In Vitro Imaging and Human Serum Albumin Responsive Dimeric Lanthanide DO3A Complex

Yuen On Fung, † Wanqing Wu, † Chi-Tung Yeung, † Hoi-Kuan Kong, † Kenny Kam-Cheng Wong, $^{\mathsf{S}}$ Wai-Sum Lo, $\frac{1}{2}$ Ga-Lai Law, $\frac{5}{2}$ Ka-Leung Wong, $\frac{1}{2}$ Chi-Kong Lau,^{||} Chi-Sing Lee, $\frac{1}{4}$ and Wing-Tak Wong*,†

† Department of Applied Biology and Chemical Technology, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong SAR

‡ Department of Chemistry, Hong Kong Baptist University, Kowloon Tong, Hong Kong SAR

§ Department of Chemistry, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong SAR

 $^{\text{\tiny{I}}}$ School of Biomedical Sciences, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong SAR

 $^\perp$ Laboratory of Chemical Genomics, School of Chemical Biology and Biotechnology, Peking University Shenzhen Graduate School, Shenzhen University Town, Xili, Shenzhen 518055, China

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT:

Two series of dimeric DO3A (1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclodecane-1,4,7-triacetate) lanthanide complexes (LnL₁-LnL₂, Ln = Eu, Gd, and Tb) have been synthesized with two different bridged chromophores. The X-ray structures of dimeric LnL_1 ($Ln = Gd$ and Tb) complexes show that each metal ion has nine coordination numbers with eight directly bound donor atoms of the ligand and one oxygen donor from the water molecule. Photophysical measurements indicate that the bridged antenna in LnL2 gives a higher efficiency than that of Ln ₁ and is responsive to the protein Human Serum Albumin (HSA), giving an f-f luminescence signal enhancement with a binding constant $\log K = 4.84$. In vitro imaging of EuL₁ and EuL₂ in HeLa cells has been recorded, and EuL₂ has demonstrated a higher rate of cellular uptake and low cytotoxicity ($IC_{50} = 3$ mM).

INTRODUCTION

Luminescent lanthanide complexes are of increasing interest in photochemistry, $1-3$ organic optoelectronics, $4-6$ luminescence sensors,⁷⁻¹¹ and molecular imaging.¹²⁻¹⁶ Lanthanide ions are attractive substitutes for the more commonly used organic fluorophores, as lanthanide complexes exhibit longlived luminescence lifetimes, large Stokes shifts, and sharp emission peaks.^{2,12} There are various examples of long-lived $4f^{N}-4f^{N}$ luminescence sensitized by the antenna effect following single or even multiphoton absorption of multidentate amide ligands. $17-21$ These phenomena are particularly useful for addressing the issue of autofluorescence in confocal microscopy imaging and can be used as a high throughput screening method to detect low signal-to-noise biologically meaningful

molecules (e.g., citrate) *in situ*.¹⁵ In addition, applications take advantage of the intrinsic property of the trivalent lanthanide ions—hypersensitive emission to the coordination environment for real-time responsive imaging as their emission signal changes with structural variations.

The past two decades have witnessed rapid development in the use of DO3A as templates to develop lanthanides for molecular imaging, medical diagnosis, and therapy.¹⁵ The ease in which DO3A templates could be modified to develop lanthanide complexes along with excellent water-solubility and stability in vitro/in vivo are major reasons for the growing interest in

Published: May 18, 2011 Received: January 11, 2011

Figure 1. The molecular structures of LnL_1-LnL_2 (Ln = Eu and Tb).

them. $22-24$ Demand for these complexes has increased due to current development in time-resolved imaging as the micro- to millisecond lifetimes of lanthanide ions make them ideal candidates for bioimaging.²⁰ There are numerous accounts on the use of DO3A to form monomeric lanthanide complexes in the literature, 15,16 but only a few dimeric lanthanide complexes with DO3A have been reported as having known molecular structures. $25-27$ Shiraishi and his group have reported that dimeric lanthanide complexes of DO3A efficiently suppress intra- and intermolecular BET and show higher luminescence efficiency than monomeric lanthanide complexes of DO3A.²⁸ Costa has also reported that the dimeric cyclen-based marcocyclic lanthanide is more favorable than its monomeric unit in MRI contrast agents.29,30 Recently, some DO3A-based dimeric lanthanide luminescent probes have been successfully developed for specific aliphatic dicarboxylates.³⁰ Our complexes $(GdL₁$ and Tb $L₁$) are two of the few DO3A-based dimeric lanthanide complexes whose molecular structures have been fully studied.

The stability of these lanthanide complexes was examined with the titration of various biological molecules such as citrate, urate, and HSA. $LnL₁$ has a linear structure and did not show any enhancement of f-fluminescence when HSA was added. On the other hand, LnL₂ was responsive to HSA with a strong binding constant ($log K = 4.84$) and showed f-f luminescence enhancement, making it useful as a potential biosensor. $LnL₂$ consists of a spacer with two benzyl groups and a bent geometric shape similar to that of bisphenol A (BPA). BPA is an organic compound that can bind to various biological molecules such as proteins and $DNA.³¹⁻³³$ It has been recently reported that bisphenol A binds strongly and noncovalently to proteins.³³ Herein is the synthesis of the two series of nine-coordinated dimeric lanthanide complexes LnL_1 and LnL_2 , where $Ln = Gd$, Eu, and Tb (Figure 1); the goal is to devise more biologically friendly materials with long emission lifetimes for time-resolved imaging. Their molecular structures, photophysical properties in solution, and proteinbinding abilities were studied, and cellular-uptake experiments were performed.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Remarks. All reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used as received unless otherwise stated. o-Dianisidine, 4,4'-diaminodiphenylmethane, tert-butyl bromoacetate, 1,4,7,10-tetraaza-cyclododecane (cyclen), chloroacetyl chloride, 4,4'-diaminobenzanilide, trifluoroacetic acid, and 4-(chloromethyl)benzoyl chloride were from Sigma-Aldrich. Gadolinium carbonate, europium carbonate, europium chloride, terbium carbonate, terbium chloride, manganese chloride, and ytterbium chloride were purchased from Strem Chemicals, Inc. The solvents were used as received without further purification unless otherwise specified. Pyridine, triethylamine, and DMF were distillated over calcium hydride before use. $^{1}\mathrm{H}$ and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on DPX300 and AV400 Bruker FT-NMR spectrometers. Electrospray ionization mass spectra (ESI-MS) were acquired on a Finnigan MAT LCQ mass spectrometer. The triprotected cyclen (DO3A), tris-(tert-butoxycarbonylmethyl)- 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane · HCl, was prepared according to a previously reported method.

General Procedure for Synthesis of the Dichlorodiamidelinkers, K. Chloroacetyl chloride (4 equiv) was added dropwise into the corresponding diamine (1 equiv) in 100 mL of H_2O containing NaOH (4 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature under nitrogen.

N,N'-(3,3'-Dimethoxybiphenyl-4,4'-diyl)bis(2-chloroacetamide), **K₁.** The procedure was followed using o -dianisidine (1 g, 4 mmol). The reaction was filtered to yield a brown solid $(1.43 \text{ g}, 90 \text{\%})$. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO): δ /ppm 9.59 (2H, s), 8.11–8.08 (2H, d), 7.36 (2H, s), 7.32-7.30 (2H, d), 4.44 (4H, s), 3.99 (2H, s). ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, DMSO): δ /ppm 164.2 (2 × C), 149.2 (2 × C), 136.0 (2 × C), 125.5 $(2 \times C)$,121.0 $(2 \times CH)$, 118.0 $(2 \times CH)$, 108.9 $(2 \times CH)$, 55.4 $(2 \times$ CH₃), 42.9 (2 \times CH₂). ESI-MS, *m/z*: 398.2 (M + H)⁺.

N,N'-(4,4'-Methylene-bis(1,4-phenylene))bis(2-chloroacetamide), K_2 . The procedure was followed using 4,4'-diaminodiphenylmethane (1 g, 5 mmol). A white solid was collected by filtration (1.32 g, 75%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Acetone): δ /ppm 9.36 (2H, s), 7.59–7.57 (4H, d), 7.22-7.17 (4H, d), 4.20 (4H, s), 3.92 (2H, s). ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, DMSO): δ /ppm 164.0 (2 × C), 136.4 (2 × C), 136.0 (2 × C), 128.5 $(4 \times CH)$, 119.1 $(4 \times CH)$, 43.1 $(2 \times CH_2)$, 41.0 (C). ESI-MS m/z . $352.2~(M + H)^{+}$.

General Procedure for Synthesis of the Bis(t-butylcyclen)-linkers, I. The dichlorodiamide-linker (K; 1 equiv) and DO3A (2.5 equiv) were added into MeCN (50 mL) with excess Na_2CO_3 . The reaction mixture was stirred under nitrogen at $70-75$ °C for 3 days. After cooling to room temperature, the solid was filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The product was purified with column chromatography on silica gel eluting with DCM/MeOH.

 I_1 . The general procedure was followed using K_1 (0.2 g, 0.5 mmol) and DO3A (0.65 g, 1.26 mmol). The crude product was then separated by column chromatography on silica gel eluting with DCM/MeOH (97:3), yielding a yellow solid (0.37 g, 55%). ^IH NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 8.4 (2H, s), 8.19-8.17 (2H, d), 7.01 (2H, s), 6.97 – 6.95 (2H, d), 3.96 (6H, s), 3.09 – 2.17 (48H, br), 1.47 – 1.42 $(54H, d)$. ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 172.6 (6 × C), 170.2 $(2 \times C)$, 149.0 $(2 \times C)$, 137.3 $(2 \times C)$, 126.5 $(2 \times C)$, 120.9 $(2 \times CH)$, 118.8 (2 \times CH), 109.0 (2 \times CH), 81.8 (6 \times C), 57.3 (2 \times CH₃), 55.9 $(2 \times CH_2)$, 55.7 (6 $\times CH_2$), 52.5 (8 $\times CH_2$), 48.6 (8 $\times CH_2$), 27.9 $(18 \times CH_3)$. ESI-MS *m/z*: 699.4 $(M + H)^{2+}$.

I2. The general procedure for the intermediates was followed with linker K_2 (0.2 g, 0.5 mmol) and DO3A (0.73 g, 1.4 mmol). The crude product was separated by column chromatography on silica gel eluting with DCM/MeOH (95:5), yielding a yellow solid (0.35 g, 53%). 1 H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 10.44 (2H, s), 7.83-7.80 (4H, d), 6.98 – 6.96 (4H, d), 3.81 (2H, s), 3.38 – 2.10 (48H, br), $1.46 - 1.41$ (54H, d). 13 C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 172.2 (6 × C), 170.6 $(2 \times C)$, 137.1 $(2 \times C)$, 136.0 $(2 \times C)$, 128.3 $(4 \times CH)$, 120.1 $(4 \times$ CH), 81.9 (6 \times C), 56.7 (2 \times CH₂), 55.6 (6 \times CH₂), 52.5 (8 \times CH₂), 47.6 (8 \times CH₂), 27.8 (18 \times CH₃). ESI-MS *m/z*: 676.4 (M + H)²⁺.

General Procedure for Deprotection of $(L_1$ and L_2). TFA (20 mL) was added into I_1 or I_2 in DCM (25 mL). The solution was stirred overnight at ambient temperature. The solvent was removed under vacuum conditions, and the solid was washed with DCM (6 times).

 L_1 . The procedure for synthesizing L_1 was followed, and 0.37 g of I_1 was used. A brown solid was yielded $(0.33 \text{ g}, 90 \text{\%}).$ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, D₂O): δ (ppm) 7.85 (2H, s), 7.14 (4H, s), 4.41-3.72 (16H, br), 3.61 (6H, s), 3.46-2.48 (32H, br). ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, D₂O): δ (ppm) 174.8 (2 \times C), 169.4 (4 \times C), 164.1 (2 \times C), 151.0 (2 \times C), 121.8 (2 \times C), 118.9 ($2 \times C$), 116.0 ($2 \times CH$), 113.3 ($2 \times CH$), 109.9 ($2 \times CH$), 72.2 (6 \times C), 61.4 (2 \times CH₃), 56.9 (2 \times CH₂), 54.5 (2 \times CH₂), 54.1 $(2 \times CH_2)$, 52.8 $(4 \times CH_2)$, 50.0 $(4 \times CH_2)$, 49.5 $(4 \times CH_2)$. ESI-MS m/z : 509.3 $(M + H)^{2+}$, 1017.5 $(M + H)^{+}$.

 L_2 . The procedure for synthesizing L_2 was followed, and 0.35 g of I_2 was used. A brown solid was yielded $(0.31$ g, 90%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD): δ (ppm) 7.50–7.48 (4H, d), 7.12–7.10 (4H, d), 3.93–3.88 $(18H, br), 3.51-3.29 (32H, br).$ 13^C NMR (400 MHz, MeOD): δ (ppm) 174.7 (2 \times C), 169.5 (4 \times C), 162.7 (2 \times C), 138.9 (2 \times C), 137.3 (2 \times C), 130.2 (4 \times CH), 121.1 (4 \times CH), 72.9 (6 \times CH₂), 61.9 $(2 \times CH_2)$, 54.8 $(8 \times CH_2)$, 52.1 $(8 \times CH_2)$, 41.5 (CH_2) . ESI-MS m/z : 486.5 $(M + H)^{2+}$, 971.7 $(M + H)^{+}$.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of the Ln Complexes. L_1 or L_2 (0.2 g) and $Ln(CO_3)_3$ (0.1 g) were stirred in H₂O (40 mL) at 65 °C for 24 h. Any excess $Ln(CO₃)₃$ was filtered off, and the solvent was removed under vacuum conditions.

Eu**L**₁ (0.23 g, 90%). ESI-MS m/z : 1329 (M + H)⁺. Elemental Analysis: C₄₆H₆₂N₁₀O₁₆Eu₂ Calcd: C, 42.62; H, 4.75; N, 10.65. Found: C, 42.82; H, 4.60; N, 11.02.

GdL₁ (0.23 g, 90%). ESI-MS m/z : 1326 (M + H)⁺. Elemental Analysis: $C_{46}H_{62}N_{10}O_{16}Gd_2$ Calcd: C, 41.68; H, 4.71; N, 10.57. Found: C, 41.65; H, 4.73; N, 10.52.

Tb ${\sf L}_1$ (0.23 g, 90%). ESI-MS m/z : 1329 $({\rm M + H})^+$. Elemental Analysis: C₄₆H₆₂N₁₀O₁₆Tb₂ Calcd: C, 41.58; H, 4.70; N, 10.54. Found: C, 41.60; H, 4.71; N, 12.02.

EuL₂ (0.22 g, 85%). ESI-MS m/z : 1283 (M + H)⁺. Elemental Analysis: C₄₅H₆₀N₁₀O₁₄Eu₂ Calcd: C, 42.13; H, 4.71; N, 10.92. Found: C, 42.56; H, 4.82; N, 11.12.

Gd**L**₂ (0.22 g, 85%). ESI-MS m/z : 1280 (M + H)⁺. Elemental Analysis: C₄₅H₆₀N₁₀O₁₄Gd₂ Calcd: C, 42.24; H, 4.73; N, 10.95. Found: C, 42.22; H, 4.69; N, 10.91.

Tb**L**₂ (0.22 g, 85%). ESI-MS m/z : 1283 (M + H)⁺. Elemental Analysis: C₄₅H₆₀N₁₀O₁₄Tb₂ Calcd: C, 42.59; H, 4.77; N, 11.04. Found: C, 42.72; H, 4.78; N, 11.21.

Spectroscopic and Photophysical Studies. UV-visible absorption spectra in the range of 200 to 1100 nm were recorded with a HP UV-8453 spectrophotometer. Single-photon luminescence and lifetime spectra were recorded using an Edinburgh Instrument FLS920 Combined Fluorescence Lifetime and Steady-State Spectrophotometer equipped with a single photon counting photomultiplier in a Peltier Cooled Housing (185-850 nm). The spectra were corrected for detector response and stray background light phosphorescence. The solution state quantum yields of the lanthanide complexes were measured with a Demountable 142 mm (inner)-diameter barium sulphide coated integrating sphere supplied with two access ports.

For the spectrofluorometric titrations, all of the solvents used were of analytical grade, and the water used was purified by double distillation. Measurements were taken after equilibrium was attained, and the 5D_0 ⁻³ F_2 emission (europium) was monitored. Luminescent responses in terms of $I_o/(I - I_o)$ were plotted as a function of analyte concentration. For the determination of binding strengths of the various analyte adducts, a series of analyte solutions at a known concentration were mixed with the anion solutions at various concentrations. The titration curve was then fitted either with the 1:1 or 1:2 Benesi-Hildebrand equations (below) to check whether it was a $1:1$ or $1:2$ donor-acceptor

interaction. The 1:1 donor-acceptor interaction was analyzed by Benesi-Hildebrand equations for spectrofluorometric titration.

$$
\frac{I_o}{I - I_o} = \left(\frac{a}{b - a}\right) \left(\frac{1}{K_B \text{[substrate]}} + 1\right)
$$

The $1:2$ donor-acceptor interaction was analyzed by Benesi-Hildebrand equations for spectrofluorometric titration.

$$
\frac{I_o}{I - I_o} = \left(\frac{a}{b - a}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{K_B \text{[substrate]}^2} + 1\right)
$$

 I_0 and I are the luminescence intensity of the fluorogenic reagent in the absence and presence of the substrate, respectively, a and b are constants, and [substrate] is the concentration of the target analyte. The binding constants K_B were estimated from the ratio between the y intercept and the slope, which was obtained from the line of best fit by using Benesi-Hildebrand equations depending on the $1:1$ or $1:2$ hostguest interactions.³⁴

SDS-Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis Analysis. Amounts of HSA protein of decreasing concentrations (500 ng to 3.9 ng) were loaded into the 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gel with the protein marker (LMW-SDS Marker Kit, GE) and separated under a constant voltage of 200 V for 45 min. The gel was then fixed and stained with dye for 30 min and analyzed with a Typhoon Trio Imager (GE) with excitation and emission at 457 nm and \sim 620 nm, respectively.³⁵

The Cellular Uptake of Europium Complexes. To measure the intracellular concentration of the complex, 1×105 cells were plated in each well and incubated with the complex with different concentrations (0.01, 0.025, 0.05, 0.1, and 0.2 mM). After coincubation, the complex-containing cell culture medium was removed, and exposed cells were further washed with $1 \times PBS$ 3 times to remove any complex adhering to the outer cell membrane. Then, the cells were harvested from the well plates using trypsin-EDTA and dispersed into 1.5 mL of the culture medium. The exposed cells were collected with a centrifuge, and the cell pellet was digested in 500 μ L of concentrated HNO₃ at 70 °C for 4 h. The intracellular concentration of Eu was determined using an Agilent 7500 series of inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy (ICP-MS). All ICP experiments were performed in triplicate, and values obtained were averaged. The concentration of Eu per cell was calculated by determining the concentration of Eu in the cell lysate by ICP-MS and divided by the number of cells, which was counted using a hematocytometer.

Tissue Cultures and in Vitro Microscopy Imaging. Human cervical carcinoma (HeLa) cells were maintained in an RMPI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin and streptomycin in 5% $CO₂$. Cells were passaged every 3-5 days. To study the in vitro behavior of the lanthanide complexes, experiments were carried out with a commercially available UV confocal microscope [Leica SP5 (upright configuration)] equipped with a xenon lamp. For the in vitro imaging, the cells were imaged in the tissue culture chamber (5% CO_2 , 37 °C). The excitation beam was produced by the xenon lamp with a power of ∼6 to 10 mW and focused on coverslipadherent cells using a $40\times$ oil immersion or $60\times$ water immersion objective. Long pass filter (LB500) was used. An MTT viability assay was performed, as reported in the previous literature. Briefly, 3000 HeLa cells were seeded in 96-well plates 24 h prior to exposure to the europium complex or DMSO as a control. After various exposure time points, 20 μL of MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide] solution (5 mg/mL) was added to the culture medium in each well and incubated for 5 h at 37 $^{\circ}$ C. The medium was removed, $200 \mu L$ of DMSO solubilizing reagent was added, and incubation was carried out for another hour to dissolve the formazan crystals. The absorbance was measured at 570 nm on a Labsystem Multiskan microplate reader (Merck Eurolab, Switzerland). MTT assays were

Scheme 1. Reaction Schemes of Dimeric Lanthanide Complexes $(LnL_1-LnL_2, Ln = Eu, Tb, and Gd)^4$

^a Reagents and conditions. (a) NaOH/H₂O, r.t., 4 h; (b) 2.5 equiv triester-cyclen, Na₂CO₃/MeCN, 65-70 °C, 24 h; (c) (i) TFA/CH₂Cl₂, r.t., 12 h; (d) $\text{Ln}_2(\text{CO}_3)_3$, H₂O, 70–75 °C, 12 h.

conducted in triplicate wells and repeated twice. Each data point represents the ratio of mean values between the europium and the DMSO control.³⁶

Crystallographic information is available as records CCDC 804789 and CCDC 804790 from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC). These data can be obtained free of charge from the CCDC via the Web link www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

a. Synthesis of Ligand H8 \cdot L₁ and H8 \cdot L₂ Solid State **Structure of LnL₂** (Ln = Tb and Gd). The synthesis of the two ligands L_1 and L_2 and their corresponding lanthanide complexes is shown in Scheme 1. In the synthesis of $H8 \cdot L_1$, the linker o-dianisidine 1 was first reacted with chloroacetyl chloride to afford the α -chlorodiamide intermediate K_1 in high yield (90%). The key intermediate triester bis(cyclen) unit (I_1) was obtained by treating K_1 with tris-(tert-butoxycarbonylmethyl)-1,4,7,10tetraazacyclododecane \cdot HCl in the presence of excess Na₂CO₃ in $CH₃CN$ for 3 days. $I₁$ was then purified by flash column chromatography using CH_2Cl_2/CH_3OH as an eluent. Subsequent deprotection of I_1 afforded the desired H8 \cdot L¹ in good yield (90%). Synthesis of $H8·L₂$ followed the same procedure with linker 4,4'-diaminodiphenylmethane. The two ligands were characterized by conventional methods.

The dimeric lanthanide complexes LnL_1 and LnL_2 ($Ln = Eu$ or Gd) were formed out of the corresponding ligands by stirring the ligands with excess lanthanide carbonate salts $\lfloor \text{Ln}_2(\text{CO}_3)_{3} \rfloor$ where $\text{Ln} = \text{Eu(III)}$ and Gd(III)] in water at 65 °C for 24 h. All lanthanide complexes were isolated as colorless solids.

There are only a few dimeric DO3A lanthanide complexes that have been reported with their known molecular structures. $LnL₁$ $(Ln = Gd$ and Tb) crystal structures were obtained by slow evaporation of the corresponding concentrated aqueous solutions. Table 1 shows the crystal data and structure refinement parameters for GdL_1 and TbL_1 . Selected bond lengths (A) and angles (deg) are given in Table 2. Figure 2 shows the molecular structure of a TbL₁ (Tb₂(C₄₆H₆₆N₁₀O₁₈)(H₂O)₂] · 18(H₂O)}) unit and the crystal packing diagram of $TbL₁$ without solvated water molecules. Since the TbL_1 complex is symmetrical, the two terbium ions have identical coordination geometries. Each is nine-coordinated and has slightly distorted monocapped square antiprism geometry. This geometry is also commonly found in monomeric octadenate lanthanide cyclen complexes. The lanthanide ion is coordinated to four nitrogens of the cyclen ring as well as three amide oxygen atoms of the pendant arms, with a range of $2.308 - 2.385$ Å for Ln $-$ O bond and $2.631 - 2.685$ Å for Ln $-N$, and there are two further $Ln-O$ coordinations, arising from the aqua ligand and the amide that leads to the bridge, in which the $Ln-O(amide)$ has a longer bond length than the other three (2.425 Å). The two phenyl rings of the linker that adopt the transoid geometry with two methoxy groups are found to be trans to each other. The two phenyl rings are found to be coplanar, with a torsion angle of 180.00° . The Tb-Tb distance between neighboring dimers is ca. 16.22 Å. Tb L_1 and GdL_1 show similar structural data. To the best of our knowledge, these complexes are among the few DO3A-based dimeric lanthanide complexes that have full studies on their molecular structures.

b. Photophysical Properties of LnL_1 and LnL_2 (Ln = Eu, Tb, and Gd). The two series of dimeric lanthanide complexes were recrystallized in MeOH. Their photophysical properties in DMSO were then analyzed, and Table 3 summarizes the results. After complexation, the π to π^* absorption bands of LnL₁ and $LnL₂$ (Ln = Eu, Gd, and Tb) were red-shifted by 1000 cm⁻¹ and 1500 cm⁻¹, respectively, and their molar extinction coefficients increased slightly from 3000 to \sim 5000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ (Table 3).

The $4f^N$ emission spectra of TbL₁ and TbL₂ at room temperature under 350 nm of excitation are readily assigned. Four structured narrow visible emission bands at 480, 545, 580, and 620 nm of Tb³⁺ are assigned to an electronic transitions ${}^5\mathrm{D}_4 \rightarrow {}^7\mathrm{F}_1$

Table 1. Crystal Data and Structure Refinement Parameters for GdL_1 and TbL_1

compound	GdL_1	TbL_1	
empirical formula	$Gd_2C_{46}H_{66}N_{10}O_{18}$	$Tb_2C_{46}H_{66}N_{10}O_{18}$	
mw	1685.86	1689.22	
cryst color, habit	colorless, block	colorless, block	
cryst size/mm	$0.01 \times 0.04 \times 0.2$	$0.05 \times 0.06 \times 0.48$	
cryst syst	orthorhombic	orthorhombic	
space group	P_{bca} (no.61)	Pbca $(no.61)$	
unit cell dimesions	$a = 19.1214(14)$ Å; $b = 14.4071(10)$ Å;	$a = 19.1573(10)$ Å; $b = 14.4252(8)$ Å;	
	$c = 24.2249(17)$ Å; α , β , $\gamma = 90.000(0)$ ^o	$c = 24.4261(13)$ Å; α , β , $\gamma = 90.000(0)$ °	
U/\AA ³	6673.6(8)	6750.1(6)	
Ζ	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{4}$	
$D_{\rm calc}/\rm g\ cm^{-3}$	1.624	1.662	
F(000)	3336	3464	
Diffractometer	Bruker SMART 1000 CCD	Bruker SMART 1000 CCD	
Radiation	Mo Kα ($λ$ = 0.71073 Å)	Mo Kα (λ = 0.71073 Å)	
$\mu(\mathrm{Mo\;K\alpha})/\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$	2.07	2.18	
temp/K	296	299	
reflns collected	46098	46166	
unique reflns	8851	43834	
observed reflns $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	4821	5607	
refinement method	full-matrix least-squares	full-matrix least-squares	
weighting scheme	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0545P)^2 + 28.4207P]$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2 (F_0^2) + (0.0621P)^2 + 23.2475P],$	
	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	
R	0.043	0.04	
$R_{\rm w}$	0.147	0.129	
goodness of fit	1.08	1.05	
maximum Δ/σ	0.001	0.002	
no. of params	434	380	
residual electron density/e $\rm \AA^{-3}$	1.44 to -0.90	1.31 to -0.79	

Table 2. Selected Bonds for the Crystal Structures of GdL₁ and TbL₁

 $(J = 6, 5, 4, 3)$, whereas bands at 694, 620, 650, and 700 nm of Eu³⁺ complexes EuL₁ and EuL₂ correspond to 5D_0 $\rightarrow {}^7F_J$ (J = 1, 2, 3, 4).

As expected, the emission spectra of TbL_1 and TbL_2 are very similar and so are the spectra of EuL_1 and EuL_2 . A high and similar intensity for the $\Delta J = 0$ transitions that belonged to the low axial symmetry (C_2) of europium ions in EuL₁ and EuL₂ was recorded (Figure 3a). Moreover, the ratio of relative intensity of the hypersensitive electric dipole allowed $\Delta J = 2$ transition compared to the $\Delta J = 1$ magnetic-dipole transition was constant for EuL_1 and EuL_2 . The overall shapes of the emission spectra of both Eu complexes and Tb complexes are similar, suggesting a

similar chemical environment of the metal ions. The emission quantum yields of Eu/Tb complexes varied; this reflects different sensitization efficiency of terbium or europium emissions between the two ligands $(L_1 \text{ and } L_2)$. LnL_2 $(Ln = Eu \text{ and } Tb)$ showed stronger emission intensities and higher quantum yield than LnL_1 , which is expected because of the more efficient pathway and stronger absorption properties of $LnL₂$. The absorption coefficients of the two lanthanide series are similar, and the energy levels of the triplet states of the two bridged chromophores in these two series of lanthanide complexes were critical. The triplet states of these two lanthanide systems with two ligands were determined with the analogue gadolinium complexes GdL_1 and GdL_2 at cold temperatures. The slight differences of the two complexes' structures affect their optical properties. $LnL₁$ has a linear structure and methoxy group attached to the aromatic ring; this will directly affect the triplet state energy level and, in return, will affect the overlapping with the lanthanide energy level. Figure 3 shows that a $LnL₂$ triplet state energy state has a better overlap with those of the lanthanides, hence giving a stronger emission. In Figure 2, we can assume that the coordination environments of gadolinium and terbium/europium analogue complexes are similar. The lowest energy level of Gd^{3+} (${}^{6}P_{7/2}$) is much higher than the energy levels of the ligands; therefore, it is assumed that there is no energy transfer between the ligand and metal.³⁷ In the case of Gd complexes, strong blue emission was recorded from their

Figure 2. (Left) The molecular structure of [TbL₂] for atom labeling and (right) the cell-packing diagram of [TbL₂] without solvated water molecules and projected down the b axis.

Table 3. Photophysical Properties and IC_{50} Values of Lanthanide Complexes LnL_1-LnL_2 (Ln = Eu and Tb)

	ε/M^{-1} cm ⁻¹	τ/ms^a	Φ	IC_{50}/mM	
EuL ₁	5230	1.05	0.04	3.1	
EuL ₂	5500	1.12	0.07	3.1	
TbL_1	4980	1.51	0.08	2.9	
TbL	5200	1.62	0.13	3.2	
^{<i>a</i>} Decay curve monitored at 545 nm (5D_0 $\rightarrow {}^7F_2$, λ_{ex} = 350 nm) and at					
620 nm $({}^{5}D_4 \rightarrow {}^{7}F_5$, $\lambda_{ex} = 350$ nm) in DMSO.					

ligands at ∼400 nm at room temperature. Red-shifted phosphorescence emission bands in microseconds were observed in the two Gd complexes, and they are located at 455 nm (21978 cm^{-1}) for GdL₁ and 440 nm (22727 cm^{-1}) for GdL₂. The energy gap between the triplet state of the ligand and excited states of Tb/Eu in LnL_2 (>2000 cm^{-1}) is more suitable for energy transfer and antenna f-f emission. The phosphorescence of these Gd complexes was measured at low temperatures (77 K) in a glassy matrix of butyronitrile and acetonitrile, and the phosphorescence emission was assumed to be from the ligand's triplet state. The microsecond lifetime of the emission bands of the two gadolinium complexes in 77 K were further confirmed to be phosphorescence.

c. Photophysical Responses to Human Serum Albumin. Apart from showing a stronger antenna effect, $LnL₂$ also gave a responsive f-f luminescence enhancement toward HSA. Figure 4 shows that the shape of the emission spectrum, particularly the relative intensities of the bands, is affected owing to the hypersensitivity of the $\Delta J = 2$ transition (Figure 4). This alteration in spectroscopic signal may be due to the changes in the coordination environment of the europium ion after binding

Figure 3. The emission spectra of EuL_1-EuL_2 (upper) and TbL₁ $-$ TbL₂ (lower) in DMSO (λ_{ex} = 350 nm, 30 μ M complex).

with bulky HSA, and the hypersensitive emission can be used for the real-time detection of proteins. The ratio of 5D_0 $\rightarrow {}^7F_2$ transition to 5D_0 $\rightarrow {}^7F_1$ increased with the addition of HSA (Figures 4 and 5). Europium luminescence titrations were carried out in order to study the effect of the protein (HSA was first lyophilized and then added to the complex solution) using a simulated extra cellular anion mixture, with 0.7 mM HSA added to the background medium. The binding constant of $Eul₂$ to HSA was determined and is shown in Figure 5. The protein affinity of $EuL₂$ can be described by an apparent binding constant. Values for log K = 4.84 (\pm 0.03) were calculated from

Figure 4. Responsive europium emission enhanced and ratio changes after 10 μ M EuL₂ bound with 0.5 mM HSA in HEPES.

Figure 5. Variation of Eu emission spectrum for $EuL₂$ following addition of HSA (HEPES, pH 7.4, complex =10 μ M, 298 K, λ_{ex} = 350 nm, 0.1 M NaCl). (Inset) Intensity ratio (615 nm) vs added HSA (0.5 μ M to 1 mM) for deterring apparent binding constant.

the ${}^5D_0 \rightarrow {}^7F_2$ intensity versus [HSA] plot. Other titration experiments were carried out, and there were no emission enhancing/quenching effects in the europium emission of $EuL₂$ upon titration of small biological anions such as bicarbonate, phosphate, citrate, urates, and ascorbates (Figure S5, Supporting Information). To further characterize the protein affinity of $EuL₂$ with solid-phase protein quantitatively,³⁶ a decreasing amount of HSA $(500-3.9 \text{ ng})$ was separated by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and analyzed using a fluorescence imager. As shown in Figure 6, an emissive gel image was obtained after staining HSA with EuL₂ for half an hour, and the amount of HSA detected in the gel was down to 3.9 ng/lane, suggesting that EuL_2 is a highly sensitive dye for protein detection in the presence of nonprotein interfering substances.

TbL₂ indeed showed enhancement with titration with HSA, but not as much as europium; less than 20% enhancement of $f-f$ emission was observed. The protein affected the triplet state of the ligand and red-shifted the ligand and thus became more appropriate for the energy transfer to europium, rather than

Figure 6. Emissive gel image. HSA in decreasing concentrations (500-3.9 ng) was loaded on the SDS-PAGE gel. The gel was fixed, stained with the dye for 30 min, and analyzed with Typhoon Trio Image (GE) with excitation and emission at 457 and ∼620 nm, respectively.

Figure 7. The cellular uptake of $\text{EuL}_1-\text{EuL}_2$ (incubation time = 6 h) in HeLa cell.

terbium, therefore causing a significant enhancement of the europium emission.

 $LnL₂$ has a symmetrical bent geometric shape which is similar to that of BPA. Its bending structure might suggest that L_2 had similar interactions with HSA compared with BPA, which binds to various biological molecules. LnL₁, which is a linear structure, did not show any enhancement of f-f luminescence when HSA was added.

d. In Vitro Studies. HeLa cells (Human Cervical Carcinoma Cell) were used to investigate the time-uptake profile and the localization profiles in vitro with the two europium complexes $(EuL₁$ and $EuL₂$; see Figure 7). A cellular-uptake experiment with carcinoma HeLa cells was performed to investigate the targeting ability of the complexes. The experiment was carried out in various concentrations of europium complexes EuL_1 and EuL_2 $(0.01 - 0.2$ mM) for 6 h. After incubation for 6 h, the cells were rinsed three times and harvested for cell counts. The europium concentrations in the cells were determined by ICP-MS, and the amount of europium per cell was calculated. Analysis of these two complexes treated with HeLa cells by ICP-MS showed that both complexes can effectively enter the cancer cells with the dependence of concentration for the level of cellular-uptake.

Figure 8. The in vitro imaging of complex EuL₁ and EuL₂ in HeLa cells for 1, 3, and 6 h (λ_{ex} = 380 nm, BP filter = 550–650 nm, 10 μ M complex).

We extended the application of these two europium complexes as long-lived luminescent probes for in vitro imaging. The 5 μ M europium complexes (1:99 = DMSO/H₂O) were incubated for different durations (1, 3, 4 and 6 h) in HeLa cells (and their in vitro images were also recorded). After 3 h of incubation, only the HeLa cells with EuL_2 showed red emission in cytoplasm upon UV excitation (Figure 8), and no significant cell death was observed. After another 3 h, over 90% of the cells showed red luminescence in the cytoplasm with EuL_2 . A similar observation was made in complex EuL_1 , but the luminescence observed was inside the cytoplasm after another 3 h of incubation time. There was no evidence of cytotoxicity in the HeLa cells that had been exposed to the europium complexes $E \n₁$ or $EuL₂$ for 24 h (Figure S5, Supporting Information) at 50 μ M. MTT assays on these cells exposed to 50 μ M europium complexes for prolonged periods revealed no significant decrease in the number of viable cells. In addition, the nontoxic IC_{50} values of the complexes in HeLa cells were found to be \sim 3 mM. It is worth noting that the lanthanide complex was mostly distributed inside the cytoplasm. All of these data indicate that these two complexes could be developed into a time-resolved turn-on probe for bioimaging.

CONCLUSION

Two series of dimeric DO3A lanthanide complexes were synthesized and bridged with two different amide chromophores. The molecular structures of rare dimeric lanthanide DO3A complexes (GdL₁ and TbL₁) have been reported. LnL₂ which is bridged with bisphenyl demonstrated adequate $f - f$ emission quantum yield. $EuL₂$ also showed selectivity toward HSA enhancing f-f emission and changing in real-time hypersensitive f–f emission. No significant cytotoxicity (IC₅₀ > 3 mM) and a fast uptake of lanthanide complexes were recorded in HeLa cells for both series. In vitro imaging of HeLa cells with EuL_1 and EuL_2 was performed, and strong red f-f emission was observed.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information. Experimental details of syntheses, ESI-MS spectra of complexes, UV-vis absorption and emission spectra, MTT assay, and crystal data for GdL_1 and $TbL₁$. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

B Supporting Information. The mass spectra of EuL_2 , absorption spectra of complexes EuL_1 and EuL_2 , fluorescence and phosphorescence of GdL_1-GdL_2 complexes, titration of Eu emission spectrum for EuL_2 , and MTT assay results of lanthanide complexes EuL_1-EuL_2 and TbL_1-TbL_2 . This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org

NEAUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Tel.: (852) 3400 8789. E-mail:bcwtwong@polyu.edu.hk.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was funded by grants from The Hong Kong Research Grants Council (PolyU 503510P) and The Hong Kong Polytechnic University (1BD07), Hong Kong Chinese University, and Hong Kong Baptist University (FRG 1/10-11/ 037). This work was also supported by the Area of Excellence Scheme of the University of Grants Committee (Hong Kong).

REFERENCES

(1) Tanner, P. A.; Duan, C.-K. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2010, 254, 3026–3029.

- (2) Binnemans, K. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 4283–4373.
- (3) Law, G.-L.; Wong, K.-L.; Szeto, L.; Lau, K.-K.; Tanner, P. A.; Wong, W.-T. J. Mater. Chem. 2010, 20, 4074–4079.
- (4) Zucchi, G.; Jeon, T.; Tondelier, D.; Aldakov, D.; Thuéry, P.; Ephritikhine, M.; Geffroy, B. J. Mater. Chem. 2010, 20, 2114–2120.
- (5) Law, G.-L.; Wong, K.-L.; Chen, Z.-H.; Tam, H.-L.; Cheah, K.-W.; Wong, W.-T. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 10492–10494.
	- (6) Kido, J.; Okamoto, Y. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 2357–2368.
- (7) Karhunen, U.; Jaakkola, L.; Wang, Q.; Lamminmaki, U.; Soukka, T. Anal. Chem. 2010, 82, 751–754.
- (8) Gunawan, P.; Xu, R. J. Phys. Chem. C 2009, 113, 17206–17214. (9) Poudret, L.; Prior, T. J.; McIntyre, L. J.; Fogg, A. M. Chem. Mater.
- 2008, 20, 7447–7453.
- (10) Wong, K.-L.; Law, G.-L.; Yang, Y.-Y.; Wong, W.-T. Adv. Mater. 2006, 18, 1051–1054.
	- (11) Tsukube, H.; Shinoda, S. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 2389–2404.
	- (12) Bünzli, J. C. G. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 2729-2755.
	- (13) Berezin, M. Y.; Achilefu, S. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 2641–2684.
	- (14) Law, G.-L.; Wong, K.-L.; Man, W.-Y. C.; Tsao, S-.W.; Wong,
- W.-T. J. Biophotonics 2009, 2, 718–724. (15) Montgonery, C. P.; Murray, B. S.; News, E. J.; Pal, R.; Parker, D. Acc. Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 925–937.
- (16) New, E. J.; Parker, D.; Smith, D. G.; Walton, J. W. Curr. Opin. Chem. Bio. 2010, 14, 238–246.
- (17) Eliseeva, S. V.; Aub \ddot{v} ck, G.; van Mourik, F.; Cannizzo, A.; Song, B.; Deiters, E.; Chauvin, A.-S.; Chergui, M.; B v nzli, J. C. G. J. Phys. Chem. B. 2010, 114, 2932–2937.
- (18) Picot, A.; D'Aleo, A.; Baldeck, P. L.; Grichine, A.; Duperray, A.; Andraud, C.; Maury, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 1532–1533.
- (19) Law, G.-L.; Wong, K.-L.; Kwok, W.-M.; Tanner, P. A.; Wong, W.-T. J. Phys. Chem. B 2007, 111, 10858–10861.
- (20) Pälsson, L.-O.; Pal, R.; Murray, B. S.; Parker., D.; Beeby, A. Dalton Trans. 2007, 5726–5734.
- (21) Wong, K.-L.; Kwok, W,-M.; Wong, W.-T.; Phillips, D. L.; Cheah, K.-W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 4659–4662.
- (22) Placidi, M. P.; Villaraza, A.-J. L.; Natrajan, L.-S.; Sykes, D.; Kenwright, A. M.; Faulkner, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 9916–9917.
- (23) Kielar, F.; Congreve, A.; Law, G.-L.; New, E. J.; Parker, D.; Wong, K.-L.; Prados, P.; de Mendoza, J. Chem. Commun. 2008, 21, 2435–2437.
- (24) Li, C.; Li, Y.-X.; Law, G.-L.; Man, K.; Wong, W.-T.; Lei, H. Bioconjugate Chem. 2006, 17, 571–574.
- (25) Rudovsk, J.; Botta, M.; Herman, P.; Koridze, A.; Amine, S. Dalton Trans. 2006, 19, 2323–2333.
- (26) Pope, S. J. A.; Kenwright, A. M.; Boote, V. A.; Faulkner, S. Dalton Trans. 2003, 19, 3780–3784.
- (27) Gunnlaugsson, T.; Harte, A. J.; Leonard, J. P.; Nieuwenhuyzen, M. Chem. Commun. 2002, 18, 2134–2135.
- (28) Shiraishi, Y.; Farabayashi, Y.; Nishimura, G.; Hirai, T. J. Luminescence 2007, 127, 623–632.
- (29) Elbahir, M.; Scopelliti, R.; Bunzli, J-C. G.; Piguet, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 9326–9342.
- (30) Harte, A. J.; Jensen, P.; Plush, S. E.; Kruger, P. E.; Gunnlaugsson, T. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 9465–9474.
- (31) Xie, X.; Wang, X.; Xu, X.; Sun, H.; Chen, X. Chemosphere 2010, 80, 1075–1080.
	- (32) Okada, K.; Hashimoto, S.; Imakoka, S. JHS 2010, 56, 1–13.
- (33) Matsushima, A.; Kakuta, Y.; Teramoto, T.; Koshiba, T.; Liu, X.;
- Okada, H.; Tokunaga, T.; Kawabata, S.; Kimura, M.; Shimohigashi, Y. J. Biochem. 2007, 142, 517–524.
	- (34) Kahn, O. Acc. Chem. Res. 2000, 33, 647–657.
- (35) Nishibu, T.; Hirayasu, K.; Tanaka, T.; Takeda, Y.; Kobayashi, Y. Anal. Biochem. 2003, 319, 88–95.
- (36) Wilson, A. P., Cytotoxicity and Viability Assays in Animal Cell Culture: A Practical Approach, 3rd ed. (ed.Masters, J. R. W.) Oxford University Press: Oxford, 1, (2000)
- (37) Law, G.-L.; Wong, K.-L.; Lau, K.-K.; Tam, H.-L.; Cheah, K.-W.; Wong, W.-T. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 5419–5425.