO_3)_2 \cdot 3H_2O_2^{2e}$ $(UO_2)_3O(OH)_3(H_2O)_6NO_3(H_2O)_4^{2f}$ and UO2(NO3)2.6H2O,2g-j have been particularly well investigated and structurally characterized. In addition, UO₂(NO₃)₂. 6H₂O is one of the most important starting materials in uranium chemistry is a key compound in the PUREX process for the separation of fission products from uranium and plutonium fuels and is a main pollutant in the wastewater produced by nuclear fuel fabrication and processing.³ In addition to the hydrates, a range of coordination compounds have also been reported such as UO2(NO3)2(OC(NMe2)2)2 and $UO_2(NO_3)_2(ONC_5H_4CH_3)_2$ ⁴

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: mjc@ cup.uni-muenchen.de. Tel: +49 89 2180 77503. Fax: +49 89 2180 77492. (1) *Gmelin Handbuch der Anorganischen Chemie Uranium*; Springer-

Verlag: Berlin, 1981; Suppl. Vol. A6.

10.1021/ic050409v CCC: \$30.25 © 2005 American Chemical Society Published on Web 10/21/2005

For U(IV), various coordination compounds of the type U(NO₃)₄L₂ (L = neutral Lewis base) have been reported, for example, U(NO₃)₄(OP(NMe₂)₃)₂ and U(NO₃)₄(OPPh₃)₂.⁵ Although the homoleptic U(IV) complex U(NO₃)₄ has been characterized in solution, despite many attempts to isolate it in the solid state, only decomposition products were observed.⁶ The related U(IV) compound (Et₄N)₂U(NO₃)₆ containing the ternary U(NO₃)₆²⁻ dianion was, however, successfully isolated and structurally characterized in the solid state.⁷ In addition, uranium oxynitrides have also been investigated and reported in the literature.⁸ Further examples of ternary U–O–N anions are the polymeric uranyl azide anions formulated as (Et₄N)UO₂(N₃)₃^{9a} and (Et₄N)₄[(UO₂)₃-(N₃)₈O]•H₂O,^{9a} as well as the mononuclear UO₂(N₃)₄²⁻

- (6) Bagnall, K. W.; Robinson, P. S.; Stewart, M. A. A. J. Chem. Soc. 1961, 4060.
- (7) Rebizant, J.; Apostolidis, C.; Spirlet, M. R.; Andreeti, G. D.: Kanellakopulos, B. Acta Crystallogr. 1988, C44, 2098.
- (8) (a) Blum, P. L.; Laugier, J.; Martin, J. M.; Morlevat, J. P. C. R. 1968, C266, 1456. (b) Blum, P. L.; Laugier, J.; Martin, J. M. C. R. 1969, C268, 148. (c) Martin, J. M. J. Nucl. Mater. 1970, 34, 81.

Inorganic Chemistry, Vol. 44, No. 23, 2005 8481

^{(2) (}a) Dalley, N. K.; Mueller, M. H.; Simonsen, S. H. Inorg. Chem. 1971, 10, 323. (b) Vdovenko, V. M.; Stroganov, E. V.; Sokolov, A. P.; Lungu, G. Radiokhimiya 1962, 4, 59. (c) Hughes, K.-A.; Burns, P. C. Acta Crystallogr. 2003, C59, i7. (d) Vdovenko, V. M.; Stroganov, E. V.; Sokolov, A. P. Radiokhimiya 1963, 5, 97. (e) Perrin, A. Acta Crystallogr. 1976, B32, 1658. (f) Aberg, M. Acta Chem. Scand. 1978, A32, 101. (g) Fleming, J. E.; Lynton, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1924, 46, 1615. (h) Taylor, J. C.; Mueller, M. H. Acta Crystallogr. 1965, 19, 536. (i) Hall, D.; Rae, A. D.; Waters, T. N. Acta Crystallogr. 1965, 19, 389. (j) Vdovenko, V. M.; Stroganov, E. V.; Sokolov, A. P. Radiokhimiya 1967, 9, 127.

^{(3) (}a) Büchner, W.; Schliebs, R.; Winter, G.; Büchel, K. H. Industrielle Anorganische Chemie; Verlag Chemie, Weinheim, Germany, 1984; Chapter 6. (b) Prabhakar, S.; Panicker, S. T.; Misra, B. M.; Ramani, M. P. S. Sep. Sci. Technol. 1992, 27, 349. (c) Grant, D. C.; Smeltzer, E. E.; Skriba, M. C.; Coolidge, S. A.; Cwynar, J. C.; Eisenstatt, L. Adv. Ceram. 1986, 20 (Nucl. Waste Manage. 2), 285.

 ^{(4) (}a) Van Vuuren, C. P. J.; Van Rooyen, P. H. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1988, 142, 151. (b) Rickard, C. E. F. Acta Crystallogr. 2001, E57, m446.

^{(5) (}a) Strydom, C. A.; van Rooyen, P. H.; van Vuuren, C. P. J. S Afr. J. Chem. **1990**, 43, 34. (b) Dillen, J. L. M.; Strydom, C. A.; van Vuuren, C. P. J.; van Rooyen, P. H. Acta Crystallogr. **1988**, C44, 1921.

anion.^{9b} The related U(VI) anions UO₂(NO₃)₃⁻¹⁰ and UO₂(NO₃)₄²⁻¹¹ have also been prepared; however, only three UO₂(NO₃)₃⁻³ salts (Rb,^{10a} Cs,^{10b} and 4,4'-bipyridinium^{10c}) and two UO₂(NO₃)₄²⁻³ salts ((Rb⁺)₂^{11a} and (NH₄⁺)₂^{11b}) have been structurally characterized.

Although the isolation of the homoleptic U(IV) compound $U(NO_3)_4$ in the solid-state remains elusive,⁷ various synthetic routes and the isolation of the neutral anhydrous uranyl nitrate, $UO_2(NO_3)_2$, in the solid state have been described in the literature.¹² The most widely cited route for the formation of anhydrous uranyl dinitrate employs the thermal decomposition of the dinitrogen tetroxide adduct of uranyl nitrate, $UO_2(NO_3)_2 \cdot N_2O_4$.¹² Although $UO_2(NO_3)_2 \cdot N_2O_4$ is reported to be the most useful precursor, the nature of this compound remains unclear, and the question as to whether the compound is an UO₂(NO₃)₂·N₂O₄ adduct¹³ or the ionic nitrosonium salt NO⁺UO₂(NO₃)₃⁻¹⁴ has not yet been answered. Evidence for both formulations has appeared in the literature, 13,14 and it is interesting to note that both NO⁺UO₂(NO₃)₃⁻ literature, 13,14 and it is and UO₂(NO₃)₂·N₂O₄ possess the same empirical formula UN₄O₁₂. In addition, UN₄O₁₂ is also the same empirical formula for the U(IV) species $U(NO_3)_4$. Establishing whether the U(VI) compound UN_4O_{12} is an ionic or covalent compound is of importance because it is a small and simple ternary system containing only the elements uranium, oxygen, and nitrogen.

The majority of reports in the literature and in inorganic textbooks cite UN_4O_{12} as being $UO_2(NO_3)_2 \cdot N_2O_4$;¹³ however, an early report by Späth^{13a} describes the compound as being an NO₂ adduct of the type $UO_2(NO_3)_2 \cdot 2NO_2$. The $UO_2(NO_3)_2 \cdot N_2O_4$ formulation was supported predominantly by UV absorption spectra of nitromethane solutions of $UO_2(NO_3)_2 \cdot N_2O_4$, which were reported to indicate that $UO_2(NO_3)_3^-$ ions are not present in significant quantities, in contrast to solutions containing the ionic $R_4N^+UO_2(NO_3)_3^-$ (R = alkyl group) salts.¹³ In addition, the IR spectrum was reported and assigned based on the $UO_2(NO_3)_2 \cdot N_2O_4$ formulation.¹³ The presence of the NO⁺ group was dismissed, and the weak bands observed in the IR spectrum at 2300 and 2263 cm⁻¹ (which correspond to the NO⁺ region) were

- (9) (a) Charpin, P.; Lance, M.; Nierlich, M.; Vigner, D. Acta Crystallogr. **1986**, C42, 1691. (b) Oetker, C.; Beck, W. Spectrochim. Acta **1973**, 29A, 1975. (c) Beck, W.; Fehlhammer, W. P.; Poellmann, P.; Schuierer, E.; Feldl, K. Chem. Ber. **1967**, 100, 2335. (d) Beck, W.; Schuirer, E.; Poellmann, P.; Feldl, K. Z. Naturforsch. **1966**, 21B, 811.
- (10) (a) Zalkin, A.; Templeton, L. K.; Templeton, D. H. Acta Crystallogr. 1989, C45, i810. (b) Barclay, G. A.; Sabine, T. M.; Taylor, J. C. Acta Crystallogr. 1965, 19, 205. (c) Malcic, S. S.; Manojlovic, L. M. Bull. Boris Kidric Inst. Nucl. Sci. 1961, 11, 135.
- (11) (a) Irish, D. E.; Pursel, R.; Taylor, N. J.; Toogood, G. E. Acta Crystallogr. **1985**, C41, i1012. (b) Kapshukov, I. I.; Volkov, Y. F.; Moskvitsev, E. P.; Lebedev, I. A.; Yokovlev, G. N. Zh. Strukt. Khim. **1971**, 12, 94.
- (12) Gibson, G.; Katz, J. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1951, 73, 5436.
- (13) (a) Späth, E. Monatsh. Chem. 1912, 33, 853. (b) Addison, C. C.; Champ, H. A. J.; Hodge, N.; Norbury, A. H. J. Chem. Soc. 1964, 2354. (c) Brown. D. In Comprehensive Inorganic Chemistry; Bailor, J. C., Emeléus, H. J., Nyholm, R., Trotman-Dickenson, A. F., Eds.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, U.K., 1973; p 296. (d) Addison, C. C.; Hodge, N. J. Chem. Soc. 1961, 2987. (e) Addison, C. C.; Hodge, N. J. Chem. Soc. 1961, 2490.
- (14) (a) Vdovenko, V. M.; Suglobov, D. N.; Krasil'nikov, V. A. Sov. Radiochem. 1963, 5, 311. (b) Kobets, L. V.; Klavsut, G. N.; Umreiko, D. S. Russ. J. Inorg. Chem. 1981, 26, 91.

assigned to overtone bands because they were also reported to be observed in the IR spectrum of $K^+UO_2(NO_3)_3^-$. Finally, the authors state that they found no physical or chemical evidence, e.g., the electrical conductivity in CH₃NO₂ solution, that would support the presence of the NO⁺ group.¹³

Evidence has also been provided in the literature to support the assignment of the compound to the nitrosonium salt NO⁺UO₂(NO₃)₃⁻,¹⁴ using primarily IR and Raman spectroscopy.14 Kobets et al. assigned a relatively weak peak at 2300 cm^{-1} (Raman) and a weak band at 2294 cm^{-1} (IR) to the $\nu(NO^+)$, in contrast to the assignments made by Addison et al. In addition, Kobets et al. assigned no peaks in the IR spectrum to bidentate-coordinated N₂O₄.¹⁴ The nature of this compound clearly remained in dispute, with plausible arguments existing for both formulations, and therefore, we wanted to unambiguously establish the nature of this compound using vibrational spectroscopy (IR and Raman) as well as single-crystal X-ray diffraction. In addition, the Raman spectra of compound 1 in various solvents, as well as the ¹⁴N and ¹⁵N NMR spectra of compound 1 in CH₃NO₂, were investigated.

Experimental Section

General Information. UO₂(NO₃)₂·6H₂O,^{2g-j} K⁺UO₂(NO₃)₃⁻,¹⁵ and NO⁺SbF₆⁻¹⁶ were prepared according to the literature procedures. Clean uranium turnings (BDH Chemicals) were prepared according to the procedure outlined in the literature.¹⁷ Compound 1, $NO^+UO_2(NO_3)_3^-$, was prepared by the reaction of uranium metal turnings with a N₂O₄/CH₃NO₂ solution at room temperature with a slightly modified literature procedure.13b N2O4 (Aldrich) and K⁺NO₃⁻ (Aldrich) were used as supplied without further purification. CH₃NO₂ (Aldrich) was dried over P₄O₁₀ and distilled off prior to use. Standard procedures for the handling and disposal of uranium-containing compounds were employed at all times. IR spectra were recorded as solids between KBr plates using a Perkin-Elmer 983G IR spectrometer. Raman spectra were recorded using a Perkin-Elmer FT-IR R2000 spectrometer fitted with a Nd:YAG laser (1064 nm) and a Ventacon low-temperature cell, and the relative peak intensities are given in parentheses. The single-crystal X-ray diffraction study of compound 1 was undertaken using a Nonius Kappa CCD diffractometer. The structure of compound 1 was solved by direct methods using SiR97 and refined by means of full-matrix least-squares procedures with SHELXL-97.18

Preparation and Recrystallization of NO⁺**UO**₂(**NO**₃)₃⁻ (1). NO⁺UO₂(NO₃)₃⁻ was prepared essentially according to the literature method.^{13b} The reaction was facilitated by placing the reaction vessel several times in an ultrasonic bath at room temperature for 0.5– 1-min periods. The remaining N₂O₄/CH₃NO₂ solution was then filtered off the solid precipitate under an argon atmosphere using a glass frit, and the yellow-green solid was pumped to dryness using standard Schlenk-line techniques. The highly moisture-sensitive yellow-green solid obtained was isolated under a nitrogen atmosphere in a glovebox. All manipulations of the material were

- (15) Bullock, J. I. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1967, 29, 2257.
- (16) Kuhn, S. J. Can J. Chem. 1967, 45, 3207.
- (17) Clark, D. L.; Sattelberger, A. P. Inorg. Synth. 1997, 31, 307.
- (18) (a) Altomare, A.; Burla, M. C.; Camalli, M.; Cascarano, G. L.; Giacovazzo, C.; Guagliardi, A.; Moliterni, A. G. G.; Polidori, G.; Spagna, R. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1999, 32, 115. (b) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXS-97, Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1997.

Nitrosonium Dioxotrinitratouranate

undertaken in the glovebox. Yellow-green crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown by preparing a saturated solution of **1** in CH₃NO₂ and maintaining the clear yellow solution at -30 °C for 14 h, after which time highly moisture-sensitive pale yellow crystals formed.

Raman spectrum of NO⁺UO₂(NO₃)₃⁻ (-110 °C, 5 mm glass tube, resolution = 4 cm⁻¹): 2303 (6) (ν (NO⁺)), 1605 (1) (NO₃⁻), 1510 (2) (ν_1, NO_3^-) , 1026 (5) (ν_2, NO_3^-) , 872 (10) $(\nu_s(OUO))$, 741 (4) (v₃, NO₃⁻), 713 (2) (v₅, NO₃⁻), 236 (1), 218 (1), 181 (3). Raman spectrum of NO⁺UO₂(NO₃)₃⁻ (RT, 5 mm glass tube, resolution = 4 cm⁻¹): 2301 (6) (ν (NO⁺)), 1605 (1) (NO₃⁻), 1508 (1) (ν_1, NO_3^-) , 1026 (6) (ν_2, NO_3^-) , 869 (10) $(\nu_s(OUO))$, 740 (4) (ν_3, NO_3^-) , 713 (1) (ν_5, NO_3^-) , 236 (3), 162 (5). Raman spectrum of NO⁺UO₂(NO₃)₃⁻ in CH₃CN (RT, NMR tube, resolution $= 4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$): 3004 (1), 2944 (10), 2735 (1) (CH₃CN), 2311 (1), 2293 (1), 2253 (5), 1376 (1) (CH₃CN), 1026 (1) (NO₃⁻), 920 (3) (CH₃CN), 873 (1) (v_s(OUO)), 381 (2), 272 (1) (CH₃CN). Raman spectrum of NO⁺UO₂(NO₃)₃⁻ in CH₃NO₂ (RT, NMR tube, resolution = 4 cm⁻¹): 3049 (1), 2967 (7), 2772 (1) (CH₃NO₂), 2282 (2) $(\nu(NO^+))$, 1557 (2), 1402 (4), 1378 (3), 1311 (1), 1100 (1) (CH₃NO₂), 1026 (ν_2 , NO₃⁻), 918 (10) (CH₃NO₂), 878 (1), 866 (2) $(\nu_{s}(OUO)), 821 (1) (CH_{3}NO_{2}), 742 (1) (\nu_{3}, NO_{3}^{-}), 708 (1) (\nu_{5}, NO_{3}^{-}))$ NO₃⁻), 656 (3), 607 (1), 481 (2) (CH₃NO₂), 237 (1). Raman spectrum of NO⁺UO₂(NO₃)₃⁻ in SO₂ (RT, NMR tube, resolution $= 4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$): 1143 (10) (SO₂). Raman spectrum of NO⁺UO₂(NO₃)₃⁻ in N₂O₄ (RT, NMR tube, resolution = 4 cm⁻¹): 1983 (1), 1853 (1), 1711 (2), 1378 (4), 1325 (1), 1296 (1), 1062 (1), 808 (3), 668 (1), 477 (2), 262 (10), 141 (2) (N_2O_4). IR spectrum of NO^+UO_2 - $(NO_3)_3^-$ (RT, KBr plates, resolution = 4 cm⁻¹): 2537w ($\nu_2 + \nu_1$, NO_3^{-}), 2514w (NO_3^{-}), 2299m ($\nu(NO^+)$), 2044w ($2\nu_2$, NO_3^{-}), 1981w ($\nu_4 + \nu_5$, NO₃⁻), 1960w (NO₃⁻), 1761m ($\nu_2 + \nu_3$, NO₃⁻), $1727 \text{m} (\nu_2 + \nu_5, \text{NO}_3^-)$, 1630 m, 1604 m (NO₃⁻), 1517 vs, br (ν_1 , NO_3^{-}) 1269vs, br (ν_4 , NO_3^{-}), 1022s (ν_2 , NO_3^{-}), 949vs (ν (OUO)), 875vw (NO₃⁻), 803s (NO₃⁻), 752s, 741s (ν_3 , NO₃⁻), 710vw (ν_5 , NO₃⁻), 634w, 532w. ¹⁴N NMR (CH₃NO₂, RT, δ /ppm): -13 ($\nu_{1/2}$ = 43 Hz) (NO₃⁻). ¹⁵N NMR (CH₃NO₂, RT, δ /ppm): -11 (NO₃⁻). Crystallographic data collection for 1, UN_4O_{12} : fw = 486.05; crystal size, $0.10 \times 0.05 \times 0.03$ mm; monoclinic (C2/c), a =13.3992(4) Å, b = 9.9781(4) Å, c = 7.6455(2) Å, $\beta = 115.452(2)^{\circ}$, V = 922.98(5) Å³, Z = 4, T = 200 K, $R1[I > 2\sigma(I)] = 0.0228$, final wR2 = 0.0515.

Results and Discussion

We are currently interested in the investigation and unambiguous characterization of small and conceptually simple uranium systems, which establish the existence of new, simple classes of uranium compounds for the first time. As was discussed in the Introduction, conflicting views exist in the literature as to the precise nature of the U(VI) compound UN_4O_{12} , which has resulted in the compound being reported to be both the neutral $UO_2(NO_3)_2 \cdot N_2O_4$ and the ionic nitrosonium dioxotrinitratouranate ($NO^+UO_2(NO_3)_3^-$).

The synthesis of $NO^+UO_2(NO_3)_3^-$ was undertaken according to the procedure described in the literature for $UO_2(NO_3)_2 \cdot N_2O_4$,^{13b} whereby clean uranium turnings were added to a 30:70 nitromethane/N₂O₄ solution under anhydrous conditions. The highly moisture-sensitive precipitate could be stored for years at room temperature under an inert atmosphere, however addition of a small amount of compound **1** to H₂O, resulted in a vigorous reaction and the evolution of brown NO₂ gas. The synthesis of the air-stable



Figure 1. IR (top) and Raman (low-temperature) (bottom) spectra of $NO^+UO_2(NO_3)_3^-.$

 $K^+UO_2(NO_3)_3^-$ was repeated following the literature procedure to enable a direct comparison of the vibrational spectra with that of compound **1**, using the assignments of Bullock for $K^+UO_2(NO_3)_3^{-15}$

In the low-temperature (-110 °C) and room-temperature Raman spectra of compound 1, the presence of the uranyl group was clearly indicated by the strongest peak in the spectrum at 869 cm⁻¹, nicely in agreement with both $v_s(OUO)$ observed for UO₂(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (869 cm⁻¹) and $K^+UO_2(NO_3)_3^-$ (872 cm⁻¹).¹⁵ This is indicative of the formation of the U(VI) species and excluded the formation of the neutral U(IV) compound U(NO₃)₄. The second strongest peak in the Raman spectrum of compound 1 at 2301 cm^{-1} corresponds to the NO⁺ group (Figure 1). In contrast to the previously reported spectra, the peak observed at 2301 cm⁻¹ is both sharp and strong, does not correspond to an overtone as has been previously suggested. In addition, this peak compares nicely with $\nu(NO^+)$ in other nitrosonium salts, such as NO⁺SbF₆⁻ (ν (NO⁺) = 2348 cm⁻¹).¹⁶ This characteristic peak is the first clear indication that compound 1 is an ionic nitrosonium salt and not an N₂O₄ or NO₂ adduct. The peak at 1026 cm^{-1} (Raman) indicates the presence of the NO₃⁻ group and is not split in the room-temperature or -110 °C spectra, in agreement with K⁺UO₂(NO₃)₃⁻ (1029 cm^{-1}) and in contrast to UO₂(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, which shows two peaks (1042 and 1052 cm^{-1}). It is interesting to note that, using higher laser power (200 mW) at room temperature, quick decomposition of a solid sample of compound 1 occurred, with additional peaks at 896 and 1061 cm⁻¹ being observed in the Raman spectrum. Compound 1 is soluble in CH₃CN, very soluble in CH₃NO₂, but essentially insoluble in liquid SO₂ and liquid N₂O₄. Attempts to record Raman spectra of compound 1 in liquid SO_2 or N_2O_4 , showed only peaks corresponding to SO₂ or N₂O₄.¹⁹ After compound 1 was dissolved in CH₃CN and the Raman spectrum was measured, the CH₃CN was removed under vacuum, leaving behind a pale white/green solid. Peaks corresponding to coordinated CH₃CN were observed in the Raman spectrum; however, no peak for the nitrosonium cation was identified.²¹ A saturated CH_3NO_2 solution of compound 1 shows peaks characteristic of CH₃NO₂ as well as a peak at 2282 cm⁻¹, which is considerably shifted from the solid-state spectrum $(\nu(NO^+) = 2301 \text{ cm}^{-1})$. A comparison of the solid-state Raman spectrum of NO⁺SbF₆⁻ ($\nu(NO^+) = 2342 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) with the Raman spectrum of NO⁺SbF₆⁻ dissolved in CH₃NO₂ ($\nu(NO^+) = 2283 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) shows a similar shift to that observed for compound **1**. In addition, a peak at 1026 cm⁻¹ corresponding to $\nu_2(NO_3^-)$ was observed, as well as peaks at 878 and 866 cm⁻¹, which correspond to the $\nu_s(OUO)$ region. Although two peaks in the uranyl region were observed, after removal of the CH₃NO₂ solvent under vacuum, only peaks characteristic of compound **1** in the solid-state Raman spectrum were observed, and no additional peaks were present.

In the ¹⁴N NMR spectrum of compound **1** dissolved in CH₃NO₂, the NO₃⁻ groups could easily be detected by the peak at -13 ppm, this peak was also clearly observed in the ¹⁵N NMR spectrum at -11 ppm; however, in both the ¹⁴N and ¹⁵N NMR spectra, we were unable to detect the NO⁺ moiety. In addition, the solubility of compound **1** in liquid SO₂ was not sufficiently high to obtain ¹⁴N or ¹⁵N NMR spectra.²²

To deduce the structure of NO⁺UO₂(NO₃)₃⁻ in the solid state, single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction of compound **1** were obtained by cooling a saturated CH₃NO₂ solution of compound **1** to -28 °C. From the single-crystal structure determination of the resulting yellow/green crystals, the compound could be determined to be a dioxotrinitratouranate, UO₂(NO₃)₃⁻, with a structure similar to that of the cesium and rubidium salts M⁺UO₂(NO₃)₃⁻ (M = Cs⁺, Rb⁺)¹⁰ (Figure 2). As would be expected, the uranyl moiety is linear, with d(U=O) bond lengths of 1.753(4) Å, which compare nicely with those observed in Rb⁺UO₂(NO₃)₃⁻ (d(U=O) = 1.746(4) Å). The central uranium atom is eight coordinate, with three bidentate nitrate groups in the equatorial plane. The nitrate groups are slightly twisted out of the erange



Figure 2. Molecular structure of NO⁺UO₂(NO₃)₃⁻ in the crystalline state. ORTEP representation showing thermal ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [deg]: U1–O2, 1.753(4); U1–O3, 2.482(4); U1–O4, 2.479(4); U1–O6, 2.456(4); N2–O3, 1.267-(5); N2–O4, 1.291(6); N2–O5, 1.208(5); N3–O6, 1.281(5); N3–O7, 1.203(9); O2–U1–O2A, 179.8(2); U1–O3–N2, 96.5(3); O3–N2–O5, 123.0(5).



Figure 3. Structure of the $UO_2(NO_3)_3^-$ anion in compound 1.

2.456(4)–2.482(4) Å and are similar to those observed for Rb⁺UO₂(NO₃)₃⁻ (d(U–ONO₂) = 2.474(3) Å) but slightly shorter than those observed for UO₂(NO₃)₂•6H₂O (d(U–ONO₂) = 2.504(5) and 2.547(6) Å).^{2h} It is interesting to note that Pyykkö et al. could show computationally that only a small variation of R_{ax} as a function of R_{eq} is expected for the UO₂(NO₃)₃⁻ anion.²³ In NO⁺UO₂(NO₃)₃⁻, the O–N bond lengths range from 1.203(9) to 1.291(6) Å, in nice agreement with those of Rb⁺UO₂(NO₃)₃⁻ (d(N–O) = 1.205(6)–1.268(4) Å). The O- - -O contacts between oxygen

⁽¹⁹⁾ Raman spectra of various concentrations of N₂O₄ were recorded in nitromethane in order to ascertain if a ν (NO⁺) vibration would be observed. In the Raman spectra of the dilute as well as concentrated solutions, essentially only peaks corresponding to N₂O₄ (1710 (1), 811 (3), 480 (2), 269 (5)) and CH₃NO₂ (3049 (1), 2968 (7), 2772 (1), 1558 (1), 1401 (5), 1379 (5), 1100 (1), 918 (10), 656 (3), 607 (1), 480 (2), 152 (1)) were observed. The peak observed at 2283 cm⁻¹ in the Raman spectrum of compound **1** may therefore be tentatively assigned to a ν (NO⁺) mode, which is unlikely to arise from the dissociation of N₂O₄ from compound **1**. The effect of various solvents on the precise nature of N₂O₄ has been shown by the isolation of various ionic forms from different solvent systems, such as NO₂⁺NO₂^{-•}BF₃^{20a} and NO⁺NO₃⁻ from an IF₅ solution.²⁰

^{(20) (}a) Sprague, R. W.; Garrett, A. B.; Sisler, H. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1960, 82, 1059. (b) Zhang, X.; Seppelt, K. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1998, 624, 667.

⁽²¹⁾ The Raman spectrum of the solid obtained after dissolution of compound 1 in CH₃CN and then removal of the solid to yield a pale white/green solid showed a very similar $\nu_s(OUO)$ stretch (867 cm⁻¹) to that of solid NO⁺UO₂(NO₃)⁻ ($\nu_s(OUO) = 869$ cm⁻¹). However, the peaks at 2312 and 2283 cm⁻¹, are similar to and have the same relative intensities as those of coordinated CH₃CN in UO₂Cl₂·2NCCH₃ (2308 and 2281 cm⁻¹). No peak could be clearly identified for the presence of the NO⁺ group. In addition, there are peaks present in the Raman spectrum that correspond to acetonitrile at 3012, 2943, and 403 cm⁻¹, similar to those observed for noncoordinated CH₃CN. This suggests that compound 1 may have been converted into an acetonitrile adduct of the type UO₂(NO₃)₂·xNCCH₃.

⁽²²⁾ Relatively few reports exist in the literature regarding ¹⁴N NMR spectra of NO⁺ compounds; however, the NO⁺ group is reported to be very broad and to be observed in the CH₃NO₂ region. For comparison, NO⁺SbF₆⁻ was dissolved in CH₃NO₂ to form a highly concentrated solution, and the ¹⁴N NMR spectrum was recorded. However, the close proximity of the NO⁺ chemical shift to that of the CH₃NO₂ solvent and the broad nature of the peak are problematic. In the ¹⁴N NMR spectrum of compound 1 in CH₃NO₂, we have only been able to identify the presence of NO₃⁻ groups, and we were unable to prepare a sufficiently concentrated sample to observe the NO⁺ moiety in ¹⁵N NMR spectroscopy.

⁽²³⁾ Pyykkö, P.; Li, J.; Runeberg, N. J. Phys. Chem. 1994, 98, 4809.



Figure 4. A view of the unit cell of compound **1** viewed along the *c* axis. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

atoms of the same nitrate group vary between 2.156 and 2.164 Å and between oxygen atoms of neighboring NO₃⁻ groups in the range 2.730–2.811 Å (Figure 3). In addition, weak contacts exist between the NO⁺ cation and the $UO_2(NO_3)_3^-$ anion. Each NO⁺ cation shows contacts to the oxygen atom of one uranyl group in one $UO_2(NO_3)_3^-$ anion and the oxygen atom of a nitrate group in a different $UO_2(NO_3)_3^-$ anion. In each $UO_2(NO_3)_3^-$ anion, both uranyl oxygen atoms possess contacts to two different NO⁺ cations, and two nitrate groups of one $UO_2(NO_3)_3^-$ anion show contacts to two further NO⁺ cations. One of the nitrate groups in the anion does not exhibit any contacts to a NO⁺ group. The unit cell of compound 1 viewed along the c axis is shown in Figure 4. The N3–O7 bond length (d(N3-O7) = 1.203)Å) in the nitrate group that does not show any contacts to a NO⁺ cation is essentially the same as the corresponding

N2–O5 bond length of the nitrate groups that show cation– anion contacts (d(N2-O5) = 1.208 Å). Unfortunately, the NO⁺ group was found to be disordered and the N–O bond length unreliably short (0.873 Å), and despite several recrystallizations, the disorder in the NO⁺ cation remained.²⁴ Despite this, the ionic nature of the compound remains clear, and no evidence for the coordination of a bidentate N₂O₄ ligand was observed in the solid state.

Conclusion

The synthesis and characterization of NO⁺UO₂(NO)₃⁻ has been undertaken, and the highly air-sensitive compound obtained was characterized using IR, low-temperature, roomtemperature, and solution Raman spectroscopy as well as X-ray diffraction. UN₄O₁₂ is an important precursor for the synthesis of anhydrous uranyl nitrate, and the structure has finally been elucidated to be that of an ionic nitrosonium salt NO⁺UO₂(NO₃)₃⁻ and not an N₂O₄ adduct, as is predominantly and incorrectly cited in the literature.

Acknowledgment. The authors are indebted to and thank Prof. Dr. Thomas M. Klapötke (LMU, Munich) for his generous support of this work. Prof. Dr. A. Türler and Dr. R. Henkelmann (Technische Universität, Munich) are thanked for invaluable help and advice provided throughout this study. Prof. Dr. Peter Klüfers (LMU, Munich) is thanked for his generous allocation of X-ray diffractometer time. Financial support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (CR 138/1-1 and CR 138/1-2) the Hochschul and Wissenschafts Program (HWP) of LMU Munich for a Habilitation Scholarship and LMU, Munich, is gratefully acknowledged.

Supporting Information Available: X-ray crystallographic files for $NO^+UO_2(NO_3)_3^-$ (1) are available in CIF format. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

IC050409V

⁽²⁴⁾ Disorder in NO⁺ groups has been observed for NO⁺SbCl₆⁻·C₆Me₆, NO⁺AsF₆⁻·C₆Me₆, and NO⁺SbCl₆⁻·C₆H₅Me. See: (a) Brownstein, S.; Gabe, E.; Lee, F.; Tang, L. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984, 1566. (b) Brownstein, S.; Gabe, E.; Lee, F.; Piotrowski, A. Can. J. Chem. 1986, 64, 1661. (c) Rosokha, S. V.; Kochi, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 5620. (d) Rosokha, S. V.; Lindeman, S. V.; Kochi, J. K. J. Chem. Soc., Perkins Trans. 2 2002, 2123.