Inorganic Chemistry

Silulation of the Uranyl Ion Using $B(C_6F_5)_3$ -Activated Et₃SiH

David D. Schnaars, Guang Wu, and Trevor W. Hayton*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California 93106, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Addition of 2 equiv of HSiEt₃ to $UO_2(^{Ar}acnac)_2$ $(^{Ar}acnac = ArNC(Ph)CHC(Ph)O, Ar = 3,5^{-t}Bu_2C_6H_3)$ in the presence of 1 equiv of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ results in formation of the U(V) bis(silyloxide) complex $[U(OSiEt_3)_2(^{Ar}acnac)_2][HB (C_6F_5)_3$ (1) in 80% yield. Also produced in the reaction, as a minor product, is U(OSiEt₃)(OB{ C_6F_5 })(Aracnac)₂ (2). Interestingly, thermolysis of 1 at 85 °C for 24 h also results in formation of 2, concomitant with production of Et₃SiH. Addition of 1 equiv of Cp₂Co to 1 results in formation of U(OSiEt₃)₂($^{Ar}acnac$)₂(3) and $[Cp_2Co][HB(C_6F_5)_3]$ (4), which can be isolated in 61% and



71% yields, respectively. Complexes 1-3 have been characterized by X-ray crystallography, while the solution-phase redox properties of 1 have been measured with cyclic voltammetry.

INTRODUCTION

Oxo ligand functionalization of the uranyl ion (UO_2^{2+}) has proven a challenge,¹ due in part to the strength of the oxygenuranium interaction within the UO_2^{2+} fragment. For example, the mean U–O bond dissociation energy of uranyl $(604 \text{ kJ/mol})^2$ is 72 kJ/mol larger than that observed for CO_2 (532 kJ/mol).³ The challenge of perturbing the uranyl moiety is well illustrated by reaction of UO3 with neat triflic acid at 110 °C.^{4,5} Under these conditions, only one oxo group in UO₃ is protonated, providing $UO_2(OTf)_2$ and H_2O . Even in this extremely acidic environment the uranyl moiety remains intact, and no evidence for protonation of the remaining oxo ligands is observed.

Despite these difficulties and motivated by the importance of uranyl in the nuclear fuel cycle, $^{6-11}$ interest in discovering chemical strategies for functionalizing this extremely stable fragment has grown in recent years. For example, uranyl oxo ligand metalation can be achieved by reaction of $UO_2(THF)(H_2L)$ (L = 'Pacman' polypyrrolic macrocycle) with a variety of lithium reagents¹² or rare earth silylamides.¹³ Likewise, Mazzanti and co-workers observed oxo metalation in a series of uranyl(V) β -ketonate and Schiff base complexes.¹⁴⁻²⁰ In addition, several laboratories have been investigating uranyl oxo ligand functionalization via reductive silylation.^{21–28} This transformation was first observed by Ephritikhine and co-workers through the reaction of excess Me_3SiX (X = Cl, Br, I) with $UO_2I_2(THF)_3$ in MeCN. This results in formation of the U(IV) halide complexes UX₄(MeCN)₄ in good yields.²⁴ Although not observed in the reaction mixture, the oxygen atoms of the uranyl fragment are likely converted into Me₃SiOSiMe₃.² More recently, the Arnold group demonstrated that deprotonation of $UO_2(THF)(H_2L)$, followed by addition of silylamine, resulted in generation of a U(V) silvloxide $[UO(OSiMe_3)(THF) Fe_2I_2L$].^{25–28} In a similar example recently reported by our group,²³ the synthesis of $U(OSiPh_3)(OB\{C_6F_5\}_3)(A^{Ar}acnac)_2$ was achieved

by reductive silulation of $UO_2(^{Ar}acnac)_2$ ($^{Ar}acnac = ArNC(Ph)$ -CHC(Ph)O, Ar = $3,5^{-t}Bu_2C_6H_3$) using a combination of HSiPh₃ and $B(C_6F_5)_3$ ²³ We argued that the reaction proceeded by formation of a borane–silane adduct, $[Ph_3SiH\cdots B(C_6F_5)_3]^{.29-31}$ The activated silane was then attacked by the uranyl oxo, resulting in Si-O bond formation and eventual generation of U^V(OSiPh₃)- $(OB{C_6F_5}_3)(^{Ar}acnac)_2$ and 0.5 equiv of H₂. While $B(C_6F_5)_3$ mediated hydrosilylation is known for a variety of organic substrates,^{29,32-'36} to our knowledge this was the first reported example of borane-mediated silvlation of a metal oxo complex. Herein, we report a second example of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ -mediated silvlation of the uranyl oxo fragment, concomitant with reduction to U(V), and provide further insight into the mechanism of this transformation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To expand the scope of borane-mediated silvlation of uranyl,²³ we explored the reactivity of the $UO_2(^{Ar}acnac)_2/B(C_6F_5)_3$ system with HSiEt₃. Thus, addition of 2 equiv of HSiEt₃ to $UO_2(^{Ar}acnac)_{2}$, in the presence of $B(C_6F_5)_{3_1}$ results in formation of a deep red solution, from which $[U(OSiEt_3)_2(^{Ar}acnac)_2][HB(C_6F_5)_3]$ (1) can be isolated as a dark red crystalline material in 80% yield (Scheme 1).

Complex 1 crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P\overline{1}$ as a discrete cation/anion pair (Figure 1). There are two crystallographically unique $[U(OSiEt_3)_2(^{Ar}acnac)_2]^+$ cations within the asymmetric unit, each sitting on a special position. The metrical parameters of both cations are similar, and only one will be discussed in detail. The uranium center in 1 is coordinated by two triethylsilyloxide ligands oriented is trans arrangement, while two Aracnac ligands occupy the equatorial plane. The $U-O_{Si}$ and O-Si bond



Received: June 28, 2011 Published: August 15, 2011

Scheme 1





Figure 1. Solid-state structure of $[U(OSiEt_3)_2(^{Ar}acnac)_2][HB(C_6F_5)_3](1)$ with 50% probability ellipsoids for the noncarbon atoms. The phenyl rings on the ^{Ar}acnac backbone have been removed for clarity.

lengths are 2.011(4) and 1.678(4) Å, respectively, while the U–N and U–O_{acnac} bond lengths are 2.383(5) and 2.171(4) Å, respectively (Table 1). Overall, these metrical parameters are similar to those of the previously characterized U(V) silyloxide

 $\begin{array}{l} U(OSiPh_3)(OB\{C_6F_5\}_3)(^{Ar}acnac)_2.^{23} \mbox{ The metrical parameters of the } [HB(C_6F_5)_3]^- \mbox{ anion are also similar to those observed previously.}^{37,38} \mbox{ However, it should be noted the } [HB(C_6F_5)_3]^- \mbox{ moiety in 1 was found to be disordered over two positions in a 65:35 ratio.} \end{array}$

The room-temperature ¹H NMR spectrum of 1 in CD₂Cl₂ is consistent with the proposed formulation and, as observed for U(OSiPh₃)(OB{C₆F₅}₃)(^{Ar}acnac)₂,²³ reveals the presence of major and minor isomers in solution in a 9:1 ratio. For example, a resonance at -0.05 ppm is attributable to the ^tBu substituents of the major isomer, while the corresponding resonances for the minor isomer are observed as two overlapping signals at -0.40 ppm. These latter signals separate upon cooling to 12 °C, where they are observed at -0.42 and -0.48 ppm. The observation of two ^tBu environments for the minor isomer of 1 can be explained by invoking a cis configuration of the two silyloxide groups and assuming that rotation about the N–C_{ipso} bond of the ^{Ar}acnac ligand is hindered (eq 1). In addition, the ¹⁹F spectrum of 1 consists of three sharp resonances at -69.80, -100.63, and

Table 1. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (deg) for Complexes 1-3

	1	2	3
$U-O_{Si}$	2.011(4)	2.017(6)	2.129(2)
$U-O_B$		1.957(6)	
$U{-}O_{acnac}$	2.171(4)	2.165(7)	2.268(2)
		2.198(6)	
U-N	2.383(5)	2.440(7)	2.484(3)
		2.446(7)	
O-Si	1.678(4)	1.664(7)	1.628(2)
O-B		1.525(12)	
∠0-U-0	180	175.0(3)	180
$\angle U - O - Si$	161.5(3)	168.0(4)	158.96(15)
$\angle U - O - B$		166.6(6)	

-103.46 ppm in a 2:1:2 ratio, respectively, corresponding to the ortho, para, and meta environments of the $[HB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ anion.^{35,37-40} The solid state IR spectrum of 1 features a broad $\nu_{\rm BH}$ absorption at 2377 cm⁻¹, further supporting the presence of $[HB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ anion.⁴¹⁻⁴³ Finally, the NIR spectrum of 1 is similar to that observed for other U(V) complexes, corroborating the presence of a Sf⁴ ion (Figure 2).^{21,23,44-46}



We also monitored the in situ reaction of $UO_2(^{Ar}acnac)_2$ with 1 equiv of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ and 2 equiv of HSiEt₃ in C_6D_6 over the course of 21 h using ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopies. Under these conditions, complex 1 is the major product. However, a minor side product is also observed in the reaction mixture, which we identified as $U(OSiEt_3)(OB\{C_6F_5\}_3)(^{Ar}acnac)_2$ (2), the triethylsilyl analogue of the previously reported $U(OSiPh_3)$ - $(OB\{C_6F_5\}_3)(^{Ar}acnac)_2$ (Scheme 1).²³ Complexes 1 and 2 are observed in a 4:1 ratio, respectively. Complex 2 can be identified by its characteristic CH_2CH_3 resonance at 3.41 ppm, which is downfield from the corresponding resonance in 1 (Figures S17–S18, Supporting Information). The ¹H NMR spectrum



Figure 2. UV–vis/NIR spectrum of 1 (CH₂Cl₂, 4.34×10^{-3} M, orange line, right axis) and 3 (CH₂Cl₂, 3.13×10^{-3} M, blue line, left axis). Solvent absorption has been removed for clarity.

9644





Figure 3. Solid-state structure of $U(OSiEt_3)(OB\{C_6F_5\}_3)(^{Ar}acnac)_2$ (2) with 50% probability ellipsoids for the noncarbon atoms. The phenyl rings on the ^{Ar}acnac backbone have been removed for clarity.

of this sample also reveals formation of H₂, observed as a sharp singlet at 4.46 ppm.⁴⁷ Formation of H₂ was also observed in the synthesis of U(OSiPh₃)(OB{C₆F₅}₃)(^{Ar}acnac)₂, where Ph₃SiH was ultimately determined to be the source of the H₂.²³ We also monitored the reaction of UO₂(^{Ar}acnac)₂ with only 1 equiv of HSiEt₃ in the presence of 1 equiv of B(C₆F₅)₃. Under these conditions, complex 1 is still the dominant product but significant amounts of unreacted UO₂(^{Ar}acnac)₂ remain in the reaction mixture (Figures S23 and 24, Supporting Information).

Complex 2 can be made on a preparative scale by heating equimolar amounts of $UO_2(^{Ar}acnac)_2$, HSiEt₃, and $B(C_6F_5)_3$ in toluene at 85 °C for 5 h. Removal of toluene in vacuo, followed by a hexane extraction and storage at -25 °C for 5 days, results in deposition of a dark amber microcrystalline material in low yield. Surprisingly, thermolysis of 1 in toluene- d_8 at 85 °C for 24 h also results in formation of 2, concomitant with production of Et₃SiH (Scheme 1), demonstrating that 2 can be formed directly from 1 (Figures S14–S15, Supporting Information). However, conversion to 2 under these conditions is not clean, and several unidentified decomposition products are also observed in the ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR spectra. We also thermolyzed complex 1 at 50 °C in toluene- d_8 ; however, very little conversion to 2 was observed at this temperature.

Complex 2 crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P\overline{1}$ (Figure 3). Its U $-O_{Si}$ and U $-O_B$ bond lengths are 2.017(6) and 1.957(6) Å, respectively (Table 1). These parameters are identical to those exhibited by U(OSiPh₃)(OB{C₆F₅}₃)(^{Ar}acnac)₂.²³ In addition, the U $-O_{acnac}$ bond lengths in 2 are 2.165(7) and 2.198(6) Å, while the U-N bond lengths are 2.440(7) and 2.446(7) Å. As observed for 1, the ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR spectra for 2 reveal the presence of two isomers in solution in a 5:1 ratio. For example, the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum in CD₂Cl₂ reveals resonances for the ortho, para, and meta fluorines of the major isomer at -78.07, -97.28, and -101.41 ppm, respectively, while the resonances for the para and meta fluorines of the minor isomer are observed at -97.80 and -101.98 ppm. The ortho resonance of the minor isomer is not observed, most likely due to overlap with the corresponding resonance from the major isomer. To gather further insight into formation of 1, we monitored the reaction between $UO_2(^{Ar}acnac)_2$, 2 equiv of DSiEt₃, and $B(C_6F_5)_3$ in C_6H_6 by ²H NMR spectroscopy. As anticipated, the ²H NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture reveals the presence of $[DB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$, which appears as a broad singlet at 4.64 ppm.⁴⁰ This observation is consistent with hydride transfer from silane to $B(C_6F_5)_3$ via the $[Et_3SiH\cdots B(C_6F_5)_3]$ adduct during the course of the reaction. Also observed in the ²H NMR spectrum is a singlet at 3.90 ppm, assignable to unreacted DSiEt₃, and a resonance at 3.60 ppm, which could not be assigned (Figure S20, Supporting Information). We do not observe a resonance attributable to D_2 in this spectrum, but this could be due to overlap with the broad $[DB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ signal.

Generation of both 1 and 2 during the reductive silvlation of $UO_2(^{Ar}acnac)_2$ suggests the presence of a common intermediate in their formation, namely, $U^{V}O(OSiEt_3)(^{Ar}acnac)_2$. The unfunctionalized oxo ligand of this proposed U(V) intermediate could attack either $[Et_3SiH \cdots B(C_6F_5)_3]$ or $B(C_6F_5)_3$ to provide the observed products 1 or 2, respectively. The observed 4:1 ratio of complex 1 to 2 suggests that silulation of $UO(OSiEt_3)$ - $(^{Ar}acnac)_2$ with $[Et_3SiH \cdots B(C_6F_5)_3]$ outcompetes coordination by free $B(C_6F_5)_3$. In contrast, when Ph_3SiH is used in place of Et₃SiH, only one product is observed, namely, U(OSiPh₃)- $(OB{C_6F_5}_3)(^{Ar}acnac)_2$ ²³ To account for the effect of the silane substituents on the reaction outcome, silylation of U^VO(OSiR₃)- $({}^{Ar}acnac)_2$ by $[Ph_3SiH \cdots B(C_6F_5)_3]$ must be slower than silvlation by $[Et_3SiH \cdots B(C_6F_5)_3]$, a hypothesis that fits with the previously measured rate constants of hydride transfer from Et₃SiH and Ph₃SiH.^{48,49} It should also be noted that complex 1 is not likely the preferred thermodynamic product, as evidenced by the conversion of 1 to 2 upon thermolysis. That said, direct conversion of 1 to 2 is probably not an important pathway during the room-temperature reaction of $UO_2(^{Ar}acnac)_2$ with Et_3SiH and $B(C_6F_5)_{3}$, as complex 2 could only be formed directly from 1 at elevated temperatures. Finally, addition of HSiEt₃ and $B(C_6F_5)_3$ to 2 at room temperature does not lead to any reaction (Figures S21 and S22, Supporting Information). As a result, the reverse reaction (conversion of 2 into 1) can also be ruled out.

We also measured the solution-phase redox properties of 1 by cyclic voltammetry. The resulting cyclic voltammogram reveals a quasi-reversible redox feature at -0.37 V (vs Fc/Fc⁺), which we attribute to the U(V)/U(IV) redox couple (Figure S30, Supporting Information). This potential is 0.35 V less negative than that observed for U(OSiPh₃)(OB{C₆F₅}₃)(^{Ar}acnac)₂²³ and 0.84 V less negative than that seen for $[Cp^*_2Co][U(OB{C₆F₅}_3)_2 - (^{Ar}acnac)_2]$.⁴⁶ This increase is consistent with positive charge present in $[U(OSiEt_3)_2(^{Ar}acnac)_2]^+$, in contrast to the neutral charge of $U(OSiPh_3)(OB{C₆F₅}_3)(^{Ar}acnac)_2$ or the anionic charge of $[U(OB{C₆F₅}_3)_2(^{Ar}acnac)_2]^-$.

Reduction of 1 with 1 equiv of Cp₂Co in Et₂O results in precipitation of a yellow-green solid and formation of an orange-red solution. Filtration of this solution, followed by crystallization from Et₂O/hexane, results in the deposition of U(OSiEt₃)₂(^{Ar}acnac)₂ (3) as an orange-brown crystalline solid in 61% yield (Scheme 1). Dissolution of the yellow-green solid in THF, followed by removal of the volatiles in vacuo, provides [Cp₂Co][HB(C₆F₅)₃] (4) as a yellow-green powder in 71% yield.

The room-temperature ¹H NMR spectrum of 3 in CD_2Cl_2 is uninformative, but upon cooling to -60 °C, the signals sharpen considerably, revealing two sets of resonances that we attribute to two isomers present in a 1.6:1 ratio. The minor isomer exhibits two inequivalent ^tBu resonances located at -1.12 and -1.55 ppm, while



Figure 4. Solid-state structure of $U(OSiEt_3)_2(^{Ar}acnac)_2$ (3) with 50% probability ellipsoids for the noncarbon atoms. The phenyl rings on the ^{Ar}acnac backbone have been removed for clarity.

the major isomer exhibits a single ^tBu resonance at -4.48 ppm. In addition, the NIR spectrum for **3** is consistent with reduction to U(IV) and the presence of a 5f² ion (Figure 2).^{50,51} The ¹H NMR of **4** in CD₂Cl₂ exhibits a singlet at 5.66 ppm, assignable to the cyclopentadienyl resonance of $[Cp_2Co]^+$, and a quartet at 3.64 ppm ($J_{BH} = 91$ Hz), corresponding to the $[HB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ resonance.

Complex 3 crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/n$, and its solid state molecular structure is shown in Figure 4. Complex 3 is isostructural with complex 1; however, there are important differences in their metrical parameters (Table 1). The U $-O_{Si}$ bond length in 3 (U1-O1 = 2.129(2) Å) is longer than that observed in 1 but is similar to the U-O bonds in other U(IV) silyloxides,²² such as [Cp₂Co][U(OSiPh₃)(OB{C₆F₅}₃)(^{Ar}acnac)₂] (2.173(8) Å)²³ and Cp₃U(OSiPh₃) (2.135(8) Å).⁵² Likewise, the U-N (2.484(3) Å) and U $-O_{acnac}$ (2.268(2) Å) bond lengths in 3 are longer than those observed in 1, consistent with the presence of the larger U⁴⁺ ion.

SUMMARY

Reaction of $UO_2(^{Ar}acnac)_2$ with 2 equiv of Et_3SiH in the presence of 1 equiv of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ results in silvlation of both oxo ligands and formation of $[U(OSiEt_3)_2(A^racnac)_2][HB(C_6F_5)_3]$. The presence of the borohydride anion in this material, along with the observed ²H incorporation into the borohydride upon substitution of Et₃SiH with Et₃SiD, provides strong evidence for the intermediacy of a borane–silane adduct, $[Et_3SiH\cdots B(C_6F_5)_3]$, during this transformation.^{29–31} This adduct promotes nucleophilic attack on the silyl cation by the uranyl oxo ligand. Interestingly, a second product is also observed in small amounts in the reaction mixture, namely, U(OSiEt₃)(OB{C₆F₅}₃)($^{Ar}acnac$)₂, the product derived from monosilylation of UO₂($^{Ar}acnac$)₂. The observation of two products in the reaction can be rationalized by formation of a common intermediate, $U^VO(OSiEt_3)(A^racnac)_2$, while the relative ratio of the two products suggests that reaction of UO(OSiEt₃)- $(^{Ar}acnac)_2$ with $[Et_3SiH\cdots B(C_6F_5)_3]$ is faster than its reaction with $B(C_6F_5)_{3}$, resulting in preferential formation of $[U(OSiEt_3)_2$ - $(^{Ar}acnac)_2$ [HB(C₆F₅)₃]. In addition, reduction of [U(OSiEt₃)₂- $(^{Ar}acnac)_2][HB(C_6F_5)_3]$ leads to generation of a neutral U(IV) silyloxide, $U(OSiEt_3)_2(A^racnac)_2$. This complex appears well poised for selective cleavage of the U-O bond via protonation, which, if achieved, would represent the first well-defined, stepwise cleavage of a uranyl-derived U-O bond. We are currently investigating the feasibility of this transformation.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General. All reactions and subsequent manipulations were performed under anaerobic and anhydrous conditions under an atmosphere of nitrogen or argon. THF, hexanes, diethyl ether, and toluene were dried using a Vacuum Atmospheres DRI-SOLV solvent purification system. Toluene- d_8 , C_6H_6 , and C_6D_6 were dried over activated 4 Å molecular sieves for 24 h before use. CH_2Cl_2 and CD_2Cl_2 were dried over activated 3 Å molecular sieves for 24 h before use. $UO_2(^{Ar}acnac)_2$ and $(^{Ar}acnac)H$ ($Ar = 3,5^{-1}Bu_2C_6H_3$) were synthesized according to previously reported procedures. $^{53}B(C_6F_5)_3$ was made by the published procedure, except that pentafluoroiodobenzene was used in place of pentafluorobromobenzene. 54 Cp_2Co was recrystallized before use, while all other reagent were purchased from commercial suppliers and used as received.

NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian UNITY INOVA 400 or Varian UNITY INOVA 500 spectrometer. ¹H NMR spectra were referenced to external SiMe₄ using the residual protio solvent peaks as internal standards. ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectra were referenced to external 0.05% α , α , α -trifluorotoluene in C₆D₆. IR spectra were recorded on a Mattson Genesis FTIR spectrometer, while UV—vis/NIR experiments were performed on a UV-3600 Shimadzu spectrophotometer. Elemental analyses were performed by the Microanalytical Laboratory at UC Berkeley.

Cyclic Voltammetry Measurements. CV experiments were performed with a CH Instruments 600c Potentiostat, and the data were processed using CHI software (version 6.29). All experiments were performed in a glovebox using a 20 mL glass vial as the cell. The working electrode consisted of a platinum disk embedded in glass (2 mm diameter), while platinum wire was used for both counter and reference electrodes. Solutions employed during CV studies were typically 3 mM in the uranium complex and 0.1 M in $[Bu_4N][PF_6]$. All potentials are reported versus the $[Cp_2Fe]^{0/+}$ couple. For all trials, $i_{p,a}/i_{p,c} = 1$ for the $[Cp_2Fe]^{0/+}$ couple, while $i_{p,c}$ increased linearly with the square root of the scan rate (i.e., $\sqrt{\nu}$).

 $[U(OSiEt_3)_2(^{Ar}acnac)_2][HB(C_6F_5)_3] (Ar = 3,5^{-t}Bu_2C_6H_3) (1).$ To a red dichloromethane (3 mL) solution of UO₂(^{Ar}acnac)₂ (167 mg, 0.153 mmol) was added HSiEt₃ (49 μ L, 0.31 mmol) and B(C₆F₅)₃ (80 mg, 0.16 mmol), resulting in formation of a dark amber solution. After stirring for 6 h, the deep red solution was filtered through a Celite column supported on glass wool ($0.5 \text{ cm} \times 2 \text{ cm}$). The solution was then concentrated in vacuo, layered with hexanes, and stored at -25 $^\circ\mathrm{C}$ for 24 h, producing a dark red crystalline material (225 mg, 80% yield). Anal. Calcd for UO₄N₂Si₂BF₁₅C₈₈H₉₅: C, 57.61; H, 5.22; N, 1.53. Found: C, 57.98; H, 5.26; N, 1.76. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C, 500 MHz, trans isomer): δ 7.32 (t, 2H, J_{HH} = 6.8 Hz, para CH), 6.95 (t, 2H, J_{HH} = 7.0 Hz, para CH), 6.70 (d, 4H, J_{HH} = 6.6 Hz, meta CH), 6.64 (d, 4H, meta CH), 6.04 (br s, 4H, ortho CH), 5.46 (br s, 12H, three overlapping resonances attributable to the ortho CH, CH₂CH₃, and para N-aryl CH), 4.85 (br s, 6H, CH₂CH₃), 4.06 (s, 2H, γ-CH), 3.29 (s, 18H, CH_2CH_3 , -0.05 (s, 36H, CMe₃). The $HB(C_6F_5)_3$ resonance was not observed, most likely due to overlap with the CH₂CH₃ resonance at 3.29 ppm. Also, the two ortho N-aryl CH resonances were not observed, possibly due to paramagnetic broadening. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C, 500 MHz, cis isomer): δ 9.61 (br s), 8.02 (s), 7.95 (s), 1.55 (br s), -0.40 (s, CMe_3) , -1.18 (br s). The remaining resonances assignable to the cis isomer were not observed. ${}^{19}F{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C, 470 MHz): δ –69.80 (d, 6F, $J_{\rm FF}$ = 19.7 Hz, ortho CF), –100.63 (t, 3F, $J_{\rm FF}$ = 20.2 Hz, para CF), -103.46 (t, 6F, J_{FF} = 19.0 Hz, meta CF). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 12 °C, 500 MHz, trans isomer): δ 7.30 (t, 2H, J_{HH} = 6.8 Hz, para CH), 6.94 (t, 2H, J_{HH} = 7.2 Hz, para CH), 6.68 (d, 4H, J_{HH} = 6.6 Hz, meta CH), 6.61 (s, 4H, meta CH), 5.99 (br s, 4H, ortho CH), 5.64 (br s, 6H, CH₂CH₃), 5.44 (br s, 2H, para N-aryl CH), 5.20 (br s, 4H, ortho CH), 4.98 (br s, 6H, CH₂CH₃), 3.91 (s, 2H, γ-CH), 3.39 (s, 18H, CH₂CH₃), -0.10 (s, 36H, CMe₃). The HB(C₆F₅)₃ resonance was not observed, most likely due to overlap with the CH₂CH₃ resonance at 3.39 ppm. Also, the two ortho N-aryl CH resonances were not observed, possibly due to paramagnetic broadening. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 12 °C, 500 MHz, cis isomer): δ 1.54 (br s), -0.42 (s, CMe₃), -0.48 (s, CMe₃), -1.43 (br s). The remaining resonances assignable to the cis isomer were not observed. UV-vis/NIR (CH₂Cl₂, 4.34×10^{-3} M, L·mol⁻¹·cm⁻¹): 850 (sh, $\varepsilon =$ 10), 980 ($\varepsilon = 6$), 1090 ($\varepsilon = 3$), 1210 ($\varepsilon = 1$), 1350 ($\varepsilon = 2$), 1400 (sh, $\varepsilon = 3$), 1430 (sh, $\varepsilon = 6$), 1460 ($\varepsilon = 11$), 1490 ($\varepsilon = 8$), 1640 ($\varepsilon = 8$), 1670 ($\varepsilon = 7$), 1780 ($\varepsilon = 2$), 2150 ($\varepsilon = 8$), 2170 (sh, $\varepsilon = 7$), 2190 nm ($\varepsilon = 6$). IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 3102(sh w), 3086(sh w), 3068(w), 3033(w), 2965(vs), 2940(sh s), 2911(s), 2879(s), 2377(w, v_{BH}), 2359(w), 1660(w), 1640(m), 1594(s), 1586(s), 1556(vs), 1508(vs), 1467(vs), 1455(sh vs), 1439(vs), 1413(sh s), 1396(s), 1363(s), 1337(sh m), 1314(m), 1293(vs), 1277(s), 1244(s), 1240(sh s), 1205(w), 1182(w), 1161(w), 1127(sh m), 1114(s) 1101(s), 1078(s), 1065(s), 1024(s), 1000(m), 969(vs), 919(sh m), 900(s), 890(s), 874(m), 812(vs), 808(vs), 786(s), 771(s), 765(sh s), 748(s), 740(sh s), 712(s), 698(s), 694(sh s), 673(m), 659(m), 644(sh m), 618(w), 601(m), 581(s), 566(m), 548(m), 531(m), 503(m), 494(sh m), 462(m), 446(m), 432(m).

 $U(OSiEt_3)(OB\{C_6F_5\}_3)(^{Ar}acnac)_2 (Ar = 3,5^{-t}Bu_2C_6H_3) (2). A$ reaction flask was charged with a toluene (3.5 mL) solution containing UO₂(^{Ar}acnac)₂ (84 mg, 0.077 mmol), HSiEt₃ (12 μL, 0.075 mmol), and $B(C_6F_5)_3$ (40 mg, 0.078 mmol). The flask was sealed, and the solution was heated at 85 °C for 5.25 h. The volatiles were removed in vacuo, and hexanes (4 mL) was added, resulting in formation of dark precipitate. The solution was filtered through a Celite column supported on glass wool (0.5 cm \times 2 cm) and concentrated in vacuo (2 mL). Storage at -25 °C for 5 days resulted in formation of a dark amber microcrystalline material (23 mg, 18% yield), which was washed with hexane $(2 \times 1 \text{ mL})$. Anal. Calcd for UO₄N₂SiBF₁₅C₈₂H₇₉·C₆H₁₄: C, 58.57; H, 5.19; N, 1.55. Found: C, 58.30; H, 5.05; N, 1.56. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 25 °C, 500 MHz, trans isomer): δ 6.93 (t, 2H, J_{HH} = 7.2 Hz, para CH), 6.44 (t, 2H, J_{HH} = 7.1 Hz, para CH), 6.42 (d, 4H, J_{HH} = 7.2 Hz, meta CH), 6.27 (s, 4H, meta CH), 6.09 (br s, 4H, ortho CH), 5.23 (br s, 6H, overlapping ortho CH and para N-aryl CH), 4.94 (br s, 3H, CH₂CH₃), 4.69 (br s, 5H, overlapping CH_2CH_3 and γ -CH), 3.41 (br s, 9H, CH_2CH_3), 0.20 (br s, 18H, CMe₃), 0.02 (br s, 18H, CMe₃). The two ortho N-aryl CH resonances were not observed. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 25 °C, 500 MHz, cis isomer): δ 2.16, -0.48 (s, CMe₃), -0.58 (s, CMe₃), -0.92 (s, CMe₃), -1.03 (s, CMe₃). The remaining resonances assignable to the cis isomer were not observed. ${}^{19}F{}^{1}H$ NMR (C₆D₆, 25 °C, 470 MHz): δ -77.83 (br s, ortho CF, trans isomer), -95.81 (t, 3F, $J_{FF} = 18.5$ Hz, para CF, trans isomer), -96.37 (s, 3F, para CF, cis isomer), -100.43 (d, 6F, J_{FF} = 18.9 Hz, meta CF, trans isomer), -100.90 (s, 6F, meta CF, cis isomer). The ortho fluorine resonance for the cis isomer was not observed, possibly due to overlap with the resonance for the trans isomer. ¹H NMR $(CD_2Cl_2, 25 \,^{\circ}C, 500 \,\text{MHz}, \text{trans isomer}): \delta 7.19 (t, 2H, J_{\text{HH}} = 6.8 \,\text{Hz},$ para CH), 6.82 (t, 2H, J_{HH} = 6.9 Hz, para CH), 6.58 (d, 4H, J_{HH} = 6.0 Hz, meta CH), 6.54 (s, 4H, meta CH), 5.96 (br s, 4H, ortho CH), 5.19 (br s, 4H, ortho CH), 5.10 (br s, 2H, para N-aryl CH), 4.91 (br s, 3H, CH₂CH₃), 4.64 (br s, 3H, CH₂CH₃), 4.59 (s, 2H, γ-CH), 3.35 (br s, 9H, CH_2CH_3 , 0.13 (br s, 18H, CCH₃), -0.11 (br s, 18H, CCH₃). The two ortho N-aryl CH resonances were not observed. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C, 500 MHz, cis isomer): δ 10.44, 8.64, 8.17, 8.01, 7.52, 7.44, 2.02, -0.63 (s, CMe₃), -0.76 (s, CMe₃), -0.85 (s, CMe₃), -0.96 (s, CMe₃). The remaining resonances assignable to the cis isomer were not observed. ${}^{19}F{}^{1}H$ NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C, 470 MHz): δ -78.07 (br s, ortho CF, trans isomer), -97.28 (t, 3F, $J_{FF} = 18.8$ Hz, para CF, trans isomer), -97.80 (s, 3F, para CF, cis isomer), -101.41 (d, 6F, J_{FF} = 18.4 Hz, meta CF, trans isomer), -101.98 (s, 6F, meta CF, cis isomer). The ortho CF resonance for the cis isomer is not observed. ¹H NMR $(CD_2Cl_2, -15 \degree C, 500 \text{ MHz}, \text{ trans isomer}): \delta 7.14 (s, 2H, para CH),$ 6.77 (s, 2H, para CH), 6.44 (s, 8H, overlapping meta CH resonances), 5.77 (br s, 4H, ortho CH), 5.60 (br s, 3H, CH₂CH₃), 5.22 (br s, 3H, CH₂CH₃), 4.99 (br s, 2H, para N-aryl CH), 4.75 (br s, 4H, ortho CH), 4.20 (s, 2H, γ-CH), 3.74 (br s, 9H, CH₂CH₃), 0.04 (s, 18H, CMe₃), -0.40 (s, 18H, CMe₃). The two ortho N-aryl CH resonances were not observed. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, -15 °C, 500 MHz, cis isomer): δ 2.01, -0.77 (s, CMe₃), -0.91 (s, CMe₃), -1.25 (s, CMe₃), -1.38 (s, CMe₃), -2.48. The remaining resonances assignable to the cis isomer were not observed. IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 2964(s), 2940(sh m), 2921(sh m), 2874(m), 1641(m), 1596(m), 1586(m), 1559(vs), 1539(sh m), 1514(vs), 1485(sh vs), 1471(vs), 1451(sh vs), 1440(vs), 1424(sh m), 1394(m), 1393(m), 1364(m), 1313(sh m), 1293(s), 1280(s), 1247(m), 1241(sh m), 1204(w), 1182(w), 1138(w), 1130(w), 1120(w), 1096(sh s), 1085(s), 1065(m), 1024(m), 999(m), 980(s), 936(sh w), 926(w), 920(w), 900(m), 890(m), 873(m), 870(sh m), 838(s), 817(vs), 784(m), 772(s), 763(m), 759(sh m), 750(m), 735(m), 733(sh m) 708(m), 698(s), 687(m), 683(m), 670(m), 659(w), 654(w), 633(w), 617(w), 605(w), 578(m), 547(w), 525(w), 503(w), 491(w), 480(w), 460(w), 437(w), 423(sh w), 414(w).

 $U(OSiEt_3)_2(^{Ar}acnac)_2$ (Ar = 3,5^{-t}Bu₂C₆H₃) (3). To a red Et₂O (3 mL) suspension of $[U(OSiEt_3)_2(^{Ar}acnac)_2][HB(C_6F_5)_3]$ (1) (192 mg, 0.105 mmol) was added an $Et_2O(1 \text{ mL})$ solution of $Cp_2Co(20 \text{ mg})$ 0.11 mmol). This resulted in formation of a red solution and a yellow-green precipitate. The mixture was stirred for 1.5 h before being filtered through a Celite column supported on glass wool (0.5 cm \times 2 cm), producing an orange-red supernatant and yellow-green plug. The supernatant was concentrated in vacuo, layered with hexanes, and stored at -25 °C for 48 h, producing a dark red crystalline material (84 mg, 61% yield). Anal. Calcd for UO4N2Si2C70H94: C, 63.61; H, 7.17; N, 2.12. Found: C, 63.53; H, 4.42; N, 1.48. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, -60 °C, 500 MHz, cis isomer): δ 14.85 (s, 2H, para N-aryl CH), 13.36 (s, 4H, meta CH), 10.01 (s, 2H, para CH), 8.13 (s, 4H, meta CH), 7.70 (s, 2H, para CH), 6.68 (br s, 4H, ortho CH), 3.73 (s, 2H, γ-CH), 0.98 (s, 4H, ortho CH), 0.85 (s, 18H, CH₂CH₃), 0.16 (s, 6H, CH₂CH₃), -1.12 (s, 18H, CMe₃), -1.55 (s, 18H, CMe₃), -9.22 (br s, 2H, ortho N-aryl CH), -10.67 (br s, 2H, ortho N-aryl CH). One of the CH₂CH₃ resonances was not observed, possibly due to overlap with another resonance. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, -60 °C, 500 MHz, trans isomer): δ 53.53 (br s, 6H, CH₂CH₃), 52.17 (br s, 6H, CH₂CH₃), 27.98 (br s, 18H, CH₂CH₃), 1.45 (s, 2H), -1.98 (s, 4H), -4.48 (s, 36H, CMe₃), -4.61 (s, 4H), -7.96 (br s, 2H), -12.02 (br s, 4H), -21.67 (br s, 4H), -26.14 (br s, 4H), -32.14 (br s, 2H). One resonance integrating for 2 protons was not observed. UV-vis/ NIR $(CH_2Cl_2, 3.13 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}, \text{L} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1})$: 720 $(\varepsilon = 90)$, 760 $(\text{sh}, \varepsilon = 90)$ 36), 840 (ε = 32), 940 (ε = 26), 1010 (sh, ε = 35), 1040 (ε = 40), 1100 (ε = 55), 1210 (ε = 32), 1310 (ε = 14), 1410 (sh, ε = 16), 1450 (ε = 16), 1520 $(\varepsilon = 16), 1640 \ (\varepsilon = 10), 1670 \ (\varepsilon = 12), 1840 \ (\varepsilon = 90), 1890 \ (sh, \varepsilon = 65),$ 2160 nm ($\varepsilon = 9$). IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 3104(w), 3083(w), 3063(w), 3029(w), 2958(vs), 2905(s), 2873(s), 2804(w), 1587(s), 1563(sh s), 1555(vs), 1494(vs), 1484(vs), 1479(vs), 1467(vs), 1457(vs), 1440(vs), 1421(s), 1389(vs), 1368(s), 1348(sh m), 1313(s), 1296(s), 1282(sh s), 1268(sh m), 1240(s), 1205(m), 1180(w), 1157(w), 1133(w), 1128(sh w), 1106(w), 1078(m), 1065(m), 1024(m), 1004(m), 984(m), 973(m), 965(m), 937(m), 931(m), 898(sh s), 885(vs), 846(sh m), 825(sh m), 803(w), 781(m), 767(sh s), 761(s), 736(s), 712(s), 694(s), 671(m), 664(sh m), 651(sh w), 643(sh w), 639(sh w), 617(w), 607(m), 575(m), 566(m), 546(w), 523(w), 495(m), 460(sh w), 444(m), 439(m).

[Cp₂Co][HB(C₆F₅)₃] (4). The yellow-green solid generated during the synthesis of complex 3 was dissolved in THF (2 mL) and filtered through a Celite column supported on glass wool (0.5 cm × 2 cm). The volatiles were removed in vacuo, and the resulting solid was washed with hexanes (3 mL) and Et₂O (3 mL) and subsequently dried in vacuo yielding [Cp₂Co][HB(C₆F₅)₃] as a yellow-green powder (54 mg, 71% yield). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C, 500 MHz): δ 5.66 (s, 10H, CH), 3.64 (br quartet, 1H, *J*_{BH} = 90.8 Hz, BH). ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C, 470 MHz): δ -69.66 (d, 6F, *J*_{FF} = 20.9 Hz, ortho CF), -100.06 (t, 3F, *J*_{FF} = 20.1 Hz, para CF), -103.08 (t, 6F, *J*_{FF} = 18.9 Hz, meta CF).

NMR-Scale Synthesis of 1 Using DSiEt₃. A red C_6H_6 solution (1 mL) containing $UO_2(^{Ar}acnac)_2$ (23 mg, 0.021 mmol) and DSiEt₃ (6.7 μ L, 0.042 mmol) was sealed in a J Young NMR tube, and the ²H

Table 2. X-ray Crystallographic Data for Complexes 1-3

	1	2	3
empirical formula	$UO_4N_2Si_2BF_{15}C_{88}H_{95}\\$	$UO_4N_2SiBF_{15}C_{82}H_{79}$	$UO_4N_2Si_2C_{70}H_{94}$
crystal habit, color	plate, dark orange	plate, dark red	irregular, dark red
cryst size (mm)	0.54 imes 0.34 imes 0.16	0.20 imes 0.18 imes 0.10	$0.40\times0.28\times0.22$
cryst syst	triclinic	triclinic	monoclinic
space group	P-1	<i>P</i> -1	$P2_1/n$
volume (Å ³)	4238.0(10)	3730(2)	3368.7(3)
a (Å)	13.1761(18)	12.909(4)	12.6522(8)
b (Å)	17.822(3)	16.329(5)	17.5193(10)
c (Å)	20.054(3)	19.010(6)	15.3466(9)
α (deg)	98.520(2)	78.273(5)	90
β (deg)	102.891(2)	87.803(5)	97.991(2)
γ (deg)	108.220(2)	71.991(6)	90
Ζ	2	2	2
fw (g/mol)	1834.68	1718.40	1321.68
density(calcd) (Mg/m ³)	1.438	1.530	1.303
abs coeff (mm^{-1})	2.026	2.282	2.491
F ₀₀₀	1858	1726	1360
total no. reflns	32 304	28 620	26773
unique reflns	15 837	13 512	6764
final <i>R</i> indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	$R_1 = 0.0470$	$R_1 = 0.0783$	$R_1 = 0.0269$
	$wR_2 = 0.1039$	$wR_2 = 0.1370$	$wR_2 = 0.0661$
largest diff. peak and hole $(e^- \text{ Å}^{-3})$	1.499 and -2.673	4.556 and -2.566	0.808 and -0.925
GOF	0.959	1.126	0.950

NMR spectrum was obtained. The solution was then frozen, whereupon a C_6H_6 solution (1 mL) of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ (10 mg, 0.021 mmol) was layered onto the frozen plug. The tube was sealed, and the reaction was initiated by thawing the frozen plug, resulting in a deep red solution. The reaction was allowed to proceed for 19 h before the ²H NMR spectrum was collected (Figures S19–S20, Supporting Information). ²H NMR (C_6H_6 , 25 °C, 77 MHz): δ 4.64 (br s, [DB($C_6F_5)_3$]), 3.90 (s, DSiEt₃), 3.60 (s).

NMR-Scale Synthesis of 1 Using HSiEt₃. A C_6D_6 solution (1 mL) containing $UO_2(^{Ar}acnac)_2$ (21 mg, 0.019 mmol) and HSiEt₃ (6.1 μ L, 0.038 mmol) was sealed in a J Young NMR tube, and the ¹H NMR spectrum was obtained. The solution was then frozen, whereupon a C_6D_6 solution (1 mL) of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ (10 mg, 0.021 mmol) was layered onto the frozen plug. The tube was sealed, and the reaction was initiated by thawing the frozen plug, resulting in a deep red solution. The reaction was allowed to proceed for 21 h before the ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR spectra were collected (Figures S17–S18, Supporting Information).

NMR-Scale Synthesis of 1 Using 1 Equiv of HSiEt₃. A red C_6D_6 solution (0.5 mL) containing $UO_2(^{Ar}acnac)_2$ (14 mg, 0.013 mmol) and HSiEt_3 (2.1 μ L, 0.013 mmol) was sealed in a J Young NMR tube, and the ¹H NMR spectrum was obtained. The solution was then frozen, whereupon a C_6D_6 solution (0.5 mL) of B(C_6F_5)₃ (7 mg, 0.013 mmol) was layered onto the frozen plug. The tube was sealed, and the reaction was initiated by thawing the frozen plug, resulting in a deep red solution. The reaction was allowed to proceed for 2 h before the ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR spectra were collected (Figures S23 and S24, Supporting Information).

NMR-Scale Thermolysis of 1 in Tol- d_8 . Complex 1 (10 mg, 0.005 mmol) was dissolved in toluene- d_8 (0.73 mL) and heated at 85 °C for 23 h, resulting in a color change to dark amber. The ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR spectra revealed a decrease in the resonances assignable to 1 and the presence of new resonances attributable to 2 as well as HSiEt₃ and other unidentified products (Figures S14–S15, Supporting Information).

X-ray Crystallography. The solid state molecular structures of complexes 1-3 were determined similarly with exceptions noted in the following paragraph. Crystals were mounted on a glass fiber under

Paratone-N oil. Data collection was carried out on a Bruker 3-axis platform diffractometer with SMART-1000 CCD detector. The instrument was equipped with graphite-monochromatized Mo K α X-ray source ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). All data were collected at 150(2) K using an Oxford nitrogen gas cryostream system. A hemisphere of data was collected using ω scans and 0.3° frame widths. Frame exposures of 20, 35, and 20 s were used for complexes 1, 2, and 3, respectively. SMART⁵⁵ was used to determine the cell parameters, and the raw frame data were processed using SAINT.⁵⁶ The empirical absorption correction was applied based on Psi-scan or SADABS. Subsequent calculations were carried out using SHELXTL.⁵⁷ The structures were solved using direct methods and difference Fourier techniques. All hydrogen atom positions were idealized and rode on the atom of attachment. Unless otherwise noted, the final refinement included anisotropic temperature factors on all non-hydrogen atoms. Structure solution, refinement, graphics, and creation of publication materials were performed using SHELXTL.

Complex 1 exhibits positional disorder of the $[HB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ anion. The positional disorder was addressed by modeling the molecule in two orientations, in a 65:35 ratio. Several carbon atoms within the disordered anion were not refined anisotropically. In addition, the C–C and C–F bonds of the aryl rings were fixed with the DFIX command, while the rings were constrained with the FLAT command. The EADP command was used to constrain C3, C7, C10, C72B, and C73B. An idealized hydrogen atom was not assigned to the disordered $[HB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ anion in 1. For complex 2, C23, C52, C44, C66, and C70 were constrained using the EADP command. A summary of relevant crystallographic data for complexes 1-3 is presented in Table 2.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. Cyclic voltammetry data for complex 1; crystallographic details (as CIF files); IR spectra for complexes 1-3; ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR spectra for complexes 1-4. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: hayton@chem.ucsb.edu.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank the University of California, Santa Barbara, and the Department of Energy Basic Energy Sciences program for financial support of this work.

REFERENCES

(1) Fortier, S.; Hayton, T. W. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2010, 254, 197–214.

(2) Denning, R. G. J. Phys. Chem. A 2007, 111, 4125–4143.

(3) deB Darwent, B. Nat. Stand. Ref. Data Ser. 1970, 31, 1-48.

(4) Berthet, J.-C.; Nierlich, M.; Ephritikhine, M. C. R. Chim. 2002, 5, 81–88.

(5) Berthet, J.-C.; Lance, M.; Nierlich, M.; Ephritikhine, M. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2000**, 1969–1973.

(6) Anderson, R. T.; Vrionis, H. A.; Ortiz-Bernad, I.; Resch, C. T.; Long, P. E.; Dayvault, R.; Karp, K.; Marutzky, S.; Metzler, D. R.; Peacock, A.; White, D. C.; Lowe, M.; Lovley, D. R. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2003**, *69*, 5884–5891.

(7) Choppin, G. R.; Stout, B. E. Sci. Total Environ. 1989, 83, 203-216.

(8) Choppin, G. R.; Wong, P. J. Aquat. Geochem. 1998, 4, 77–103.

(9) Konhauser, K. O.; Mortimer, R. J. G.; Morris, K.; Dunn, V. In Interactions of Microorganisms with Radionuclides; Keith-Roach, M. J., Livens, F. R., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 2002; pp 61–100.

 (10) Renshaw, J. C.; Butchins, L. J. C.; Livens, F. R.; May, I.; Charnock, J. M.; Lloyd, J. R. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2005, *39*, 5657–5660.

(11) Runde, W. Los Alamos Sci. 2000, 26, 392-411.

(12) Arnold, P. L.; Pécharman, A.-F.; Hollis, E.; Yahia, A.; Maron, L.; Parsons, S.; Love, J. B. *Nat. Chem.* **2010**, *2*, 1056–1061.

(13) Arnold, P. L.; Hollis, E.; White, F. J.; Magnani, N.; Caciuffo, R.; Love, J. B. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 887–890.

(14) Nocton, G.; Horeglad, P.; Vetere, V.; Pecaut, J.; Dubois, L.; Maldivi, P.; Edelstein, N. M.; Mazzanti, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 495–508.

(15) Nocton, G.; Horeglad, P.; Pecaut, J.; Mazzanti, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2008**, 130, 16633–16645.

(16) Burdet, F.; Pecaut, J.; Mazzanti, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 16512–16513.

(17) Natrajan, L.; Burdet, F.; Pecaut, J.; Mazzanti, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 7152–7153.

(18) Mougel, V.; Horeglad, P.; Nocton, G.; Pecaut, J.; Mazzanti, M. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 14365–14377.

(19) Mougel, V.; Biswas, B.; Pecaut, J.; Mazzanti, M. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 8648–8650.

(20) Mougel, V.; Horeglad, P.; Nocton, G.; Pecaut, J.; Mazzanti, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 8477–8480.

(21) Brown, J. L.; Wu, G.; Hayton, T. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 7248-7249.

(22) Brown, J. L.; Mokhtarzadeh, C. C.; Lever, J. M.; Wu, G.; Hayton, T. W. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 50, 5105–5112.

(23) Schnaars, D. D.; Wu, G.; Hayton, T. W. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 50, 4695–4697.

(24) Berthet, J.-C.; Siffredi, G.; Thuéry, P.; Ephritikhine, M. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2007**, 4017–4020.

(25) Arnold, P. L.; Patel, D.; Wilson, C.; Love, J. B. Nature 2008, 451, 315–317.

(26) Arnold, P. L.; Love, J. B.; Patel, D. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2009, 253, 1973–1978.

(27) Yahia, A.; Arnold, P. L.; Love, J. B.; Maron, L. *Chem. Commun.* **2009**, 2402–2404.

(28) Yahia, A.; Arnold, P. L.; Love, J. B.; Maron, L. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 4881–4888.

- (29) Parks, D. J.; Blackwell, J. M.; Piers, W. E. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 3090–3098.
- (30) Parks, D. J.; Piers, W. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 9440-9441.
- (31) Rendler, S.; Oestreich, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 5997–6000.
- (32) Bach, P.; Albright, A.; Laali, K. K. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 1961–1966.
- (33) Berkefeld, A.; Piers, W. E.; Parvez, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 10660-10661.
- (34) Blackwell, J. M.; Morrison, D. J.; Piers, W. E. *Tetrahedron* **2002**, 58, 8247–8254.
- (35) Blackwell, J. M.; Sonmor, E. R.; Scoccitti, T.; Piers, W. E. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 3921–3923.

(36) Piers, W. E.; Marwitz, A. J. V.; Mercier, L. G. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 50, DOI: 10.1021/ic2006474.

(37) Dagorne, S.; Janowska, I.; Welter, R.; Zakrsewski, J.; Jaouen, G. Organometallics **2004**, *23*, 4706–4710.

(38) Holschumacher, D.; Taouss, C.; Bannenberg, T.; Hrib, C. G.; Daniliue, C. G.; Jones, P. G.; Tamm, M. Dalton Trans. **2009**, 6927–6929.

(39) Walker, D. A.; Woodman, T. J.; Schormann, M.; Hughes, D. L.; Bochmann, M. Organometallics **2003**, *22*, 797–803.

(40) Wang, H.; Fröhlich, R.; Kehr, G.; Erker, G. Chem. Commun. 2008, 5966-5968.

(41) Röttger, D.; Schmuck, S.; Erker, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1996, 508, 263–265.

(42) Temme, B.; Erker, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1995, 488, 177–182.
(43) Yang, X.; Stern, C. L.; Marks, T. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1992,

31, 1375–1377.
 (44) Graves, C. R.; Vaughn, A. E.; Schelter, E. I.; Scott, B. L.;

Thompson, J. D.; Morris, D. E.; Kiplinger, J. L. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 11879–11891.

(45) Ryan, J. L. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1971, 33, 153–177.

(46) Schnaars, D. D.; Wu, G.; Hayton, T. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 17532–17533.

(47) Fulmer, G. R.; Miller, A. J. M.; Sherden, N. H.; Gottlieb, H. E.; Nudelman, A.; Stoltz, B. M.; Bercaw, J. E.; Goldberg, K. I. *Organome tallics* **2010**, *29*, 2176–2179.

(48) Carey, F. A.; Tremper, H. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 2578-2583.

(49) Mayr, H.; Basso, N.; Hagen, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 3060–3066.

(50) Cohen, D.; Carnall, W. T. J. Phys. Chem. **1960**, 64, 1933–1936.

(51) Monreal, M. J.; Diaconescu, P. L. Organometallics 2008, 27, 1702–1706.

(52) Porchia, M.; Brianese, N.; Casellato, U.; Ossola, F.; Rossetto, G.; Zanella, P. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1989**, 677–681.

(53) Hayton, T. W.; Wu, G. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 3065-3072.

(54) Chernega, A. N.; Graham, A. J.; Green, M. L. H.; Haggit, J.; Lloyd, J.; Mehnert, C. P.; Metzler, N.; Souter, J. Dalton Trans. 1997, 2293–2303.

(55) SMART Software Users Guide, 5.1; Bruker Analytical X-ray Systems, Inc.: Madison, WI, 1999.

(56) SAINT Software Users Guide, 5.1; Bruker Analytical X-ray Systems, Inc.: Madison, WI, 1999.

(57) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXTL, 6.12; Bruker Analytical X-ray Systems, Inc.: Madison, WI, 2001.