

# Hybrid Polyoxotungstate/MIL-101 Materials: Synthesis, Characterization, and Catalysis of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-Based Alkene Epoxidation

Nataliya V. Maksimchuk,<sup>†</sup> Konstantin A. Kovalenko,<sup>‡</sup> Sergey S. Arzumanov,<sup>†</sup> Yurii A. Chesalov,<sup>†</sup> Maxim S. Melgunov,<sup>†</sup> Alexander G. Stepanov,<sup>†</sup> Vladimir P. Fedin,<sup>‡</sup> and Oxana A. Kholdeeva<sup>\*,†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Boreskov Institute of Catalysis, Lavrentiev Avenue 5, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia, and <sup>‡</sup>Nikolaev Institute of Inorganic Chemistry, Lavrentiev Avenue 3, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia

Received December 11, 2009

Polyoxotungstates  $[PW_4O_{24}]^{3-}$  (PW<sub>4</sub>) and  $[PW_{12}O_{40}]^{3-}$  (PW<sub>12</sub>) have been inserted into nanocages of the metal organic framework MIL-101. The hybrid materials PW<sub>x</sub>/MIL-101 (x = 4 or 12) containing 5–14 wt % of polyoxotungstate have been obtained and characterized by elemental analysis, N2 adsorption, FT-IR, Raman, and <sup>31</sup>P NMR MAS spectroscopic techniques. Their catalytic performance was assessed in the selective oxidation of alkenes with aqueous hydrogen peroxide under mild reaction conditions ([H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>] = 0.1-0.2 M, 50 °C, MeCN). PW<sub>x</sub>/MIL-101 enclosing 5 wt % of polyoxotungstate demonstrated fairly good catalytic activities in the epoxidation of various alkenes (3-carene, limonene,  $\alpha$ -pinene, cyclohexene, cyclooctene, 1-octene), the turnover frequencies (TOF) and alkene conversions were close to the corresponding parameters achieved with homogeneous PW<sub>x</sub>. For the oxidation of substrates with aromatic groups (styrene, cis- and trans-stilbenes), a higher level of olefin conversion was attained using PW12/MIL-101. Moreover, confinement of PW12 within MIL-101 nanocages allowed us to reach higher epoxide selectivities at higher alkene conversions. The hybrid PW // MIL-101 materials were stable to leaching, behaved as true heterogeneous catalysts, were easily recovered by filtration, and reused several times with the maintenance of the catalytic performance.

## Introduction

Alkene epoxidation is one of the basic reactions in industrial organic synthesis because epoxides are key intermediates for the manufacture of a wide variety of valuable products.<sup>1-8</sup> The selective epoxidation of alkenes is widely used in the fine chemicals industry and can be successfully achieved by using stoichiometric amounts of peroxy acids.9 However, the development and implemen-

- (1) Franz, G.; Sheldon, R. A. In Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry, 5th ed.; Elvers, B., Hawkins, S., Shulz, G., Eds.; VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 1991; Vol. A(18), pp 261-311.
- (2) Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology; Interscience: New York, 1985; Vol. 7, pp 239, 263. (3) Sienel, G.; Rieth, R.; Rowbottom, K. T. In Ullmann's Encyclopedia of
- Industrial Chemistry; Gerhartz, W., Ed.; VCH Publishers: New York, 1985; Vol. A(9), pp 531-545.
- (4) Dusi, M.; Mallat, T.; Baiker, A. Catal. Rev. Sci. Eng. 2000, 42, 213–278. (5) Bauer, K.; Garbe, D.; Surburg, H. Common Fragrance and Flavor
- Materials; Wiley-VCH: New York, 1997 (6) Sheldon, R. A.; van Vliet, M. C. A. In Fine Chemicals through
- Heterogeneous Catalysis; Sheldon, R. A., van Bekkum, H., Eds.; Wiley: Weinheim, Germany, 2001; pp 473-551.

(8) Adolfsson, H. In Modern Oxidation Methods; Bäckvall, J.-E., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2004; pp 21-49.

tation of catalytic processes which eliminate the use of hazardous reactants and reduce generation of waste is an important goal.<sup>6–8</sup>

Hydrogen peroxide has attracted great attention as an environmentally benign oxidant because it is quite cheap, readily available, easy to handle, and gives water as the only co-product.  $^{10-13}$  Tungsten compounds are wellknown as active and selective catalysts for alkene epoxidation with aqueous  $H_2O_2$ .<sup>8,14–21</sup> In the mid of 1980s, highly effective systems based on the use of  $[PW_4O_{24}]^{3-1}$ 

- (16) Trocgarts, D., Scis, B. F., De Vos, D. E., Verpoort, F., Jacobs, P. A. *Catal. Today* 2000, 60, 209–218.
  (16) Grigoropoulou, G.; Clark, J. H.; Elings, J. A. *Green Chem.* 2003, 5, 1–7.
  (17) Brégeault, J.-M. *Dalton Trans.* 2003, 3289–3302.
  (18) Lane, B. S.; Burgess, K. *Chem. Rev.* 2003, *103*, 2457–2473.
  (19) Noyori, R.; Aoki, M.; Sato, K. *Chem. Commun.* 2003, 1977–1986.
  (20) Kamata K. Yonehara K. Sumida V. Varnaemoki K. Hikishi S. S.
- (20) Kamata, K.; Yonehara, K.; Sumida, Y.; Yamaguchi, K.; Hikichi, S.; Mizuno, N. Science 2003, 300, 964-966.
- (21) Mizuno, N.; Yamaguchi, K.; Kamata, K. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2005, 249, 1944-1956.

<sup>\*</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: khold@ catalysis.ru. Fax: +(7)-(383)-330-9573

<sup>(7)</sup> Handbook of Heterogeneous Catalysis, 2nd ed.; Ertl, G., Knözinger, H., Schütth, F., Weitkamp, J., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2008.

<sup>(9)</sup> Organic Peroxides; Swern, D., Ed.; Wiley Interscience: NewYork, 1971; Vol. 2.

<sup>(10)</sup> Jones, C. W. Application of Hydrogen peroxide and Derivatives; Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, U.K., 1999.

<sup>(11)</sup> Catalytic Oxidations with Hydrogen peroxide as Oxidant; Strukul, G., Ed.; Kluwer Academic: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1992.

<sup>(12)</sup> Hudlucky, M. Oxidations in Organic Chemistry, ACS Monograph Series; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1990.

<sup>(13)</sup> Hill, C. L. In Advances in Oxygenated Processes; Baumstark, A. L., Ed.; JAI Press: London, 1988; Vol. 1, pp 1–30.
(14) Jorgensen, K. A. *Chem. Rev.* 1989, *89*, 431–458.
(15) Hoegaerts, D.; Sels, B. F.; De Vos, D. E.; Verpoort, F.; Jacobs, P. A.

### Article

 $(PW_4)$  and  $[PW_{12}O_{40}]^{3-}$   $(PW_{12})$  polyoxometalates (POMs) were developed independently by the Venturello<sup>22-23</sup> and Ishii<sup>24–26</sup> groups. The major drawback of the Venturello-Ishii epoxidation systems is the use of toxic chlorocarbons as solvents to obtain high reaction rates and yields of epoxides. An improved ternary catalytic system for the selective epoxidation of simple alkenes has been suggested by Noyori and co-workers.<sup>27,28</sup> This entirely free of organic solvents and halides system consists of Na<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>4</sub>, (aminomethyl)phosphonic acid and  $Q^+HSO_4^-$ . The use of lipophilic quaternary ammonium hydrogen sulfate significantly enhances the yield of epoxide. However, this catalytic system can not be employed for the production of acid sensitive epoxides because epoxide ring-opening occurs under acidic conditions. Furthermore, both the Venturello-Ishii and Noyori systems have drawbacks typical of homogeneous catalysts, such as complexity of the catalyst separation from the reaction mixtures and contamination of products with traces of transition metals (even if two-phase conditions are employed).

Immobilization of active homogeneous catalysts on solid supports has attracted a lot of research interest because solid catalysts have the advantages of being easier to recover and to recycle. Many research papers were dedicated to immobilization of the 12-tungstophosphoric acid,  $H_3PW_{12}O_{40}$  on 29-41different solid supports, such as amorphous silica,

- (22) Venturello, C.; D'Aloisio, R.; Bart, J. C. J.; Ricci, M. J. Mol. Catal. **1985**, *32*, 107–110. (23) Venturello, C.; D'Aloisio, R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 1553–1557.
- (24) Matoba, Y.; Inoue, H.; Akagi, J.; Ŏkabayashi, T.; Ishii, Y.; Ogawa, M. Synth. Commun. 1984, 14, 865-873.
- (25) Ishii, Y.; Yamawaki, K.; Yoshida, T.; Ura, T.; Ogawa, M. J. Org. *Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 1868–1870. (26) Ishii, Y.; Yamawaki, K.; Ura, T.; Yamada, H.; Yoshida, T.; Ogawa,
- M. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 3587-3593.
- (27) Sato, K.; Aoki, M.; Ogawa, M.; Hashimoto, T.; Noyori, R. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 8310-8311.
- (28) Sato, K.; Aoki, M.; Ogawa, M.; Hashimoto, T.; Panyella, D.; Noyori, R. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1997**, *70*, 905–915.
   (29) Mohana Rao, K.; Gobetto, R.; Iannibello, A.; Zecchina, A. J. Catal.
- 1989, 119, 512-516.
- (30) Mastikhin, V. M.; Kulikov, S. M.; Nosov, A. V.; Kozhevnikov, I. V.; Mudrakovsky, I. L.; Timofeeva, M. N. J. Mol. Catal. 1990, 60, 65-70.
- (31) Kozhevnikov, I. V.; Kloestra, K. R.; Sinnema, A.; Zandbergen, H. (32) Rozhovinkov, I. V., Riossta, R. R., Shineth, A., Zahlorgell, H.
   W.; van Bekkum, H. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 1996, 114, 287–298.
   (32) Lefebvre, F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1992, 756–757.
   (33) Sakamoto, T.; Pac, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 10009–10012.
   (34) Mroviee-Białoń, J.; Turek, W.; Jarzebski, A. B. React. Kinet. Catal.

- Lett. **2002**, *76*, 213–219. (35) Kim, H.-J.; Shul, Y.-G.; Han, H. Appl. Catal., A **2006**, *299*, 46–51.
- (36) Zhang, Q.; Tan, Y.; Yang, C.; Han, Y.; Shamoto, J.; Tsubaki, N. J. Nat. Gas Chem. 2007, 16, 322-325.
- (37) Izumi, Y.; Hisano, K.; Hida, T. *Appl. Catal.*, A **1999**, *181*, 277–282.
   (38) Izumi, Y.; Ono, M.; Kitagawa, M.; Yoshida, M.; Urabe, K. *Micro* porous Mesorporous Mater. 1995, 5, 255-262.
- (39) Vázquez, P.; Pizzio, L.; Romanelli, G.; Autino, J.; Cáceres, C.;
   Blanco, M. Appl. Catal., A 2002, 235, 233–240.
- (40) Marme, F.; Coudurier, G.; Védrine, J. C. Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 1998, 22, 151-163.
- (41) Haber, J.; Pamin, K.; Matachowski, L.; Mucha, D. Appl. Catal., A 2003, 256, 141-152.
- (42) Li, W.; Li, L.; Wang, Z.; Cui, A.; Sun, C.; Zhao, J. Mater. Lett. 2001, 49.228-234.
- (43) Damyanova, S.; Dimitrov, L.; Mariscal, R.; Fierro, J. L. G.; Petrov, L.; Sobrados, I. *Appl. Catal., A* **2003**, *256*, 183–197. (44) He, N.-Y.; Woo, C.-S.; Kim, H.-G.; Lee, H.-I. *Appl. Catal., A* **2005**,
- 281, 167-178.
- (45) Sawant, D.; Vinu, A.; Lefebvre, F.; Halligudi, S. B. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2007, 262, 98-108.
- (46) Yang, L.; Li, J.; Yuan, X.; Shen, J.; Qi, Y. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2007, 262, 114-118.
- (47) Herrera, J. E.; Kwak, J. H.; Hu, J. Z.; Wang, Y.; Peden, C. H. F. Top. Catal. 2008, 49, 259-267.
- (48) Kwon, T.; Pinnavaia, T. J. Chem. Mater. 1989, 1, 381-383.

mesostructured silicates,  ${}^{31,42-47}$  layered double hydroxides (LDH),  ${}^{48,49}_{48,49}$  active carbon,  ${}^{50}$  metal oxides,  ${}^{29,51-54}_{29,51-54}$  and polymers.<sup>55,56</sup> Silica has been widely used as supporting material for  $PW_{12}$  since it interacts weakly with the  $PW_{12}$  anion, thereby preserving its structure in the supported form. However, PW12 immobilized by weak interaction is easily leached out of the support in polar reaction media. $^{39-41}$  On the contrary, strong interaction, for example, with alumina, may result in PW<sub>12</sub> destruction in the course of immobilization.<sup>5</sup>

Supported PW<sub>12</sub> catalysts were mainly examined as acid catalysts,<sup>44,45,47,53</sup> but a few examples of using immobilized  $PW_{12}$  in alkene epoxidation with  $H_2O_2$  were also reported. Thus, LDH intercalated PW12 was found to be almost inactive in cyclohexene epoxidation with 10% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.<sup>49</sup> On the other hand, the catalyst prepared by supporting  $PW_{12}$  on mesoporous silica preliminary modified with Ph<sub>3</sub>SiOEt and Me<sub>3</sub>NCH(OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub> groups produced epoxides from different alkenes with the yields up to 97% using aqueous 15% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> without an organic solvent.<sup>33</sup> However, no data on the catalysts stability, recyclability, metal leaching, and the nature of catalysis were reported for this system.

Different low nuclearity oxo- and peroxotungstic species, such as  $WO_4^{-57}WO_5^{3-58-61}W_7O_{24}^{6-62}[W_2O_3(O_2)_4]^{2-63}$  and  $[(HPO_4)\{WO(O_2)_2\}_2]^{2-}$  (PW<sub>2</sub>),<sup>64-66</sup> were immobilized on solid supports by anion exchange with LDH<sup>57,62</sup> or Amberlyst A26,65 adsorption onto silica supports64-66 and binding with organophosphorous groups on the surface of functionalized silica, <sup>58,63</sup> resin, <sup>59</sup> hydroxyapatite<sup>59</sup> or porous polymers.<sup>61</sup> A mixed-valence oxotungsten-silica mesoporous W-SBA-15 material prepared by the sol-gel technique using tetraethyl orthosilicate and Na<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>4</sub> was reported as well.<sup>64,67</sup> The supported materials catalyzed selective oxida-tion of olefins, <sup>57–60,62,64,65</sup> allylic alchohols<sup>57</sup> and sulfides<sup>63</sup> with aqueous  $H_2O_2$ . Silica-supported  $WO_5^{3-58}$  and  $PW_2^{64,65}$ 

(49) Gardner, E.; Pinnavaia, T. J. Appl. Catal., A 1998, 167, 65-74.

- (50) Kozhevnikov, I. V.; Sinnema, Â.; Jansen, R. J. J.; van Bekkum, H. Catal. Lett. 1994, 27, 187-197.
- (51) Gómez-García, M. A.; Pitchon, V.; Kiennemann, A. Appl. Catal., B 2007, 70, 151-159.
- (52) Qu, X.; Guo, Y.; Hu, C. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2007, 262, 128-135. (53) Rajkumar, T.; Ranga Rao, G. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. **2008**, 295, 1–9.
- (54) Hodjati, S.; Vaezzadeh, K.; Petit, C.; Pitchon, V.; Kiennemann, A. Top. Catal. 2001, 16/17, 151-155.
- (55) Hasik, M.; Turék, W.; Stochmal, E.; Lapkowski, M.; Pron, A. J. Catal. 1994, 147, 544-551.
- (56) Stochmal-Pomarzanska, E.; Hasik, M.; Turek, W.; Pron, A. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. **1996**, 114, 267–275. (57) Sels, B. F.; De Vos, D. E.; Jacobs, P. A. Tetrahedron Lett. **1996**, 37,
- 8557-8560
- (58) Gelbard, G.; Gauducheau, T.; Vidal, E.; Parvulescu, V. I.; Crosman, A.; Pop, V. M. J. Mol. Catal A: Chem. 2002, 182-183, 257-266.
- (59) Gelbard, G.; Breton, F.; Quenard, M.; Sherrington, D. C. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2000, 153, 7-18.
- (60) Crosman, A.; Gelbard, G.; Poncelet, G.; Parvulescu, V. I. Appl. Catal., A 2004, 264, 23-32.
- (61) Gelbard, G. C. R. Acad. Sci. Chem. 2000, 3, 757–764.
   (62) Palomeque, J.; Figueras, F.; Gelbard, G. Appl. Catal., A 2006, 300, 100 - 108.
- (63) Xian-Ying, S.; Jun-Fa, W. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2008, 280, 142-147.
- (64) Brégeault, J.-M.; Piquemal, J.-Y.; Briot, E.; Duprey, E.; Launay, F.; Salles, L.; Vennat, M.; Legrand, A.-P. Microporous Mesoporous Mater.
- 2001, 44-45, 409-417. (65) Brégeault, J.-M.; Thouvenot, R.; Zoughebi, S.; Salles, L.; Atlamsani,
- A.; Duprey, E.; Aubry, C.; Robert, F.; Chottard, G. Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal. 1994 82 571-581
- (66) Duprey, E.; Maquet, J.; Man, P. P.; Manoli, J.-M.; Delamar, M.; Brégeault, J.-M. *Appl. Catal., A* **1995**, *128*, 89–96. (67) Cheng, C.-Y.; Lin, K.-J.; Prasad, M. R.; Fu, S.-J.; Chang, S.-Y.;
- Shyu, S.-G.; Sheu, H.-S.; Chen, C.-H.; Chuang, C.-H.; Lin, M.-T. Catal. Commun. 2007. 8, 1060-1064.

were found to be liable to tungsten leaching. The W-SBA-15 catalyst was reused successfully just once;<sup>67</sup> moreover, the "hot catalyst filtration test"<sup>68</sup> was not reported, and, hence, the nature of catalysis remained unclear.

By far, only few true heterogeneous and recyclable catalysts have been published for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-based alkene epoxidation, and the elaboration of such catalysts is still a challenging goal. Several attempts to heterogenize the Venturello complex, PW<sub>4</sub>, have been performed, including anion exchange with Amberlite IRA-900,<sup>15,69–71</sup> electrostatic binding to a silica xerogel covalently modified with phenyl and quaternary ammonium groups,<sup>72</sup> and "solvent-anchored" supporting technique when covalently attached to silica surface polyethers acted as a solvent and/or complexing agents for POM.<sup>73</sup> The "solvent-anchored" and xerogel-attached PW<sub>4</sub> catalyzed selectively cyclooctene epoxidation with 30% aqueous  $H_2O_2$  without organic solvent at room temperature.<sup>72,73</sup> The PW<sub>4</sub>-Amberlite catalyst showed fairly good selectivity in alkene epoxidations with  $H_2O_2$  (93 and 97% selectivities were reported for limonene and 3-carene at 84 and 55% conversions, respectively, after 24 h at 38 °C).<sup>69</sup> Both the "solvent-anchored" and PW4-Amberlite catalysts were recycled several times without loss of the activity, and the results of the hot catalyst filtration experiments pointed out true heterogeneous nature of catalysis. However, it was found that epoxide product deactivates the PW<sub>4</sub>-Amberlite catalyst and totally inhibits the reaction at 160 TON.<sup>7</sup>

In the past decade, metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) have attracted considerable attention because of their unique combination of properties such as high surface area, crystalline open structures, tunable pore size, and functionality, and so forth.<sup>74-77</sup> All these properties allow considering MOFs as prospective catalytic materials and supports for

- (71) Villa de, P. A. L.; Taborda, F. A.; de Correa, C. M. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2002, 185, 269-27
- (72) Neumann, R.; Miller, H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1995, 2277-2278
- (73) Neumann, R.; Cohen, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 1738-1740.
- (74) Handbook of Porous Solids; Schüth A.; Sing K. S. W.; Weitkamp J., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2002.
- (75) Kitagawa, S.; Kitaura, R.; Noro, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 2334-2375
- (76) Férey, G.; Mellot-Draznieks, C.; Sere, C.; Millange, F. Acc. Chem. Res. 2005, 38, 217-225.
- (77) Férey, G. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 191-214.
- (78) Seo, J. S.; Whang, D.; Lee, H.; Jun, S. I.; Oh, J.; Leon, Y. J.; Kim, K. Nature 2000, 404, 982-986.
  - (79) Forster, P. M.; Cheetham, A. K. Top. Catal. 2003, 24, 79-89.
  - (80) Qiu, L.-G.; Xie, A.-J.; Zhang, L.-D. Adv. Mater. 2005, 17, 689-692.
- (81) Zou, R.-Q.; Sakurai, H.; Zu, Q. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 2542-2546.
- (82) Alaerts, L.; Seguin, E.; Poelman, H.; Thibault-Starzyk, F.; Jacobs, P. A.; De Vos, D. E. Chem.-Eur. J. 2006, 12, 7353-7563
- (83) Horcajada, P.; Surblé, S.; Serre, C.; Hong, D.-Y.; Seo, Y.-K.; Chang, J.-S.; Grenéche, J.-M.; Margiolaki, I.; Férey, G. Chem. Commun. 2007,
- 2820-2822
- (84) Wu, C.-D.; Lin, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 1075–1078.
   (85) Alkordi, M. H.; Liu, Y.; Larsen, R. W.; Eubank, J. F.; Eddaoudi, M.
- J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 12639-12641. (86) Hong, D.-Y.; Hwang, Y. K.; Serre, C.; Férey, G.; Chang, J.-S. Adv.
- Funct. Mater. 2009, 19, 1537-1552 (87) Lee, J. Y.; Farha, O. K.; Roberts, J.; Sheidt, K. A.; Nguyen, S. T.; Hupp, J. T. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 1450-1459.

immobilization of homogeneous catalysts.<sup>77-87</sup> In 2005, Férey and co-workers reported the synthesis of the mesoporous chromium terephthalate coordination polymer MIL-101 which possesses a rigid zeotype crystal structure, extremely large surface area and quasi-spherical cages of two modes (free internal diameters are close to 29 and 34 Å, while the cages are accessible through microporous windows of about 12 and 16 Å).<sup>88</sup> Importantly, this material is resistant to air, water, common solvents, and thermal treatment (up to 320 °C).<sup>76,88</sup> Férey and co-workers demonstrated a successful incorporation of the lacunary heteropolytungstate K<sub>7</sub>PW<sub>11</sub>O<sub>39</sub> within nanocages of MIL-101.88

Recently, we have first prepared composite materials based on the MIL-101 matrix and redox active Keggin heteropolyanions,  $[PW_{11}CoO_{39}]^{5-}$  and  $[PW_{11}TiO_{40}]^{5-}$ , and explored their catalytic performances in the allylic oxidation of alkenes with  $O_2$  and  $H_2O_2$ , respectively.<sup>89</sup> Both composite materials were proven to be stable to POM leaching, behaved as true heterogeneous catalysts, and could be used repeatedly without suffering a loss of the activity and selectivity under mild reaction conditions. Recently, MIL-101 was used as a stable support for palladium in the Knoevenagel condensation,<sup>90</sup> Heck coupling reaction,<sup>86</sup> and alkene hydrogenation.<sup>91</sup> SO<sub>3</sub>H-functionalized MIL-101 was active as acid catalyst in the esterification of acetic acid with ethanol,86 while L-proline-modified MIL-101 showed remarkable catalytic activities in asymmetric aldol reactions.<sup>92</sup> Yet, MIL-101 with coordinatively unsaturated chromium sites (after heating under vacuum at 150 °C) was found to be active in cyanosilylation,<sup>91</sup> selective oxidation of tetralin to 1-tetralone with TBHP,<sup>93</sup> and sulfoxidation of thioethers to sulfoxides with  $H_2O_2$ .<sup>94</sup> Very recently, a direct encapsulation of phosphotungstic acid into MIL-101 by the addition of  $PW_{12}$  during the synthesis of MIL-101 was reported.95 These materials were found to be active in acid-catalyzed reactions, such as the Knoevenagel condensation of benzaldehyde with ethyl cyanoacetate, esterification of n-butanol with acetic acid, and dehydration of methanol to dimethylether.

Here we further explore the potential of the mesoporous MOF MIL-101 for the preparation of heterogeneous selective oxidation catalysts based on catalytically active POMs. Optimal procedures for the incorporation of polyoxotungstates PW<sub>4</sub> and PW<sub>12</sub> into the coordination polymer nanocages have been elaborated, and the obtained hybrid materials have been characterized by elemental analysis, N<sub>2</sub> adsorption, FT-IR, Raman, and <sup>31</sup>P NMR MAS spectroscopic techniques. The catalytic properties of the new hybrid materials were examined in the liquid-phase alkene

- (89) Maksimchuk, N. V.; Timofeeva, M. N.; Melgunov, M. S.; Shmakov, A. N.; Chesalov, Yu. A.; Dybtsev, D. N.; Fedin, V. P.; Kholdeeva, O. A. J. *Catal.* **2008**, *257*, 315–323. (90) Hwang, Y. K.; Hong, D.-Y.; Chang, J.-S.; Jhung, S. H.; Seo, Y.-K.;
- Kim, J.; Vimont, A.; Daturi, M.; Serre, C.; Férey, G. Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 4212-4216.
- (91) Henschel, A.; Gedrich, K.; Kraehnert, R.; Kaskel, S. Chem. Commun. 2008, 4192-4194.
- (92) Banerjee, M.; Das, S.; Yoon, M.; Choi, H. J.; Hyun, M. H.; Park, S. M.; Seo, G.; Kim, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 7524-7525.
- (93) Kim, J.; Bhattacharjee, S.; Jeong, K.-E.; Jeong, S.-Y.; Ahn, W.-S. Chem. Commun. 2009, 3904-3906.
- (94) Hwang, Y. K.; Hong, D.-Y.; Chang, J.-S.; Seo, H.; Yoon, M.; Kim, J.; Jhung, S. H.; Serre, C.; Férey, G. Appl. Catal., A 2009, 358, 249–253.
   (95) Juan-Alcañiz, J.; Ramos-Fernandez, E. V.; Lafont, U.; Gascon, J.;
- Kapteijn, F. J. Catal. 2010, 269, 229-241.

<sup>(68)</sup> Sheldon, R. A.; Wallau, M.; Arends, I. W. C. E.; Schuchardt, U. Acc. Chem. Res. 1998, 31, 485-493.

<sup>(69)</sup> Villa de, P. A. L.; Sels, B. F.; De Vos, D. E.; Jacobs, P. A. J. Org. *Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 7267–7270. (70) Sels, B. F.; Villa, A. L.; Hoegaerts, D.; De Vos, D. E.; Jacobs, P. A.

Top. Catal. 2000, 13, 223-229.

<sup>(88)</sup> Férey, G.; Mellot-Draznieks, C.; Serre, C.; Millange, F.; Dutour, J.; Surblé, S.; Margiolaki, I. Science 2005, 309, 2040-2042.

#### Article

epoxidation with aqueous  $H_2O_2$  and compared with the catalytic performance of the corresponding homogeneous POM catalysts. The critical issues on the catalysts stability, reusability, and the nature of catalysis have been addressed.

## **Experimental Section**

**Materials.** Hydrogen peroxide was used as 30 wt % solution in water; its concentration was determined iodometrically prior to use. Heteropolyacid  $H_3PW_{12}O_{40} \cdot 6H_2O$  was a commercial product and was purified by extraction with diethyl ether. The compound purity was confirmed by <sup>31</sup>P NMR (a single peak at -15.0 ppm in H<sub>2</sub>O). All other reactants were obtained commercially and used without further purification.

Synthesis of MIL-101. MIL-101 was prepared by a hydrothermal reaction of terephthalic acid with  $Cr(NO_3)_3 \cdot 9H_2O$ , HF, and H<sub>2</sub>O following the procedure reported by Férey et al.<sup>88</sup> In a typical synthesis, chromium nitrate (1.2 g, 3 mmol), terephthalic acid (500 mg, 3 mmol), 3 M HF (1 mL, 3 mmol), and H<sub>2</sub>O (15 mL, 0.833 mol) were mixed in a Teflon linear and kept at 220 °C during 6 h. The crystalline MIL-101-as product in the solution was twice filtered off using a glass filter with the pore size  $160 \,\mu m$ to remove free terephthalic acid and then through a paper filter. The purification of the rude MIL-101-as product was carried out in four steps: double treatment in N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) at 60 °C during 6 h and then double treatment in hot ethanol at 70 °C during 6 h. After drying in air at 70-80 °C overnight, the X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis confirmed the structure of pure MIL-101. MIL-101-B with increased surface area was prepared by solvothermal treatment of the rude MIL-101-as with ethanol at 80 °C for 24  $h.^{90,94}$ 

**PW<sub>4</sub> Synthesis.** The Venturello complex  $[PW_4O_{24}]^{3-}$  (PW<sub>4</sub>) was prepared by the peroxide mediated decomposition of  $H_3PW_{12}O_{40}$  following the slightly modified published procedure.<sup>26</sup> To a solution of  $H_3PW_{12}O_{40}$  (720 mg, 0.25 mmol) in of 30%  $H_2O_2$  (15 mL, 150 mmol) was added 5 M aqueous solution of  $H_3PO_4$  (0.1 mL, 0.5 mmol). The solution was stirred for 4 h at 40 °C to give PW<sub>4</sub>, which was confirmed by <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy ( $\delta$  4.4 ppm in  $H_2O/H_2O_2$  solution).

**Immobilization of PW**<sub>x</sub> on MIL-101. Adsorption/desorption measurements were carried out using UV-vis spectroscopy as described previously.<sup>89</sup> The composite  $PW_{12}/MIL-101$  was synthesized by dissolving  $H_3PW_{12}O_{40}$  (5 mg, 0.002 mmol) in water (1.5 mL, pH 2.05), adding MIL-101 (100 mg), stirring for 3 h at room temperature, filtering off, thorough washing with water and acetone, and drying at room temperature. Additional drying at 150 °C for 4 h led to about 5% of weight loss.

 $PW_4/MIL-101$  was prepared by adding MIL-101 (100 mg) to 0.005 M  $PW_4$  solution (1 mL) obtained by decomposition of  $H_3PW_{12}O_{40}$  with excess of  $H_2O_2$  (vide supra), stirring at room temperature for 3 h, filtering off, washing with water and acetone, and drying at room temperature.

The POM loading was determined by elemental analysis. The catalysts were also characterized by  $N_2$  adsorption, FT-IR, Raman, and <sup>31</sup>P NMR MAS spectroscopy.

Treatment of the  $PW_{12}/MIL-101$  (150 mg) with 1 M solution of  $Bu_4NClO_4$  in MeCN (3 mL) was carried out at room temperature under vigorous stirring for 1 h followed by filtration and drying at room temperature.

**Catalytic Oxidations.** Catalytic experiments were carried out in thermostatted glass vessels under vigorous stirring (900 rpm) at 50 °C. Alkene oxidations over  $PW_x/MIL-101$  were carried out following three different modes designated as methods A, B, and C.

**Method A.** Reaction was started by adding  $H_2O_2$  (0.1–0.2 mmol) to a mixture containing alkene (0.1 mmol),  $PW_x/MIL$ -101 catalyst (28 mg;  $5.8 \cdot 10^{-3}$  mmol W in  $PW_{12}/MIL$ -101 or  $4.9 \cdot 10^{-3}$  mmol W in  $PW_4/MIL$ -101) prepared as described above, an internal standard (biphenyl), and acetonitrile (1 mL).

Method B (with Catalyst Pre-Treatment). A mixture containing  $PW_{12}/MIL$  (28 mg,  $4.7 \times 10^{-4}$  mmol  $[PW_{12}O_{40}]^{3-}$ ),  $H_2O_2$  (0.2 mmol, 510 equiv with respect to  $PW_{12}$ ), internal standard (biphenyl), and acetonitrile (1 mL) was stirred for 1 h at 50 °C. Then the oxidation reaction was started by the addition of cyclohexene (0.1 mmol).

Method C (One-Pot Catalyst Preparation and Catalytic Oxidation). To a solution of  $H_3PW_{12}O_{40}$  (1.4 mg,  $4.7 \times 10^{-4}$  mmol) in MeCN (1 mL) was added MIL-101 (28 mg) under vigorous stirring. After stirring the solution at 25 °C for 30 min, the oxidation reaction was started by adding cyclohexene (0.1 mmol) and  $H_2O_2$  (0.2 mmol) followed by heating the reaction mixture to 50 °C.

Aliquots of the reaction mixture were withdrawn periodically during the reaction course by a syringe through a septum. Each experiment was reproduced at least 2–3 times. After the reactions, catalysts were filtered off, washed with acetonitrile, methanol, dried in air at room temperature overnight, and then reused.

Instrumentation and Methods. The reaction products were identified by GC-MS and quantified by GC using an internal standard. GC analyses were performed using a gas chromatograph "Tsvet-500" equipped with a flame ionization detector and a quartz capillary column (30 m  $\times$  0.25 mm) Agilent DB-5MS. GC-MS analyses were carried out using a gas chromatograph Agilent 6890 (quartz capillary column 30 m  $\times$  0.25 mm/ HP-5 ms) equipped with a quadrupole mass-selective detector Agilent MSD 5973.

Nitrogen adsorption at 77 K was studied using an ASAP-2020 instrument within the partial pressure range  $10^{-6}$ -1.0. Before measurements, samples were degassed at 150 °C under vacuum during 48 h. The specific surface area and pore volume were measured by the comparative method.<sup>96</sup> XRD measurements were performed on a high-precision X-ray diffractometer Philips APD1700 using cupric radiation (CuK $_{\alpha 1;2}$  1.54060; 1.54439). FT-IR spectra were recorded using pellets containing 2 mg of a sample and 500 mg of KBr (for PW<sub>12</sub>-containing samples) and as Nujol mull between CsI windows (for PW4-containing samples) on a BOMEM-MB-102 spectrometer in the 250-4000 cm<sup>-1</sup> range. The Raman spectra were recorded at room temperature in the range of 100-3600 cm<sup>-1</sup> using FT-Raman spectrometer RFS 100/S BRU-KER. The excitation source was the 1064 nm line of the Nd:YAG laser operating at power level of 100 mW. UV-vis spectra were recorded using an Agilent 8453 spectrophotometer ( $\lambda = 250-255$  nm, l = 10 mm). <sup>31</sup>P NMR MAS spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance-400 spectrometer. The spectrum frequency was 161.97 MHz, and the rotation speed of a 7 mm rotor was 6.5 kHz. The duration of the  $\pi/2$  pulse was 7  $\mu$ s, and the delay for spectrum acquisition was 20 s. Spectra were referenced to 85% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> as external standard. Elemental analyses were performed using atomic emission spectroscopy.

## **Results and Discussion**

**Catalysts Preparation and Characterization.** Férey et al. estimated that about five  $[PW_{11}O_{39}]^7$  polyanions can be incorporated within a large cage of MIL-101.<sup>88</sup> Recently, we have shown that only one Keggin anion per nanocage (this corresponds to 7–10 wt % of POM in the material) is irreversibly adsorbed from acetonitrile solution by MIL-101.<sup>89</sup> An electrostatic character of POM binding to the MIL surface has been suggested based on ion exchange experiments.

In this work, we prepared  $PW_{12}/MIL-101$  hybrid materials following a similar methodology, but adsorption

<sup>(96)</sup> Fenelonov, V. B.; Romannikov, V. N.; Derevyankin, A. Yu. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **1999**, *28*, 57–72.



**Figure 1.** Adsorption/desorption isotherms (MeCN, 25 °C) for  $H_3PW_{12}O_{40}$  (adsorption and desorption curves are marked by  $\bullet$  and  $\bigcirc$ , respectively) on (a) MIL-101 and (b) MIL-101-B.

of heteropolyacid PW<sub>12</sub> was carried out from an aqueous solution. The Venturello complex, PW<sub>4</sub>, was generated in situ from PW<sub>12</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, and an excess of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, following a standard procedure described by several research groups<sup>26,64,94</sup> and immobilized on MIL-101 directly from the H<sub>2</sub>O/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> solution. The complete transformation of PW<sub>12</sub> ( $\delta$  -15.0 ppm) to PW<sub>4</sub> ( $\delta$  +4.4 ppm) was confirmed by <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy. The adsorption/desorption process was monitored by UV-vis spectroscopy. The amount of irreversibly adsorbed PW<sub>12</sub> and PW<sub>4</sub> typically achieved 4–5 wt % (the adsorption/desorption curves are shown in Figure 1a).

The elemental analysis data for the prepared  $PW_{x/}$ MIL-101 samples along with the P/W/Cr values calculated for POM loadings acquired from the adsorption measurements are given in Table 1. The results of the adsorption study are in good agreement with the elemental analysis data.

Textural properties of the initial MIL-101 and hybrid  $PW_x/MIL-101$  materials assessed from N<sub>2</sub> adsorption isotherms are also presented in Table 1. One can observe some decrease (ca. 20 %) in both specific surface area (*A*) and pore volume ( $V_p$ ) after POM insertion. A similar trend was observed earlier for immobilization of  $PW_{11}M$  on MIL-101.<sup>89</sup> XRD patterns of MIL-101 and  $PW_x/MIL-101$  materials are shown in Figure 2. The XRD data confirmed the preservation of the MIL-101 structure after the immobilization of the POMs.

Recently, Férey and co-workers reported a few methods for MIL-101 purification which allowed enlarging significantly its surface area and pore volume.<sup>90,94</sup> We have also found a significant increase of the surface area (from 2200 to 3900 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, see Table 1) after treating MIL-101 with hot EtOH. In turn, this led to a significant augmentation of the maximal amount of POM accommodated within MIL-101 and allowed us to introduce irreversibly up to 14 wt % of PW<sub>12</sub> into MIL-101 (see Figure 1b and Table 1).

FT-IR and Raman spectroscopic studies were performed to check whether the POM and MIL-101 structures are preserved in the hybrid materials. As one can judge from the FT-IR spectra of MIL-101 and PW<sub>x</sub>/MIL-101 presented in Figure 3a (the most intensive peaks belong to MIL-101), the matrix structure certainly retained upon POM immobilization. Figure 3b shows FT-IR spectra of the bulk and MIL-supported PW<sub>12</sub> after subtraction of the spectrum of MIL-101. The IR spectra of the PW<sub>12</sub>/MIL composite exhibit principal bands of the PW<sub>12</sub> Keggin unit: 1081  $\nu_{as}$ (PO<sub>4</sub>), 985  $\nu_{as}$ (W=O), 895 and 820 cm<sup>-1</sup>  $\nu_{as}$ (W=O–W), which is a definite indication of the maintenance of the POM structure after immobilization.

Since FT-IR bands of the MIL-supported PW<sub>4</sub> were rather weak because of the low POM loading, we used Raman spectroscopy to characterize this material. The Raman spectra of the aqueous solution of PW<sub>4</sub> and MIL-supported PW<sub>4</sub> are shown in Figure 4. They exhibit principal bands of the peroxotungstate: 965  $\nu$ (W=O), 853  $\nu$ (O-O), and 563  $\nu$ (W(O<sub>2</sub>)), thus indicating preservation of the PW<sub>4</sub> structure after immobilization.

<sup>31</sup>P NMR MAS technique is a useful tool to probe the state of a POM after immobilization.<sup>29–32,43,50</sup> Figure 5 shows <sup>31</sup>P NMR MAS spectra of PW<sub>12</sub>/MIL-101 samples after different treatments. The <sup>31</sup>P NMR MAS spectrum of the initial PW<sub>12</sub>/MIL-101 exhibits three main peaks at -16.4 (form I), -14.9 (form II), and -11.7 ppm (form III). Since the FT-IR spectra indicated no noticeable change in the POM structure after deposition of PW<sub>12</sub> onto MIL-101 (see Figure 3), the presence of several peaks in the <sup>31</sup>P NMR MAS spectrum might imply different modes of interaction between PW<sub>12</sub> and the MIL-101 surface.

Earlier, deposition of  $PW_{12}$  onto silica<sup>29-32,35,42,43,46</sup> and carbon<sup>50</sup> was found to result in a signal shift, linebroadening and splitting in the <sup>31</sup>P NMR MAS spectra, indicating a distortion of the  $PW_{12}$  structure compared with the bulk PW12. Different peaks were associated with different types of interaction between the Keggin anion and surface. According to Lefebvre,<sup>32</sup> the signal at about -15 ppm is due to PW<sub>12</sub> having no interaction with silica surface, while the peak at -14.6 ppm can be related to a polyanion which undergoes a slight electrostatic interaction with a support via the formation of (=Si- $OH_2$ )<sup>+</sup>( $H_2PW_{12}O_{40}$ )<sup>-</sup> species. It was also supposed that a low field shift in the <sup>31</sup>P NMR MAS signal can be due to partial dehydration of the heteropolyacid during the impregnation process.<sup>35,43</sup> Indeed, for dehydrated bulk Higher the second states and the second states are second states salts of PW12 were found to display four resonances which have been assigned to the polyanions associated with 0, 1, 2, and 3 protons, respectively.<sup>98</sup> Hence, a <sup>31</sup>P NMR MAS signal drift could arise also from a different protonation state of the POM. Kozhevnikov et al. assumed that a <sup>31</sup>P NMR MAS signal shift and splitting might be due to partial decomposition of PW<sub>12</sub> producing lacunary species, like  $PW_{11}$  or  $P_2W_{17}$ , during the preparation of supported catalysts.<sup>31</sup>

We have found that the ratio of forms I–III changed after drying the  $PW_{12}/MIL-101$  sample at 150 °C (Figure 5B). This implies that, in effect, the forms could be related to different hydration states of the POM. Previously, electrostatic binding between POM and MIL-101 surface has been hypothesized based on the ion exchange experiments.<sup>89</sup> We performed three consecutive treatments of the sample 5%  $PW_{12}/MIL-101$  with

<sup>(97)</sup> Uchida, S.; Inumaru, K.; Misono, M. J. Phys. Chem B 2000, 104, 8108–8115.

<sup>(98)</sup> Okuhara, T.; Nishimura, T.; Watanabe, H.; Misono, M. J. Mol. Catal. 1992, 74, 247–256.

Table 1. Physicochemical Properties of Hybrid PWx/MIL-101 Catalysts

sample	$P/W/Cr^a$ (wt %)	$P/W/Cr^b$ (wt %)	$A^{c} (m^{2} g^{-1})$	$V_{\rm p}^{\ d} ({\rm cm}^3{\rm g}^{-1})$
MIL-101		-/-/13	2200	1.1
MIL-101-B <sup>e</sup>		, ,	3900	2.1
5% PW12/MIL-101	0.04/3.1/13	0.05/3.0/12	1700	0.8
	· ·	$0.05/3.0/12^{f}$	1700 <sup>f</sup>	$0.8^{f}$
		0.05/3.2/13 <sup>g</sup>	600 <sup>g</sup>	0.4 <sup>g</sup>
5% PW <sub>4</sub> /MIL-101	0.1/2.6/13	0.1/2.8/14	1800	0.8
-,	, ,	$0.1/2.7/14^{h}$	500 <sup>h</sup>	$0.3^{h}$
14% PW <sub>12</sub> /MIL-101-B <sup>e</sup>	0.15/10.7/12	0.13/9.4/12	2900	1.2

<sup>*a*</sup> Evaluated from the adsorption data. <sup>*b*</sup> Based on the elemental analysis data. <sup>*c*</sup> Specific surface area. <sup>*d*</sup> Mesopore volume. <sup>*e*</sup> After treatment with hot EtOH (see Experimental Section). <sup>*f*</sup> After one cycle of cyclohexene oxidation; reaction conditions as in Table 2. <sup>*g*</sup> After five cycles of cyclohexene oxidation.



Figure 2. XRD patterns for (A) MIL-101, (B)  $PW_{12}/MIL-101$ , (C)  $PW_4/MIL-101$ , and (D)  $PW_{12}/MIL-101$  dried at 150 °C for 4 h.



**Figure 3.** (a) FT-IR spectra of (A) MIL-101, (B)  $PW_{12}/MIL-101$ , (C)  $PW_4/MIL-101$ , (D)  $PW_{12}/MIL-101$  after treatment with 0.2 M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for 1 h at 50 °C, (E)–(G)  $PW_{12}/MIL-101$  after 1, 3, and 5 cycles of cyclohexene oxidation, and (H)  $PW_4/MIL-101$  after 4 cycles of cyclohexene oxidation. (b) FT-IR spectra of (A)  $PW_{12}$ , (B)  $PW_{12}/MIL-101$ , (C)  $PW_{12}/MIL-101$  after treatment with 0.2 M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for 1 h at 50 °C, and (D)–(F)  $PW_{12}/MIL-101$  after 1, 3, and 5 cycles of cyclohexene oxidation; in (B)–(F) the spectra of MIL-101-supported  $PW_{12}$  are given after subtraction of the spectrum of MIL-101. For reaction conditions, see Experimental Section, method A.

<sup>1</sup> M solution of Bu<sub>4</sub>NClO<sub>4</sub> in MeCN. The corresponding <sup>31</sup>P NMR MAS spectra are presented in Figure 5 C–E. One can see that after the first treatment the <sup>31</sup>P NMR MAS peak at -16.3 ppm decreased markedly (Figure 5C), while after the second treatment it disappeared completely along with the signal at -15.0 ppm (Figure 5D). According to the elemental analysis data, about one-third of the immobilized PW<sub>12</sub> was transferred into solution after the first treatment with Bu<sub>4</sub>NClO<sub>4</sub>. The <sup>31</sup>P NMR measurements confirmed that the wash-out solution contained only intact Keggin PW<sub>12</sub> (Figure 6A). After the third treatment, the <sup>31</sup>P NMR MAS spectrum (Figure 5E) was similar to that run after the second treatment, indicating the presence of solely form III. Elemental analysis indicated that about one-fifth of the



**Figure 4.** Raman spectra of (A)  $PW_4$  (0.05 M solution in  $H_2O-H_2O_2$ ), (B) MIL-101, (C)  $PW_4$ /MIL-101, and (D)  $PW_4$ /MIL-101 after 4 cycles of cyclohexene oxidation.

immobilized  $PW_{12}$  (ca. 1 wt %) remained after three consecutive treatments with  $Bu_4NClO_4$ . Hence, forms I and II can be removed using  $Bu_4NClO_4$ , thus pointing to the electrostatic character of binding between these forms and positively charged surface of the MIL-101 nanocage.

It should be mentioned that the ratios of forms I–III in the samples 5% PW<sub>12</sub>/MIL-101 and 14% PW<sub>12</sub>/MIL-101-B were different (compare Figure 5 A and G). While in the former sample form I predominated (ca. 50%), the latter was enriched with form III (56%). At the moment, the nature of form III is not understood completely. Most likely, it derives from a stronger interaction of dehydrated PW<sub>12</sub> and the MIL surface. It seems reasonable that purification of MIL and increasing surface area would enhance this interaction. Yet, the formation of lacunary POM species can not be ruled out completely.<sup>31</sup> The minor broad signal in the <sup>31</sup>P NMR MAS spectrum at about -7.1 ppm could be tentatively assigned to such species.

Catalytic Oxidation. The catalytic performance of the PW<sub>4</sub>/MIL-101 and PW<sub>12</sub>/MIL-101 hybrid materials was first assessed in cyclohexene oxidation with aqueous H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in acetonitrile medium. The results of the catalytic runs along with blank experiments and experiments performed in the presence of the corresponding homogeneous POMs are presented in Table 2. Both PW<sub>4</sub> and  $PW_{12}$  incorporated within the MIL-101 matrix showed fairly good catalytic activity, while the matrix alone was quite inert. The catalytic activities of 5% PW12/MIL-101 and 5% PW<sub>4</sub>/MIL-101 referred to one W atom were similar (compare entries 4 and 6 in Table 2). It is worth noting that the activities of  $PW_x/MIL$  expressed in TOF values were just slightly lower than the activity of homogeneous  $PW_x$  (0.36 vs 0.34 min<sup>-1</sup> for  $PW_4$  and 0.41 vs 0.39  $min^{-1}$  for PW<sub>12</sub>). This implies that immobilization of



**Figure 5.** <sup>31</sup>P NMR MAS spectra of 5%  $PW_{12}/MIL$ -101: (A) initial, (B) dried at 150 °C for 4 h, (C)–(E) after 1–3 consecutive treatments with 1 M Bu<sub>4</sub>NClO<sub>4</sub> in MeCN, and (F) after 5 cycles of cyclohexene oxidation; (G) <sup>31</sup>P NMR MAS spectrum of 14%  $PW_{12}/MIL$ -101-B.



**Figure 6.** <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra of: (A) washout solution after treatment of  $PW_{12}/MIL$  with 1 M Bu<sub>4</sub>NClO<sub>4</sub> in MeCN and (B)  $PW_{12}$  after homogeneous cyclohexene oxidation.

POM on MIL-101 causes no deactivation and allows to keep the catalytic activity, at least, at the same level.

With 2 equiv of  $H_2O_2$ , cyclohexene was converted mainly to its epoxide over 5%  $PW_x/MIL-101$ . The allylic oxidation products, 2-cyclohexene-1-ol and 2-cyclohexene-1-one (totally ca. 10%) were identified as the main byproduct, while the product of epoxide ring-opening, 1,2-trans-cyclohexane diol, was not found in the reaction mixture. This contrasts with the homogeneously catalyzed oxidation in the presence of  $PW_x$  where the diol was the main byproduct. The reaction over PW<sub>4</sub>/MIL-101 gave epoxide with 74% selectivity at 76% substrate conversion after 3 h; a similar result was acquired over PW<sub>12</sub>/MIL-101 (entry 6, Table 2). For comparison, cyclohexene (3 mmol) oxidation with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (3 mmol) over PW<sub>4</sub>-Amberlite (0.016 mmol PW<sub>4</sub>) at 32 °C gave epoxide with only 57% selectivity at a similar conversion after 24 h.<sup>70</sup> In turn, 5% PW<sub>12</sub>/NH<sub>2</sub>-SBA-15 produced mainly trans-cyclohexane diol under the same reaction conditions (entry 13, Table 2).

It has been established by several research groups that the catalytic activity of the  $PW_{12}/H_2O_2$  system in alkene epoxidation is caused by in situ formation of

**Table 2.** Cyclohexene Oxidation with  $H_2O_2$  in the Presence of  $PW_x/MIL-101$  Catalysts<sup>*a*</sup>

entry	catalyst	cyclohexene conversion (%)	$\operatorname{TOF}^{b}(\min^{-1})$	epoxide selectivity (%)
1	d	12		67
2	MIL-101 <sup>e</sup>	11		64
3	$PW_4^f$	90	0.36	77
4	5% PW <sub>4</sub> /MIL-101	76	0.34	74
5	$PW_{12}^{f}$	72	0.41	78
6	5% PW12/MIL-101	72	0.39	76
7	5% PW <sub>12</sub> /MIL-101 <sup>g</sup>	71	0.39	77
8	5% PW12/MIL-101 h	75	0.41	77
9	5% PW <sub>12</sub> /MIL-101 <sup><i>i</i></sup>	84	0.40	80
10	1% PW <sub>12</sub> /MIL-101 <sup>j</sup>	68	0.32	81
11	5% PW <sub>12</sub> /MIL-101 <sup>k</sup>	67	0.32	83
12	14% PW <sub>12</sub> /MIL-101-B <sup>1</sup>	78	0.42	36
13	5% PW <sub>12</sub> /NH <sub>2</sub> -SBA-15 <sup>m</sup>	48	0.19	17

<sup>*a*</sup> 0.1 M cyclohexene, 0.2 M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 28 mg of catalyst  $(5.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ and } 4.9 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mmol W in PW}_{12}/\text{MIL-101 and PW}_{4}/\text{MIL-101, respectively}), method A, 1 mL of MeCN, 50 °C, 3 h. <sup>$ *b*</sup> TOF = (moles of substrate consumed) · (moles of W)<sup>-1</sup> · time<sup>-1</sup>; determined by GC from the initial rates of cyclohexene oxidation. <sup>*c*</sup> GC yield based on the substrate consumed. <sup>*d*</sup> No catalyst was present. <sup>*e*</sup> MIL-101 matrix without POM. <sup>*f* $</sup> Homogeneous catalyst (<math>5.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mmol W}$  for PW<sub>12</sub> or  $4.9 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mmol W}$  for PW<sub>4</sub>). <sup>*g*</sup> Method B. <sup>*h*</sup> Method C. <sup>*i*</sup> 60 mg of the catalyst ( $1.2 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mmol W}$ ). <sup>*f*</sup> 99 mg of PW<sub>12</sub>/MIL-101 ( $4.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mmol W}$ ) after 3 consecutive treatments with 1 M Bu<sub>4</sub>NClO<sub>4</sub> in MeCN. <sup>*k*</sup> PW<sub>12</sub>/MIL-101 dried at 150 °C for 4 h. <sup>*i*</sup> 14 mg of the catalyst ( $8.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mmol W}$ ). Allylic oxidation products, cyclohexenol/-one (ca. 5%), along with *trans*-cyclohexane diol (24%), 2-hydroxycyclohexanone, and adipic aldehyde were also found. <sup>*m*</sup> *trans*-Cyclohexane diol (67%) and cyclohexane diol (15%) were also found.

lower nuclearity peroxotungstates, such as PW<sub>4</sub> and PW<sub>2</sub>.<sup>99–104</sup> In water, PW<sub>12</sub> completely transforms to PW<sub>4</sub> and other peroxotungstates after 1 h treatment with 50 equiv of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> at room temperature.<sup>100</sup> However, the use of organic medium (acetophenone, DMF) enables increasing POM stability with respect to solvolytic destruction in the presence of aqueous H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, which leads to incomplete and reversible PW<sub>12</sub> transformations under the conditions of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> excess (400 equiv, 2 h, 90 °C).<sup>102</sup> Indeed, we found no PW<sub>4</sub> and only 3% of PW<sub>2</sub> (small signal with  $\delta$  0.4 ppm in the <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum shown in Figure 5B)<sup>105</sup> in the acetonitrile solution of PW<sub>12</sub> after homogeneous cyclohexene oxidation under turnover conditions (500 equiv of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>). We had a thought that immobilization on MIL-101 could additionally increase stability of the polyanion structure.

Indeed, we performed cyclohexene oxidation over  $PW_{12}/MIL$ -101 after a preliminary treatment of the catalyst with a 500-fold excess of 30%  $H_2O_2$  (see the Experimental Section, method B) but found no changes in both activity and selectivity (compare entries 6 and 7 in Table 2). Additionally, the curves of cyclohexene



**Figure 7.** Cyclohexene conversion  $(\blacksquare, \square)$ , epoxide yield  $(\bullet, \bigcirc)$  and selectivity  $(\blacktriangle, \triangle)$  versus time in the oxidation with  $H_2O_2$  over  $PW_{12}/MIL$ -101 (method  $A - \blacksquare, \bullet, \blacktriangle$  and method  $B - \square, \bigcirc, \triangle$ ). Reaction conditions as in Table 2.



**Figure 8.** Raman spectra of (A)  $PW_4$  (0.05 M solution in  $H_2O-H_2O_2$ ), (B) solid  $PW_{12}$ , (C) MIL-101, (D)  $PW_{12}/MIL-101$ , (E)–(G)  $PW_{12}/MIL-101$  after 1, 3, and 5 cycles of cyclohexene oxidation, respectively. Reaction conditions as in Table 2 (method A).

conversion and epoxide yield versus time showed no induction period, and the initial rate areas coincided in methods A and B (Figure 7). In turn, the <sup>31</sup>P NMR MAS spectrum of 5% PW<sub>12</sub>/MIL-101 remained practically unchanged after five cycles of cyclohexene oxidation (see Figure 5A and F). Hence, we may conclude that if the transformation of the MIL-immobilized PW<sub>12</sub> to the lower nuclearity species occurs in the course of the catalytic oxidation under the conditions employed, such transformation is apparently reversible. Yet, one can not exclude that the active species responsible for catalysis is present in minor amounts, not detectable by <sup>31</sup>P NMR MAS.

According to the FT-IR and Raman spectra (Figures 3b and 8, respectively), MIL-101 supported PW<sub>12</sub> does not lose its Keggin structure after 3 cycles of cyclohexene oxidation with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, but begins to transform to the peroxotungstate species PW<sub>4</sub> after five reuses (i.e., after about 770 TON). The FT-IR band at 1081 cm<sup>-1</sup> broadens after several cycles of cyclohexene oxidation because of anion symmetry lowering (Figure 3b). As was mentioned above, homogeneous PW<sub>12</sub> partially transformed to PW<sub>2</sub> peroxotungstate already after the first cycle of cyclohexene oxidation (155 TON) under the conditions employed. Thus, we may conclude that immobilization onto MIL-101 does increase stability of PW<sub>12</sub> toward destruction in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.

<sup>(99)</sup> Aubry, C.; Chottard, G.; Platzer, N.; Brégeault, J.-M.; Thouvenot,

R.; Chauveau, F.; Huet, C.; Ledon, H. *Inorg. Chem.* **1991**, *30*, 4409–4415. (100) Duncan, D. C.; Chambers, R. C.; Hecht, E.; Hill, C. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1995**, *117*, 681–691.

<sup>(101)</sup> Bailey, A. J.; Griffith, W. P.; Parkin, B. C. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1995, 1833-1837.

<sup>(102)</sup> Zhang, S.; Zhao, G.; Gao, S.; Xi, Z.; Xu, J. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2008, 289, 22–27.

<sup>(103)</sup> Neumann, R. Prog. Inorg. Chem. 1998, 47, 317-370.

<sup>(104)</sup> Brégeault, J.-M. *Ďalton Trans.* **2003**, 3289–3302.

<sup>(105)</sup> Salles, L.; Aubry, C.; Thouvenot, R.; Robert, F.; Doremieux-Morin, C.; Chottard, G.; Ledon, H.; Jeannin, Y.; Brégeault, J.-M. *Inorg. Chem.* **1994**, *33*, 871–878.

We also examined a "one-pot" procedure which combined the preparation of the  $PW_{12}/MIL$ -101 catalyst and cyclohexene oxidation (see the Experimental Section, method C). Importantly, following such a simple protocol we managed to obtain cyclohexene epoxide with the yield even superior to that found using conventional method A (compare entries 6 and 8 in Table 2).

One can see from the data shown in Table 2 and Figure 7 that cyclohexene conversion was incomplete over  $PW_{x}$ MIL-101 catalysts despite a 2-fold excess of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was employed. This could be due to partial unproductive decomposition of the oxidant on MIL-101. Previously, we revealed that MIL-101 does possess some activity in  $H_2O_2$  dismutation at 50 °C.<sup>89</sup> Indeed, the presence of some amount of cyclohexene allylic oxidation products supports the involvement of radical species in the oxidation process. Meanwhile, we found that about 25% of the oxidant was still present in the reaction mixture at the end of the catalytic reaction. So, we believe that another reason of the incomplete cyclohexene conversion could be blockage of active sites by the reaction products. Indeed, by increase of the catalyst amount (entry 9, Table 2) we managed to achieve higher cyclohexene conversion (84%) and epoxide yield (66%).

The catalyst prepared using MIL-101 with enlarged surface area and pore volume (14%  $PW_{12}/MIL-101-B$ ) also revealed a higher conversion of cyclohexene (entry 12, Table 2). However, the selectivity to epoxide was lower for this catalyst compared to 5%  $PW_{12}/MIL-101$  because of subsequent transformations of the epoxide to *trans*-1,2-cyclohexanediol, 2-hydroxycyclohexanone, and adipic aldehyde. Hence, we may conclude that 5 wt % is an optimal  $PW_{12}$  loading required for the selective alkene epoxidation, and higher loadings should be avoided.

To clarify the catalytic behavior of forms I-III which are present in PW12/MIL-101 catalysts, we compared the performance of the parent 5%  $PW_{12}/MIL$ -101 sample (entry 6, Table 2) with the performances of the sample dried at 150 °C (entry 11, Table 2) and the sample obtained after three consecutive treatments with 1 M Bu<sub>4</sub>NClO<sub>4</sub> (entry 10, Table 2) in cyclohexene oxidation with  $H_2O_2$ . The latter two materials contained mostly form III and were found to be less active (TOF 0.32  $\min^{-1}$ ) but more selective (81-83%) toward epoxide formation compared to the initial 5% PW12/MIL which included mainly form I. The higher selectivity of form III in the epoxidation reaction corroborated its assignment to dehydrated PW<sub>12</sub>. Interestingly, form III also predominated in 14% PW<sub>12</sub>/MIL-101 according to its <sup>31</sup>P NMR MAS spectrum (Figure 5G), but as we mentioned above, the selectivity over this sample was rather low because high POM loading favors epoxide ring-opening and/or overoxidation processes.

To assess the scope and limitations of the PW<sub>x</sub>/MIL-101 catalysts, the activity of the optimal sample, 5% PW<sub>12</sub>/MIL-101, was tested in the oxidation of alkenes with different steric and electronic structures, including natural terpenes ( $\alpha$ -pinene, 3-carene, and limonene), cyclooctene, octene-1, styrene, and *cis-/trans*-stilbenes. The results are presented in Table 3. The rank of the catalytic activity expressed in TOF values was typical for an electrophilic oxygen transfer process: R<sub>2</sub>C=CHR (3-carene) > RCH=CHR (cyclooctene) > RCH=CH<sub>2</sub> (octene-1). Both homogeneous  $PW_{12}$  and heterogeneous  $PW_{12}/MIL$ -101 revealed a very similar range of the maximal (3-carene) and minimal (octene-1) TOF values, which may indicate the absence of diffusive limitations in the alkene epoxidation over  $PW_{12}/MIL$ -101. It is worth noting that activity of  $PW_{12}/MIL$  expressed in TOF values is significantly higher than the activity of previously reported  $PW_4$ -Amberlite catalyst at the same reaction conditions. For example, TOF for limonene epoxidation over  $PW_4$ -Amberlite calculated on the basis of reported data is equal to 0.01 min<sup>-1.71</sup>

Importantly,  $PW_{12}/MIL-101$  is able to catalyze selectively epoxidation of acid sensitive terpenes, and 70–96% selectivities can be achieved depending on the specific alkene structure and reaction conditions. Oxidation of styrene and stilbenes proceeded less efficiently than oxidation of electron-rich alkenes, but note that substrate conversions and epoxide selectivities were higher with  $PW_{12}/MIL-101$  than with homogeneous  $PW_{12}$ . Thus oxidation of styrene with 1 equiv of  $H_2O_2$  over  $PW_{12}/MIL-101$  gave 24% substrate conversion and 54% epoxide selectivity after 3 h at 50 °C, while the corresponding parameters for homogeneous  $PW_{12}$  were 20 and 40% (see Table 3). This effect can be rationalized if we take into account the affinity of the MIL-101 framework for benzene-like molecules.<sup>86</sup>

It is worth noting that for the majority of alkene substrates an increase of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/alkene molar ratio led to both higher substrate conversions and epoxide selectivities (Table 3). With 2 equiv of  $H_2O_2$  the selectivity of epoxidation attained 96, 89, and 99% for 3-carene, limonene and cyclooctene, respectively. These values exceed the selectivities acquired using homogeneous  $PW_{12}$ . The same tendency was observed earlier for caryophyllene epoxidation with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> over Ti-POM/ MIL-101.89 Note that maintenance of selectivity with growing conversion under excess of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is a quite unusual phenomenon for alkene epoxidation,<sup>69</sup> for which an excess of olefin is usually employed to achieve a high selectivity.<sup>23</sup> The epoxide selectivity typically tends to decrease with conversion because of consecutive epoxide ring-opening and overoxidation processes. This is exactly what happens in the presence of homogeneous  $PW_{12}$  (see examples in Table 3). We suppose that the non-typical behavior that we revealed for the hybrid  $PW_{12}/MIL-101$ catalysts might originate from an ability of MIL-101 to adsorb preferably  $H_2O_2$  and alkene substrate and not to adsorb water because of hydrophobicity of the organic linker. This agrees with the recently published data on the water sorption on MIL-101, which showed that  $H_2O$ uptake starts at rather high partial pressures ( $p/p_0$  = (0.4).<sup>106</sup> On the basis of these results, one might expect that MIL-101 surface would behave as a hydrophobic one at rather low water concentration in an organic solvent.

**Catalyst Stability and Recycling.** While studying liquid phase processes over solid catalysts it is extremely important to address the issues of stability of the active component with respect to leaching and maintenance of the catalyst porous structure under the reaction conditions. The nature of catalysis and recycling behavior are crucial points as well. Following the methodology suggested

<sup>(106)</sup> Küsgens, P.; Rose, M.; Senkovska, I.; Fröde, H.; Henschel, A.; Siegle, S.; Kaskel, S. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2009**, *120*, 325–330.

Substrate	Catalyst	$\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{M}\right)$	Substrate conversion (%)	TOF <sup>b</sup> (min <sup>-1</sup> )	Epoxide selectivity <sup>c</sup> (%)
3-Carene	PW <sub>12</sub>	0.1	61	0.57	90
	<b>PW</b> <sub>12</sub>	0.2	66	0.62	78
	PW12/MIL-101	0.1	60	0.52	90
	PW <sub>12</sub> /MIL-101	0.2	72	0.59	96
Limonene	PW <sub>12</sub>	0.1	53	0.41	79 <sup>d</sup>
	PW <sub>12</sub>	0.2	59	0.46	65 <sup>d</sup>
	PW12/MIL-101	0.1	46	0.35	70 <sup>d</sup>
	PW <sub>12</sub> /MIL-101	0.2	55	0.43	89 <sup>d</sup>
α-Pinene	PW <sub>12</sub>	0.1	62	0.43	76
	PW12/MIL-101	0.1	53	0.38	74
	PW12/MIL-101	0.2	65	0.47	71
Cyclooctene	PW <sub>12</sub>	0.2	78	0.40	95
$\bigcirc$	PW <sub>12</sub> /MIL-101	0.2	76	0.38	99
Octene-1 <sup>e</sup>	PW <sub>12</sub>	0.2	56	0.13	56
	PW12/MIL-101	0.2	50	0.11	61
Styrene	PW <sub>12</sub>	0.1	20	0.09	40
	PW12/MIL-101	0.1	24	0.10	54
	PW12/MIL-101	0.2	30	0.11	73
trans-Stilbene	<b>PW</b> <sub>12</sub>	0.1	28	0.10	65 <sup>f</sup>
	PW <sub>12</sub> /MIL-101	0.1	35	0.11	69 <sup>f</sup>
<i>cis</i> -Stilbene	<b>PW</b> <sub>12</sub>	0.1	15	0.09	47 <sup>f,g</sup>
	PW <sub>12</sub> /MIL-101	0.1	22	0.12	68 <sup>f,g</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup> Reaction condition: 0.1 M substrate, 28 mg of catalyst 5% PW<sub>12</sub>/MIL-101 or 1.4 mg of PW<sub>12</sub> ( $5.8 \times 10^{-3}$  mmol W), method A, 1 mL of MeCN, 50 °C, 3 h. <sup>*b*</sup> TOF = (moles of substrate consumed)·(moles of W)<sup>-1</sup>·time<sup>-1</sup>; determined by GC from the initial rates. <sup>*c*</sup> GC yield based on the substrate consumed. <sup>*d*</sup> Limonene 1,2-epoxide. <sup>*e*</sup> 5 h. <sup>*f*</sup> Benzaldenyde was also formed. <sup>*g*</sup> *cis*-Stilbene epoxide.

by Sheldon and co-workers,<sup>68</sup> we performed fast hot catalyst filtration tests and found no further substrate conversion in the filtrate after the removal of both  $PW_{12}/MIL$ -101 and  $PW_4/MIL$ -101 (Figure 9). This proves the true heterogeneous nature of the oxidation catalysis over the  $PW_{x/}$  MIL-101 catalysts. Additionally, elemental analysis data (see Table 1) confirmed that no evident tungsten or chromium leaching occurred under the conditions employed.

The recycling behavior of the  $PW_x/MIL-101$  catalysts is shown in Figure 10. One can see that the performance of  $PW_4/MIL-101$  was quite stable during four consecutive runs, but the epoxide yield slightly decreased after the third run (Figure 9a). In turn,  $PW_{12}/MIL-101$  kept its activity and selectivity during four catalytic cycles (600 TON) and then the activity decreased (Figure 10b).

The Raman spectrum of PW<sub>4</sub>/MIL-101 run after four cycles of cyclohexene oxidation (Figure 4D) revealed the principal bands of the peroxotungstate structure. Hence, we may suppose that PW<sub>4</sub> supported on MIL-101 kept its structure during four cycles of cyclohexene oxidation (250 TON). Hill and co-workers have reported that deactivation of homogeneous PW<sub>4</sub> is caused mainly by the reaction product, epoxide.<sup>100,107</sup> The PW<sub>4</sub>–Amberlite

<sup>(107)</sup> Hill C. L. In *Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry II*; Wedd, G. A., Ed.; Elsevier Science: New York, 2004; Vol. 4, p 679–759.



**Figure 9.** Hot catalyst filtration experiments for cyclohexene oxidation with 0.2 M  $H_2O_2$  over (a)  $PW_{12}/MIL$ -101 (methods  $A-\Phi$  and  $C-\Phi$ ) and (b)  $PW_4/MIL$ -101.



Figure 10. Catalyst recycling in cyclohexene oxidation with 0.2 M  $H_2O_2$ : (a)  $PW_4/MIL$ -101 and (b)  $PW_{12}/MIL$ -101 (method A). Reaction conditions as in Table 2 (method A).

catalyst was also reported to be poisoned by the epoxide product.<sup>71</sup> We believe that some deactivation of  $PW_x/MIL-101$  might be caused by destruction of the MIL-101 matrix and/or filling pores by the reaction products. Indeed, both specific surface area and pore volume decreased after 4–5 cycles of cyclohexene oxidation over  $PW_x/MIL-101$  (see Table 1). In turn, the XRD technique showed some amorphization of the MIL-101 structure because of its partial decomposition.

**Conclusions.** Polyoxotungstates  $[PW_4O_{24}]^{3-}$  and  $[PW_{12}O_{40}]^{3-}$  have been electrostatically attached to the

surface of the mesoporous zeotype coordination polymer MIL-101 with retention of both POM and MOF structures. The hybrid PW<sub>4</sub>/MIL-101 and PW<sub>12</sub>/MIL-101 materials demonstrate similar catalytic activities in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-based alkene epoxidation, comparable to the activity of the corresponding homogeneous  $PW_x$  and significantly higher than activities of the known supported PW<sub>4</sub> catalysts.<sup>71</sup> In turn, the epoxide selectivities achieved over the  $PW_x/MIL-101$  catalysts are close<sup>15,69,72,73</sup> or even superior<sup>70</sup> to those reported for PW<sub>4</sub> immobilized by other techniques. The selectivity strongly depends on the POM loading, the optimal value of which is about 5 wt %. A simple onepot procedure which involves both the catalyst preparation and oxidation reaction can be used to achieve high yields of epoxides. In contrast to the homogeneous systems, the use of a higher H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/alkene molar ratio allows increasing simultaneously both the alkene conversion and the epoxide selectivity. This unusual behavior, most likely, results from the specific sorption properties of MIL-101. Immobilization within the MIL-101 matrix allows increasing considerably the stability of  $PW_{12}$  toward solvolytic destruction in the presence of  $H_2O_2$ .

Both  $PW_4/MIL$ -101 and  $PW_{12}/MIL$ -101 materials behave as true heterogeneous catalysts and can be recycled several times without loss of activity and selectivity with retention of both POM and MIL-101 structures; however, some deterioration of the catalytic properties may occur after several reuses because of partial destruction of the MIL-101 matrix and/or filling pores by the reaction products.

Acknowledgment. We thank Dr. R. I. Maksimovskaya for the <sup>31</sup>P NMR measurements in solution and Mr. V. A. Utkin for identification of the oxidation products by GC/ MS technique. The research was partially supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (RFBR Grants 09-03-93109, 09-03-12112, and 09-03-93113) and by Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences through Interdisciplinary project (Grant 107).