

Stepwise Phase Transition in the Formation of Lithium Amidoborane

Chengzhang Wu,[†] Guotao Wu,^{*,†} Zhitao Xiong,[†] William I. F. David,^{‡,§} Kate R. Ryan,^{‡,§} Martin O. Jones,^{‡,§} Peter P. Edwards,[§] Hailiang Chu,[†] and Ping Chen^{*,†}

[†]Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 457 Zhongshan Road, Dalian 116023, People's Republic of China, [‡]Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Harwell Science and Innovation Campus, Didcot OX11 0OX, U.K., and [§]Department of Chemistry, ICL, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 2JD, U.K.

Received February 14, 2010

A stepwise phase transition in the formation of lithium amidoborane via the solid-state reaction of lithium hydride and ammonia borane has been identified and investigated. Structural analyses reveal that a lithium amidoborane-ammonia borane complex (LiNH₂BH₃·NH₃BH₃) and two allotropes of lithium amidoborane (denoted as α - and β -LiNH₂BH₃, both of which adopt orthorhombic symmetry) were formed in the process of synthesis. LiNH₂BH₃ • NH₃BH₃ is the intermediate of the synthesis and adopts a monoclinic structure that features layered LiNH₂BH₃ and NH₃BH₃ molecules and contains both ionic and dihydrogen bonds. Unlike α -LiNH₂BH₃, the units of the β phase have two distinct Li⁺ and [NH₂BH₃]⁻ environments. β -LiNH₂BH₃ can only be observed in energetic ball milling and transforms to α -LiNH₂BH₃ upon extended milling. Both allotropes of LiNH₂BH₃ exhibit similar thermal decomposition behavior, with 10.8 wt % H₂ released when heated to 180 °C; in contrast, LiNH₂BH₃·NH₃BH₃ releases approximately 14.3 wt % H₂ under the same conditions.

Introduction

The development of a viable hydrogen-storage system for fuel-cell vehicles is of significant importance in the transition from a carbon-based to a hydrogen-based economy. Recent research on materials design and synthesis has mainly focused on chemicals that are composed of light elements and possess high hydrogen content.¹ One significant material that has been investigated is ammonia borane, NH₃BH₃, a solidstate compound with 19.6 wt % hydrogen capacity. Ammonia borane was first synthesized in 1955,² and its roomtemperature body-centered tetragonal structure was determined in the subsequent year.³ Hoon and Reynhardt⁴ observed a phase transition from a tetragonal to an orthorhombic structure at low temperatures. Subsequently, Klooster et al.,⁵ Bowden et al.,⁶ and Hess et al.⁷ confirmed this orthorhombic structure using neutron diffraction, single-crystal X-ray

- (1) (a) Orimo, S. I.; Nakamori, Y.; Eliseo, J. R.; Zuttel, A.; Jensen, C. M. *Chem. Rev.* 2007, 107, 4111–4132. (b) Chen, P.; Xiong, Z. T.; Luo, J. Z.; Lin,
- J. Y.; Tan, K. L. *Nature* 2002, *420*, 302–304.
 (2) Shore, S. G.; Parry, R. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1955, 77, 6084–6085. (3) (a) Hughes, E. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1956, 78, 502-503. (b) Lippert,
- E. L.; Lipscomb, W. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1956, 78, 503–504.
 (4) Hoon, C. F.; Reynhardt, E. C. J. Phys. C 1983, 16, 6129–6136.
 (5) Klooster, W. T.; Koetzle, T. F.; Siegbahn, P. E. M.; Richardson, T. B.;
- Crabtree, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 6337-6343 (6) Bowden, M. E.; Gainsford, G. J.; Robinson, W. T. Aust. J. Chem.
- 2007, 60, 149-153
- (7) Hess, N. J.; Bowden, M. E.; Parvanov, V. M.; Mundy, C.; Kathmann, S. M.; Schenter, G. K.; Autrey, T. J. Chem. Phys. 2008, 128.

diffraction (XRD), and in situ Raman, respectively. NH₃BH₃ decomposes to hydrogen upon heating,⁸ and its application as a hydrogen-storage material was investigated by Wolf et al.^{9a} and Gutowska et al.^{9b} In the past decade, considerable attention has been given to NH₃BH₃ to improve its dehydrogenation properties.⁹ More recently, there have been significant efforts to chemically modify ammonia borane through substitution of one of the protic hydrogen atoms with an alkali or alkaline-earth element.¹⁰⁻¹³ Xiong et al. reported that lithium amidoborane, LiNH₂BH₃, could be synthesized

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: pchen@ dicp.ac.cn (P.C.), wgt@dicp.ac.cn (G.W.).

⁽⁸⁾ Hu, M. G.; Geanangel, R. A.; Wendlandt, W. W. Thermochim. Acta 1978, 23, 249-255

^{(9) (}a) Wolf, G.; Baumann, J.; Baitalow, F.; Hoffmann, F. P. Thermochim. Acta 2000, 343, 19-25. (b) Gutowska, A.; Li, L. Y.; Shin, Y. S.; Wang, C. M. M.; Li, X. H. S.; Linehan, J. C.; Smith, R. S.; Kay, B. D.; Schmid, B.; Shaw, W.; Gutowski, M.; Autrey, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 3578-3582. (c) Chandra, M.; Xu, Q. J. Power Sources 2006, 156, 190-194. (d) Stowe, A. C.; Shaw, W. J.; Linehan, J. C.; Schmid, B.; Autrey, T. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2007, 9, 1831–1836. (e) Heldebrant, D. J.; Karkamkar, A.; Hess, N. J.; Bowden, M.; Rassat, S.; Zheng, F.; Rappe, K.; Autrey, T. Chem. Mater. 2008, 20, 5332-5336. (f) He, T.; Xiong, Z. T.; Wu, G. T.; Chu, H. L.; Wu, C. Z.; Zhang, T.; Chen, P. Chem. Mater. 2009, 21, 2315-2318.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Xiong, Z. T.; Yong, C. K.; Wu, G. T.; Chen, P.; Shaw, W.; Karkamkar, A.; Autrey, T.; Jones, M. O.; Johnson, S. R.; Edwards, P. P.; David, W. I. F. Nat. Mater. 2008, 7, 138-141

⁽¹¹⁾ Diyabalanage, H. V. K.; Shrestha, R. P.; Semelsberger, T. A.; Scott, B. L.; Bowden, M. E.; Davis, B. L.; Burrell, A. K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 8995-8997.

⁽¹²⁾ Kang, X. D.; Fang, Z. Z.; Kong, L. Y.; Cheng, H. M.; Yao, X. D.; Lu, G. Q.; Wang, P. Adv. Mater. 2008, 20, 2756. (13) Wu, H.; Zhou, W.; Yildirim, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 14834–

¹⁴⁸³⁹

from the direct reaction of LiH with NH₃BH₃.

$$LiH + NH_3BH_3 \rightarrow LiNH_2BH_3 + H_2$$
(1)

One of the driving forces suggested for the formation of LiNH₂BH₃ is the high chemical potential for the combination of the protic $H^{\delta+}$ in NH₃ with the hydridic $H^{\delta-}$ in alkalimetal hydrides to form H₂. LiNH₂BH₃ crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group *Pbca*, with a = 7.11274(6) Å, b = 13.94877(14) Å, c = 5.15018(6) Å, and V = 510.970(15) Å³, which is consistent with Wu et al.'s results.¹³ LiNH₂BH₃ releases 10.9 wt % of hydrogen without borazine formation at 91 °C.10 The systematic first-principles study of the structural and energetic properties of LiNH₂BH₃ by Ramzan et al. is in agreement with the experimental data.¹⁴ The mechanism of dehydrogenation was modeled by Kim et al.¹⁵ More recently, a new allotrope of LiNH₂BH₃ was synthesized that also crystallizes in space group *Pbca* but with different lattice constants: a = 15.146(6) Å, b = 7.721(3) Å, c = 9.268(4) Å, and V = 1083.7(8) Å³. This new allotrope is denoted as β -LiNH₂BH₃ to distinguish it from the originally discovered form of LiNH₂BH₃ (henceforth denoted as α -LiNH₂BH₃) reported by Xiong et al.¹⁰ The derived space group implies an asymmetric unit with a volume of 135.5(1) Å³ that is essentially twice the volume of α -LiNH₂BH₃ (63.8 Å³), implying that there are two crystallographically distinct Li and NH₂BH₃ ions.

The formation of LiNH₂BH₃ via a solution-based route, i.e., by using tetrahydrofuran (THF) as a solvent, was monitored recently by in situ NMR.¹⁶ A gradual shift in the resonance of ¹¹B was observed, which indicated that the formation of LiNH₂BH₃ was a stepwise process. Therefore, it is of significant importance to characterize the compositionally induced structural changes obtained when using a conventional solid-state synthesis. In this study, we systematically investigated the formation of LiNH2BH3 via the mechanical milling of molar equivalents of LiH and NH₃BH₃. Our experimental results show that the amidoborane-ammonia borane complex is formed at the initial stage of the reaction and is subsequently converted to LiNH₂BH₃, with two phases of LiNH₂BH₃, namely, α -LiNH₂BH₃ and β -LiNH₂-BH₃, identified in the process. Interestingly, both phases of lithium amidoborane exhibit essentially identical features in dehydrogenation.

Experimental Section

Synthesis. Lithium hydride (LiH, 99%, Aldrich) and ammonia borane (NH₃BH₃, 98%, Aldrich) in a molar ratio of 1:1 were mechanically milled together under 1 bar of argon at 200 rpm on a planetary mill (Retsch, PM400). The extent of reaction was monitored by recording the pressure change in the milling vial. The content of the gaseous product(s) was analyzed by mass spectrometry (Hiden HPR-20 Gas Analysis System) and a thermoconductivity meter (accuracy, $0.1 \,\mu$ s/cm), where the outlet gas was introduced to a dilute H₂SO₄ solution whose ion conductivity was monitored with the progression of dehydrogenation. It should be noted that the ionic conductivity of the solution will decrease if NH_3 is released from the sample and absorbed by the solution. The synthesis process was stopped at different stages for sample collection.

Dehydrogenation. A homemade temperature-programmed desorption—mass spectrometry (Hiden HPR-20) combined system was employed to detect the gaseous products evolved in the thermal decomposition processes. Volumetric release for quantitative measurements of hydrogen desorption from samples was performed on a HyEnergy PCT apparatus. The heat flow in the thermal dehydrogenation was also monitored by a Netzch 449C thermogravimetric/differential scanning calorimetry (TG/DSC) unit. The ammonia concentration in the gaseous phase was measured from solution thermoconductivity.

Characterization. Structural identification was undertaken on a PANalytical X'pert diffractometer (Cu KR, 40 kV, 40 mA) and also on the high-resolution diffractometers ID31 at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, Grenoble, France, at a wavelength of 0.79825(1) Å and BL14B1 at the Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility, Shanghai, China, at a wavelength of 1.2398 Å.

Results and Discussion

Phase Transition in the Formation of Lithium Amidoborane. To explore the formation mechanism of lithium amidoborane, a 1:1 molar ratio of LiH and NH₃BH₃ was mechanically milled, and the extent of reaction was monitored by recording the increase in the pressure in the milling vial as a function of the time. The gaseous products were analyzed by mass spectrometry and an ammonia detection device. The ammonia concentration was found to be less than 300 ppm upon completion of the reaction, and thus the majority of the gaseous product is H_2 (eq 1). The extent of reaction is correlated with the amount of H₂ generated. Solid residues collected at different stages of the reaction were subject to structural characterization. As shown in Figure 1, when approximately 1/3 mol equiv of H₂ had evolved, the solid residue was composed of unreacted LiH and LiNH₂BH₃·NH₃BH₃, a new phase, recently identified by the authors, that can be obtained by the mechanical milling of a 1:2 molar ratio of LiH and NH₃BH₃ or a 1:1 molar ratio of LiNH₂BH₃ and NH₃BH₃.¹⁷ It should be noted that the absence of unreacted NH₃BH₃ in the XRD pattern is likely due to the deformation of NH₃BH₃ into an amorphous phase under energetic ball milling. After ca. $^{2}/_{3}$ mol equiv of H₂ had evolved, α -LiNH₂BH₃ and LiNH₂BH₃·NH₃BH₃ were found to coexist in the solid residue. When ca. $^{3}/_{4}$ mol equiv of H₂ had evolved, both α -LiNH₂BH₃ and β -LiNH₂BH₃ phases were present in the residue. Upon the release of ca. 1.0 mol equiv of H_2 , the only phase detected was β -LiNH₂BH₃. On the basis of the above observations and analyses, the following reaction steps are proposed for the formation of LiNH₂BH₃ from LiH and NH₃BH₃ (wherein AB, LiAB, and LiABAB are the abbreviations for NH3BH3, LiNH2BH3, and LiNH2BH3. NH₃BH₃, respectively):

2LiH + 2AB

$$\rightarrow$$
 LiH + LiABAB + H₂

$$\rightarrow 2\text{LiAB} + 2\text{H}_2 \tag{2}$$

⁽¹⁴⁾ Ramzan, M.; Silvearv, F.; Blomqvist, A.; Scheicher, R. H.; Lebegue, S.; Ahuja, R. *Phys. Rev. B* **2009**, *79*.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Kim, D. Y.; Singh, N. J.; Lee, H. M.; Kim, K. S. Chem.—Eur. J. 2009, 15, 5598–5604.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Xiong, Z. T.; Chua, Y. S.; Wu, G. T.; Xu, W. L.; Chen, P.; Shaw, W.; Karkamkar, A.; Linehan, J.; Smurthwaite, T.; Autrey, T. *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 5595–5597.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Wu, C. Z.; Wu, G. T.; Han, X. W.; Xiong, Z. T.; Chu, H. L.; He, T.; Chen, P. *Chem. Mater.* **2010**, *22*, 3.



Figure 1. XRD patterns of LiH–NH₃BH₃ samples ball-milled for various times. The XRD pattern of LiNH₂BH₃·NH₃BH₃ is also presented for comparison (∇ , α -LiNH₂BH₃; \checkmark , β -LiNH₂BH₃; \star , post-decomposed product of LiNH₂BH₃·NH₃BH₃; \Leftrightarrow , LiH). The diffraction peak at $2\theta = 22.7^{\circ}$ is the product of the decomposition of LiNH₂BH₃·NH₃BH₃.¹⁷.

We have examined the interaction of LiNH₂BH₃· NH₃BH₃ synthesized separately¹⁷ with 1.0 mol equiv of LiH to confirm the second step in the process described above, i.e., that the formation of LiNH₂BH₃ is the result of a further substitution of H by Li in LiNH₂BH₃· NH₃BH₃. Our results show that β -LiNH₂BH₃ is formed, after the addition of ca. 1.0 mol equiv of H₂, from the reaction of LiNH₂BH₃·NH₃BH₃ with LiH. Interestingly, increasing the LiH content for the reaction of LiNH₂BH₃·NH₃BH₃ with LiH did not lead to a further substitution of H by Li; i.e., there is no evidence for the formation of speculated species "Li₂NHBH₃".¹⁸

It should be noted that a tetragonal phase with a unit cell of a = 4.0320(4) Å, c = 17.023(4) Å, and V = 276.73(8) Å³ was present in most of the samples. This phase likely originated from the dehydrogenation/decomposition of LiNH₂BH₃·NH₃BH₃.¹⁷

It is interesting to note that LiNH₂BH₃ initially formed is of the α phase, which then transforms to the β phase as the reaction progresses. Moreover, we noted that β -LiNH₂BH₃ subsequently transformed back to α -LiNH₂BH₃ upon extended ball milling. As shown in



Figure 2. XRD patterns of LiH–NH₃BH₃ ball-milled for 5, 8, and 16 h, respectively. β -LiNH₂BH₃ tends to transform to α -LiNH₂BH₃ through extended milling (∇ , α -LiNH₂BH₃; \checkmark , β -LiNH₂BH₃; \bigstar , postdecomposed product of LiNH₂BH₃·NH₃BH₃).

Figure 2, β -LiNH₂BH₃ gradually converted to α -LiNH₂BH₃ upon mechanical milling at 200 rpm for 16 h. It should be noted that no pressure change was detected in such a transformation, which suggests that the chemical composition of the solid remains unchanged.

Structural information for LiNH₂BH₃·NH₃BH₃ and α - and β -LiNH₂BH₃ is presented in Table 1. It can be seen that the lattice parameters of LiNH₂BH₃·NH₃BH₃ are close to those of α -LiNH₂BH₃ but approximately half of those of β -LiNH₂BH₃. The coordination environments of Li^+ in α -LiNH₂BH₃, β -LiNH₂BH₃, and LiNH₂BH₃. NH₃BH₃ are presented in Figure 3. The strongest interaction in all of the structures is the ionic bond formed between the Li⁺ cation and nitrogen atom in the amidoborane anion and $H^{\delta-}$ in the BH₃ group. As shown in Figure 3a, the Li–N bond length in α -LiNH₂BH₃ is 2.02 Å; each Li^+ is surrounded by three BH₃ groups of $[NH_2BH_3]^-$ ions with Li-B distances in the range of 2.64 – 2.68 A. The crystal structure of β -LiNH₂BH₃ is an intergrowth of two different LiNH₂BH₃ layers oriented perpendicular to the *a* axis, and here the bond lengths for Li_1-N and Li_2-N are 1.93 and 2.04 Å, respectively. Moreover, the tetrahedral coordination of Li⁺ ions in β -LiNH₂BH₃ is distorted: the Li₁-B distances are 2.56, 2.57, and 2.78 A, respectively. However, the Li_2 -B distances are 2.50, 2.51, and 2.92 A (Figure 3b), respectively. For the intermediate LiNH₂BH₃·NH₃BH₃, each Li bonds with one $[NH_2BH_3]^-$ ion (Li–N distance 2.05 Å) and is also coordinated with one BH₃ group of NH₃BH₃ and two BH_3 groups of $[NH_2BH_3]^-$ ions with Li-B distances of 2.48-2.58 A (Figure 3c).

The symmetry of β -LiNH₂BH₃ is lower than that of α -LiNH₂BH₃ and could suggest that it is a metastable phase that arises as a result of the energetic mechanical

⁽¹⁸⁾ Armstrong, D. R.; Perkins, P. G.; Walker, G. T. *THEOCHEM* 1985, 23, 189–203.

| | crystal structure | space group | lattice parameters | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | a (Å) | <i>b</i> (Å) | <i>c</i> (Å) | β (deg) | $V(\text{\AA}^3)$ |
| $\frac{\text{LiNH}_{2}\text{BH}_{3}\cdot\text{NH}_{3}\text{BH}_{3}^{17}}{\alpha-\text{LiNH}_{2}\text{BH}_{3}^{10}}\beta-\text{LiNH}_{2}\text{BH}_{3}$ | monoclinic orthorhombic orthorhombic | P2 ₁ /c Pbca Pbca | 7.05 7.11 15.15 | 14.81 13.95 7.72 | 5.13 5.15 9.27 | 97.5 90.0 90.0 | 531.6 511.0 1083.7 |



Figure 3. Tetrahedral coordination of Li^+ ions around amidoborane anions for (a) α -LiNH₂BH₃, (b) β -LiNH₂BH₃, and (c) LiNH₂BH₃·NH₃BH₃.

milling synthesis process. There are numerous cases where nonequilibrium phase transitions in solid materials occur under mechanical milling conditions,19 for example, the metastable γ -MgH₂ phase (high-pressure phase) is formed upon the milling of stable β -MgH₂.²⁰ To date, β -LiNH₂BH₃ has only been obtained via mechanical milling synthesis.¹⁶ Dissolving β -LiNH₂BH₃ in THF and then recrystallizing results in the formation of α -LiNH₂BH₃ (as characterized by XRD), which suggests that β -LiNH₂BH₃ may be kinetically stabilized and that α -LiNH₂BH₃ is the more thermodynamically stable species. This is supported by the fact that β -LiNH₂BH₃ transforms to α -LiNH₂BH₃ upon extended ball milling. Although the detailed mechanism for this phase transition is the subject of further study, the extended ball milling could lead to the regain of the equilibrium (thermodynamically stable) state.

Dehydrogenation Properties. Thermal decomposition properties of LiNH₂BH₃·NH₃BH₃, β -LiNH₂BH₃, and α-LiNH₂BH₃ were investigated on a PCT apparatus at 91 and 180 °C, respectively. As shown in Figure 4, β-LiNH₂BH₃ and α -LiNH₂BH exhibit essentially identical dehydrogenation features; i.e., both release 8.8 wt % H₂ at 91 °C (ca. 1.6 mol equiv of H_2 . Note that H_2 desorption is less than what we reported previously, which is due to the addition of carbon to the LiNH₂BH₃ sample¹⁰). Upon further heating to 180 °C, ca. 2.0 mol equiv of H₂ was released [see reaction (3)]. To further verify the similarity of the thermal decomposition of these two LiNH₂BH₃ phases, DSC measurements were also performed. Our results show that both α - and β -LiNH₂BH₃ exhibit an endothermic feature (starting from 82 °C) prior to the exothermic dehydrogenation, which is likely due to the melting of the material. The structural difference will, therefore, vanish under such a



Figure 4. Time dependence of hydrogen release from LiNH₂BH₃. NH₃BH₃, α -LiNH₂BH₃, and β -LiNH₂BH₃ at two temperature stages, 91 and 180 °C, respectively. (Please note that the time-temperature profile for each sample is different.) For lithium amidoboranes, about 8.8 wt % H_2 released at 91 °C (close to 20 h, ca. 1.6 mol equiv of H_2) and a total of 2.0 mol equiv of H2 released (10.8 wt %) during heating up to 180 °C. For LiNH2BH3 · NH3BH3, first 6 wt % H2 released at 91 °C and 8.3 wt % H₂ released at 180 °C. (The ammonia concentrations after thermal decomposition are ~ 300 ppm for α -LiNH₂BH₃ and β-LiNH₂BH₃ and less than 3000 ppm for LiNH₂BH₃·NH₃BH₃.)

condition. The dehydrogenation should mainly reflect the chemical nature of the "molten" LiAB species.

 $LiNH_2BH_3 \cdot NH_3BH_3$ prepared by directly milling a 1:2 molar ratio of LiH/NH₃BH₃ was observed to release 6.0 wt % (ca. \sim 2 mol equiv) H₂ at 91 °C and 8.3 wt % (ca. \sim 3 mol equiv) H₂ at 180 °C, a total of 14.3 wt % H₂, which is close to its theoretical capacity of 14.8 wt % [see reaction (4)].¹⁷

$$n\text{LiNH}_2\text{BH}_3(s) \rightarrow (\text{LiNBH})_n(s) + 2n\text{H}_2(g)$$
 (3)

$$n\text{LiNH}_2\text{BH}_3 \cdot \text{NH}_3\text{BH}_3(s) \rightarrow (\text{LiN}_2\text{B}_2\text{H})_n(s) + 5n\text{H}_2(g)$$
(4)

⁽¹⁹⁾ Suryanarayana, C. Prog. Mater. Sci. 2001, 46, 1–184.
(20) Varin, R. A.; Czujko, T.; Wronski, Z. Nanotechnology 2006, 17, 3856-3865.

Conclusion

In summary, LiNH₂BH₃·NH₃BH₃ and α and β phases of LiNH₂BH₃ were observed as products of the solid-state reaction of LiH and NH₃BH₃. The LiNH₂BH₃·NH₃BH₃ complex, possessing a monoclinic structure and composed of alternative LiNH₂BH₃ and NH₃BH₃ layers, is the intermediate phase in the formation of LiNH₂BH₃. β -LiNH₂BH₃, a metastable phase formed under mechanical milling conditions, converts back to α -LiNH₂BH₃ upon either extended ball milling or recrystallization from THF. Both polymorphs of lithium amidoborane (α - and β -LiNH₂BH₃) release approximately 10.8 wt % H₂ upon heating to 180 °C, whereas

LiNH₂BH₃·NH₃BH₃ releases approximately 14.3 wt % H₂ under the same conditions.

Acknowledgment. The authors acknowledge financial support from the Hundred Talents Project and Knowledge Innovation Program of CAS (Grants KGCX2-YW-806 and KJCX2-YW-H21), National Program on Key Basic Research Project (973 Program, No. 2010CB631304), and National High-tech R&D Program of China (863 Program, No. 2009AA05Z108). We further acknowledge support of the EPSRC funding bodies and the United Kingdom Sustainable Hydrogen Energy Consortium (UK-SHEC), an EPSRC SUPERGEN.