CONTRIBUTION FROM THE CHEMISTRY DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY **OF** CANTERBURY, CHRISTCHURCH, NEW ZEALAND

# The Crystal Structure of Technetium(1V) Chloride. A New AB, Structure

BY MICHAEL ELDER AND BRUCE R. PENFOLD

#### *Received January 25, 1966*

Crystal structure analysis of technetium(IV) chloride has established that it represents a new structure type for  $AB_4$  compounds. Distorted octahedral units of composition TcCls are linked to form polymeric chains, each octahedral unit sharing one edge with each of the two adjacent octahedra, while the two unshared chlorine atoms themselves form an edge. The repeating unit of the chain is a  $Tc_2Cl_8$  unit made up of two  $TcCl_4$  planar asymmetric units related to each other by a glide plane. There are three pairs of chemically distinct Tc-C1 bonds whose mean lengths are 2.24,2.38, and 2.49 **A,** respectively. A possible explanation for these differences is offered in terms of varying degrees of *T* bonding.

#### Introduction

Prior to this investigation, preliminary results of which have been reported,<sup>1</sup> there was no direct structural knowledge concerning the tetrahalides of the manganese group elements Mn, Tc, and Re. Indeed, the existence of  $MnCl<sub>4</sub>$  is uncertain, and  $ReCl<sub>4</sub>$  has been obtained only as an amorphous powder.  $TcCl<sub>4</sub>$ , which forms good single crystals, was therefore an attractive subject for structure analysis. Colton and Martin<sup>2</sup> have predicted a trinuclear structure for ReCl<sub>4</sub>, similar to that of  $(Re_3Cl_{12})^{3-}$ ,  $3.4$  to account for its low observed magnetic moment of 1.0 BM. An indication that the structure of  $TcCl<sub>4</sub>$  is unrelated to that of  $ReCl<sub>4</sub>$  is given by the magnetic moment of 3.48 BM  $(\theta = -57^{\circ})$  as reported by Knox and Coffey.<sup>5</sup> This value is in reasonable agreement with the value of 3.6 BM calculated by Figgis and Lewis<sup>6</sup> for  $Tc(IV)$  in an undistorted octahedral environment.

#### Experimental Section

The compound was prepared by Mrs. J. H. Hickford, following the method of Colton,<sup>7</sup> by passing chlorine over heated technetium metal and decomposing any remaining blue-green  $TcCl<sub>6</sub>$  by gentle heating. The resulting  $TcCl<sub>4</sub>$  was sublimed in the chlorine stream and dark red needle-shaped crystals were obtained. The compound is unstable in the presence of water vapor and the crystal used for X-ray data collection was sealed inside a thin-walled glass tube under an atmosphere of dry carbon dioxide. This crystal had an average cross-sectional diameter of 0.1 mm and was 1.5 mm long (parallel to the *c* axis).

Crystal Data.-TcCl<sub>4</sub>, mol wt 240.8, is orthorhombic, having space group Pbca from systematic absences of X-ray reflections,  $a = 11.65, b = 14.06, c = 6.03$  A, all with  $\sigma = 0.01$  A ( $\lambda$  0.7107) A for Mo  $K_{\alpha}$  radiation),  $U = 982.5$  A<sup>3</sup>.  $D_m$  was established as being within the range 2.90 to 3.34 g cm<sup>-3</sup> as the crystals sank in pure bromoform but floated in pure methylene iodide.  $Z = 8$ ,  $D_x = 3.26$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>. The linear absorption coefficient is 53 cm-l for Mo *Ka* radiation.

Intensity sets were collected on the Buerger precession camera for the reciprocal lattice levels  $(0...4kl)$  and  $(h0...5l)$ , using

- (4) J. A. Bertrand, F. **A.** Cotton, and W. A. Dollase, *J. Am. Chem.* Soc., **85,** 1349 (1963); *Inorg. Chem.*, **2**, 1166 (1963).
	- (5) K. **Knox** and C. **E.** Coffey, *J. Am. Chem.* Soc., **81,** *5* (1959).
	- (6) B. N. Figgis and J. **Lewis,** *Pyogy.* Inorg. *Chem.,* **6,** 145 (1964).
	- (7) R. Colton, *NaLure,* **193,** 872 (1962).

Mo K $\alpha$  radiation. Of a total of 790 independent reflections, 244 were recorded as unobserved and assigned an intensity one-third of the minimum observable. Intensities of the remainder were measured by visual comparison with a suitably calibrated scale. Absorption corrections were applied on the basis of a cylindrical crystal of radius  $0.05$  mm  $(\mu R = 0.3)$ .

#### Structure Determination

The asymmetric unit in this structure consists of a single technetium atom and four chlorine atoms. All atoms were finally located on sets of eightfold general positions. Projections of the Patterson function down each of the three crystallographic axes were used to locate first the technetium atom and then the chlorine atoms. The correctness of a trial three-dimensional solution was confirmed by good structure factor agreement with part of the general diffraction data. The full precession data were then used for seven cycles of least-squares refinement using the block diagonal approximation with each atom assigned an individual isotropic temperature factor. A weighting scheme suggested by Mills and Rollett<sup>8</sup> was used, with  $\sqrt{w}$  = 1 for  $F_o \le 60$  and  $\sqrt{w} = 60/F_o$  for  $F_o > 60$ . Interlevel correlation was achieved by scaling  $\Sigma F_0$  to  $\Sigma |F_0|$ for each level at the start of the refinement. An acceleration procedureg was used and the *R* index dropped to 0.12. After rescaling to  $\Sigma F_{\rm o} = \Sigma |F_{\rm o}|$  for each level, the unobserved data were removed. The same weighting scheme was kept and the 546 remaining reflections were used in four further least-squares cycles during which the *R* index fell from 0.105 to 0.080. All coordinate shifts were finally less than  $5 \times 10^{-4}$  A. No significant anisotropy was apparent for any atom when two further cycles were computed with the isotropic restrictions lifted. Atomic scattering factors used were, for C1, those calculated from the self-consistent field model with exchange and, for Tc, those calculated from the Thomas-Fermi-Dirac statistical model and corrected for anomalous dispersion.<sup>10</sup> Final isotropic atomic parameters are listed in Table I, with the corre-

(10) "International Tables for X-ray Crystallography," **Vol. 111,** The Kynoch Press, Birmingham, England, 1962, pp 202, 211, 216.

<sup>(1)</sup> M. Elder and B. R. Penfold, *Chem. Commun.,* 308 (1965).

<sup>(2)</sup> R. Colton and R. L. Martin, *Natzwe, 205,* 239 (1965).

<sup>(3)</sup> w. T. Robinson, J. E. Fergusson, and B. R. Penfold, *Proc. Chem.* Soc., 116 (1963).

<sup>(8) 0.</sup> S. Mills and J. *S.* Rollett, "Computing Methods and the Phase Problem in X-ray Crystal Analysis," Pergamon Press Inc., New **York,**  N. *Y.,* 1961, p. 117.

<sup>(9)</sup> L. I. Hodgson and J. S. Rollett, **Acta Cryst., 16,** 392 (1963).



Atoms labeled as in Figure 1.

sponding structure factors for observed reflections in Table 11. Bond lengths and angles are given in Table 111. It was considered that realistic values for coordinate standard errors mould be 1.5 times those indicated by the least-squares refinement. The standard errors

### Discussion

*<sup>X</sup>***Y 2** *B,* **AS** netium atoms are 0.3".

The Crystal Structure.-The structure consists of chain polymers of composition  $(TcCl<sub>4</sub>)<sub>n</sub>$ , packed so that, when viewed end-on, the chain centers are approximately at the sites of a close-packed layer of spheres. The chains are extended parallel to the  $c$ axis, which corresponds to the longest morphological axis though it is the shortest crystallographically. That the crystals split lengthwise into bundles of fibers when attempts are made to cut them is easily under-



TABLE II

*a* Structure factors are scaled up by five, making  $F(000) = 4440$ .

TABLE **I11**  INTERATOMIC DISTANCES AND ANGLES IN THE  $(TcCl<sub>4</sub>)<sub>n</sub>$  POLYMER

Distance	Length, A	Angle	Value, deg
$Tc(1) - Cl(4)$	2.238	Cl(2)Te(1)Cl(3)	86.5
$Tc(1) - Cl(5)$	2.246	Cl(3)Te(1)Cl(5)	89.9
$Tc(1) - Cl(2')$	2.377	Cl(2)Te(1)Cl(4)	89.7
$Tc(1) - C1(3')$	2.388	Cl(4)Te(1)Cl(5)	94.1
$Tc(1) - C1(2)$	2.490	Cl(3')Tc(1)Cl(2)	84.2
$Tc(1)$ -Cl $(3)$	2.493	Cl(3')Tc(1)Cl(3)	89.2
$Cl(2') \cdots Cl(3)$	3.27	Cl(3')Tc(1)Cl(5)	91.9
$Cl(2') \cdots Cl(4)$	3.32	Cl(3')Tc(1)Cl(4)	93.9
$Cl(2') \cdots Cl(5)$	3.38	Cl(2')Tc(1)Cl(3)	84.3
$Cl(2') \cdots Cl(2)$	3.43	Cl(2')Tc(1)Cl(2)	89.6
$Cl(3') \cdots Cl(2)$	3.27	Cl(2')Tc(1)Cl(4)	92.1
$Cl(3') \cdots Cl(5)$	3.33	Cl(2')Tc(1)Cl(5)	94.0
$Cl(3') \cdots Cl(4)$	3.38	Tc(1)Cl(3')Tc(1')	95.6
$Cl(3') \cdots Cl(3)$	3.43	Tc(1)Cl(2)Tc(1')	95.9
$Cl(4) \cdots Cl(5)$	3.28		
$Cl(2) \cdots Cl(4)$	3.34		
$Cl(3) \cdots Cl(5)$	3.35		
$Cl(2) \cdots Cl(3)$	3.42		

stood in view of this structure. The interpolymer  $Cl...Cl$  contacts range from 3.56 to 3.80 A, which may all be considered normal van der Waals distances. (Pauling'l quotes 1.80 A for the chlorine van der Waals radius.)

The Polymeric Chains.--Figure 1 illustrates the nature of the polymeric structure. Successive  $TcCl_6$ octahedra are glide plane related and hence mirror images of each other. The structural repeating unit of the chain has the composition  $Tc_2Cl_5$  comprising two parallel, approximately planar  $TcCl<sub>4</sub>$  groups,  $Tc(1)Cl$ -*(2,* 3, 4, 5) and Tc(l')C1(2', *3/,* 4/, *5').* Half the chlorine atoms of the chain are used in pairs, Cl(2, **3')** and  $Cl(2', 3)$ , to bridge adjacent technetium atoms. The two nonbridging chlorines of each octahedral group are in *cis* relationship.

This structure is the first example of its kind.  $AB_4$ -

<sup>(11)</sup> L. Pauling, "The Nature of the Chemical Bond," 3rd ed, Cornell University Press, Ithaca, N. Y., 1960. p 260.



Figure  $1, -A$  section of a  $(TcCl<sub>4</sub>)<sub>n</sub>$  chain viewed normal to the *a* axis.

type structures, if they are not composed of discrete molecules, generally contain B atoms in closest packing12 and can be described as three-dimensional networks of tetrahedra or octahedra. Two exceptions are the chain structure of  $NbI<sub>4</sub>$ <sup>13</sup> and the layer structure of Th1414 which has sheets of linked square antiprisms. In the NbI<sub>4</sub> structure, NbI<sub>6</sub> octahedra share opposite edges to form straight single chains rather than the zigzag chains of TcC14. Besides this difference there is another major distinction between  $NbI_4$  and  $TcCl_4$ . Pairs of niobium atoms are displaced toward each other such that the Nb-Nb approach is 3.31 A, suggesting a metal-metal bond. The Tc-Tc length of 3.62 **A** seems to preclude such a bond, and the technetium atoms are actually displaced away from each other in the sense that the internal angle  $Cl(2)Tc(1)Cl(3')$  is only  $84^\circ$ .

Dimensions of the Polymer.-There are three chemically distinct Tc-C1 bonds in the polymer and two crystallographically independent examples of each. The agreement within each pair is within  $2\sigma$  so we shall consider the mean value of each chemical type. The shortest bonds (2.24 A) involve the nonbridging chlorine atoms  $Cl(4)$  and  $Cl(5)$ . The longest bonds  $(2.49)$ A) are to bridging chlorines  $Cl(2)$  and  $Cl(3)$  aligned perpendicularly to the chain length. Intermediate in length (2.38 A) is the third pair of bonds to bridging chlorines  $Cl(2')$  and  $Cl(3')$  parallel to the chain length. The differences in length between these three types are highly significant, the smallest difference (0.11 **A)** being  $15\sigma$ . All bond angles are within 6° of the 90° that would be expected for a regular octahedron. The angle of 94" between the two short bonds will reduce electrostatic repulsions between the two chlorines, and there is a correspondingly reduced angle of  $86.5^{\circ}$  between the two longest Tc-C1 bonds. Deviations from the least-squares plane through  $Tc(1)$  and  $Cl(2, 3, 4, 5)$ can be described in terms of a displacement of the bridging chlorines by 0.14 A on either side of the plane, in the directions of the neighboring technetium atom to which they bond. The interchlorine distance  $Cl(2) \cdots Cl(3')$ is thus reduced to 3.27 A, the shortest nonbonding distance in the polymer. The average of all of the intrapolymer  $Cl \cdots Cl$  contacts is 3.35 A, or 0.25 A less than the van der Waals distance. It is clear that the resulting nonbonded repulsive forces are more than balanced by the strong bonding forces throughout the chain.

We shall consider the possibility of accounting for the variation in length of Tc-Cl bonds in terms of varying  $\pi$ -bond order. Technetium(IV) possesses the 4d<sup>3</sup> configuration, and the magnetic properties of the compound indicate a spin-free  $t_{2g}$ <sup>3</sup> state. A simple bonding description is therefore in terms of  $\sigma$ -bond formation by means of octahedrally directed d<sup>2</sup>sp<sup>3</sup> hybrid orbitals from Tc. There is, however, the further possibility of  $\pi$ -bond formation by overlap of suitably directed vacant 3d orbitals of the chlorines with the three singly occupied d orbitals of Tc, the  $d_{xy}$ ,  $d_{yz}$ , and  $d_{xz}$ .

We shall choose the z axis to be parallel to the polymer chain so that chlorine atoms 2, 3, 4, and 5, with  $Tc(1)$ , comprise the *xy* plane. It is clear that the singly occupied Tc  $d_{xy}$  orbital can overlap equally with 3d orbitals of the four chlorine atoms in the plane. If  $y$  is aligned along Tc(1)-Cl(3), the  $d_{yz}$  orbital could  $\pi$  bond equally with  $Cl(4)$  and  $Cl(3')$  but to a reduced extent with Cl(2') and Cl(3), since one lobe of the  $d_{yz}$  orbital lies in the tightly packed  $Tc_2Cl_2$  ring where d-orbital overlap would be less likely for steric reasons. Similar considerations apply to the Tc  $d_{zz}$  orbital which could only  $\pi$  bond fully with Cl(5) and Cl(2'). These observations are summarized in Table IV. Assuming that the degree of overlap is zero within the  $Tc_2Cl_2$ rings, the figures can be regarded as having the units of electrons. These figures have no quantitative basis but the relative degree of  $\pi$  bonding they predict is exactly in agreement with that indicated by the corresponding Tc-C1 bond lengths. Although steric effects may also influence the lengths of these bonds, the differences are so large as to support the tentative conclusion reached above that  $\pi$ -bond order is greatest with the nonbridging chlorines and least with those in *trans*  relation to them.

TABLE IV DEGREE **OF** *T* BONDING OF TECHNETIUM **4d** ORBITALS WITH INDIVIDUAL CHLORINE ATOMS, PREDICTED FROM GEOMETRICAL CONSIDERATIONS

	Cl(4)	Cl(5)	Cl(2')	Cl(3')	Cl(2)	Cl(3)
$d_{xy}$	1/4	1/4			$^{1}/_{4}$	$^{1/4}$
$d_{yz}$	$^{1}/_{2}$			1/2		
$d_{xz}$		1/2	1/2			
Tota1	$\frac{3}{4}$	$^{3}/_{4}$	$^{1}/_{2}$	1/2	1/4	$^{1/2}$

Because our knowledge of  $\pi$ -bond order is only approximate, it is not possible to deduce a  $\sigma$  covalent

<sup>(12)</sup> R. W. G. Wyckoff, "Crystal Structures," Vol. 2, 2nd ed, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1964.

<sup>(13)</sup> L. F. **Dah1** and D. L. Wampler, *Acta Cvyst.,* **16, 903** (1962).

**<sup>(14)</sup>** A. Zalkin, J. D. Forrester, and D. H. Templeton, *Inoug.* Chem., **3, 639 (1964).** 

radius for Tc(1V) from this structure. An upper limit would be 1.50 A, based on the long bonds  $Tc(1)-Cl(2)$ and  $Tc(1)-Cl(3)$ . The only other reported  $Tc-Cl(3)$ bond length is 2.35 A for the  $Tc_2Cl_8^{3-}$  ion,<sup>15</sup> in which the oxidation state of Tc is V or VI.

Acknowledgments.--We wish to thank Mrs. J. H. Hickford for supplying samples of the compound and

(15) F. **A.** Cotton and **W.** K. Rratton, *J. Am. Chm.* Soc., **87, 921** (1965).

Dr. J. E. Fergusson for helpful discussions concerning the bonding in the polymer. The IBM 1620 of the Mobil Computer Laboratory of the University of Canterbury was used for computations. Programs of D. van der Helm of the Institute for Cancer Research, Philadelphia, and of G. Mair, National Research Council, Ottawa, Canada, were used for part of the work. The Xew Zealand University Grants Committee supported the work in the form of grants for equipment.

CONTRIBUTION FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139

## **The Crystal and Molecular Structure**  of Bis(2,2,6,6-tetramethylheptane-3,5-dionato)nickel(II)

BY F. A. COTTON **AND** J. J. WISE

*Received January 12, 1966* 

The crystal and molecular structures of  $bis(2,2,6,6-tetramethylheptane-3,5-dionato)nickel(II)$ , also called bis(dipivaloylmethanido)nickel(II), Ni(DPM)<sub>2</sub>, have been determined in a single crystal X-ray diffraction study. The unit cell is monoclinic (space group P2<sub>1</sub>/a) with dimensions  $a = 10.70 \pm 0.01$  A,  $b = 10.98 \pm 0.02$  A,  $c = 10.39 \pm 0.02$  A,  $\beta =$ **113'** 16' *ZIC* **15',** and contains two formula units. As expected from earlier studies by Cotton and Fackler, the molecules are mononuclear, essentially planar, and centrosymmetric. The Ni-O distances,  $1.836 \pm 0.005$  A, are much shorter than those in a number of complexes in which  $Ni(II)$  is octahedrally coordinated by oxygen atoms. This may be explained by the absence of electrons in the antibonding  $\sigma$ -MO with  $d_{zy}$  symmetry. The orientation of the molecules in the unit cell is fully described for future reference in the reporting of polarized optical absorption studies of this substance and its isomorphous Cu(II) analog.

#### Introduction

Studies of  $\beta$ -ketoenolate complexes of nickel(II) and cobalt(I1) have established that while the acetylacetonates readily form polymeric structures by sharing of oxygen atoms,<sup>1-5</sup> the replacement of the methyl groups by larger groups leads to partial<sup>2,3</sup> or complete2, **3,6,7** dissociation, exclusively monomeric molecules being obtained when the methyl groups are replaced by the very bulky t-butyl group. Spectroscopic studies of the nickel<sup>2</sup> and cobalt<sup>4,6</sup> monomeric complexes of 2,2,6,6-tetramethylheptane-3,5-dionato ion lead to the conclusion that these were, respectively, square and tetrahedral, and, for the cobalt complex, this has been conclusively demonstrated by single crystal X-ray diffraction study.<sup>6,7</sup> The present paper reports an X-ray study which proves conclusively the planar structure of the nickel complex.

This study was undertaken not only to confirm this point but to provide knowledge of the orientation of the molecules in the unit cell for this compound and its isomorphous Cu(I1) analog, in order that esr and

polarized electronic spectral studies of the crystals might be made. The results of the latter studies will be reported later. However, in anticipation, this paper will describe the molecular orientations more explicitly and in more detail than is customary in reports of crystal structure studies intended purely to elucidate molecular structures.

The ligand molecule, systematically named *2,2,6,6*  tetramethylheptane-3,5-dione, has also the common name dipivaloylmethane, DPM, which has been often used in the past. Henceforth in this paper we shall use the latter name and its abbreviation.

### Experimental Section

Bis(dipivaloylmethanido)nickel(II), Ni(DPM)<sub>2</sub>, was prepared by the reaction of dipivaloylmethane (2,2,6,6-tetrarnethylhcptane-3,5-dione) and nickel acetate.<sup>2</sup> The dipivaloylmethane was prepared by the method of Adams and Hauser.8 The product of the reaction of dipivaloylmethane with nickelous acetate was a green solid, believed to be the dihydrate of bis(dipivaloylmethanido )nickel( 11). Upon drying under vacuum at 100°, the green solid lost water and turned red. The red solid was sublimed at 120° under a pressure of approximately 0.02 mm. X small amount of a yellow-green paperlike solid remained after sublimation. Sublimations were repeated until no residue was observed. Melting point determinations (uncorrected) on thrice sublimed material gave  $224.5-225.5^{\circ}$  in open-tube determinations and  $225.0^\circ$  in closed-tube determinations. Analysis of the thrice sublimed sample gave  $62.32\%$  carbon and  $8.92\%$  hydro-

<sup>(1)</sup> G. J. Bullen, R. Mason, and P. Pauling, *Nature*, 189, 291 (1961); *Inorg. Chem.*, 4, 456 (1965).

**<sup>(2)</sup>** F. **A.** Cotton and J. P. Fackler, Jr., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* **83, 2818**  (1961).

**<sup>(3)</sup>** J. P. Fackler, Jr., and F. **A.** Cotton, *ibid.,* **83, 3775** (1961).

**<sup>(4)</sup>**  $F. A. Cottom and R. H. Soderberg, *Inorg. Chem.*, 3, 1 (1964).$ 

**<sup>(5)</sup> F. A.** Cotton and **R.** C. Elder, *ibid.,* **4,** 1145 (1965).

<sup>(6)</sup> F. **A.** Cotton and R. H. Soderberg, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* **84, 872** (141i2).

**<sup>(7)</sup> F. A.** Cotton and J. *S.* Wood, *Inoi.~. Chrm.,* **3, 245** (1964).

<sup>(8)</sup> **J. Arlarns** and G. Haiiser, *J. Am. Che?iz.* Soc., **66,** 1220 (1044).