Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 11570-11582

Inorganic Chemistr

Group VIII Coordination Chemistry of a Pincer-Type Bis(8-quinolinyl)amido Ligand

Theodore A. Betley,[†] Baixin A. Qian, and Jonas C. Peters*,[‡]

Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Arnold and Mabel Beckman Laboratories of Chemical Synthesis, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, and Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Received June 11, 2008

This paper provides an entry point to the coordination chemistry of the group VIII chemistry of the bis(8-quinolinyl)amine (BQA) ligand. In this context, mono- and disubstituted BQA complexes of iron, ruthenium, and osmium are described. For example, the low-spin bis-ligated Fe(III) complex [Fe(BQA)₂][BPh₄] has been prepared via amine addition to FeCl₃ in the presence of a base and NaBPh₄. Complexes featuring a single BQA ligand are more readily prepared for Ru and Os. Auxiliary ligands featuring a single BQA ligand, along with two other L-type donor ligands, allow for a variety of ligand types to occupy a sixth coordination site. Representative examples include the halide and pseudohalide complexes *trans*-(BQA)MX(PPh₃)₂ (M = Ru, Os; X = Cl, Br, N₃, OTf), as well as the hydride and alkyl complexes *trans*-(BQA)RuH(PMe₃)₂ and *trans*-(BQA)RuMe(PMe₃)₂. Electrochemical studies are discussed that help to contextualize the BQA ligand with respect to its neutral counterpart 2,2',2''-terpyridine (terpy) in terms of electron-releasing character. Bidentate ligands have been explored in conjunction with the BQA ligand. Thus, the bidentate, monoanionic aryl(8-quinolinyl)amido ligand 3,5-(CF₃)₂-(C₆H₃)QA has been installed onto the (BQA)Ru platform to provide (BQA)Ru(3,5-(CF₃)₂-(C₆H₃)QA)(PPh₃). A bis(phosphino)borate ligand stabilizes the five-coordinate complex [Ph₂B(CH₂PPh₂)₂]Ru(BQA). Finally, access to dinitrogen complexes of the types [(BQA)Ru(N₂)(PPh₃)₂][PF₆], [(BQA)Ru(N₂)(PPh₃)₂][PF₆], and [(BQA)Os(N₂)(PPh₃)₂][PF₆] is provided by exposure of the sixth coordination site under a N₂ atmosphere.

Introduction

Some years ago, the term "pincer-type amido" ligand was introduced to refer to anionic tridentate ligands that feature a central X-type metal—amido linkage with two chelating donor arms extending from this central donor.¹ The use of the term "pincer" implied amido ligands that would most commonly favor a planar binding geometry such that, when coordinated to an octahedral metal complex, they would display a mer binding configuration. In this regard, such ligands are conceptually analogous to the more popular family of anionic "pincer" ligands in which a central carbon rather than nitrogen X-type linkage binds the metal. A prototypical example of the latter ligand type is a cyclometalated arylbis(phosphine), and related systems where the donors are amines, carbenes, and other donors are also well established.²⁻⁴

In the past several years, "pincer-type" amido ligands have gained prominence in organometallic and inorganic chemistry,^{5,6} the most popular examples of such systems being diarylamido ligands in which two phosphine donors extend

^{*} Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: jcpeters@mit.edu.

[†] Current address: Harvard University, Cambridge MA.

^{*} Current address: Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Ave Cambridge, MA 02139.

Peters, J. C.; Harkins, S. B.; Brown, S. D. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 5083.

^{(2) (}a) Pugh, D.; Danopoulos, A. A. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2007, *251*, 610.
(b) van der Boom, M. E.; Milstein, D. *Chem. Rev.* 2003, *103*, 1759.
(c) Gossage, R. A.; Van De Kuil, L. A.; van Koten, G. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1998, *31*, 423.

^{(3) (}a) Liu, F. C.; Pak, E. B.; Singh, B.; Jensen, C. M.; Goldman, A. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 4086. (b) Sundermann, A.; Uzan, O.; Milstein, D.; Martin, J. M. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 7095. (c) Dani, P.; Karlen, T.; Gossage, R. A.; Gladiali, S.; van Koten, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 743.

^{(4) (}a) Mayer, H. A.; Kaska, W. C. *Chem. Rev.* **1994**, *94*, 1239. (b) Bianchini, C.; Meli, A.; Peruzzini, M.; Vizza, F.; Zanobini, F. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1992**, *120*, 193. (c) Cotton, F. A.; Hong, B. *Prog. Inorg. Chem.* **1992**, *40*, 179.

⁽⁵⁾ Liang, L. C.; Lin, J. M.; Hung, C. H. Organometallics 2003, 22, 3007.
(6) Harkins, S. B.; Peters, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 2885.

Scheme 1



from the ortho positions of the aryl rings.⁷ These ligands closely resemble the hard/soft bis(phosphine)amido ligands that were originally introduced by Fryzuk.⁸ Indeed, while it is often assumed that the diarylamido bis(donor) ligands will adopt a rigid planar geometry owing to the aryl bridging units, such ligands are in fact conformationally quite flexible and, like the earlier Fryzuk systems, give rise to more diverse structure types. ⁹

The pincer-type bis(8-quinolinyl)amido ligand, which presents two sp²-hybridized hard N donors to a chelated metal ion in addition to the diarylamido unit, is perhaps more highly disposed to bind in a planar fashion; it was hence for this ligand system that the term "pincer-type" amido ligand was first coined.¹ However, even this ligand can adopt a facial binding motif, as shown for an octahedral Pt(IV) system.^{9c} Nevertheless, we and others have generally found that this ligand is most typically disposed to a planar binding motif^{1,9c,10,11} and is, moreover, a robust chelate even in the presence of aqueous and acidic solvents. These properties distinguish it from many other amido ligands, whether or not they are stabilized by a chelate effect, for which aminolysis can be both kinetically and thermodynamically problematic. In this regard, bis(8-quinolinyl)amido ligands have many properties in common with conjugated aromatic N-heterocyclic chelates, such as the ubiquitous 2,2',2"terpyridine (terpy) ligand,¹² and should likewise be robust under relatively oxidizing conditions owing to the exclusive presence of hard N donors conjugated into an aromatic π system. However, as the bis(8-quinolinyl)amine (BQA) ligand is typically monoanionic when coordinated to a metal, rather than a neutral donor as for terpy, its resulting metal complexes should be appreciably more electron-rich than terpy relatives, a property that is potentially advantageous for reductive small molecule activation reactions.

To begin to more thoroughly explore the advantages and disadvantages of the bis(8-quinolinyl)amido auxiliary as a conveniently synthesized, monanionic "pincer" analogue of terpyridine, we have undertaken the preparation and study of a series of group VIII coordination complexes supported by BQA. These systems are cousins of tridentate and planar 2,6-bis(phosphino)aryl-Ru(II) (i.e., PCP-Ru(II)) complexes that have been studied in recent years,¹³ for example, in the context of transfer dehydrogenation reactions.¹⁴ However, in octahedral d⁶ complexes of the type described herein, a filled amido N(2p) orbital is of appropriate symmetry to mix with a filled metal d orbital (see Scheme 1). If significant mixing occurs, the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) is raised in energy. This HOMO-raising can in principle manifest itself at the metal complex by an increased affinity for π -acidic ligands, or conversely, by a decreased affinity for π -basic ligands.

Results and Discussion

Preparation of [Fe(BQA)₂][BPh₄]. To obtain electrochemical data of $M(BQA)_2$ species for comparison with ferrocene, we sought to prepare Fe(BQA)₂. We have previously found that delivery of the bis(quinolinyl)amine (HBQA, 1) ligand to group 10 metals could be achieved via two routes: reaction of a metal halide with 1[Li] or reaction of the free amine 1 with a metal precursor in the presence of excess base.¹ Metalation of the BQA ligand was carried out with FeCl₃ (anhydrous) via an in situ salt metathesis reaction (eq 1). Reacting two equivalents of amine 1 with FeCl₃ in dichloromethane with the addition of Na₂CO₃ in water results in rapid formation of the desired complex [Fe(BQA)₂][Cl]. The addition of an aqueous solution of

- (7) Liang, L. C. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2006, 250, 1152.
- (8) Fryzuk, M. D. Can. J. Chem. 1992, 70, 2839.
- (9) (a) Harkins, S. B.; Peters, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 2885.
 (b) Harkins, S. B.; Peters, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 2030.
 (c) Harkins, S. B.; Peters, J. C. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 4316. (d) Fout, A. R.; Basuli, F.; Fan, H. J.; Tomaszewski, J.; Huffman, J. C.; Baik, M. H.; Mindiola, D. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 3291.
- (10) (a) Jenson, K. A.; Nielson, P. H. Acta Chem. Scand. 1964, 18, 1. (b)
 Puzas, J. P.; Nakon, R.; Pertersen, J. L. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 3837.
- (11) Maiti, D.; Paul, H.; Chanda, N.; Chakraborty, S.; Mondal, B.; Puranik, V. G.; Lahiri, G. K. *Polyhedron* **2004**, 831.
- (12) Sauvage, J.-P.; Collin, J.-P.; Chambron, J.-C.; Guillerez, S.; Coudret, C.; Balzani, V.; Barigelletti, F.; De Cola, L.; Flamigni, L. *Chem. Rev.* **1994**, *94*, 993.
- (13) (a) Gusev, D. G.; Madott, M.; Dolgushin, F. M.; Lyssenko, K. A.; Antipin, M. Y *Organometallics* 2000, *19*, 1734. (b) Regis, R. M.; Rozenberg, H.; Shimon, L. J. W.; Milstein, D. *Organometallics* 2001, 20, 1719. (c) Dani, P.; Karlen, T.; Gossage, R. A.; Smeets, W. J. J.; Spek, A. L.; van Koten, G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1997, *119*, 11317. (d) Jia, G.; Lee, H. M.; Xia, H. P.; Williams, I. D. *Organometallics* 1996, *15*, 5453.
- (14) Dani, P.; Karlen, T.; Gossage, R. A.; Gladiali, S.; van Koten, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 743.



Figure 1. (a) Electronic spectrum of [Fe(BQA)₂][BPh₄] (2). (b) EPR spectrum of solid 2 (4 K, X-band, 9.62 GHz).



Figure 2. Displacement ellipsoid representation (50%) of (a) (BQA)RuCl(cod) (**3**), (b) (BQA)RuCl(PPh₃)₂ (**5**), and (c) (BQA)OsCl(PPh₃)₂ (**6**). Hydrogen atoms have been removed for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg) for **3**: Ru–N1 2.128(3), Ru–N2 2.048(2), Ru–N3 2.126(3), Ru–Cl 2.426(1), avg. Ru–C 2.215(3), N1–Ru–N2 76.9(1), N2–Ru–N3 77.9(1), N1–Ru–N3 151.5(1). For **5**: Ru–N1 2.066(3), Ru–N2 2.005(2), Ru–N3 2.061(3), Ru–Cl 2.482(1), Ru–P1 2.397(1), Ru–P2 2.379(1), N1–Ru–N2 80.4(1), N2–Ru–N3 80.3(1), N1–Ru–N3 160.7(1), P1–Ru–P2 178.9(1). For **6**: Os–N1 2.063(3), Os–N2 2.023(3), Os–N3 2.064(3), Os–Cl 2.488(1), Os–P1 2.368(1), Os–P2 2.381(1), N1–Os–N2 81.5(1), N2–Os–N3 80.1(1), N1–Os–N3 161.5(1), P1–Os–P2 174.8(1).

NaBPh₄ to the reaction affords [Fe(BQA)₂][BPh₄] (**2**). The resulting brick red, cationic Fe^{III} species is air-stable and is readily soluble in polar solvents (CH₂Cl₂, DMSO). This is distinct from its poorly soluble iron(II) counterpart, Fe-(BQA)₂, which can be readily generated from FeCl₂, HBQA, and NEt₃ (or from **1**[Li] and FeCl₂) and identified by electrospray ionization mass spectrometry, but is difficult to isolate in pure form owing to its poor solubility. Complex **2** exhibits paramagnetically shifted ¹H NMR resonances and appears to populate a doublet ground state exclusively ($\mu_{eff} = 2.1 \ \mu_{B}$), as determined by a room-temperature-solution Evans method determination.¹⁵ Iron(III) {Fe(terpy)₂}⁺ species are likewise low-spin in nature.¹⁶



A dichloromethane solution of **2** exhibits multiple intense transitions in the UV–visible region, $[\lambda_{max}/nm (\epsilon/M^{-1} cm^{-1})]$: 1030 (11 000), 680 (2700), 514 (33 000), 418 (49 000), 306 (90 000) (Figure 1a). The high extinction coefficients for the

intense transitions at 514, 418, and 306 nm are most likely ligand-centered $\pi - \pi^*$ transitions, which is in agreement with the recently assigned spectrum for $[Co(BQA)_2][ClO_4]$ by Lahiri et al.¹¹ The presence of a weak transition at 680 nm may be the d-d transition $({}^{3}A_1 \rightarrow {}^{2}T_g)$ expected for the d⁵ system. The large extinction coefficient for the band at 1030 nm suggests it is a charge-transfer band, quite possibly a ligand-to-metal charge transfer event in which a ligandcentered electron is excited into the singly occupied d orbital.

The EPR of a solid sample of **2** was also collected at 4 K and is shown in Figure 1b. The spin S = 1/2 state of **2** gives rise to a rhombic signal where the three **g** tensors are resolved: $g_z = 2.39$, $g_y = 2.14$, $g_x = 1.98$. No hyperfine coupling to nitrogen is observed.

Preparation of Ru and Os BQA Complexes. A single BQA ligand can be installed onto divalent Ru or Os centers from a variety of precursors. For example, the reaction of polymeric [RuCl₂(COD)]_n with one equivalent of **1**[Li] yields (BQA)RuCl(COD) (**3**) and variable amounts (20-30%) of the disubstituted species Ru(BQA)₂ (COD = cyclooctadiene). Flash chromatography effectively separates the products. Complex **3** has been characterized in the solid state by X-ray crystallography, and its solid-state structure is shown in Figure 2. Quantitative conversion to the disubstituted species Ru(BQA)₂ (**4**) can be achieved by refluxing [RuCl₂(COD)]_n with two equivalents of **1**[Li] in toluene. An electrospray mass spectrum (ES-MS) of the product mixture reveals only the parent ion peak for the disubstituted species, **4**, at m/z =

^{(15) (}a) Sur, S. K. J. Magn. Reson. 1989, 82, 169. (b) Evans, D. F. J. Chem. Soc. 1959, 2003.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Reiff, W. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 3829.



Figure 3. (a) Cyclic voltammetry of **2** in 0.4 M $^{n}Bu_{4}NPF_{6}/THF$, scan rate = 75 mV/s. (b) Postulated radical delocalization of the bis(quinolinyl)amide ligand (Q = quinoline).

642, without **3** or any ligand fragmentation products apparent in the spectrum.

Refluxing RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃ in dichloromethane with HBQA in the presence of excess base (NEt₃) results in the formation of $(BQA)RuCl(PPh_3)_2$ (5), which can be isolated in crystalline yields exceeding 85%. The ³¹P NMR spectrum of 5 shows a single chemical shift at $\delta = 29$ ppm in benzene, suggesting that the phosphorus nuclei are trans-disposed with the BQA ligand bound in the expected mer fashion. This is confirmed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis (vide infra). Product 5 can also be obtained via salt elimination by the reaction of 1[Li] and RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃ in toluene at room temperature. Similarly, the Os congener (BQA)OsCl(PPh₃)₂ (6) is produced via the reaction of 1[Li] and $OsCl_2(PPh_3)_3$ in toluene at room temperature. However, when OsCl₂(PPh₃)₃ is exposed to the free amine 1, in the presence of excess triethylamine base, the product cis-(PPh₃)₂OsCl(BQA) (7) is instead exclusively obtained, as revealed by its ³¹P NMR spectrum (δ 2.2, -0.9, ${}^{2}J_{P-P} = 10.7$ Hz). While 7 can be isolated as a brown solid, all attempts to crystallize it from solution invariably yielded crystals of the green, transdisposed product 6, suggesting the latter to be thermally favored on steric grounds. The solid-state crystal structures for complexes 5 and 6 are shown in Figure 2. The solidstate structures for **3**, **5**, and **6** all demonstrate that the BQA ligand binds in a mer fashion. The amido N-M bond distances are consistently shorter ($\Delta \sim 0.06$ Å) than the quinolinyl N-M bond distances. The proclivity for the BQA ligand to "pinch" back is also apparent by the N1-M-N3 bond angles, which range from 150 to 160°. Finally, the BQA ligands display a gentle twist that presumably relieves a steric interaction between the H atoms connected to the C7 positions of the two quinoline arms.

Electrochemical Analysis of (**BQA**)_{*n*}**M Complexes.** It is interesting to compare the Fe^{III/II} redox potentials of **2** to other examples of homoleptic Fe complexes. Figure 3 shows the cyclic voltammogram of **2** in 0.1 M ^{*n*}Bu₄NPF₆ in dichloromethane referenced against an internal Fc/Fc⁺ standard. The Fe^{III/II} couple for **2** ($E_{1/2} = -0.79$ V, $\Delta_p = 65$ mV) is 0.79 V more reducing than the Fe^{III/II} couple for Fc, suggesting that **2** could be used as a mild oxidizing agent.¹⁷ The electron-rich Fe(BQA)₂ system features an Fe^{III/II} couple that is at a potential even lower than Cp*₂Fe, which exhibits an Fe^{III/II} couple at -0.48 V.¹⁷ In addition to the Fe^{III/II} couple, complex **2** exhibits a second, irreversible redox event at $E_{1/2}$

= +0.14 V. The shape of the secondary oxidation event was independent of scan rate (0.05–0.5 V/s) and did not change noticeably when THF or dichloromethane was the solvent. This second redox event could signify a Fe^{IV/III} redox event, or it could represent some redox process centered on the BQA ligand itself. The BQA ligand, which exhibits an irreversible oxidation event at +0.56 V (vs Fc/Fc⁺), is the most likely the candidate for oxidation in this case. If this second redox event is ligand-centered, the radical thus formed can be delocalized over the quinoline rings of BQA. Possible contributing resonance structures that would serve to stabilize the radical are presented in Figure 3b. In any event, the increase in current that is observed positive in this event (ca. 0.3V) suggests the formation of at least one new species from the irreversible redox process.

Ruthenium complexes bearing the BQA ligand and 2,2',2"terpyridine (terpy) ligands were also examined to compare the BQA ligand's electron releasing character with its neutral terdentate counterpart. Thus, $[Ru(terpy)_2]^{2+}$ (8), ¹⁸ [(terpy)Ru (BQA)][BPh₄] (9), and Ru(BQA)₂ (4) were each studied by cyclic voltammetry. The mixed BQA/terpy complex 9 was readily synthesized by refluxing a dichloromethane solution of (terpy)RuCl₂(PPh₃)¹⁹ with one equivalent of NaBPh₄ and 1 in the presence of three equivalents of triethylamine. The cyclic voltammograms for 8, 9, and 4 are presented in Figure 4a. The measurements were taken in 0.1 M "Bu₄NPF₆ in dichloromethane and referenced against an internal Fc/Fc⁺ standard. Complexes 8, 9, and 4 (labeled A, B, and C in Figure 4a, respectively) each exhibit reversible waves assigned as Ru^{III/II} couples. The effect of substituting the neutral terpy ligand by anionic BQA is dramatic. The Ru^{III/} II couple shifts cathodically by ca. 620 mV from the dication **8** $(E_{1/2} = 0.92 \text{ V})^{18}$ to the monocation **9** $(E_{1/2} = 0.31 \text{ V}, \Delta_p)$ = 89 mV); the Ru^{III/II} couple shifts an additional 520 mV from **9** to neutral **4** ($E_{1/2} = -0.21$ V, $\Delta_p = 99$ mV). As for the iron derivative 2, neutral 4 exhibits a second wave centered at $E_{1/2} = +0.60$ V ($\Delta_p = 97$ mV), though in this case, it exhibits a higher degree of reversibility. There is also a small feature, centered at ca. 0.3 V, that is most likely due to an impurity in the sample. As discussed above, the higher potential redox event may be attributable to a reversible Ru^{IV/III} couple, or it may represent a redox event

⁽¹⁸⁾ Sauvage, J.-P.; Collin, J.-P.; Chambron, J.-C.; Guillerez, S.; Coudret, C.; Balzani, V.; Barigelletti, F.; De Cola, L.; Flamigni, L. Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 993.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Sullivan, B. P.; Calvert, J. M.; Meyer, T. J. Inorg. Chem. 1980, 19, 1404.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Connelly, N. G.; Geiger, W. E. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 877.



Figure 4. (a) Cyclic voltammetry of $[(terpy)_2Ru]^{2+}$ (8), $[(terpy)Ru(BQA)]^+$ (9), and $Ru(BQA)_2$ (4), in 0.4 M ^{*n*}Bu₄NPF₆/CH₂Cl₂, scan rate = 75 mV/s. (b) Cyclic voltammetry of (D) (BQA)RuCl(PPh₃)₂ (5) and (E) (BQA)OsCl(PPh₃)₂ (6), in 0.1 M ^{*n*}Bu₄NPF₆/CH₂Cl₂, scan rate = 50 mV/s.

Scheme 2



at the BQA ligand. At present, we cannot distinguish between these two possibilities, though it is noteworthy that the second redox wave is observed at significantly different potentials for the two $M(BQA)_2$ species (M = Fe, Ru).

The potential M^{IV/III} redox couples observed for **2** and **4** prompted us to examine whether this feature was limited to complexes of the composition M(BQA)₂. Interestingly, both the ruthenium and osmium complexes, **5** and **6**, also exhibit two reversible redox processes, as shown in Figure 4b (0.4 M "Bu₄NPF₆ in dichloromethane, referenced to internal Fc/Fc⁺). Complex **5** exhibits a reversible redox wave at $E_{1/2} = -0.55$ V ($\Delta_p = 78$ mV) assigned as the Ru^{III/II} couple. The second reversible redox wave occurs at $E_{1/2} = +0.45$ V ($\Delta_p = 76$ mV). The Os complex **6** similarly displays two reversible redox processes centered at $E_{1/2} = -0.72$ V (Os^{III/}II, $\Delta_p = 85$ mV) and $E_{1/2} = +0.23$ V (BQA/BQA⁺, $\Delta_p = 83$ mV).

Ligand Exchange Reactions. To survey the general reactivity of the (BQA)MX(PPh₃)₂ complexes, we probed exchange reactions of the phosphine and halide ligands (Scheme 2). Substitution of the PPh₃ units on 5 for smaller, stronger σ -donor phosphine ligands occurs under relatively mild conditions. For example, triethylphosphine fully substitutes in refluxing toluene to form trans-(BQA)RuCl(PEt₃)₂ (10), while trimethylphosphine fully substitutes at room temperature to form *trans*-(BQA)RuCl(PMe₃)₂ (11) (Scheme 2). The trans geometry is preserved as evidenced by the ^{31}P NMR spectrum, which shows a single chemical shift for both 10 and 11 at 11.8 and 0.5 ppm, respectively. Refluxing 5 in excess pyridine resulted in incomplete phosphine substitution, as ascertained by ³¹P NMR, while acetonitrile did not effect any phosphine displacement under refluxing conditions. Likewise, refluxing precursor 5 with larger ligand types such as PCy₃ failed to afford phosphine displacement.



Figure 5. Displacement ellipsoid representation (50%) of (left) (BQA)Ru(3,5-(CF₃)₂-(C₆H₃)QA)(PPh₃) (**19**) and (right) [(Ph₂BP₂)RuCl(BQA)][NEt₄] (**21**). Hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules have been removed for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg) for **19**: Ru–N1 2.066(3), Ru–N2 2.021(3), Ru–N3 2.045(3), Ru–N4 2.094(3), Ru–N5 2.144(3), Ru–P1 2.319(1), N1–Ru–N2 81.02(1), N2–Ru–N3 80.88(1), N1–Ru–N3 160.82(1), N4–Ru–N5 77.48(1), N5–Ru–P1 170.99(1). For **21**: Ru–N1 2.095(4), Ru–N2 2.050(4), Ru–N3 2.078(4), Ru–Cl 2.515(1), Ru–P1 2.353(1), Ru–P2 2.291(1), N1–Ru–N2 78.9(1), N2–Ru–N3 79.8(1), N1–Ru–N3 158.1(1), P1–Ru–P2 90.33(5).

Scheme 3



We also probed the replacement of the halide ligand in 11 with several other anionic ligands. For instance, refluxing 11 in THF with four equivalents of potassium halide salts produced the bromide and iodide complexes trans-(BQA)Ru- $Br(PMe_3)_2$ (12) and *trans*-(BQA)RuI(PMe_3)_2 (13) (Scheme 2). The reaction between 11 and LiAlH₄ in toluene at room temperature provided the hydride complex trans-(BQA)Ru- $H(PMe_3)_2$ (14) in moderate yield. Access to the methyl complex trans-(BQA)RuMe(PMe₃)₂ (15) required excess MeLi in refluxing toluene. The azide complex trans- $(BQA)Ru(N_3)(PMe_3)_2$ (16) was readily prepared by refluxing NaN₃ and 11 in a mixture of THF and dichloromethane for several hours. Complexes 12–16 all maintain the coordination environment of the parent species 11, showing no propensity to give rise to other isomers. For the methyl and hydride derivatives in particular, it is noteworthy that the amido N atom and the alkyl/hydride ligand remain transdisposed. This contrasts with PCP-type pincer systems, where cis disposition is often favored.²⁰ We presume that the amido N atom of the BQA system is not very strongly trans influencing, and that the phosphine ligands themselves are appreciably more so.

We also canvassed the reaction between 5 and the sodium salt of the bidentate monoquinolinyl-amide ligand $[3,5-(CF_3)_2-$ (C₆H₃)QA][Na(Et₂O)] (18), because we were interested in determining whether the two amide functionalities would adopt a cis or trans arrangement. This reaction produced the deep purple complex $(BQA)Ru(3,5-(CF_3)_2-(C_6H_3)QA)(PPh_3)$ (19) (Scheme 3). For **19**, the amide from the monoquinoline ligand adopts a trans disposition to the phosphine ligand, orienting the bulky bis-3,5-triflouromethyl phenyl amide substituent on the opposite side of the BQA plane from the phosphine ligand. This orientation was verified via crystallographic characterization of **19**, whose solid-state structure is shown in Figure 5, and can likely be explained on steric grounds alone. Interestingly, the monoquinolinyl amido N atom that is trans to the phosphine ligand (N5 in Figure 5) is substantially elongated relative to the amido N atom from the BQA ligand (N2 in Figure 5) due to the strong trans influence of the phosphine ligand. Substitution of the remaining phosphine ligand of 19 occurs when it was refluxed in toluene. For example, the carbonyl adduct (BQA-)Ru $(3,5-(CF_3)_2-(C_6H_3)QA)(CO)$ (20) is formed by refluxing 19 in toluene under an atmosphere of CO gas for 20 h. Formation of 20 from 19 is accompanied by a color change from deep purple to an intense red.

^{(20) (}a) Vila, J. M.; Pereira, M. T.; Ortigueira, J. M.; Lata, D.; Lopez Torres, M.; Fernandez, J. J.; Fernandez, A.; Adams, H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1998, 566, 93. (b) Crespo, M.; Solans, X.; Font-Bardia, M. Polyhedron 1998, 17, 3927. (c) Gandelman Vigalok, A.; Shimon, L. J. W.; Milstein, D. Organometallics 1997, 16, 3981.



The reaction of 5 with the anionic bis(phosphine) ligand $[Ph_2B(CH_2PPh_2)_2][NEt_4]$ (hereafter referred to as $[Ph_2BP_2])^{21}$ in THF generated the product $\{[Ph_2BP_2]RuCl(BQA)\}\{NEt_4\}$ (21) as a midnight blue solid. The ³¹P NMR spectrum for complex **21** displays a doublet of doublets (δ 54, 28.3 ppm, ${}^{2}J_{P-P} = 36$ Hz), indicating the inequivalent phosphorus environments. This is confirmed by the solid-state X-ray crystal structure (Figure 5). The [Ph₂BP₂]⁻ ligand afforded complete displacement of the phosphine ligands from 5. Interestingly, the chloride remains coordinated to the metal in a position cis to the amido nitrogen. Washing 21 with ethanol, however, extracts NEt₄Cl to precipitate the 16electron, neutral complex [Ph2BP2]Ru(BQA) (22) as a wine red solid (Scheme 4). Complex 22 displays a singlet in its ³¹P NMR spectrum at 69 ppm, which suggests that the phosphine positions are equivalent on the NMR time scale, and we presume 22 adopts the trigonal-bipyramidal geometry shown in Scheme 4, though no solid-state structural data are available. The neutral complex 22 is stable as a coordinatively unsaturated complex and does not bind an equivalent of dinitrogen, even under elevated pressures (60-80 psi). This was somewhat surprising to us, especially in light of observations regarding N₂ binding described below. The carbonyl complex [Ph₂BP₂]Ru(CO)(BQA) (**23**) can be readily prepared, however, by the addition of CO gas to a solution of **22** in dichloromethane, or alternatively, by chloride displacement in **21** in THF.

Cationic (**BQA**)**M**(**PR**₃)₂(**L**)⁺ **Species** (**M** = **Ru**, **Os**). Halide abstraction routes were also canvassed so as to expose a labile coordination site at the (BQA)M(PR₃)₂(X) species. Chloride abstraction reactions with **5** or **6** using sodium or potassium reagents such as NaBPh₄ or KPF₆ proceeded only in the presence of relatively good donor solvents. For instance, the reaction of *trans*-(BQA)RuCl(PPh₃)₂ (**5**) with NaBPh₄ in a mixture of THF/acetonitrile immediately produced the purple solvento species [(BQA)Ru(NCCH₃) (PPh₃)₂][BPh₄] (**24**) with a concomitant release of NaCl (Scheme 5). By contrast, complex **5** is not reactive toward an excess of NaBPh₄ under a dinitrogen atmosphere over a period of several days, even when pressurized with N₂ (14–80 psi of N₂). This was true in a variety of solvents

⁽²¹⁾ Thomas, J. C.; Peters, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 5100.

Scheme 6



(e.g., THF, THF/EtOH, CH₂Cl₂). The reaction of **5** with NaBPh₄ under an atmosphere of carbon monoxide in THF produces the cherry red complex [(BQA)Ru(CO)(PPh₃)₂] [BPh₄] (**25**) within minutes (Scheme 5). The Os congener [(BQA)Os(CO)(PPh₃)₂][BPh₄] (**26**) can be similarly synthesized from **6** and NaBPh₄. For both **25** and **26**, the phosphines remain trans-disposed, as indicated by their ³¹P NMR spectra, which show single peaks for both species ($\delta = 30.1$ ppm for **25**, 0.31 ppm for **26**). The infrared stretching frequency of the carbonyl ligand for cationic **25** ($\nu_{CO} = 1946$ cm⁻¹) is lower than that of the neutral terpyridine complex (trpy)RuCl₂(CO) ($\nu_{CO} = 1953$ cm⁻¹).¹⁹ The Os complex **26** features a more substantially reduced carbonyl stretching frequency ($\nu_{CO} = 1921$ cm⁻¹) from **25**.

In an effort to realize Ru(BQA) systems that might bind dinitrogen, more aggressive halide scavenging reagents were canvassed. Puenta and co-workers successfully employed AgOTf as a halide scavenger to form a cationic dinitrogen complex en route to [TpRu(N₂)(PEt₃)₂][BPh₄] (Tp = hydrotris(pyrazolyl)borate),²² and van Koten et al. have reported that the PCP-pincer complex [Ru^{II}(OTf){C₆H₂(CH₂-PPh₂)₂}(PPh₃)] can be prepared by the addition of AgOTf to the corresponding chloride precursor.²³ However, when a solution of **5** in THF protected from ambient room light is exposed to an equimolar amount of AgOTf, the initially aquablue hue of **5** immediately fades along with the production of a dark brown precipitate and Ag⁰ mirror. The isolated brown solid is assigned as the paramagnetic oxidation product [(BQA)Ru^{III}Cl(PPh₃)₂][OTf] (**27**) (Scheme 5).

To circumvent a metal oxidation event, thallium reagents were employed. The divalent triflate complexes (BQA)Ru- $(OTf)(PPh_3)_2$ (28) and $(BQA)Os(OTf)(PPh_3)_2$ (29) thus proved available by reaction between the corresponding chloride complexes 5 and 6 and TIOTf in THF (Scheme 6). These complexes are difficult to isolate in analytically pure form, presumably due to the high lability of the triflate ligand and propensity to coordinate solvent or dinitrogen. Thus, when 5 is stirred with $TIPF_6$ in THF solution, the color of the solution darkens within minutes to produce a midnight blue solution along with concurrent release of a white precipitate. A ³¹P NMR spectrum of this solution, taken in situ, shows a very modest shift from 5 ($\delta = 29$ ppm) to 28 ppm, whereas the solution IR does not reveal any vibrations unique from the parent species 5. We therefore conclude species that this is the THF solvent adduct [(BQA)Ru(THF)(PPh₃)₂][PF₆]. Removal of the reaction volatiles in vacuo yields a purple solid that has limited solubility in THF and is completely insoluble in hydrocarbon solvents (e.g., pentane, benzene, toluene). Dissolution of this purple residue in dichloromethane affords an intense cherry-red solution ($\lambda_{max} = 542$ nm), and examination of its IR spectrum reveals an intense vibration at 2130 cm⁻¹ attributable to a coordinated dinitrogen ligand. The product is thus assigned as trans-[(BQA)Ru(N₂)(PPh₃)₂][PF₆] (**30**). Complex **30** shows a single ³¹P NMR resonance at 25 ppm, confirming that the phosphines remain trans-disposed. The THF adduct complex has not been more thoroughly characterized due to its propensity to form **30** upon storage under N_2 atmospheres.

Thallium halide abstraction from the more electron-rich PMe₃ complex **11** with TlPF₆ proceeds directly to the dinitrogen adduct complex [(BQA)Ru(N₂)(PMe₃)₂][PF₆] (**31**) in THF solutions (KBr/THF; $\nu_{NN} = 2129 \text{ cm}^{-1}$), without

⁽²²⁾ Tenorio, M. A. J.; Tenorio, M. J.; Puerta, M. C.; Valerga, P. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1998**, 3601.

⁽²³⁾ Karlen, T.; Dani, P.; Grove, D. M.; Steenwinkel, P.; van Koten, G. *Organometallics* **1996**, *15*, 5687.



Figure 6. Displacement ellipsoid representation (50%) of $[(BQA)Os(N_2)(PPh_3)_2][PF_6]$ (**32**). Hydrogen atoms have been removed for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg) for **32**: Os-N1 2.096(2), Os-N2 2.032(3), Os-N3 2.062(2), Os-N4 1.954(3), N4-N5 1.080(4), Os-P1 2.403(1), Os-P2 2.403(1), N1-Os-N2 79.97(1), N2-Os-N3 80.80(1), N1-Os-N3 160.6(1), P1-Os-P2 177.4(1).

discernible formation of a THF solvent adduct species. Likewise, reaction of the Os complex **6** with TlPF₆ produces the dinitrogen adduct [(BQA)Os(N₂)(PPh₃)₂][PF₆] (**32**) without an observable THF solvent adduct precursor (see Scheme 6). The Os congener **32** is appreciably more stable than its ruthenium cousins and is identifiable as the Os-N₂ cation by ES-MS (m/z = 1014) and IR spectroscopy ($\nu_{NN} = 2073$ cm⁻¹). A solid-state crystal structure of this latter species was obtained via XRD analysis, further confirming that the N₂ ligand resides at the site trans to the amido nitrogen (Figure 6). The dinitrogen ligand does not exhibit significant elongation from free N₂.²⁴

Conclusions

The bis(quinolinyl)amido ligand featured in this manuscript can be exploited as an anionic analogue of the ubiquitous, neutral polypyridine ligand 2,2',2"-terpyridine (terpy). The family of Ru and Os complexes described herein underscores this point. Comparative electrochemical studies establish that the bis(quinolinyl)amido ligand substantially shifts $M^{\rm III/II}$ and $M^{\rm IV/III}$ redox couples cathodically relative to structurally related terpy derivatives. We caution that the degree to which the BQA ligand can behave as a redox noninnocent ligand has yet to be firmly established. Hence ligand-centered oxidation cannot be ruled out at positive potentials. Regardless, the $(BQA)M^{II}(L)_2$ platform (M = Ru,Os) gives rise to a wealth of well-defined substitution chemistry providing access to a structurally diverse set of complexes. Opportunities for small molecule activation chemistry within these systems are envisaged. The N2 adducts characterized herein suggest that favorable π -donation from the BQA ligand will facilitate binding of π -acidic substrates at the site trans to the N-amido donor. Future studies will aim to explore the reactivity patterns of the systems described herein.

Experimental Section

All manipulations were carried out using standard Schlenk or glovebox techniques under a dinitrogen atmosphere. Unless otherwise noted, solvents were deoxygenated and dried by thorough sparging with N₂ gas followed by passage through an activated alumina column. Nonhalogenated solvents were typically tested with a standard purple solution of sodium benzophenone ketyl in tetrahydrofuran in order to confirm effective oxygen and moisture removal. The reagents NaBPh₄, TlPF₆, FeCl₂, and FeCl₃(anhydrous) were purchased from commercial vendors and used without further purification. HBQA (1, HBQA = bis(quinolinyl)amide),¹ RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃,²⁵ [RuCl₂(cod)]_n,²⁶ OsCl₂(PPh₃)₃,²⁷ and [Ph₂B(CH₂-PPh₂)₂][NEt₄]²¹ were synthesized as described previously. Deuterated solvents were degassed and stored over activated 3 Å molecular sieves prior to use. Elemental analyses were carried out at Desert Analytics, Tucson, Arizona. NMR spectra were recorded at ambient temperature on Varian Mercury 300 MHz, Joel 400 MHz, and Anova 500 MHz spectrometers, unless otherwise noted. ¹H and ¹³C NMR chemical shifts were referenced to residual solvent. ³¹P NMR, ¹¹B NMR, and ¹⁹F NMR chemical shifts are reported relative to an external standard of 85% H₃PO₄, neat BF₃·Et₂O, and neat CFCl₃, respectively. IR spectra were recorded on a Bio-Rad Excalibur FTS 3000 spectrometer controlled by Win-IR Pro software. MS data for samples were obtained by injection of a hydrocarbon solution into a Hewlett-Packard 1100MSD Mass Spectrometer (ES⁺) or an Agilent 5973 Mass Selective Detector (EI). UV-vis measurements were taken on a Hewlett-Packard 8452A diode array spectrometer using a quartz crystal cell with a Teflon cap. X-ray diffraction studies were carried out in the Beckman Institute Crystallographic Facility on a Bruker Smart 1000 CCD diffractometer.

X-Ray Crystallography Procedures. X-ray-quality crystals were grown as indicated in the experimental procedures for each complex. The crystals were mounted on a glass fiber with Paratone-N oil. Structures were determined using direct methods with standard Fourier techniques using the Bruker AXS software package. In some cases, Patterson maps were used in place of the direct methods procedure.

EPR Measurements. X-band EPR spectra were obtained on a Bruker EMX spectrometer equipped with a rectangular cavity working in the TE_{102} mode. Variable-temperature measurements were conducted with an Oxford continuous-flow helium cryostat (temperature range 3.6–300 K). Accurate frequency values were provided by a frequency counter built in the microwave bridge. Solution spectra were acquired in toluene for all of the complexes. Sample preparation was performed under a nitrogen atmosphere.

[Fe(BQA)₂][BPh₄] (2). HBQA and (0.82 g, 3.02 mmol) and FeCl₃ (anhydrous) (245 mg, 1.5 mmol) were added to a flask and stirred in CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL). A solution of Na₂CO₃ (320 mg, 3.05 mmol) and NaBPh₄ (517 mg, 1.5 mmol) in H₂O (30 mL) was then added to this slurry. The reaction mixture was stirred for 20 h at room temperature. The product was extracted into CH₂Cl₂ and washed with H₂O (3 × 25 mL). The organic phase was evaporated to dryness to yield a red powder (0.98 g, 71%). ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 300 MHz, 25 °C): δ 29.1, 19.8, 7.17, 6.91 (t, J = 6.6 Hz), 6.77 (t, J = 6.6 Hz), -8.3, -29.0, -61.7, -65.3. UV-vis [λ max/nm (ϵ /M⁻¹ cm⁻¹)]: 1030 (11 000), 680 (2700), 514 (33 000), 418 (49 000), 306 (90 000). ES-MS (electrospray): positive mode

⁽²⁴⁾ Stoicheff, B. P Can. J. Phys. 1954, 82, 630.

⁽²⁵⁾ Hallman, P. S.; Stephenson, T. A.; Wilkinson, G. Inorg. Synth. 1970, 12, 237.

⁽²⁶⁾ Bennett, M. A.; Smith, A. K. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1974, 233.

⁽²⁷⁾ Elliott, G. P.; McAuley, N. M.; Roper, W. R. Inorg. Synth. 1989, 26, 184.

Group VIII Chemistry of a Bis(8-quinolinyl)amido Ligand

 $[(BQA)_2Fe]^+ m/z$ 596, found (M)⁺ m/z 596; $[Ph_4B]^- m/z$ 319, found (M)⁻ m/z 319. Anal. calcd for C₆₀H₄₄BFeN₆: C, 75.45; H, 4.58; N, 11.12. Found: C, 75.22; H, 4.68; N, 11.00.

(BQA)RuCl(cod) (3). A suspension of Ru(cod)Cl₂ (0.36 g, 1.3 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) was treated with a solution of [BQA][Li] (0.35 g, 1.3 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) at room temperature. After the mixture was stirred for 48 h, the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was extracted with dichloromethane and filtered through Celite on a sintered glass frit to yield a purple filtrate. Layering the filtrate with petroleum ether precipitated a purple solid. The product was collected via filtration, washed with copious amounts of petroleum ether, and dried in vacuo (0.41 g, 62%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ 9.12–9.11 (m, 2H), 8.12–8.09 (m, 2H), 7.90-7.87 (m, 2H), 7.48-7.37 (m, 2H), 7.01-6.98 (m, 2H), 4.52-4.50 (m, 2H), 3.19-3.17 (m, 2H), 2.67-2.60 (m 2H), 2.29-2.20 (m, 2H), 1.96-1.92 (m, 2H), 1.80-1.74 (m, 2H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): δ 149.94, 137.14, 131.68, 128.83, 128.42, 122.60, 122.03, 113.52, 112.85, 94.75, 89.92, 30.39, 28.68. UV-vis $[\lambda_{max}/nm \ (\epsilon/M^{-1} \ cm^{-1})]$: 324 (9900), 302 (7000), 590 (6200). Anal. calcd for $C_{26}H_{24}ClN_3Ru$: C, 60.64; H, 4.70; N, 8.16. Found: C, 60.73; H, 4.82; N, 7.89.

 $Ru(BQA)_2$ (4). A suspension of $Ru(cod)Cl_2$ (0.36 g, 1.3 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) was added to a solution of [BQA][Li] (0.72 g, 2.6 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) in a bomb reactor with a Teflon stopcock. The mixture was heated at reflux for 48 h. The volatiles were removed in vacuo, and the residue was extracted with dichloromethane and filtered through Celite on a sintered glass frit to yield a red-purple filtrate. Layering the filtrate with petroleum ether precipitated a burgundy solid. The product was collected via filtration, washed with copious amounts of petroleum ether, and dried in vacuo (0.83 g, 89%). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 300 MHz, 25 °C): δ 9.10 (dd, J = 4.8 Hz, 1.5 Hz, 4H), 7.16 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H), 7.05 (m, J = 8.1 Hz, 4H), 6.65 (m, J = 7.8 Hz, 8H), 6.35 (dd, J = 4.8 Hz, 1.5 Hz, 4H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 75 MHz): δ 150, 138, 132, 129, 128.4, 122.8, 122. Anal. calcd for C₃₆H₂₄N₆Ru: C, 67.38; H, 3.77; N, 13.10. Found: C, 67.63; H, 3.66; N, 13.12.

trans-(BQA)RuCl(PPh₃)₂ (5). A solution of HBQA (500 mg, 1.84 mmol) and NEt₃ (373 mg, 3.69 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was added to a stirring solution of RuCl₂(PPh₃)₄ (2.25 g, 1.84 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (8 mL). The reaction solution was heated to 65 °C for 5 h. The reaction was then cooled to room temperature and the solvent removed in vacuo. The green solid was washed with ethanol $(2 \times 15 \text{ mL})$, Et₂O $(2 \times 15 \text{ mL})$, and petroleum ether $(2 \times 15 \text{ mL})$ mL). The remaining solid was dried in vacuo, yielding 1.21 g of 5 (70.2%). Crystals of (BQA)RuCl(PPh₃)₂ were grown by vapor diffusion of petroleum ether into benzene. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 300 MHz, 25 °C): δ 9.036 (dd, J = 4.8 Hz, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 7.49 (m, 12H), 7.08 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.96 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.75–6.87 (m, 20 H), 6.53 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H), 6.40 (dd, J = 4.8 Hz, 1.5 Hz, 4H). ³¹P {¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 121.4 MHz, 25 °C): δ 29.2. ES-MS (electrospray): calcd for $C_{54}H_{42}ClN_3P_2Ru$ (M)⁻ m/z 931, found (M + H) m/z 931, 669 (M - PPh₃). Anal. calcd for C₅₄H₄₂ClN₃P₂Ru: C, 69.63; H, 4.55; N, 4.51. Found: C, 68.93; H, 4.69; N, 4.49.

trans-(**BQA**)**Os**Cl(**PPh**₃)₂ (6). A solution of [BQA][Li] (300 mg, 1.11 mmol) in toluene (5 mL) was added to a stirring solution of OsCl₂(PPh₃)₄ (1.156 g, 1.11 mmol) in toluene (8 mL) at room temperature. The reaction solution was heated to 65 °C for 5 h. The reaction was then cooled to room temperature, and the volatiles were removed in vacuo. The green solid was washed with ethanol (2 × 15 mL), Et₂O (2 × 15 mL), and petroleum ether (2 × 15 mL). The remaining solid was dried in vacuo, yielding 1.04 g of analytically pure material (92%). Crystals of **6** were grown by vapor

diffusion of petroleum ether into benzene. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 300 MHz, 25 °C): δ 8.44 (dd, J = 4.8 Hz, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 7.62 (m, 12H), 7.08 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.94 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 6.75–6.87 (m, 20 H), 6.48 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H), 6.38 (dd, J = 4.8 Hz, 1.5 Hz, 4H). ³¹P {¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 121.4 MHz, 25 °C): δ –8. ES-MS (electrospray): calcd for C₅₄H₄₂ClN₃P₂Os (M) *m*/*z* 1020, found (M + H) *m*/*z* 1021, 986 (M – Cl). Anal. calcd for C₅₄H₄₂ClN₃P₂Os: C, 63.55; H, 4.15; Cl, 3.47; N, 4.12. Found: C, 63.46; H, 4.02; N, 4.10.

cis-(**BQA**)**OsCl**(**PPh**₃)₂ (7). A solution of HBQA (500 mg, 1.84 mmol) and NEt₃ (373 mg, 3.69 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was added to a stirring solution of OsCl₂(PPh₃)₃ (1.93 g, 1.84 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (8 mL). The reaction solution was heated to 65 °C for 5 h. The reaction was then cooled to room temperature, and the volatiles were removed in vacuo. The brown solid was washed with ethanol (2 × 15 mL), Et₂O (2 × 15 mL), and petroleum ether (2 × 15 mL). The remaining solid was dried in vacuo, yielding 1.26 g of 7 (67%). Vapor diffusion of petroleum ether into a solution of 7 in benzene yielded crystals of the trans product 6. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 300 MHz, 25 °C): δ 8.8 (dd, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 7.6–6.5 (m, 36 H), 6.40 (dd, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1.5 Hz, 4H). ³¹P {¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 121.4 MHz, 25 °C): δ 2.2 (d, *J* = 10.7 Hz), -0.92 (d, *J* = 10.7 Hz). ES-MS (electrospray): calcd for C₅₄H₄₂ClN₃P₂Os (M)⁺ *m*/*z* 1020, found (M + H) *m*/*z* 1021, 986 (M – Cl).

[(terpy)Ru(BQA)][BPh₄] (9). A solution of HBQA (32.6 mg, 0.12 mmol) and NEt₃ (30 mg, 0.37 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was added to a stirring solution of (tpy)RuCl₂(PPh₃) (50 mg, 0.075 mmol) and NaBPh₄ (15 mg, 0.075 mmol) in ethanol (5 mL) at room temperature. The reaction solution was then heated at 65 °C for 15 h. The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature, and the volatiles were removed in vacuo. The red solid was washed with Et₂O (2 × 15 mL) and petroleum ether (2 × 15 mL). The remaining solid was dried in vacuo, yielding 59.6 mg of analytically pure material (86%). ES-MS (electrospray): calcd for C₃₃H₂₃N₆Ru (M)⁺ m/z 605, found (M⁺) m/z 605. Anal. calcd for C₅₇H₄₃BN₆Ru: C, 74.10; H, 4.69; N, 9.10. Found: C, 74.35; H, 4.75; N, 9.06.

trans-(**BQA**)**RuCl(PEt₃)₂ (10).** A blue solution of **5** (0.15 g, 0.47 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) was treated with neat triethylphosphine (0.20 mL, 2.0 mmol) at room temperature. The mixture was heated to reflux for 18 h. The reaction mixture was cooled down, and all volatile materials were removed in vacuo. The green solids were washed with Et₂O (3 × 15 mL) and petroleum ether (3 × 15 mL). The remaining green solid was dried in vacuo to yield analytically pure material (0.073 g, 70%). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 300 MHz): δ 9.06 (dd, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1.5 Hz, 4H), 7.18 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 4H), 7.04 (t, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 4H), 6.72 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 8H), 6.40 (dd, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1.5 Hz, 4H), 1.82 (m, 12 H), 0.70 (d, 18H). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 121 MHz) δ 11.8. Anal. calcd for C₃₀H₄₂ClN₃P₂Ru: C, 56.02; H, 6.58; N, 6.53. Found: C, 55.88; H, 6.48; N, 6.51.

trans-(**BQA**)**RuCl(PMe₃)**₂ (**11**). A blue solution of **5** (0.44 g, 0.47 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was treated with neat trimethylphosphine (0.19 mL, 1.9 mmol) at -30 °C. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, and during that time, the solution turned green. After the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h, all volatile materials were removed in vacuo. Flash column chromatography with 4:1 toluene/ethyl acetate yielded a black purple analytically pure solid (0.18 g, 69%) ($R_f = 0.21$). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 300 MHz): δ 9.06–9.03 (m, 2H), 7.70–7.67 (m, 2H), 7.34–7.22 (m, 4H), 6.74–6.72 (m, 2H), 6.58–6.53 (m, 2H), 0.68 (t, J = 3.0 Hz, 18H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 75 MHz): δ 152.56, 151.74, 148.27 (t, J = 2.4 Hz), 132.32 (t, J = 1.7 Hz), 131.78, 128.14, 121.94, (t, J = 1.6 Hz), 113.69, 113.36, 11.03 (t, J = 11.3 Hz). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 121 MHz): δ 0.47. ES-MS (electro-

spray): calcd for $C_{24}H_{30}CIN_3P_2Ru$ (M)⁺ m/z 559, found (M⁺) m/z 559. Anal. calcd for $C_{24}H_{30}CIN_3P_2Ru$: C, 51.57; H, 5.41; N, 7.52. Found: C, 51.66; H, 5.35; N, 7.43.

trans-(**BQA**)**RuBr**(**PMe**₃)₂ (12). A green solution of 11 (0.13 g, 0.23 mmol) in 4 mL of THF/MeOH (1:1) was mixed with potassium bromide (90 mg, 0.76 mmol) at room temperature. The mixture was heated to 50 °C for 4 h, cooled, and filtered over a pad of Celite. The filtrate was dried in vacuo, then redissolved in toluene and flashed through silica gel. The volatiles were removed in vacuo to yield a purple solid. The purple product was washed with petroleum ether (2 × 15 mL) and dried in vacuo (0.11 g, 77%). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 300 MHz): δ 9.19–9.17 (m, 2H), 7.70–7.67 (m, 2H), 7.30–7.22 (m, 4H), 6.73–6.70 (m, 2H), 6.53–6.48 (m, 2H), 0.72 (t, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 18H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 75 MHz): δ 152.02, 151.52, 149.72 (t, *J* = 2.3 Hz), 132.42, 131.68, 128.12, 122.02, 113.66, 113.41, 11.54 (t, *J* = 11.5 Hz). ³¹P{¹H</sup> } NMR (C₆D₆, 121 MHz): δ –0.94. Anal. calcd for C₂₄H₃₀BrN₃P₂Ru: C, 47.77; H, 5.01; N, 6.96. Found: C, 50.72; H, 5.30; N, 6.50.

trans-(**BQA**)**RuI**(**PMe**₃)₂ (13). A green solution of 11 (89 mg, 0.16 mmol) in 4 mL of THF/MeOH (1:1) was mixed with potassium iodide (90 mg. 0.54 mmol) at room temperature. The mixture was heated at 50 °C for 4 h, filtered over a pad of Celite, and the volatiles removed in vacuo to yield a purple solid. The filtrate was dried in vacuo, then redissolved in toluene and flashed through silica gel. Removal of the volatiles in vacuo afforded analytically pure material (87 mg, 84%). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 300 MHz): δ 9.38–9.35 (m, 2H), 7.71–7.68 (m, 2H), 7.28–7.22 (m, 4H), 6.70–6.68 (m, 2H), 6.46–6.41 (m, 2H), 0.79 (t, J = 3.0 Hz, 18H). ¹³C{¹H} } NMR (C₆D₆, 75 MHz): δ 152.59 (m), 151.50, 150.73, 132.75, 131.79, 128.30, 122.32, 113.82, 113.65, 12.83 (t, J = 11.9 Hz). ³¹P{¹H} } NMR (C₆D₆, 121 MHz): δ –2.96. Anal. calcd for C₂₄H₃₀IN₃P₂Ru: C, 44.32; H, 4.65; N, 6.46. Found: C, 44.32; H, 4.70; N, 6.48.

trans-(**BQA**)**RuH**(**PMe**₃)₂ (14). To a green solution of 11 (37 mg, 0.066 mmol) in toluene (5 mL) was added 68 μ L of a 0.25 M solution of LiAlH₄ in THF at room temperature. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 h, after which the volatiles were removed in vacuo. The residue was extracted into toluene and filtered through a Celite pad. The volatiles were removed in vacuo, and the solid obtained was triturated with petroleum ether to give an analytically pure, black-green solid (26 mg, 75%). Scaling this reaction resulted in drastically diminished yields. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 300 MHz): δ 8.44 (br, s, 2H), 7.87–7.84 (m, 2H), 7.39–7.28 (m, 4H), 6.75–6.72 (m, 2H), 6.37–6.33 (m, 2H), 0.80 (br, s, 18H), -11.75 (t, ²J_{P-H} = 27.4 Hz). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 121 MHz): δ 1.47. IR (Nujol mull/KBr): ν_{Ru-H} 1743.0 cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd for C₂₄H₃₁N₃P₂Ru: C, 54.95; H, 5.96; N, 8.01. Found: C, 54.99; H, 5.88; N, 7.96.

trans-(**BQA**)**RuMe**(**PMe**₃)₂ (**15**). A green solution of **11** (0.10 g, 0.19 mmol) in toluene (5 mL) was treated with 3.5 equiv of MeLi (1.0 M) in diethyl ether at -30 °C. The mixture was then heated at 60 °C for 12 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, and the excess MeLi was quenched with ethanol. The volatiles were then removed in vacuo. The resulting residue was extracted with benzene and filtered through a pad of Celite. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, triturated with petroleum ether, and dried in vacuo to yield a green solid (51 mg, 50%). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 300 MHz): δ 8.28–8.26 (m, 2H), 7.84–7.81 (m, 2H), 7.37–7.30 (m, 4H), 6.75–6.72 (m, 2H), 6.47–6.42 (m, 2H), 0.57 (t, *J* = 2.7 Hz, 18H, P(*CH*₃)₃), 0.01 (t, *J* = 9.8 Hz, 3H, Ru*CH*₃). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 121 MHz): δ 3.18. Anal. calcd C₂₅H₃₃N₃P₂Ru: C, 55.75; H, 6.18; N, 7.80. Found: C, 55.36; H, 6.00; N, 7.78.

trans-(**BQA**)**RuN₃(PMe₃)₂ (16).** A green solution of **11** (0.10 g, 0.19 mmol) in 10 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was treated with solid sodium

azide (48 mg, 0.70 mmol). The mixture was stirred at 50 °C for 4 h. The volatiles were then removed in vacuo. The resulting residue was extracted with benzene and filtered through a pad of Celite. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, triturated with petroleum ether, and dried in vacuo to yield a green solid (64 mg, 64%). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 300 MHz): δ 8.78–8.75 (m, 2H), 7.66–7.63 (m, 2H), 7.31–7.20 (m, 4H), 6.72–6.69 (m, 2H), 6.59–6.54 (m, 2H), 0.56 (t, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 18H). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 121 MHz): δ 1.66. IR (Nujol/KBr): $\nu_{N3} = 2028.1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Anal. calcd for C₂₄H₃₀N₆P₂Ru: C, 50.97; H, 5.35; N, 14.86. Found: C, 51.14; H, 5.23; N, 14.40.

3,5-(CF₃)₂Ph-QAH (17). A 200 mL reaction vessel equipped with a Teflon stopcock and stir bar was charged with Pd₂(dba)₃ (0.218 g, 0.238 mmol), 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene (DPPF) (0.264 g, 0.476 mmol), and toluene (30 mL) under a dinitrogen atmosphere. The resulting solution was allowed to stir for 5 min, after which 3,5-bis(triflouromethyl)bromobenzene (3.49 g, 11.9 mmol), 8-aminoquinoline (2.48 g, 11.9 mmol), and additional toluene (70 mL) were added. The subsequent addition of sodium tert-butoxide (1.60 g, 16.66 mmol) resulted in a brown solution that was stirred vigorously for three days at 110 °C under a vacuum. The solution was then allowed to cool and filtered through a silica plug that was then extracted with dichloromethane to ensure complete removal of the desired product. Concentration of the collected extracts and removal of the solvent yielded a crude red solid (3.98 g, 94%). Purification by flash chromatography on silica gel (4:1 toluene/ethyl acetate) yielded a burgundy red liquid as a spectroscopically pure and synthetically useful compound (3.7 g, 87%). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 300 MHz, 25 °C): δ 8.50 (dd, J = 1.8, 4.2Hz, 1H), 8.40 (s, *I*H), 7.53 (dd, *J* = 1.8, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (m, *J* = 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (s, 2H), 7.23 (dd, J = 1.5, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.95-7.04 (m, 2H), 6.82 (dd, J = 4.2, 4.2 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆, 75.409 MHz, 25 °C): δ 148.1, 144.2, 139.6, 138.7, 136.5, 133.3, 132.9, 129.5, 127.6, 126.1, 122.4, 119.4, 118.2, 114.5 (m), 110.1. ¹⁹F NMR (C₆D₆, 282.127 MHz, 25 °C): δ -63.4. ES-MS (electrospray): calcd for $C_{17}H_{10}F_6N_2$ (M)⁺ m/z 356, found (M + H)⁺ m/z 357.

[3,5-(CF₃)₂-(C₆H₃)QA][Na(Et₂O)] (18). A suspension of NaH (60% by weight; 57 mg, 1.4 mmol) in Et₂O (2 mL) was added to a solution of 3,5-(CF₃)₂Ph-QAH (500 mg, 1.4 mmol) in Et₂O (4 mL). Upon heating to reflux, a red-orange salt precipitated. After 10 h of heating, the reaction was cooled to room temperature, and the solvent decanted away. The salt was washed with petroleum ether (4 × 5 mL) and dried in a vacuum to afford an orange powder (544 mg, 86%). Hydrolysis of the salt with water cleanly affords the parent amine. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 300 MHz, 25 °C): δ 7.58 (dd, J = 1.2, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (s, 1H), 7.08 (s, 2H), 6.84 (dd, J = 1.2, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.65 (dd, J = 4.2, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.01 (q, (CH₃CH₂)₂O J = 7.2 Hz, 4H), 0.86 (t, (CH₃CH₂)₂O J = 7.2 Hz, 6H). ¹⁹F NMR (C₆D₆, 282.127 MHz, 25 °C): δ -65.

(**BQA**)**Ru**(**3**,**5**-(**CF**₃)_{**2**}-(**C**₆**H**₃)**QA**)(**PPh**₃) (**19**). A solution of **18** (245.1 mg, 0.54 mmol) in THF (2 mL) was added dropwise to a stirring solution of **5** (500 mg, 0.54 mmol) in THF (4 mL). The reaction solution was heated to 80 °C for 3 h. The reaction was then cooled to room temperature and the volatiles removed in vacuo. The purple solid was washed with a 3:1 petroleum ether and ethanol mix (3 × 5 mL). The remaining solid was dried in vacuo, yielding 476 mg of analytically pure material (90%). Crystals were grown by cooling a solution of **19** in benzene layered with petroleum ether. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 300 MHz, 25 °C): δ 8.99 (dd, J = 1.2, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (dd, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.77–7.08 (m, 14H), 6.75 (m, 6H), 6.58–6.64 (m, 5H), 6.53 (dd, J = 5.4, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.44 (s, 2H),

Group VIII Chemistry of a Bis(8-quinolinyl)amido Ligand

6.08 (dd, J = 4.8, 8.2 Hz, 2H). ³¹P {¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 121.4 MHz, 25 °C): δ 50.5. ¹⁹F NMR (C₆D₆, 282.127 MHz, 25 °C): δ -64. ES-MS (electrospray): calcd for C₅₃H₃₆F₆N₅PRu (M)⁺ m/z 988, found (M + H)⁺ m/z 989. Anal. calcd for C₅₃H₃₆F₆N₅PRu: C, 64.37; H, 3.67; N, 7.08. Found: C, 64.38; H, 3.64; N, 7.08.

(BQA)Ru(3,5-(CF₃)₂-(C₆H₃)QA)(CO) (20). A 100 mL reaction vessel equipped with a Teflon stopcock and stir bar was charged with a solution of 19 (50 mg, 0.05 mmol) in toluene (15 mL). The reaction vessel was evacuated and then exposed to 1 atm of CO gas. The reaction mixture was heated at reflux with vigorous stirring for 8 h. The reaction was then cooled to room temperature and the volatiles removed in vacuo. The red solid was washed with a 3:1 petroleum ether and ethanol mix $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$. The remaining solid was dried in vacuo, yielding 36 mg of analytically pure material (95%). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 300 MHz, 25 °C): δ 9.01 (dd, J = 1.2, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (dd, J = 1.2, 4.8 Hz, 2H), 7.48 (dd, J = 1.2, 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.58–7.08 (m, 11H), 6.52 (dd, J =5.4, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.44 (s, 2H), 6.08 (dd, J = 4.8, 8.2 Hz, 2H). ¹⁹F NMR (C₆D₆, 282.127 MHz, 25 °C): δ -64. Anal. calcd for C₃₆H₂₁F₆N₅ORu: C, 57.30; H, 2.80; N, 9.28. Found: C, 57.02; H, 2.78; N, 9.18.

[(BQA)RuCl(PhBP₂)][NEt₄] (21). А solution of [Ph₂B(CH₂PPh₂)₂][NEt₄] (354 mg, 0.51 mmol) in THF (2 mL) was added dropwise to a stirring solution of 5 (471 mg, 0.51 mmol) in THF (4 mL). The reaction solution was heated to 80 °C for 3 h. The reaction was then cooled to room temperature and the solvent removed in vacuo. The dark purple solid was washed with Et₂O (2 \times 15 mL) and petroleum ether (2 \times 15 mL). The remaining solid was dried in vacuo, yielding 497 mg of analytically pure material (89%). Crystals of 21 were grown by vapor diffusion of petroleum ether into THF. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 300 MHz, 25 °C): δ 8.46 (bs, 4H), 7.93 (bs, 2H), 7.75 (bs, 2H), 7.49 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 6.95–7.39 (m, 18H), 6.79 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 5H), 6.67 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 4H), 6.36–6.47 (m, 9H), 3.29 (q, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 8H), 2.35 (bs, 2H), 1.81 (bs, 2H), 1.23 (tt, J = 1.5, 7.2 Hz, 12 H). ³¹P {¹H} NMR $(C_6D_6, 121.4 \text{ MHz}, 25 \text{ °C}): \delta 54 \text{ (d, } J = 36 \text{ Hz}), 28.3 \text{ (d, } J = 36 \text{ Hz})$ Hz). ¹¹B {¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 128.3 MHz, 25 °C): δ -11.8. Anal. calcd for C₆₄H₆₆BClN₄P₂Ru: C, 69.85; H, 6.04; N, 5.09. Found: C, 69.78; H, 6.05; N, 5.08.

(**BQA**)**Ru**[**Ph**₂**BP**₂] (22). Solid 21 (100.6 mg, 0.09 mmol) was stirred vigorously in ethanol (6 mL) for 30 min. A red solid precipitated from solution and was isolated by decanting the liquor away. The solids were dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ and filtered through a pad of Celite. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the remaining wine red solid was washed with petroleum ether (2 × 3 mL) and dried in vacuo, yielding 72 mg of analytically pure material (84%). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 300 MHz, 25 °C): δ 8.17 (s, 5 H), 7.72 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 2H), 7.54 (m, 10 H), 7.30 (d, *J* = 6 Hz, 4H), 7.04 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.851 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.50 (m, 10 H), 6.2 (m, 2 H), 5.81 (m, 2 H), 2.69 (s, 4 H). ³¹P {¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 121.4 MHz, 25 °C): δ 69.45. ¹¹B {¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 128.3 MHz, 25 °C): δ –12.1. Anal. calcd for C₅₆H₄₆BN₃P₂Ru: C, 71.95; H, 4.96; N, 4.50. Found: C, 71.78; H, 5.05; N, 4.44.

(BQA)Ru(CO)(PhBP₂) (23). A 20 mL vial containing 21 (60 mg, 0.054 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) was sparged with CO gas. The solution instantly reddened upon contact with the gas. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the remaining red solid was washed with ethanol (2 × 2 mL). The resulting powder was washed with petroleum ether (2 × 3 mL) and dried in vacuo, yielding 45 mg of analytically pure material (86%). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 300 MHz, 25 °C): δ 7.90 (m, 4 H), 7.75 (d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, 2H), 7.53 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.32 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.11–7.22 (m, 8H), 7.04 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 4H), 6.92 (s, 6 H), 6.67 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2 H), 6.53 (d, *J*

= 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 6.27–6.44 (m, 10 H), 2.78 (d, J = 12 Hz, 2 H), 2.02 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆, 75.409 MHz, 25 °C): δ 155, 151, 149, 137, 135, 133.2, 133.1, 132.6, 132.5, 131, 129, 128.5, 128.4, 128, 127, 126.8, 126.7, 123, 120, 114. ³¹P {¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 121.4 MHz, 25 °C): δ 35.17 (d, J = 35 Hz), 18.81 (d, J =35 Hz). ¹¹B {¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 128.3 MHz, 25 °C): δ -11.9. IR: (CH₂Cl₂) $\nu_{CO} = 1970$ cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd for C₅₇H₄₆BN₃OP₂Ru: C, 71.10; H, 4.82; N, 4.36. Found: C, 71.06; H, 4.70; N, 4.28.

[trans-(BQA)Ru(CO)(PPh₃)₂][BPh₄] (25). NaBPh₄ (18.2 mg, 0.05 mmol) in ethanol (1 mL) was added to a solution of 5 (45 mg, 0.05 mmol) in THF (2 mL) in a 10 mL Schlenk flask equipped with a stir bar and septum. The flask was evacuated, then exposed to 1 atm of CO gas. The green solution reddens instantly upon exposure to CO. The reaction was allowed to stir for 30 min before the volatiles were removed in vacuo. The red solid was washed with ethanol (2 \times 3 mL) and Et₂O (2 \times 3 mL). The remaining solid was dried in vacuo, yielding 49 mg of analytically pure material (82%). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 300 MHz, 25 °C): complex pattern of resonances between 8.55 and 6.98 ppm (62H). ³¹P {¹H} NMR (C₆D₆. 121.4 MHz, 25 °C): δ 30.1. IR: (CH₂Cl₂/KBr): ν_{CO} 1970 cm⁻¹. ES-MS (electrospray): calcd for $C_{55}H_{42}N_3OP_2Ru$ (M)⁺ m/z924, found $(M)^+$ m/z 924, 662 $(M - PPh_3)$. Anal. calcd for C₇₉H₆₂BN₃OP₂Ru: C, 76.32; H, 5.03; N, 3.38. Found: C, 76.15; H, 4.87; N, 3.36.

[*trans*-(**BQA**)**Ru**(**CH**₃**CN**)(**PPh**₃)₂][**BPh**₄] (24). A solution of 5 (88 mg, 0.094 mmol) in a mixture of acetonitrile and methanol (2 mL/4 mL) was added to a solution of NaBPh₄ (51 mg, 0.15 mmol) in methanol (2 mL) at room temperature. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h; then, the volatiles were removed in vacuo. The solid residue was extracted with dichloromethane (5 mL) and filtered through a Celite pad. The volatiles were removed in vacuo, and the purple solid was triturated with petroleum ether. The solids were dried in vacuo to afford 78 mg of analytically pure material (67%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz, 25 °C): complex pattern of resonances between 8.48 and 7.00 ppm (62H). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, 121 MHz) δ 28.7 (bs). Anal. calcd for C₇₈H₆₂BN₃P₂Ru: C, 77.09; H, 5.14; N, 3.46. Found: C, 77.48; H, 5.69; N, 3.69.

[trans-(BQA)Os(CO)(PPh₃)₂][PF₆] (26). A solution of TlPF₆ (13.6 mg, 0.02 mmol) in THF (1 mL) was added to a solution of 6 (40 mg, 0.02 mmol) in THF (2 mL) in a 10 mL Schlenk flask equipped with a stir bar and septum. The flask was evacuated, then exposed to 1 atm of CO gas. The green solution reddens after stirring vigorously over the period of 1 h. The reaction was allowed to stir for 5 h, and then the volatiles were removed in vacuo. The red solid was washed with ethanol (2 \times 3 mL) and Et₂O (2 \times 3 mL). The remaining solid was dried in vacuo, yielding 48 mg of analytically pure material (90%). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 300 MHz, 25 °C): complex pattern of resonances between 8.58 and 6.56 ppm (42H). ³¹P {¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 121.4 MHz, 25 °C): δ 0.31. IR: $(CH_2Cl_2/KBr)\nu_{CO} = 1921 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. ES-MS (Electrospray): calcd for C₅₅H₄₂N₃OOsP₂ (M)⁺ m/z 1013, found (M)⁺ m/z 1014. Anal. calcd for C₅₅H₄₂F₆N₃OOsP₃: C, 57.04; H, 3.66; N, 3.63. Found: C, 56.64; H, 3.51; N, 3.60.

[*trans*-(**BQA**)**RuCl**(**PPh**₃)₂][**OTf**] (27). To a vigorously stirring solution of 5 (0.11 g, 0.12 mmol) in toluene (5 mL) was added solid AgOTf (30 mg, 0.12 mmol) at room temperature. The reaction mixture turned brown instantly, while a black precipitate formed. After the mixture was stirred for 1 h, the solution was filtered through a Celite pad to remove the precipitate. The volatiles were removed in vacuo. The solids were then extracted into CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) and filtered again through a Celite pad. The volume of the filtrate was reduced to 1 mL, layered with diethyl ether, and allowed

to stand. A yellow precipitate formed and was isolated by decanting the liquor. The solids were dried in vacuo to afford analytically pure material (0.12 g, 93%). ES-MS (electrospray): calcd for $C_{54}H_{42}ClN_3P_2Ru$ (M)⁺ m/z 931, found (M + H)⁺ m/z 931. Anal. calcd for $C_{55}H_{42}ClF_3N_3O_3P_2RuS$: C, 61.14; H, 3.92; N, 3.89. Found: C, 60.81; H, 3.70; N, 3.76.

[trans-(BQA)Ru(N2)(PPh3)2][PF6] (30). TlPF6 (18.8 mg, 0.054 mmol) in THF (1 mL) was added to a solution of 5 (50 mg, 0.054 mmol) in THF (2 mL) at room temperature. The green solution darkens to a midnight blue after stirring for several hours, and the evolution of a white precipitate is noticeable. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 24 h and was filtered through a Celite pad, and then the volatiles were removed in vacuo. Dissolution of the blue solids in CH₂Cl₂ caused a color change to an intense red. The solution was filtered through a Celite pad, and the volatiles were removed in vacuo. The red solid was washed with Et₂O (2 \times 3 mL). The remaining solid was dried in vacuo, yielding 56 mg of analytically pure material (83%). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 300 MHz, 25 °C): complex pattern of resonances between δ 8.64 and 7.04 (42H). ³¹P {¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 121.4 MHz, 25 °C): δ 25, -143 (sept, J = 711 Hz). ES-MS (electrospray): calcd for $C_{54}H_{42}ClN_5P_2Ru$ (M)⁺ m/z 924, found (M + H)⁺ m/z 896 (M - N₂)⁺. IR: (CH₂Cl₂/KBr): $\nu_{\rm NN}$ 2130 cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd for C₅₄H₄₂F₆N₅P₃Ru: C, 60.68; H, 3.96; N, 6.55. Found: C, 60.55; H, 3.90; N, 6.48.

[*trans*-(**BQA**)**Ru**(**N**₂)(**PMe**₃)₂][**PF**₆] (**31**). TIPF₆ (18.8 mg, 0.054 mmol) in THF (1 mL) was added to a solution of **5** (30 mg, 0.054 mmol) in THF (2 mL) at room temperature. The green solution reddens after stirring for several hours, and the evolution of a white precipitate is noticeable. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 36 h and was filtered through a Celite pad, and then the volatiles were removed in vacuo. The solids were dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ and filtered through a Celite pad, and the volatiles were removed in vacuo. The solid was dwith Et₂O (2 × 3 mL). The remaining solid was dried in vacuo, yielding 36 mg of analytically pure material (78%). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 300 MHz, 25 °C): δ 8.35 (d, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 2H), 8.04 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.91 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz,

2H), 7.54 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (dd, J = 7.8, 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.04 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 0.72 (t, J = 2.7 Hz, 18H). ³¹P {¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 121.4 MHz, 25 °C): δ 0.42, -143 (sept, J = 711 Hz). ES-MS (electrospray): calcd for C₂₄H₃₀N₅P₂Ru (M)⁺ *m*/*z* 552, found (M + H)⁺ *m*/*z* 524 (M - N₂)⁺. IR (CH₂Cl₂/KBr): ν_{NN} 2129 cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd for C₂₄H₃₀F₆N₅P₃Ru: C, 41.39; H, 4.34; N, 10.05. Found: C, 41.29; H, 4.15; N, 9.89.

[trans-(BQA)Os(N₂)(PPh₃)₂][PF₆] (32). A solution of TlPF₆ (13.6 mg, 0.02 mmol) in THF (1 mL) was added to a solution of 6 (40 mg, 0.02 mmol) in THF (2 mL) at room temperature. The green solution reddens after stirring vigorously over a period of several hours. The reaction was allowed to stir for 24 h and was filtered through a Celite pad, and then the volatiles were removed in vacuo. The red solid was extracted into CH₂Cl₂ and filtered through a Celite pad. The volatiles were removed in vacuo, and the resultant solids were washed with Et₂O (2 \times 3 mL). The remaining solid was dried in vacuo, yielding 48 mg of analytically pure material (89%). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 300 MHz, 25 °C): complex pattern of resonances between 8.47 and 6.44 ppm (42H). ³¹P {¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 121.4 MHz, 25 °C): δ -3.7. IR (CH₂Cl₂/KBr): ν_{NN} 2073 cm⁻¹. ES-MS (electrospray): calcd for $C_{54}H_{42}N_5OsP_2$ (M)⁺ m/z 1013, found (M)⁺ m/z 1014, 986 (M - N₂)⁺. Anal. calcd for C₅₄H₄₂F₆N₅OsP₃: C, 56.00; H, 3.66; N, 6.05. Found: C, 55.92; H, 3.61; N, 5.99.

Acknowledgment. J.C.P. is grateful to the NSF for financial support of this work (CHE-0750234 and CHE-0132216). T.A.B. is grateful to the Department of Defense for a graduate research fellowship.

Supporting Information Available: Crystallographic data (PDF and CIF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

IC801047S