## Rhenium-Catalyzed Deoxydehydration of Glycols by Sulfite

Saidi Vkuturi, Garry Chapman, Irshad Ahmad, and Kenneth M. Nicholas\*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma 73019

F

Received March 12, 2010

Methyltrioxorhenium and sodium perrhenate catalyze the deoxydehydration of glycols and deoxygenation of epoxides to olefins in moderate yields with sulfite as the reductant.

The drive to develop new and efficient processes for the conversion of abundant renewable resources to chemicals and fuels has spurred new interest in the discovery of selective chemical transformations of biomass-derived carbohydrates and polyols. To access many chemicals and most potential fuels from these resources, partial or complete hydroxyl group removal via dehydration and/or reduction (deoxygenation) is needed.<sup>1</sup> Selective monodehydroxylation of glycols has recently been achieved by hydrogenolysis, catalyzed heterogeneously by  $Ru-C^2$  and homogeneously by Cp\*Ru(CO)LH.<sup>3</sup> Reactions that effect both of these changes, i.e., deoxydehydration (DODH, eq 1), are attractive for generating synthetically versatile unsaturated products. Following early studies of stepwise DODH reactions by various reagents,<sup>4</sup> Bergman and co-workers recently reported the efficient high temperature conversion of polyols to olefins by formic acid.<sup>5</sup> The potential of catalyzing DODH reactions by oxo-metal complexes was first demonstrated by Andrews and  $Cook^6$  using PPh<sub>3</sub> as the reductant with  $Cp^*ReO_3$  as the catalyst. Subsequent reports by Gable have shed light on the catalytic pathway of these reactions and have provided more robust rhenium-tris(pyrazolyl)borate catalysts.<sup>7</sup> Most recently, Abu-Omar et al. reported that the deoxygenation of

Commun. 2009, 3357.

(6) Cook, G. K.; Andrews, M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 9448.
(7) (a) Gable, K. P.; Phan, T. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 833.

epoxides and DODH of glycols to alkenes and alkanes with the more practical reductant  $H_2$  is catalyzed by MeReO<sub>3</sub>.<sup>8</sup>

Inorganic Chemist

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{IO} & \text{OH} \\ \text{R} \\ \text{R} \\ \text{(Red = PPh_3, H_2, SO_3^{2-})} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{ZReO}_3} R + \text{RedO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (1)$$

We have initiated a project seeking the development of new DODH systems that would employ inexpensive reductants and catalysts and the elucidation of their mechanistic pathways. We considered sulfite and bisulfite salts to be attractive reductants for polyol DODH reactions because of their strong reducing potentials,9 low cost, convenience of use, low toxicity, and recyclability of the byproduct sulfate.<sup>10</sup> Although O-atom transfer reduction of oxo-metal complexes by sulfite/bisulfite is illustrated by the molybdoenzyme sulfite oxidase<sup>11</sup> and model LMo<sup>VI</sup>O<sub>2</sub> complexes,<sup>12</sup> such reactions are rare among other oxo-metal complexes.<sup>13</sup> We disclose here the first examples of catalytic glycol DODH driven by sulfite.

During exploratory experiments, 1-phenyl-1,2-ethanediol (styrenediol) and 1,2-octanediol were tested for reactivity with NaHSO3 and Na2SO3 in the presence of selected Moand Re-oxo complexes, e.g., (dedtc)<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>2</sub>, Cp\*ReO<sub>3</sub>, and MeReO<sub>3</sub> (150-200 °C, organic solvents and biphasic with H<sub>2</sub>O).<sup>14</sup> From these experiments, Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> as a reductant and

(11) Feng, C.; Tollin, G.; Enemark, J. H. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2007, 1774. 527

(12) (a) Nagarajan, K.; Chaudhury, P. K.; Srinivasan, B. R.; Sarkar, S. Chem. Commun. 2001, 1786. (b) Das, S. K.; Chaudhury, P. K.; Biswas, D.; Sarkar, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 9061.

<sup>\*</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: knicholas@ ou.edu.

<sup>(1)</sup> Chheda, J. N.; Huber, G. W.; Dumesic, J. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 7164.

<sup>(2)</sup> Deng, W.; Tan, X.; Fang, W.; Zhang, Q.; Wang, Y. Catal. Lett. 2009, 133, 167.

<sup>(3)</sup> Schlaf, M.; Ghosh, P.; Fagan, P. J.; Hauptman, E.; Bullock, R. M. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 789.

<sup>(4)</sup> Block, E. Organic Reactions; W. G. Dauben, Ed.; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 1984; Chapter 2, Vol. 30, pp 458. Ando, M.; Ohhara, H.; Takase, K. Chem. Lett. **1986**, 879. (5) Arceo, E.; Marsden, P.; Bergman, R. G.; Ellman, J. A. Chem.

<sup>(</sup>b) Gable, K. P. Organometallics 1994, 13, 2486. (c) Gable, K. P.; Juliette, J. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 2625. (d) Gable, K. P.; Zhuravlev, F. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 3970. (e) Gable, K. P.; AbuBaker, A.; Zientara, K.; Wainwright, A. M. Organometallics 1999, 18, 173. (f) Gable, K. P.; Ross, B. ACS Symp. Ser. 2006, 921 (Feedstocks for the Future), 143.

<sup>(8)</sup> Ziegler, J. E.; Zdilla, M. J.; Evans, A. J.; Abu-Omar, M. M. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 9998.

<sup>(9)</sup>  $\Delta G^0$  values for the oxo-transfer couple, X + O  $\rightarrow$  XO, for SO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> are -70 to -60 kcal/mol and for HSO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> are -60 to -50 kcal/mol, comparable to H<sub>2</sub>. (a) Holm, R. H.; Donahue, J. P. *Polyhedron* **1993**, *12*, 571. (b) Lee, S. C; Holm, R. H. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2008, 361, 1166-1176. (c) Holm, R. H. Chem. Rev. 1987, 87, 1401-1449.

<sup>(10) (</sup>a) Stams, A. J. M.; Lens, P.N. L.; Buisman, C. J. N.; Janssen, A. J. H.; Muyzer, G. Microbes Environ. 2008, 97. (b) Kaksonen, A. H.; Puhakka, J. A. Eng. Life Sci. 2007, 7, 541. (c) van Houten, R. T.; Lettinga, G. Prog. Biotechnol. 1996, 11, 793. (d) Bulk Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> costs ca. \$ 0.92/kg. http://www. risiinfo.com/technologyarchives/Solvay (accessed Apr 2010).

<sup>(13) (</sup>a) Ernst, T.; Cyfert, M.; Wilgocki, M. Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 1992, 24, 903. (b) Sen Gupta, K. K.; Das, S.; Sen Gupta, S. J. Chem. Res., Synop. 1989, 4, 112

<sup>(14)</sup> Modest yields (10-30%) of styrene were also produced in some reactions of styrene diol with NaHSO3 or Na2SO3 promoted by Cp\*ReO3, MeReO<sub>3</sub>, or (dedtc)<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>2</sub> at 150-200 °C.

entry	substrate	catalyst(mol%)	solvent/additive	major product	time (h)	% conv.	% yield <sup>b</sup>
1	HO OH	MeReO <sub>3</sub> (10)	benzene	Ph	40	90	44
2	(0.03 M) (0.2 M)	(8)	benzene		4	100	59
3		(5)	THF		72	25	15
4		(5)	CH <sub>3</sub> CN		96	30	15
5		(4)	benzene, OPPh <sub>3</sub> (10)		48	95	45
6		MeReO <sub>3</sub> (8)	benzene	/=== С <sub>6</sub> Н <sub>11</sub>	168	95	34
7	06111	(8)	PhCI		40	100	45
8		(8)	PhCl, 15-crown-5		21	98	43
9		(2)	none		20	75	60
10		NaReO <sub>4</sub> (10)	PhCI		88	08	4
11		(10)	PhCl, 15-crown-5		100	100	30
12		(10)	PhCl, 15-crown-5, Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> (anhy.)		42	98	38
13	ОН	MeReO <sub>3</sub> (5)	PhCI	$\bigcirc$	48	95	25
14	Ph	MeReO <sub>3</sub> (8)	benzene	/== Ph	30	75	40
15	$\bigcirc \circ$	MeReO <sub>3</sub> (8)	PhCI	$\bigcirc$	20	95	30

Table 1. Glycol and Epoxide Deoxydehydration by Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>/MeReO<sub>3</sub> or NaReO<sub>4</sub><sup>a</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup> Reactions conducted at 150 °C (oil bath) with 0.2 M glycol or epoxide in the indicated solvent, 1.0-1.5 equiv of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>, and the indicated mol % catalyst. determined by gas chromatography with naphthalene as internal standard with glycol as the limiting reagent <sup>*b*</sup> Determined by gas chromatography with naphthalene as the internal standard with glycol as the limiting reagent.

MeReO<sub>3</sub> as a catalyst appeared most promising and were selected for more thorough study in nonaqueous solvents. Heating a stirred mixture of styrene diol (0.15 mmol),  $Na_2SO_3$  (1.0–1.5 equiv), and MeReO<sub>3</sub> (10 mol %) in 5 mL of benzene at 150 °C (sealed tube) resulted in a series of rapid color changes to produce a red brown reaction mixture; GC and GC-MS analysis indicated consumption of the glycol with the formation of styrene in 44% yield and small amounts of dimeric ethers derived from dehydration,<sup>15</sup> possibly promoted by the Lewis acidic MeReO<sub>3</sub> (entry 1, Table 1).<sup>16</sup> Increasing the glycol concentration to 0.2 M shortened the reaction time considerably and improved the yield as well (entry 2, Table 1). Since Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> has poor solubility in nonpolar organic solvents, more polar ones, e.g., THF, CH<sub>3</sub>CN, and H<sub>2</sub>O, were tested in an effort to increase the reaction rate. In the first two solvents, the conversion of styrenediol to styrene proceeded more slowly, perhaps a result of retarding solvent coordination to MeReO<sub>3</sub> (entries 3 and 4, Table 1). No appreciable conversion was observed in water. The inclusion of 10 mol % OPPh<sub>3</sub>, a potential phase transfer catalyst,<sup>17</sup> had minimal effect on the conversion rate (entry 5, Table 1).

Aliphatic diols, such as 1,2-octanediol, better models for carbohydrate-derived polyols, proved less reactive, requiring longer reaction times under comparable conditions in benzene (7 days, entry 6, Table 1) or chlorobenzene (40 h, entry 7, Table 1) to produce moderate yields of 1-octene (no isomers detected by GC/MS), accompanied by small amounts of volatile byproducts (e.g., 2-octanone and dimeric ethers). Seeking to enhance the sulfite solubility and conversion rate, inclusion of 10 mol % of the Na<sup>+</sup>-complexing agent 15-crown-5<sup>18</sup> was found to significantly shorten the reaction time (entry 8, Table 1). An initial substrate survey suggests that glycol to olefin DODH by Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>/MeReO<sub>3</sub> will have a broad scope; e.g., *cis*-1,2-cyclohexanediol was converted to cyclohexene in moderate yield (entry 13, Table 1).<sup>19</sup>

<sup>(15) (</sup>a) Gravimetric and NMR/GC-MS analysis of the benzene-soluble material from the styrene diol reaction showed ca. 20-30% by mass of a complex mixture of Ph-containing products, including dimeric ethers (ref 15b); the non-volatile, MeOH-soluble fraction (ca. 10% by mass) appears to be largely PhCHOHCH<sub>2</sub>(OSO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>) by NMR. (b) Zhu, Z.; Espenson, J. H. J. Org. Chem. **1996**, *61*, 324–8.

<sup>(16) (</sup>a) Nabavizadeh, S. M.; Rashidi, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128,
351. (b) Koestlmeier, S.; Nasluzov, V. A.; Herrmann, W. A.; Roesch, N. Organometallics 1997, 16, 1786.

<sup>(17)</sup> Kondo, S.; Furukawa, K.; Tsuda, K. J. Polym. Sci., Part A 1992, 30, 1503.

 <sup>(18) (</sup>a) Kudo, Y.; Fujihara, R.; Ohtake, T.; Katsuta, S.; Takeda, Y. Anal.
 Sci. 2008, 24, 999. (b) Rounaghi, G. H.; Masroornia, M.; Ghaemi, A.;
 Rahchamani, H. A. Asian J. Chem. 2007, 19, 1679.

<sup>(19)</sup> Other glycols producing olefins as major products (unoptimized) include pinacol (2,3-dimethylbutane-2,3-diol) and diethyl tartrate.

## 4746 Inorganic Chemistry, Vol. 49, No. 11, 2010

Although not yet optimized, practically attractive solventless reactions are also viable. Thus, heating a stirred mixture of 1,2-octanediol (1.2 mmol), Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> (1.5 mmol), and MeReO<sub>3</sub> (0.02 mmol) at 150 °C for 20 h in a sealed tube produced a 60% yield of 1-octene at 75% diol conversion (entry 9, Table 1).

Finally, the more economical NaReO<sub>4</sub>, previously untested for DODH activity, was also found to catalyze sulfite-driven DODH. Heating 1,2-octanediol, Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>, and 10 mol % NaReO<sub>4</sub> together at 150 °C slowly produced 1-octene with minimal formation of a GC-detectable byproduct; entry 10, Table 1). With this sparingly soluble catalyst and reductant, significant improvements in the conversion rate were achieved by the addition of 10 mol % 15-crown-5 (entry 11, Table 1) and Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> as a dehydrating agent (entry 12, Table 1).

Epoxides are also deoxygenated by the sulfite/MeReO<sub>3</sub> system. Styrene oxide and cyclohexene oxide were converted to the corresponding olefins with Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> (1.2 equiv)/MeReO<sub>3</sub> (8 mol %) in moderate yield (entries 14 and 15, Table 1) under typical conditions. It is noteworthy that significant quantities of styrene oxide were detected in early stages of the reactions of styrene diol, possibly indicating its intermediacy in DODH. However, the reduction of styrene oxide by Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>/MeReO<sub>3</sub> under the same conditions as for the glycol reaction (entries 14 and 2, Table 1) actually proceeded considerably slower, 30 h versus 4 h, suggesting that the epoxide is not a primary intermediate in the diol DODH reaction.

Although the mechanistic details of the catalytic cycle are under investigation, existing precedents and our initial observations suggest that two pathways (A and B) are viable, as outlined in Figure 1. In path A, MeReO<sub>3</sub> condenses with the glycol to generate Re<sup>VII</sup>-glycolate 2; then, 2 is reduced by sulfite to Re<sup>V</sup>-glycolate 3. In path B, 1 is first reduced by sulfite; then the resulting MeReO<sub>2</sub> (4) condenses with the glycol to form glycolate 3. Finally, fragmentation of 3 produces the olefin with regeneration of MeReO<sub>3</sub>. The viability of path A is supported by the known reversible condensation of 1 with glycols,<sup>20</sup> which in the case of 1 +styrene diol (1:1, benzene, rt) produced a 3:1 mixture of 1:2 (R = Ph). Heating the 1/2 mixture or 2 alone (generated from  $1 + \text{styrene oxide})^{21}$  with Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> (150 °C, 2–3 h) produced styrene (ca. 50%) and regenerated 1. The viability of path B is supported by known O-transfer reductions of  $1^{22}$  and our finding that heating 1 with Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> (benzene, 150 °C, 2 h)



**Figure 1.** Possible catalytic cycle for sulfite-driven deoxydehydration of glycols.

produces a dark precipitate (presumably a reduced Re species), which, upon the addition of styrene diol to the mixture and continued heating (150 °C, 2 h), also yielded styrene (ca. 60%) and 1.

In conclusion, we have reported here a new method for glycol and epoxide reduction to olefins employing commercial rhenium catalysts and  $Na_2SO_3$  as a reductant that achieves moderate to good efficiency. Studies of the catalytic mechanism and the application of DODH to carbohydrate substrates will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful for financial support for this project from the Oklahoma Biofuels Center (OBC).

**Note Added after ASAP Publication.** This paper was published on the Web on May 4, 2010, with incorrect values given in reference 9. The corrected version was reposted on May 7, 2010.

**Supporting Information Available:** Experimental procedures, NMR spectra of reaction mixtures, and representative gas chromatograms. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

<sup>(20) (</sup>a) Herrmann, W. A.; Watzlowik, P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1992, 441,
265. (b) Haider, J. J.; Kratzer, R. M.; Herrmann, W. A.; Zhao, J.; Kuhn, F. E. J. Organomet. Chem. 2004, 689, 3735.

<sup>(21)</sup> Zhu, Z.; Al-Ajlouni, A. M.; Espenson, J. H. Inorg. Chem. 1996, 35, 1408.

<sup>(22) (</sup>a) Espenson, J. H.; Yiu, D. T. Y. *Inorg. Chem.* 2000, *39*, 4113.
(b) Abu-Omar, M. M.; Appelman, E. H.; Espenson, J. H. *Inorg. Chem.* 1996, *35*, 7751.
(c) Zhu, Z.; Espenson, J. H. *J. Mol. Catal. A* 1995, *103*, 87–94.
(d) Felixberger, J. K.; Kuchler, J. G.; Herdtweck, E.; Paciello, R. A.; Herrmann, W. A. *Angew. Chem.* 1988, *100*, 975.