Thermochemical Properties of Selenium Fluorides, Oxides, and Oxofluorides

Virgil E. Jackson and David A. Dixon*

Department of Chemistry, The University of [Ala](#page-11-0)bama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama 35487-0336, United States

Karl O. Christe

Loker Research Institute and Department of Chemistry, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California 90089-1661, United States

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-11-0)STRACT: [The bond di](#page-11-0)ssociation energies (BDEs), fluoride and fluorocation affinities, and electron affinities of SeF_n (n = 1–6), SeOF_n $(n = 0-4)$, and SeO₂F_n $(n = 0-2)$ have been predicted with coupled ΔE cluster CCSD(T) theory extrapolated to the complete basis set limit. To achieve near chemical accuracy, additional corrections were added to the complete basis set binding energies based on frozen core coupled cluster theory energies. These included corrections for core−valence effects, scalar relativistic effects, for first-order atomic spin−orbit effects, and vibrational zero point energies. The adiabatic BDEs contain contributions from product reorganization energies and, therefore, can be much smaller than the diabatic BDEs and can vary over a wide range. For thermochemical calculations, the adiabatic values must be used, whereas for bond strength and kinetic considerations, the diabatic values

should be used when only small displacements of the atoms without change of the geometry of the molecule are involved. The adiabatic Se–F BDEs of SeF_n (n = 1−6) are SeF₆ = 90, SeF₅ = 27, SeF₄ = 93, SeF₃ = 61, SeF₂ = 86, and SeF = 76 kcal/mol, and the corresponding diabatic values are $\text{SeF}_6 = 90$, $\text{SeF}_5 = 88$, $\text{SeF}_4 = 93$, $\text{SeF}_3 = 74$, $\text{SeF}_2 = 86$, and $\text{SeF} = 76$ kcal/mol. The adiabatic Se–O BDEs of SeO_n (n = 1–3), SeOF_n (n = 1–4), and SeO₂F_n (n = 1,2) range from 23 to 107 kcal/mol, whereas the diabatic ones range from 62 to 154 kcal/mol. The adiabatic Se–F BDEs of SeOF_n (n = 1–4) and SeO₂F_n (n = 1,2) range from 20 to 88 kcal/mol, whereas the diabatic ones range from 73 to 112 kcal/mol. The fluoride affinities of SeF_n, $(n = 1-6)$, SeO_n, $(n = 1-6)$ 1–3), SeOF_n, $(n = 1-4)$, and SeO₂F_n $(n = 1,2)$ range from 15 to 121 kcal/mol, demonstrating that the Lewis acidity of these species covers the spectrum from very weak (SeF₆) to very strong (SeO₃) acids. The electron affinities which are a measure of the oxidizing power of a species, span a wide range from 1.56 eV in SeF_4 to 5.16 eV in SeF_5 and for the free radicals are much higher than for the neutral molecules. Another interesting feature of these molecules and ions stems from the fact that many of them possess both a Se free valence electron pair and a free unpaired valence electron, raising the questions of their preferred location and their influence on the Se–F and Se=O bond strengths.

ENTRODUCTION

There is significant interest in the thermochemical properties including bond dissociation energies (BDEs) of compounds of the main group elements of the second- and higher-rows.^{1,2} There have been a number of structural and spectroscopic studies of the binary selenium fluorides SeF_4^{2-7} $\text{SeF}_5^{-2,8,9}$ a[nd](#page-12-0) $\text{SeF}_6^{2-\frac{10}{10},\frac{11}{10}}$ and the selenium oxofluorides including SeOF₂^{2,12-16} SeO₂F^{-2,17-21} and SeOF₃^{-[2,2](#page-12-0)2-24} O[ctahe](#page-12-0)dral SeF_6 ha[s bee](#page-12-0)n studied extensively, and its geometry,²⁵ heat of format[ion,](#page-12-0) 26 26 26 vibrationa[l frequ](#page-12-0)encies, 27 and 77 Se [NM](#page-12-0)R chemical shifts^{28−30} have been reported. The crystal struc[tur](#page-12-0)e of a compoun[d o](#page-12-0)f the form RSeF with [R](#page-12-0) a phenyl ring with large bulk[y subs](#page-12-0)tituents was just reported.³¹ Schaefer and co-workers have reported the geometries and electron affinities of SeF_x $(x = 1-7)^{32}$ Wang³³ predicted t[he](#page-12-0) heats of formation for

Se $F_n^{\,0/\pm}$ for $n = 1-6$ using the G3 method.³⁴ In addition, Wang recommended a revised value of 57.90 ± 0.3 kcal/mol for $\Delta H_{\text{f}}(\text{Se})$ at 0 K based on the ionization [ch](#page-12-0)emistry of $\text{H}_{2}\text{Se}^{35}$ This value differs substantially from the value given by Wagman et al.³⁶ of $\Delta H_f(\text{Se}) = 54.11$ kcal/mol at 0 K.

Because there is only a limited amount of information avail[abl](#page-12-0)e on the above compounds as well as other selenium fluorides and oxofluorides, we have calculated the thermodynamic properties of a broad range of selenium fluorides, oxofluorides, and oxides using high level correlated molecular orbital theory. In this paper, we report the $\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\rm t}$'s, BDEs, fluoride affinities of SeF_n (n = 1–6), SeOF_n (n = 1–4), SeO₂F_n

Received: November 9, 2011 Published: February 8, 2012

Table 1. $CCSD(T)$ Optimized Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (degrees) for SeF_xO_y Geometries^a

Table 1. continued

 $(n = 1,2)$, and SeO_n $(n = 1-3)$, the fluorocation affinities of SeF_n (n = 1–6), SeOF_n (n = 1–4), SeO₂F_n (n = 1,2), and SeO_n $(n = 1-3)$, and the electron affinities of SeF_n $(n = 1-6)$, SeOF_n $(n = 1-4)$, and SeO₂F_n $(n = 0-2)$ predicted at the coupled cluster $CCSD(T)$ theory level³⁷ extrapolated to the complete basis set limit³⁸ using the correlation-consistent basis sets.³⁹ In addition, we report the ionization p[ote](#page-12-0)ntials of many of the[se](#page-12-0) species.

E [CO](#page-12-0)MPUTATIONAL METHODS

Geometries were initially optimized at the density functional theory level with the Becke's three-parameter exchange functional with the LYP correlation functional $(\hat{\text{B3LYP}})_t^{40,41}$ and the standard augmented correlation consistent basis sets aug-cc-pVnZ, with $n = D$ and T, were used for O and F. A small core r[elativ](#page-12-0)istic effective core potential (RECP) was used for Se, which subsumes the $(1s^2, 2s^2, 2p^6)$ orbital space into the 10-electron core, and a 24 electron space $(3s^2,3p^6,4s^2)$, $3d^{10}$ and $4p^4$) where the electrons are treated explicitly together with the appropriate aug-cc-pVnZ basis set.⁴² We denote this combination of basis sets as aug-cc-pVnZ-PP. Only the spherical component subset (e.g., 5-term d functions, 7-term f f[unc](#page-12-0)tions, etc.) of the Cartesian polarization functions were used. The B3LYP/aug-cc-pVTZ-PP optimized geometries were then used as starting geometries for second-order Møller−Plesset perturbation theory (MP2)⁴³ optimizations which were in turn used as starting points for high accuracy $CCSD(T)$ optimizations with the aug-cc-pVnZ-PP basis s[ets](#page-12-0) for $n = D$, T, and in some cases Q.

Frequencies including the IR and Raman intensities were calculated with the B3LYP and MPW1PW91 exchange-correlation functionals and frequencies at the CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVDZ-PP level. The unscaled vibrational frequencies from the CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVDZ-PP calculations were used to calculate the vibrational zero point energies (ZPEs).

Only the 4s and 4p electrons on Se and the 2s and 2p electrons in O and F were correlated in the CCSD(T) valence electron correlation calculations. For the open shell atomic calculations, we used the R/UCCSD(T) (restricted method for the starting Hartree−Fock wave function and then relaxed the spin restriction in the coupled cluster portion of the calculation) approach.⁴⁴ The CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVnZ-PP valence energies were extrapolated to the complete basis set (CBS) limit by using a mixed exponential/[Gau](#page-12-0)ssian function of the form:

$$
E(n) = E_{\text{CBS}} + A \exp[-(n-1)] + B \exp[-(n-1)^{2}] \tag{1}
$$

with $n = 2$ (aug-cc-pVDZ-PP), 3 (aug-cc-pVTZ-PP), and 4 (aug-ccpVQZ-PP). We abbreviate these basis sets as AVDZ, AVTZ, and AVQZ. Core–valence corrections, ΔE_{CV} , were obtained as the difference between valence-only and all active electrons correlated calculations at the $CCSD(T)/cc$ -pwCVTZ-PP level.⁴⁵ A scalar relativistic correction, ΔE_{SR} , due to the F and O atoms was evaluated from the expectation values for the two dominant terms [in](#page-12-0) the Breit− Pauli Hamiltonian (the mass-velocity, and one-electron Darwin (MVD) corrections)⁴⁶ from configuration interaction singles and doubles (CISD) calculations with a cc-pVTZ basis set at the $CCSD(T)/$ aug-cc-p[VT](#page-12-0)Z-PP geometry. Any "double counting" of the relativistic effect on the Se when applying a MVD correction to an energy, which already includes most of the relativistic effects via the RECP, is small. A second relativistic correction is due to the neglect of atomic spin orbit effects in our calculations, and spin orbit atomic corrections of 0.22 (O), 0.39 (F), and 2.70 (Se) kcal/mol were taken

from the excitation energies compiled by Moore.⁴⁷ By combining our computed $\sum D_0$ values giv[en](#page-12-0) by th[e fo](#page-12-0)llowin[g e](#page-12-0)xpres[sion](#page-12-0):⁴⁸

$$
\sum D_0 = \Delta E_{\text{CBS}} + \Delta E_{\text{CV}} + \Delta E_{\text{SR}} + \Delta E_{\text{ZPE}} + \Delta E_{\text{SO}} \quad (2)
$$

with the known atomic heats of formation^{33,49} at 0 K for the elements, $\Delta H_{\text{f}}(\text{O})$ = 58.99 kcal/mol, $\Delta H_{\text{f}}(\text{F})$ = 18.47 \pm 0.07 kcal/mol, and $\Delta H_f(\text{Se}) = 57.90 \pm 0.3 \text{ kcal/mol}^{33}$ we c[an de](#page-12-0)rive ΔH_f values for the molecules under study. Heats of formation at 298 K were obtained by following the procedures outline[d b](#page-12-0)y Curtiss et al. 50

Calculations of the NMR chemical shifts were done using the gauge invariant atomic orbital (GIAO) approach to d[eal](#page-12-0) with the gauge invariance issue.⁵¹ All NMR calculations were done with the B3LYP/ aVTZ-PP geometries. The NMR chemical shift calculations were done with the B3LYP [fu](#page-12-0)nctional and the Ahlrichs TZ2P^{52} Gaussian basis set on F and O and the aug-cc-pVTZ-PP on Se (labeled as TZ2P). Additional NMR chemical shift calculations [wer](#page-12-0)e done with the $\mathrm{BLYP}^{41,53}$ functional with the TZP ADF basis set with and without using ZORA (zeroth-order regular approximation) for the relativistic
effects^{54–59} with the ADF code.⁶⁰ The ⁷⁷Se chemical shifts were calcul[ated](#page-12-0) relative to the reference standard $Se(CH_3)_2$ and the ¹⁹F shifts [rel](#page-12-0)[ativ](#page-13-0)e to $CFCl₃$.

All of the CCSD(T) calculations [w](#page-13-0)ere performed with the MOLPRO program system⁶¹ on the Dell Intel or Penguin AMD clusters at The University of Alabama. The DFT geometry optimizations and B3LYP NMR chemical [sh](#page-13-0)ift calculations were done with the Gaussian program system.62 Molecular visualization was done using the AGUI graphics program from the AMPAC program package.⁶³

■ R[ES](#page-13-0)ULTS AND DISCUSSION

Geometries. The optimized geometry parameters for the selenium fluorides, oxides and oxofluorides are given in Table 1 together with the point group and ground-state symmetry labels. Drawings of all molecules and ions are given in Figure [1.](#page-1-0) We briefly discuss the $\text{SeF}_{n}^{0/\pm}$ geometries. The calculated CCSD(T)/AVQZ Se−F bond distance in SeF agrees well wi[th](#page-3-0) the experimental value within 0.002 Å.⁶⁴ As expected, SeF₂ has a $C_{2\nu}$ geometry with a CCSD(T)/aVQZ calculated F–Se–F bond angle of 96.2°, which is much s[mal](#page-13-0)ler than that of H_2O^{65} Our predicted geometry for SeF_3 at the $\text{CCSD}(T)/\text{aVQZ}$ level is [a](#page-13-0) planar, T-shaped molecule with C_{2v} symmetry. SeF₄ is a pseudotrigonal bipyramid, and SeF₅ is predicted to have $C_{4\nu}$ symmetry, derived from a distorted octahedron. In octahedral SeF₆, the Se–F bond length is in excellent agreement with experiment within 0.005 Å at the $CCSD(T)/aVQZ$ level. The DFT BHLYP calculated geometries of Li et al.³² for the SeF_n compounds agree best with our higher level values. Starting from an initial structure with seven F atoms bo[nd](#page-12-0)ed to the Se, the SeF_7^+ cation dissociates to form SeF_5^+ plus difluorine (Figure 1) with a bond distance of 1.40 Å which suggests that it forms molecular fluorine which has an F−F bond length of $1.417 \pm 0.001 \text{ Å}^{66}$

Two [s](#page-3-0)elenium oxofluoride cations have interesting geometries. Starting [fro](#page-13-0)m a structure with five F atoms and one O atom bonded to the Se, the $SeOF₅⁺$ cation undergoes an isomerization (Figure 1) where an Se−F bond is transferred from the Se to the oxygen to form an O−F bond. A similar type of isomerization is pr[ed](#page-3-0)icted for the SeO_2F_3^+ cation starting

Figure 1. Lewis dot structures for the selenium fluorides, oxides, and oxofluorides of SeF_n (n = 2−6) ^{+,0,−}, SeOF_n (n = 0−4) ^{+,0,−}, and SeO₂F_n (n = 0–2) ^{+,0,–} molecules.

from a structure with three F atoms and two O atoms bonded to the Se. On the basis of these results, we carefully investigated the structure of SeO_3F^+ . Starting from the pseudotetrahedral structure did not change the basic framework. We moved the F to bind to an O leading to a planar structure with C_s symmetry. The C_s structure is 17.0 kcal/mol higher in energy than the pseudotetrahedral structure with the 3 O and 1 F atoms bonded to the Se.

Vibrational Frequencies. Table 2 shows the comparison between theory and experiment for SeF_2 , SeF_2^+ , SeF_6 , and SeO₂⁻. For SeF₂, the calculated symmetric and antisymmetric Se−F stretching modes at the CCSD(T)/aVTZ level are within 10 cm^{-1} of experiment,⁶⁷ which is excellent agreement considering that our calculated values do not include anharmonic Table 2. Calculated $CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVDZ$ (-PP on Se) Vibrational Frequencies, for SeF $_{2}$, SeF $_{2}^{+}$, SeF $_{6}$, and SeO $_{2}^{-}$

^aRef 67. ^bRef 68. ^c1−3 cm⁻¹ uncertainty, ^dRef 27. ^e3−6 cm⁻¹ uncertainty. $f_{15-30 \text{ cm}^{-1}}$ uncertainty. ⁸Ref 69. ^hRef 27b.

corre[ctio](#page-13-0)ns. For SeF_2^+ , the symmetric Se–F stre[tch](#page-12-0)ing mode at the CCSD(T)/aVTZ level is within 5[0 c](#page-13-0)m⁻¹ o[f e](#page-12-0)xperiment.⁶⁸ For SeF_6 our $\text{CCSD(T)}/\text{aVDZ}$ stretching modes are too small, for the a_g mode by ~30 cm⁻¹ and for the e_g and t_{1u} mod[es](#page-13-0) by ∼10 cm[−]¹ . This is consistent with the fact that, at this level, the bond distance is 0.045 Å too long as compared to experiment. The t_{2g} bending mode is almost the same as experiment, but the other two bending modes are smaller than experiment with the largest difference found for the t_{1u} mode. The lower values of the calculated bending frequencies are also consistent with the longer predicted bond distance as the repulsion between fluorine ligands decreases with increasing bond length. For SeO_2^- , the symmetric Se $-$ O stretching mode at the $CCSD(T)/aVTZ$ level is within 10 cm⁻¹ of the value of 810 ± 80 cm[−]¹ derived from a photoelectron spectroscopy experiment.⁶⁹

Table 3 shows the vibrational stretching frequencies calculated [at](#page-13-0) the CCSD(T)/aVDZ level for SeF_n $(n =$ 1,3,4,5), $\text{SeF}_n^-(n = 1-4, 7)$ $\text{SeF}_n^-(n = 1-4, 7)$ $\text{SeF}_n^-(n = 1-4, 7)$, SeF_3^+ , $\text{SeOF}_n(n = 0, 1-5)$, SeOF_n⁻ (n = 1,2,3,5), SeO₂F_n (n = 1,2), SeO₂F_n⁻ (n = 1-3), and $SeO₃$. These calculated values can be used to interpret new experimental results when they become available. Calculated frequencies for other molecules can be found in our previous report.²

NMR Chemical Shifts. The chemical shifts are given in Table [4](#page-12-0) with different exchange-correlation functionals and basis sets: B3LYP/AhlrichsVTZP, BLYP/TZ2P, and at the ZORA[-B](#page-5-0)LYP/TZ2P level. Our predicted ⁷⁷Se chemical shift for SeF₂, using the B3LYP/AhlrichsVTZP method, differs by 45 ppm from Poleschner and Seppelt's⁷⁰ value of 3723.5 ppm, calculated at the MP2/6-311+G(d,p)//MP2/6-311+G(d,p) level. Their calculated ¹⁹F chemical shi[ft](#page-13-0) (−208.9 ppm) differs from our value by approximately 9 ppm. They also used $B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p)//B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p)$ to predict a 77Se chemical shift of 4368.0 ppm which differs significantly from our value by approximately 600 ppm because of their apparent neglect of relativistic effects. Their DFT value of −204.2 for the 19F chemical shift differs from our value by only 1.2 ppm. For SeF₆, we predict ⁷⁷Se chemical shift values of 555

Table 3. Calculated CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVDZ-PP Se-F and Se-O Vibrational Stretching Frequencies^a

a
Harmonic and anharmonic values at the CCSD(T)/aVQZ level from a 5-point Dunham fit for the diatomics SeO^{+/0/−} and SeF^{+/0/−} given in the Supporting Information.

and 534 ppm at the BLYP/TZ2P and ZORA-BLYP/TZ2P levels, respectively. These values differ from the two reported experimental values of 600 and 610 ppm by 45 and 75 ppm. Our ¹⁹F chemical shift values calculated at the same levels differ from the experimental value of 49.6^{70} by 61 and 98 ppm, respectively.

Calculated Heats of Formatio[n.](#page-13-0) Table 5 lists the calculated contributions to the total atomization energy (TAE). The core valence corrections have a range of 7.[7](#page-6-0) kcal/mol with SeF₇[−] having the largest correction of -7.41 kcal/mol and SeO having the smallest correction of 0.11 kcal/mol. The scalar relativistic corrections are significantly smaller than both the core valence corrections with a range 1.8 kcal/mol.

The heats of formation at 0 and 298 K (Table 6) were calculated from the total atomization energies. Our predicted heat of formation for SeF₆ (O_h) of -267.7 kcal/mol is in excellent agreement with experiment when using Wang's recommended value of 57.9 kcal/mol for the heat of formation of the Se atom. Use of the Wagman et al. value³⁶ for $\Delta H_{\rm f}(\rm Se)$ at 0 K leads to an error of almost 4 kcal/mol. The experimental heat of formation of SeF_6 was obtained fro[m t](#page-12-0)he reaction of solid Se with F_2 so it does not involve the heat of formation of the gaseous Se atom. Compared to the best experimental value for $\Delta H_{\text{f}}(\text{SeF}_6)$ of -267.18 kcal/mol at 298 K,⁷¹ our calculated value of −267.7 kcal/mol is in excellent agreement. Using our calculated TAE, we predict a value of 58.[43](#page-13-0) kcal/mol for $\Delta H_{\rm f}(\rm Se)$ at 0 K. To obtain another estimate of $\Delta H_{\rm f}(\rm Se)$ at 0 K, we calculated the TAE of Se F_6 at the CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVQZ-PP and CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pV5Z-PP levels and extrapolated these values to the complete basis set limit using the following

Table 4. Calculated $\delta(^{19}F)/ppm^a$ and $\delta(^{77}Se)/ppm^b$ NMR Chemical Shifts

		chem shift		
molecule	atom	B3LYP/ TZVP	BLYP/ TZ2P	ZORA-BLYP/ TZVP
SeF	Se	3751.7	3760.3	3605.8
	F	-841.6	-832.4	-839.5
SeF ₂	Se	3768.5	3844.3	3667.0
	F(2)	-217.5	-203.0	-197.9
SeF_3^+	Se	1295.4	1277.8	1203.0
	F(3)	79.7	60.2	69.5
SeF_{3}^{-}	Se	2957.4	3219.2	3098.3
	$F(2)$ ax	-74.3	-38.5	-36.7
	F eq	-303.9	-280.2	-279.4
$SeF5$ ⁺	Se	898.5	734.9	696.4
	F eq	166.2	140.5	175.7
	$F(2)$ eq	167.3	141.5	167.8
	$F(2)$ ax	195.8	200.0	245.9
SeF_6	Se	694.0	554.9	534.4
	F	104.6	110.4	147.8
SeF ₇	Se	675.4	582.2	559.8
	$F(5)$ eq	431.1	531.2	667.3
	$F(2)$ ax	155.6	177.9	225.6
SeOF	Se	3940.7	4024.6	3862.9
	F	-403.2	-373.1	-378.6
$SeOF22-$	Se	3629.4	3826.1	3642.2
	F	-264.8	-233.6	-240.0
SeO ₂ F ₂	Se	943.7	784.7	739.3
	F	93.6	68.8	87.6
$SeOF3+$	Se	872.6	703.4	654.3
	F	144.1	110.1	138.1
SeOF ₄	Se	793.3	633.7	593.1
	$F(2)$ ax	154.3	157.1	191.2
	$F(2)$ eq	133.6	121.9	152.4
SeOF ₅	Se	662.6	504.9	471.7
	$F(4)$ eq	116.5	123.1	154.2
	F ax	173.0	203.9	248.7
$SeO2F+$	Se	1119.1	943.2	886.9
	F	325.6	285.1	314.1
SeO ₂ F ₃	Se	862.1	718.2	680.9
	$F(2)$ ax	98.2	98.3	118.6
	F eq	94.3	89.3	111.3
SeO_3F	Se	1060.2	892.6	846.9
	F	51.7	44.9	57.8

^{a19}F chemical shifts relative to CFCl₃. Absolute ¹⁹F chemical shift = 156.1 ppm at the GIAO B3LYP/AhlrichsVTZP level. Absolute ¹⁹F chemical shift = 135.3 ppm at the GIAO BLYP/TZ2P level. Absolute $19F$ chemical shift = 134.5 ppm at the GIAO BLYP-ZORA/TZ2P level. b^{77} Se chemical shifts relative to Se(CH₃)₂. Absolute ⁷⁷Se chemical shift = 1698.8 ppm at the GIAO B3LYP/AhlrichsVTZP level. Absolute ⁷⁷Se chemical shift = 1616.2 ppm at the GIAO BLYP/TZ2P level. Absolute 77 Se chemical shift = 1747.9 ppm at the GIAO BLYP-ZORA/TZ2P level.

expression.72,73

$$
E(l_{\text{max}}) = E_{\text{CBS}} + B/l_{\text{max}}^3 \tag{3}
$$

This gives a TAE of 432.80 kcal/mol at 0 K, resulting in a value of 57.54 kcal/mol for $\Delta H_{\rm f}$ (Se). We can average our two calculated values for $\Delta H_{\rm f}$ (Se) to give 58.0 \pm 0.5 kcal/mol for ΔH_f (Se) at 0 K, which is in excellent agreement with Wang's value 33 of 57.9 kcal/mol, derived from experiment. As a consequence, we used Wang's value³³ of 57.9 kcal/mol for all of the heats of formation predictions.

We can compare our calculate[d](#page-12-0) value for ΔH_f (SeF₄) at 298 K with that obtained from the experimental heat of formation of liquid SeF₄ at 298 K of -203 ± 6 kcal/mol and its estimated heat of vaporization⁷⁴ of 11 kcal/mol giving an estimated $\Delta H_f^{298}(\text{SeF}_4) = -192 \pm 6$ kcal/mol. Our value of −185.9 kcal/mol is in good agre[em](#page-13-0)ent with this estimated value.

Our calculated value for the ΔH_f 's at 298 K of Se F_3^- and Se F_6 are in excellent agreement with the respective experimentally derived values.^{75,76} In contrast, our calculated value for $\Delta H_f(\text{SeF}_4^-)$ at 298 K is 23 kcal more negative than that derived from experiment.^{[75](#page-13-0)}

We can compare the predicted G3 values³⁴ for ΔH_f (SeF_n) for $n = 1-6$ with our hig[her](#page-13-0) level values which do not incorporate empirical corrections to the [el](#page-12-0)ectronic energy (Table 6). The G3 value for $\Delta H_f(\text{SeF})$ is within 0.2 kcal/mol of our value. The G3 value for ΔH_f (SeF₂) differs from our value by 2.1 [kc](#page-7-0)al/mol, the G3 value for $\Delta H_f(\mathrm{SeF}_3)$ differs from our value by 4.7 kcal/mol, and the G3 value for ΔH_f (SeF₅) differs from ours by 4.7 kcal/mol. The G3 value for $\Delta H_{\rm f}(\rm SeF_4)$ differs from our value by 6.5 kcal/mol and is far outside the error bars of the estimated experimental value. Considering these differences, it is surprising that the G3 value for $\Delta H_{\rm f}(\rm{SeF_6})$ differs from our value by only 0.3 kcal/mol.

Bond Dissociation Energies. There is little experimental information available for the BDEs for the range of selenium fluorides, selenium oxofluorides, and oxides, except for $SeF₆$, SeF₅, SeF, and SeO.⁷⁷ Table 7 shows the various Se $-F$ and Se $-$ O BDEs calculated from the heats of formation as well as those for the comparable [sul](#page-13-0)fur co[m](#page-8-0)pounds. We can define two types of BDEs:^{78,79} (a) adiabatic, dissociating to the ground states of the separated species and (b) diabatic, dissociating to electronic configur[ations](#page-13-0) appropriate for forming the bonds in the parent molecule. The adiabatic and diabatic BDEs can differ by varying amounts depending on the nature of the electronic states of the products. The diabatic BDE is always equal to or larger than the adiabatic BDE, and the difference between the adiabatic and diabatic BDEs corresponds to any reorganization energy of the product(s) and can be substantial. Considering these very large possible differences, it is important to use the appropriate values in thermodynamic calculations. For thermochemical calculations, such as Born−Haber cycles, the adiabatic values must be used, whereas for simple kinetic models, the diabatic values can be more appropriate. The inappropriate use of adiabatic and diabatic bond dissociation energies can lead to large errors and the wrong conclusions. In order to estimate the diabatic values, we calculated the singlet−triplet splittings of the appropriate products at the $CCSD(T)/aVDZ$ level.

Our calculated SeF6 adiabatic Se−F BDE is larger by 17.8 kcal/mol than the reported experimental value.^{77,76} Our calculated SeF₅ adiabatic Se−F BDE is smaller than the experimental value^{76,77} by 37.6 kcal/mol. This suggest[s tha](#page-13-0)t the experimental estimate for $\Delta H_f(\mathrm{SeF}_5)$ used in the BDE calculations is far [too](#page-13-0) negative. Our SeF adiabatic BDE is consistent with the experimental value.^{77,80}

The adiabatic and diabatic Se–F BDEs of SeF₆, SeF₄, SeF₂, and SeF are the same (see Tabl[e 7\)](#page-13-0) and involve no reorganization energies. All of the values are substantial and range from 93.0 kcal/mol in SeF₄ to 76.[1 k](#page-8-0)cal/mol in SeF. As noted above, the adiabatic BDEs can include possible reorganization energies and, therefore, are not always a measure of the bond strengths. For the evaluation of bond strengths Table 5. Components for Calculating the Atomization Energies in $kcal/mol^a$

 ${}^a\Sigma D_0 = \Delta E_{\text{elec}}(\text{CBS}) - \Delta E_{\text{ZPE}} + \Delta E_{\text{CV}} + \Delta E_{\text{SR}} + \Delta E_{\text{SO}}$. ^bValence electron dissociation energy extrapolated to the CBS limit by using eq 1 with $n = D$, T, Q. ${}^c\Delta E_{\text{ZPE}}$ calculated at the CCSD(T)/aug-cc-p the optimized geometries. "The scalar relativistic correction is based on a $CISD(FC)/cc-pVTZ MVD$ calculation. ^fCorrection due to the incorrect treatment of the atomic asymptotes as an average of spin multiplets. Values are based on Moore's tables.⁴⁷ gThe theoretical value of the disso[ci](#page-2-0)ation energy to atoms ΣD_0 (0 K).

near the minimum, the diabatic values should be used as they describe the bonding when only small displacements of the atoms from their equilibrium positions are made. The adiabatic Se−F BDE of SeF₆ is 2.6 kcal/mol less than that in SeF₄ consistent with the lower steric interactions in the latter. The adiabatic Se−F BDE in SeF₂ is \sim 4 kcal/mol lower than that in Se F_6 . The Se–F BDE in diatomic SeF is about 10 kcal/mol less than that in SeF_2 . It is more appropriate to compare the diabatic Se−F BDEs in Se F_5 , and Se F_3 with the above adiabatic values. The diabatic Se−F BDE in SeF₅ is between those of SeF₄ and SeF₂ and that for SeF₃ is ∼2 kcal/mol less than that of SeF. The adiabatic Se–F BDE in SeF₅ is very low because of the stability of SeF₄. The adiabatic Se–F BDE in SeF₃ is surprisingly high suggesting that the SeF_3 radical is quite stable thermodynamically.

The SeF_n BDEs follow the same patterns previously found for the $SF_n BDEs¹$. As would be expected from periodic trends, the Se−F adiabatic BDE in Se F_6 is 14.4 kcal/mol smaller than that of SF_6 . We [n](#page-12-0)ote that the calculated adiabatic S–F BDE in SF_6 is 12.6 kcal/mol larger than the experimental value⁴⁹ of 92.2 \pm 3.8 kcal/mol, similar to what is found for SeF₆. The adiabatic Se−F BDE in Se $F₅$ is 10.7 kcal/mol smaller th[an](#page-12-0) that in $SF₅$. The Se–F BDEs of Se $F₄$ and Se $F₂$ are only 2.5 to 3 kcal/mol smaller than the corresponding S−F BDEs in SF4 and SF_2 . The adiabatic Se–F BDEs in SeF₃ and Se–F are 6 to 7 kcal/mol smaller than the S–F BDEs in $SF₃$ and SF.

We can compare the [pre](#page-12-0)dicted G3 BDEs in SeF_n for $n = 1-6$ with our CCSD(T)/CBS adiabatic BDEs in kcal/mol at 298 K. The G3 value for SeF_6 (94.8 kcal/mol)³³ is 4.4 kcal/mol larger than our value. The G3 value for SeF₅ (28.8 kcal/mol)³³ differs by 1.8 kcal/mol. The G3 value for SeF_4 (91.2 kcal/mol)³³ is smaller than our value by 1.8 kcal/mol. The G3 value [fo](#page-12-0)r SeF_3 $(58.1 \text{ kcal/mol})^{33}$ is smaller than ours by 2.7 kcal/mol. Th[e G](#page-12-0)3 value for SeF₂ (83.7 kcal/mol)³³ is smaller than our value by 2.7 kcal/mol. [The](#page-12-0) G3 value for SeF $(76.7 \text{ kcal/mol})^{33}$ is in excellent agreement with our v[alu](#page-12-0)e within 1.0 kcal/mol.

The adiabatic and diabatic Se−F BDEs in SeOF₄ an[d S](#page-12-0)eOF₂ SeOF, and SeO₂F₂ are the same. The Se–F BDE in SeF₄O is lower than that in Se–F, but the Se–F BDE in SeF₂O is comparable to that of SeF_6 . The Se−F BDEs in SeOF and SeO₂F₂ are near 80 kcal/mol. The diabatic Se–F BDE in SeO₂F is similar to that in SeF₆. The highest diabatic Se-F BDE is found for $SeOF_3$ at 112 kcal/mol, although the adiabatic BDE is very low at 20 kcal/mol. The Mulliken atomic spin densities for the triplet state of $SeOF₂$ are mainly localized on the O (1.01e) and the Se atom (0.78e), with spin densities of only 0.11e on the two F atoms. In the doublet state of $SeOF₃$ the Mulliken atomic spin density is essentially localized on the O atom (0.95e).

The Se–O BDE in $SeOF₂$ (106.5 kcal/mol) is the largest adiabatic BDE of the SeO_xF_x and SeF_x compounds, and the Se–F BDE in SeOF₃ is the smallest at 19.9 kcal/mol.

Table 6. Calculated CCSD(T)/CBS Heats of Formation (kcal/mol) at 0 and 298 K

^aRef 75. ^bRevised values from the original ones in ref 2. ^cRef 76. ^dRef 74. ^eRef 71. ^fRef 33. ^gRef 49. ^hRef 69 b,c.

Table 7. Calculated CCSD(T)/CBS Adiabatic and Diabatic BDEs in kcal/mol

reactions	adiabat Se 0 K	diabat Se 0 K	adiabat S $0 K^a$
${}^{1}SeF_6 \rightarrow {}^{2}SeF_5 + {}^{2}F$	90.4	90.4	104.8
${}^{2}SeF_{5} \rightarrow {}^{1}SeF_{4} + {}^{2}F$	27.0	88.1 $(^{3}SeF_{4})$	37.7
${}^{1}SeF_4 \rightarrow {}^{2}SeF_3 + {}^{2}F$	93.0	93.0	95.5
${}^{2}SeF_3 \rightarrow {}^{1}SeF_2 + {}^{2}F$	60.8	74.4 $(^{3}SeF_{2})$	54.8
${}^{1}SeF_2 \rightarrow {}^{2}SeF + {}^{2}F$	86.4	86.4	89.1
2 SeF \rightarrow 3 Se + 2 F	76.1	76.1	83.2
1 SeOF ₄ \rightarrow 2 SeOF ₃ + 2 F	72.9	72.9	89.7
1 SeOF ₄ \rightarrow 1 SeF ₄ + 3 O	45.5	96.3 (${}^{3}SeF_4$)	88.2
${}^{2}SeOF_{3} \rightarrow {}^{1}SeOF_{2} + {}^{2}F$	19.9	112.2 $(^{3}SeOF_{2})$	20.0
${}^{2}SeOF_{3} \rightarrow {}^{2}SeF_{3} + {}^{3}O$	65.7	111.0 $(^1$ O)	94.0
1 SeOF ₂ \rightarrow 2 SeOF + 2 F	88.1	88.1	93.6
1 SeOF ₂ \rightarrow 1 SeF ₂ + 3 O	106.5	125.9 $(^{3}SeF_{2})$	128.8
² SeOF \rightarrow ³ SeO + ² F	79.7	79.7	85.4
² SeOF \rightarrow ² SeF + ³ O	104.0	138.4 (⁴ SeF)	124.3
$3SeO \rightarrow 3Se + 3O$	101.3	101.3	123.1
${}^{1}SeO_{2}F_{2} \rightarrow {}^{1}SeOF_{2} + {}^{3}O$	52.0	129.7 $(^{3}SeOF_{2})$	98.7
${}^{1}SeO_2F_2 \rightarrow {}^{2}SeO_2F + {}^{2}F$	80.8	80.8	106.9
² SeO ₂ F \rightarrow ² SeOF + ³ O	59.3	154.4 (⁴ SeOF)	85.4
${}^{2}SeO_{2}F \rightarrow {}^{1}SeO_{2} + {}^{2}F$	39.7	88.9 $(^{3}SeO_{2})$	40.4
${}^{1}SeO_3 \rightarrow {}^{1}SeO_2 + {}^{3}O$	48.0	97.2 $(^{3}SeO_{2})$	
${}^{2}SeO_{3}F \rightarrow {}^{1}SeO_{3} + {}^{2}F$	38.2		
${}^{1}SeO_2 \rightarrow {}^{3}SeO + {}^{3}O$	99.3		131.1
a Ref 1.			

The adiabatic Se–F BDE in SeF₅ is small as expected because of t[he](#page-12-0) instability of SeF_5 and the stability of SeF_4 . The Se−O BDE in SeO₂F has the largest diabatic BDE of the SeO_xF_x and SeF_x compounds.

The Se–F adiabatic BDE in SeOF₄ is 16.8 kcal/mol smaller than the S−F BDE in SF₄O. The Se−O BDE in SeOF₄ is 42.7 kcal/mol smaller than the S–F BDE in SF_4O . The Se–F adiabatic BDE in SeOF₃ is only 0.1 kcal/mol smaller than the S–F BDE in $SF₃O$, and the Se–O BDE in SeOF₃ is 28.3 kcal/mol smaller than the S−O BDE of $SF₃O$. The Se−F BDE in $SeOF_2$ is 5.5 kcal/mol smaller than the S−F BDE in SF₂O, whereas the Se−O BDE in SeOF₂ is 22.3 kcal/mol smaller than the S−O BDE in SF₂O. In SeOF, the same trend continues with the Se−F adiabatic BDE 5.7 kcal/mol which is smaller than the S−F BDE in SFO and the Se−O BDE of SeOF is 20.3 kcal/mol smaller than the S−O BDE in SFO. The adiabatic Se−O BDE of SeO is 21.8 kcal/mol smaller than that of SO. In SeO_2F_2 the Se–F adiabatic BDE is 26.1 kcal/mol smaller than the S−F BDE in $SF₂O₂$ and the Se−O BDE is 46.7 kcal/mol smaller than the S−O BDE in $SF₂O₂$. The Se–F BDE in $SeO₂F$ is only 0.7 kcal/mol smaller than the S–F BDE in SO₂F. The Se−O BDE in SeO₂F is 26.1 kcal/mol smaller that the S–O BDE in SO_2F . Overall, there is a much larger difference in the Se−O/S-O BDEs than in the Se−F/S-F BDEs.

The Se−O BDEs show the need to consider the diabatic values. As expected from comparing the bond lengths and vibrational frequencies, the diabatic Se−O BDE in SeOF4 is greater than the Se−F BDE. The adiabatic Se−O BDE is quite low because of the stability of SeF4. The diabatic Se−F BDE in SeOF₃ is essentially the same as the diabatic Se−O BDE. The diabatic Se−O BDEs increase from 96 kcal/mol in SeOF4 to 111 kcal/mol in SeOF₃ to 126 kcal/mol in SeOF₂ to 138 kcal/mol in SeOF. The Se−O BDE for diatomic SeO is at

Table 8. CCSD(T)/CBS Fluoride Affinities in kcal/mol at 298 K

reactions	$CCSD(T)^{a}$
$Se + F \rightarrow SeF$	56.9
$SeF + F \rightarrow SeF$	52.5
$SeF_2 + F^- \rightarrow SeF_2^-$	57.8 (47.2)
$\text{SeF}_3 + \text{F} \rightarrow \text{SeF}_4^-$	53.3
$SeF_4 + F^- \rightarrow SeF_5^-$	70.5
$SeF_5 + F^- \rightarrow SeF_6^-$	80.7
$SeF_6 + F \rightarrow SeF_7^-$	15.0
$SeO + F \rightarrow SeOF$	37.8
$SeOF + F \rightarrow SeOF$	51.8
$SeOF_2 + F^- \rightarrow SeOF_2^-$	60.0(43.5)
$SeOF_3 + F \rightarrow SeOF_4$	77.5
$SeOF_4 + F \rightarrow SeOF_5$	85.7(62.3)
$SeO_2 + F \rightarrow SeO_2F$	64.6
$SeO2F + F \rightarrow SeO2F2$	65.5
$SeO_2F_2 + F \rightarrow SeO_2F_3$	69.6(39.8)
$SeO_3 + F \rightarrow SeO_3F$	95.5
^a Fluoride affinities for corresponding sulfur compound in parentheses.	

the low end. In contrast, the diabatic Se–O BDE in SeO₂F₂ is in the upper range of Se–O BDEs, and that for $SeO₂F$ is even higher. The diabatic Se–F BDE in SeO₂F is surprisingly low at 89 kcal/mol, about 10 kcal/mol lower than the diabatic value for SeO₃. The diabatic Se–O BDE for SeO₃ is comparable to the adiabatic (and diabatic) BDEs for $SeO₂$ and SeO . The adiabatic Se−O BDEs are comparable, 45 to 52 kcal/mol, and low in SeO F_4 , SeO₂ F_2 , and SeO₃.

Fluoride Affinities. Table 8 gives the fluoride affinities predicted at the coupled cluster CCSD(T) theory level extrapolated to the complete basis set limit of selenium compared to those of the same reaction with sulfur when possible. An experimental value of $\Delta H_f^{298}(\text{F}^-) = -59.50 \text{ kcal/mol}$ was used.⁴⁹ The fluoride affinities for the Se F_x compounds span a range of 66 kcal/mol, with $SeF₅$ having the highest fluoride affinity o[f 8](#page-12-0)0.7 kcal/mol and SeF_6 having the lowest one of 15.0 kcal/mol. The fluoride affinities for the SeO_x and SeO_xF_x compounds cover a range of 83 kcal/mol with SeO having the lowest fluoride affinity of 37.8 kcal/mol and $SeO₃$ having the highest one of 121.0 kcal/mol. A comparison of the fluoride affinities (FAs) of the Se and S compounds shows that the selenium compounds are stronger Lewis acids than the corresponding sulfur compounds, in accord with the general trends in the periodic table.

Fluorocation Affinities. Table 9 gives our $CCSD(T)/CBS$ fluorocation affinities obtained using the experimental value $\Delta H_f^{298}(\mathrm{F}^+)$ = 420.75 kcal/mol.⁴⁹ T[he](#page-9-0) fluorocation affinities for the Se F_x compounds differ by up to 162 kcal/mol, with Se F_2 having the largest fluorocatio[n a](#page-12-0)ffinity of 263.1 kcal/mol and $SeF₆$ having the smallest of 101.2 kcal/mol. The small value for $SeF₆$ is consistent with the substantial steric hindrance in this compound for adding an additional fluorine, as well as the fact that the Se is in the +6 oxidation state leading to the formation of an SeF_5^+ complex with F_2 .

The fluorocation affinities for the SeO_x and SeO_xF_x compounds differ by up to 109 kcal/mol with $SeO₂F₂$ having the smallest fluorocation affinity of 147.7 kcal/mol and SeO having the largest of 256.3 kcal/mol. Again, the compound with the least steric hindrance and lowest formal positive charge on the Se has the highest fluorocation affinity. The two compounds with the lowest F⁺ affinities are SeOF₄ and SeO₂F₂ which

Table 9. CCSD(T)/CBS Fluorocation Affinities (FCA) in kcal/mol at 298 K

reactions	FCA
$Se + F^+ \rightarrow SeF^+$	256.6
$SeF + F^+ \rightarrow SeF^{-+}$	259.0
$SeF_2 + F^+ \rightarrow SeF_2^+$	263.1
$\text{SeF}_3 + \text{F}^+ \rightarrow \text{SeF}_4^+$	206.1
$SeF4 + F+ \rightarrow SeF5+$	176.7
$\text{SeF}_{5} + \text{F}^{+} \rightarrow \text{SeF}_{6}^{+}$	122.0
$SeF_6 + F^+ \rightarrow SeF_7^+$	101.2
$SeO + F^+ \rightarrow SeOF^+$	256.3
$SeOF + F^+ \rightarrow SeOF^+$	212.6
$SeOF_2 + F^+ \rightarrow SeOF_3^+$	186.5
$SeOF3 + F+ \rightarrow SeOF4+$	189.7
$SeOF4 + F+ \rightarrow SeOF5$ ⁺	155.1
$SeO_2 + F^+ \rightarrow SeO_2F^+$	182.4
$SeO2F + F+ \rightarrow SeO2F2+$	187.0
$SeO_2F_2 + F^+ \rightarrow SeO_2F_2$ ⁺	147.7
$SeO_3 + F^+ \rightarrow SeO_3F^+$	167.4

undergo a rearrangement so that the F is actually bonded to an O. The lowest F^+ affinity for the F bonded to Se is $SeO₃$ with the largest formal positive charge on the Se.

Electron Affinities and Ionization Potentials. The calculated electron affinities and ionization potentials are given in Tables 10 and 11. The electron affinity values

Table 10. $CCSD(T)/CBS$ Electron Affinities at 0 K in eV

molecule	CCSD(T)	expt	$G3^a$
Se	2.05	2.020682 ± 0.000044^b	2.125
SeF	2.40		2.442
SeF ₂	1.93		2.032
SeF ₃	3.24		3.385
SeF ₄	1.63	$1.7 \pm 0.10^{c,d,e}$	2.247
SeF ₅	5.25	$3.3 \pm 0.2^{d,e}$, $\geq 5.1 \pm 0.4^{d,f}$	5.236
SeF_6	2.92	2.9 ± 0.2 ^{d,f} , 3.0 ± 0.2 ^{d,g}	2.815
SeO	1.39	$1.465 + 0.020^{h}$	
SeOF	1.55		
SeOF,	1.79		
SeOF ₃	5.11		
SeOF ₄	3.55		
SeO ₂	1.82	1.823 ± 0.050^{h}	
SeO ₂ F	4.45		
SeO ₂ F ₂	2.69		

predicted for Se, SeF₄, SeF₅, SeF₆, SeO, and SeO₂ are all in good agreement with the reported experimental values,^{25,67,69,81,82} as well as the ionization energies predicted for Se, SeF_2 , and $SeO₂$ are also all in good agreement with the reported e[xpe](#page-12-0)[rimental](#page-13-0) values. 68,81,83,69

BDEs in the Cations and Anions. Table 12 gives the Se− F and [Se](#page-13-0)–[O](#page-13-0) anion BDEs of SeF_n⁻ (n = 1–7), SeOF_n⁻ (n = 1–4), and $\text{SeO}_2F_n^ (n = 1-2)$ calculated from the heats of formation at the $CCSD(T)/CBS$ level. The $SeF_n^ (n = 1-7)$ BDEs differ by up to 85 kcal/mol at 298 K with SeF_5^{-} having the largest BDE and SeF_{7}^{-} having the smallest BDE. The high BDE in SeF_5^- suggests the SeF_5^- anion is quite stable thermodynamically. The SeOF_n⁻ (n = 1–4) and SeO₂F_n⁻ (n = 1−2) Se−F BDEs differ by up to 59 kcal/mol at 298 K with SeOF₃[−] having the largest Se–F BDE and SeOF₄[−] having the smallest Se−F BDE. The SeOF_n⁻ (n = 1–4) and SeO₂F_n⁻ (n =

Table 11. $CCSD(T)/CBS$ Ionization energies at 0 K in eV

molecule	CCSD(T)	expt	$G3^c$
Se	9.67 $(9.69)^f$	9.75238^{a}	9.727
SeF	9.79		9.813
SeF ₂	9.94	10.20 ^b	10.006
SeF ₃	8.68		8.744
SeF ₄	12.57		12.499
SeF ₅	10.97		10.576
SeF ₆	14.75		14.504
SeO	10.02		
SeOF	9.80		
SeOF ₂	12.06		
SeOF ₃	10.23		
SeOF ₄	12.41		
SeOF ₅	12.31		
SeO ₂	11.82	11.5 ± 0.5^d , 11.76^e	
SeO ₂ F	11.27		
SeO ₂ F ₂	12.86		
SeO ₃ F	11.86		

^aRef 81. ^bRef 68. ^cRef 33. ^dRef 83. ^eRef 69. ^fTAE value in parentheses represents Se calculated at the CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVQZ-PP and CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pV5Z-PP levels extra[pol](#page-13-0)ated to complete basis set limit [usi](#page-13-0)ng th[e](#page-13-0) [e](#page-13-0)xpres[sion](#page-12-0) in e[q](#page-13-0) [3](#page-13-0).

1−2[\) S](#page-12-0)e−O BDEs differ by up to 53 kcal/mol at 298 K with SeO₂F^{$-$} having the largest BDE and SeO₂F₂^{$-$} having the smallest BDE. The values of the BDEs in $SeOF_2^-$, $SeOF_3^-$, and Se $O₂F⁻$ suggests that these anions are also quite stable thermodynamically in terms of loss of an $^2\mathrm{F}\bullet$ or $^3\mathrm{O}$ atomic radical.

Table 13 gives the Se–F and Se–O cation BDEs of SeF_{n}^{+} $(n = 1-7)$, SeOF_n⁺ $(n = 1-4)$ and SeO₂F_n⁺ $(n = 1-2)$, calculate[d fr](#page-10-0)om the heats of formation at the $CCSD(T)/CBS$ level. The Se $F_n^+(n=1-7)$ BDEs differ by up to 91 kcal/mol at 298 K with $\mathrm{SeF_{3}}^{+}$ having the largest BDE. $\mathrm{SeF_{6}}^{+}$ is predicted to be unstable with respect to loss of an F atom on ionization of $SeF₆$, consistent with the fact that this ion has not been

Table 13. CCSD(T)/CBS Adiabatic Cation BDEs in kcal/mol at 0 and 298 K

reactions	0 K	298 K	298 K $G3^a$
$\text{SeF}_7^+ \rightarrow \text{SeF}_6^+ + F$	39.2	39.5	
$\text{SeF}_{6}^{+} \rightarrow \text{SeF}_{5}^{+} + \text{F}$	3.3	4.8	4.2
$\text{SeF}_{5}^{+} \rightarrow \text{SeF}_{4}^{+} + \text{F}$	64.0	64.8	73.1
$\text{SeF}_4^+ \rightarrow \text{SeF}_3^+ + \text{F}$	3.7	4.4	4.6
$\text{SeF}_3^+ \rightarrow \text{SeF}_3^+ + \text{F}$	89.5	90.6	87.3
$SeF_2^+ \rightarrow SeF^+ + F$	76.1	77.0	79.2
$SeF^+ \rightarrow Se^+ + F$	72.2	73.1	74.8
$SeOF_4^+ \rightarrow SeOF_3^+ + F$	22.6	23.9	
$SeOF4+ \rightarrow SeF4+ + O$	49.2	50.4	
$SeOF_3^+ \rightarrow SeOF_2^+ + F$	62.2	62.9	
$SeOF_3^+ \rightarrow SeF_3^+ + O$	30.3	31.0	
$SeOF+ \rightarrow SeOF+ + F$	35.9	36.9	
$SeOF_2^+ \rightarrow SeF_2^+ + O$	57.6	58.7	
$SeOF^+ \rightarrow SeF^+ + O$	84.7	85.6	
$SeO_2F_2^+ \rightarrow SeO_2F^+ + F$	44.0	45.0	
$SeO_2F_2^+ \rightarrow SeOF_2^+ + O$	33.6	34.7	
$SeO_2F^+ \rightarrow SeO_2^+ + F$	62.2	62.9	
$SeO2F+ \rightarrow SeOF+ + O$	25.5	26.5	
a Ref 33.			

Tabl[e](#page-12-0) [1](#page-12-0)4. CCSD(T)/CBS Adiabatic Heterolytic Se−F BDEs in kcal/mol at 0 and 298 K to Form a Cation and F[−]

reported. The SeOF⁺ (n = 1−4) and SeO₂F⁺ (n = 1−2) Se−F BDEs differ by up to 39 kcal/mol at 298 K with $\mathrm{SeOF_3}^+$ having the largest BDE and $SeOF_4^+$ having the smallest BDE. The SeOF $_{n}^{+}$ (n = 1–4) and SeO₂F_n⁺ (n = 1–2) Se–O BDEs differ by up to 59 kcal/mol at 298 K with $SeOF⁺$ having the largest and $SeO₂F⁺$ having the smallest BDE.

Table 14 gives the Se⁺–F[–] heterolytic bond cleavage of SeF_n $(n = 1-6)$, SeOF_n $(n = 1-5)$, and SeO₂F_n $(n = 1-2)$ calculated from the heats of formation at the $CCSD(T)/CBS$ level. The SeF_n $(n = 1-6)$ heterolytic BDEs differ by up to 54 kcal/mol at 298 K with SeF_6 having the largest BDE and SeF₃ having the smallest. The SeOF_n $(n = 1-5)$ and SeO₂F_n (n = 1−2) Se−F heterolytic BDEs differ by up to 43 kcal/mol at 298 K with SeO_2F_2 having the largest BDE and SeOF_3 having the smallest.

Figure 2 gives a summary of the calculated CCSD(T)/CBS heats of formation (kcal/mol) of $SeOF_n$ at 298 K, the energy differences between the various species, the reaction enthalpies for the addition of either F^- , F, and F^+ to the neutral parent. The SeOF⁺, SeOF₄⁺, SeOF₄⁺ cations, the SeOF₂ molecule, and

Figure 2. Heats of formation and the reaction enthalpies for the addition of F⁺, F, and F[−] at 298 K as well as ionization potentials($-e^-$) and electron affinities (+e[−]) of SeOF_n. All values in kcal/mol. Heats of formation are given below each species in italics. Vertical arrows correspond to the addition of F to SeOF_n to form SeOF_{n+1} for the cation, neutral, and anion. Horizontal arrows to the left correspond to fluoride anion affinities. Horizontal arrows to the right correspond to the fluorocation affinities. Diagonal arrows to the right correspond to the ionization potential (−e¯). Diagonal arrows to the left correspond to the electron affinity (+e[−]). The heat of formation of F[−] at 298 K is −59.5 kcal/mol using the electron affinity of F.⁴⁹

Figure 3. Heats of formation and the reaction enthalpies for the addition of F⁺, F, and F[−] at 298 K as well as ionization potentials $(-e^{-})$ and electron affinities (+e[−]) of SeO₂F_n. All values in kcal/mol. Heats of formation are indicated below each species in italics. Vertical arrows correspond to the addition of F to SeO_2F_n to form $\text{SeO}_2\text{F}_{n+1}$ in terms of the cation, neutral, and anion. Horizontal arrows to the left correspond to the fluorocation affinities. Horizontal arrows to the right correspond to fluoride anion affinities. Diagonal arrows to the right correspond to the ionization potential $(-e^{-})$. Diagonal arrows to the right correspond to the electron affinity $(+e^-)$.

the SeOF_3^- anion have the smallest enthalpies for addition of F in their series indicating that the addition of a F atom is less favored.

Figure 3 depicts the calculated $CCSD(T)/CBS$ heats of formation (kcal/mol) of SeO_2F_n and SeO_3F_n at 298 K, the energy di[ffe](#page-10-0)rences between the various species, the reaction enthalpies for the addition of either F[−], F, and F⁺ to the neutral parent. The reaction enthalpy for the addition of the first F atom to to the neutral, cation, and anion are about the same, 40 to 45 kcal/mol. The exothermicity of the reaction to add F to SeO_2F_n increases for the neutral and the anion with the second addition almost double the value of the first. In contrast, the addition of the second F to the cation is essentially the same energy as the first. The addition of F to $\text{SeO}_2\text{F}_2^{\text{+}}$ to form $SeO₂F₃⁺$ occurs by addition to an oxygen and not selenium (Figure 1).

In Figure 4 the reaction enthalpies for the addition of an F atom [to](#page-3-0) $\text{SeF}_{n}^{0\pm1}$ $(n = 1-7)$ to form $\text{SeF}_{n+1}^{0\pm1}$ range from

Figure 4. Heats of formation and the reaction enthalpies for the addition of F⁺, F, and F[−] at 298 K as well as ionization potentials $(-e^{-})$ and electron affinities (+e[−]) of SeF_n. All values in kcal/mol. Heats of formation are indicated below each species in italics. Vertical arrows correspond to the addition of F to SeF_n to form SeF_{n+1} in terms of the cation, neutral, and anion. Horizontal arrows to the left correspond to fluoride anion affinities. Horizontal arrows to the right correspond to the fluorocation affinities. Diagonal arrows to the right correspond to the ionization potential (−e¯). Diagonal arrows to the left correspond to the electron affinity $(+e^-)$.

 -26.3 kcal/mol in Se F_6^- and -38.0 kcal/mol in Se F_5^- to −111.3 kcal/mol in SeF4 [−], suggesting that the addition of a fifth Se−F bond is strongly favored. The low values of −4.4 and -4.8 kcal/mol for the addition of F to SeF_3^+ and SeF_5^+ , respectively, suggest that the oxidation of these two cations may prove to be difficult. We have already noted that SeE_6^+ will dissociate. The energies to add an O or an F to a compound are summarized in Figure 5.

Figure 5. Heats of formation and reaction enthalpies for the addition of an F to SeF_n to form SeF_{n+1}, O to SeF_n to form SeOF_n, F to SeOF_n to form SeOF_{n+1}, and O to SeO₂F_n to form SeO₃F_n at 298 K in kcal/mol. Heats of formation are indicated below each molecule in italics. Vertical arrows correspond to the addition of F to Se F_n or SeO F_n to form SeF_{n+1} or SeOF_{n+1} . Horizontal arrows to the right correspond to the addition of O to SeF_n, SeOF_n, or SeO₂F_n to form SeOF_n, SeO₂F_n, and SeO_3F_n , respectively.

■ **CONCLUSIONS**

The bond dissociation energies (BDEs) and fluoride affinities of SeF_n (n = 1−6), SeOF_n (n = 0−4), SeO₂F_n (n = 0−2), and SeO₃ and the electron affinities of SeF_n (n = 1–6) have been predicted with coupled cluster CCSD(T) theory and extrapolated to the complete basis set limit (Figure 5). The calculated heats of formation are dependent on the correct value of $\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\rm 0}$ (Se). We used the value of 57.9 kcal/mol recommended by Wang,³³ and obtained excellent agreement with experiment for ΔH_f^{298} (SeF₆). Using the experimental heat of formation and our cal[cul](#page-12-0)ated TAEs, we predicted $\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\rm 0}({\rm Se})$ 57.98 \pm 0.5 kcal/mol in excellent agreement with Wang's value derived from experimental appearance potentials.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Total valence electronic energies at the CCSD(T) level in a.u., optimized bond lengths (Å) and angles (degrees) for SeF_xO_y geometries, and optimized CCSD(T) Cartesian coordinates in Å. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ [AUTHOR INF](http://pubs.acs.org)ORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: dadixon@bama.ua.edu.

■ ACK[NOWLEDGMENTS](mailto:dadixon@bama.ua.edu)

This research was supported, in part, by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Research, Chemical Sciences, in the geosciences program. D.A.D. thanks the Robert Ramsay Fund at the University of Alabama and Argonne National Laboratory for partial support. The work at USC was financially supported by the National Science Foundation, the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, the Office of Naval Research, and the Defense Threat Reduction Agency.

■ REFERENCES

- (1) Grant, D. J.; Matus, M. H.; Switzer, J. R.; Dixon, D. A.; Francisco, J. S.; Christe, K. O. J. Phys. Chem. A 2008, 112, 3145.
- (2) Christe, K. O.; Dixon, D. A.; Haiges, R.; Hopinger, M.; Jackson, V. E.; Klapotke, T. M.; Krumm, B.; Scherr, M. J. Fluor. Chem. 2010,
- 131, 791.
- (3) Nakanishi, W.; Hayashi, S. J. Phys. Chem. A 1999, 103, 6074.
- (4) Kniep, R.; Korte, L.; Kryschi, R.; Poll, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1984, 23, 388.
- (5) Seppelt, K. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1975, 415, 12.
- (6) Bowater, I. C.; Brown, R. D.; Burden, F. R. J. Mol. Spectrosc. 1968, 28, 454.
- (7) Damerius, R.; Huppmann, P.; Lentz, D.; Seppelt, K. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1984, 2821.
- (8) Mahjoub, A. R.; Leopold, D.; Seppelt, K. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1992, 618, 83.
- (9) Christe, K. O.; Curtis, E. C.; Schack, C. J.; Pilipovich, D. Inorg. Chem. 1972, 11, 1679.
- (10) Mahjoub, A. R.; Zhang, X.; Seppelt, K. Chem.-Eur. J. 1995, 1, 261.
- (11) Moock, K. H.; Boere, R. T. J. Fluorine Chem. 1994, 68, 175.
- (12) Bowater, I. C.; Brown, R. D.; Burden, F. R. J. Mol. Spectrosc. 1967, 23, 272.
- (13) Paetzold, R.; Aurich, K. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1962, 315, 72.
- (14) Rolfe, J. A.; Woodward, L. A. Trans. Faraday Soc. 1955, 51, 778.
- (15) Prideaux, E. B. R.; Cox, C. B. J. Chem. Soc. 1928, 739.
- (16) Prideaux, E. B. R.; Cox, C. B. J. Chem. Soc. 1927, 928.
- (17) Feldmann, C.; Jansen, M. Chem. Ber. 1994, 127, 2173.
- (18) Gillespie, R. J.; Spekkens, P.; Milne, J. B.; Moffett, D. J. Fluorine Chem. 7 1976, 7, 43.
- (19) Baran, E. J. J. Fluorine Chem. 1977, 10, 255.
- (20) Milne, J. Inorg. Chem. 1978, 17, 3592.
- (21) Paetzold, R.; Aurich, K. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1965, 335, 281.
- (22) Milne, J.; Lahaie, P. Inorg. Chem. 1983, 22, 2425.
- (23) Paetzold, R.; Aurich, K. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1966, 348, 94.
- (24) Paetzold, R.; Aurich, K. Z. Chem. 1966, 6, 152.
- (25) Maggard, P.; Lobastov, V. A.; Schafer, L.; Ewbank, J. D.; ̈ Ischenko, A. A. J. Phys. Chem. 1995, 99, 13115.

(26) O'Hare, P. A. G.; Settle, J. L.; Hubbard, W. N. Trans. Faraday Soc. 1966, 62, 558.

- (27) (a) Shimanouchi, T. Tables of Molecular Vibrational Frequencies Consolidated; National Bureau of Standards: Gaithersburg, MD, 1972; Vol. I, pp 1−160. (b) Huber, K. P.; Herzberg, G. Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure. IV. Constants of Diatomic Molecules; Van Nostrand Reinhold Co.: New York, 1979.
- (28) (a) Damerius, R.; Huppmann, P.; Lentz, D.; Seppelt, K. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1984, 2821. (b) Seppelt, K. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1975, 416, 12.
- (29) (a) Mason, J., Ed.; Multinuclear NMR; Plenum Press: New York, 1987. (b) Brevard, C.; Granger, P. Handbook of High Resolution Multinuclear NMR; Wiley: New York, 1981.
- (30) Buhl, M.; Thiel., W.; Fleischer, U.; Kutzelnigg, W. J. Phys. Chem. 1995, 99, 4000.
- (31) Poleschner, H.; Ellrodt, S.; Malischewski, M.; Nakatsuji, J.-y.; Rohner, C.; Seppelt, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 419.
- (32) Xu, Q-s. Li, W.; Xie, Y.; Schaefer, H. F. III J. Phys. Chem. A 1999, 103, 7496.
- (33) Wang, L. Int. J. Mass Spectrom. 2007, 264, 84.
- (34) Curtiss, L. A.; Raghavachari, K.; Redfern, P. C.; Rassolov, V.; Kedziora, G.; Pople, J. A. J. Chem. Phys. 1998, 109, 7764. Curtiss, L. A.; Redfern, P. C.; Rassolov, V.; Kedziora, G.; Pople, J. A. J. Chem. Phys. 2001, 114, 9287.
- (35) Gibson, S. T.; Greene, J. P.; Berkowitz, J. J. Chem. Phys. 1986, 85, 4815. Gunn, S. R. J. Phys. Chem. 1964, 68, 949.

(36) Wagman, D. D.; Evans, W. H.; Parker, V. B.; Schumm, R. H.; Halow, I.; Bailey, S. M.; Churney, K. L.; Nuttall, R. L. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 1982, Suppl. 2, 11.

(37) (a) Purvis, G. D. III; Bartlett, R. J. J. Chem. Phys. 1982, 76, 1910. (b) Raghavachari, K.; Trucks, G. W.; Pople, J. A.; Head-Gordon, M. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1989, 157, 479. (c) Watts, J. D.; Gauss, J.; Bartlett, R. J. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 8718. (d) Bartlett, R. J.; Musial, M. Rev. Mod. Phys. 2007, 79, 291.

(38) Peterson, K. A.; Woon, D.E .; Dunning, T. H. Jr. J. Chem. Phys. 1994, 100, 7410.

- (39) (a) Dunning, T. H. Jr. J. Chem. Phys. 1989, 90 (90), 1007. (b) Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, T. H. Jr.; Harrison, R. J. J. Chem. Phys. 1992, 96, 6796. (c) Woon, D. E .; Dunning, T. H. Jr. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 1358. (d) Dunning, T. H. Jr.; Peterson, K. A.; Wilson, A. K. J. Chem. Phys. 2001, 114, 9244. (e) Wilson, A. K.; Woon, D. E.; Peterson, K. A.; Dunning, T. H. Jr. J. Chem. Phys. 1999, 110, 7667. (40) Becke, A. D. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648.
- (41) Lee, C.; Yang, C. W.; Parr, R. G. Phys. Rev. B 1988, 37, 785.
- (42) (a) Peterson, K. A. J. Chem. Phys. 2003, 119, 11099. (b) Peterson, K. A.; Figgen, D.; Goll, E.; Stoll, H.; Dolg, M.
- J. Chem. Phys. 2003, 119, 11113.
- (43) Pople, J. A.; Binkley, J. S.; Seeger, R. Int. J. Quantum Chem. 1976, Y- 10 (Suppl), 1−19.
- (44) (a) Knowles, P. J.; Hampel, C.; Werner, H. -J. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 99, 5219. (b) Deegan, M. J. O.; Knowles, P. J. J. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1994, 227, 321.
- (45) (a) Peterson, K. A.; Dunning, T. H. Jr. J. Chem. Phys. 2002, 117, 10548. (b) Woon, D. E.; Dunning, T. H. Jr. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 1358. (c) Peterson, K. A.; Yousaf, K. E. J. Chem. Phys. 2010, 133, 174116.
- (46) Davidson, E. R.; Ishikawa, Y.; Malli, G. L. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1981, 84, 226.

(47) Moore, C. E. Atomic energy levels as derived from the analysis of optical spectra; U.S. Department of Commerce, National Technical Information Service, COM-72-50282: Washington, DC, 1949; Vol. 1, H to V, U.S. National Bureau of Standards Circular 467.

(48) (a) Feller, D.; Dixon, D. A. J. Phys. Chem. A 2000, 104, 3048. (b) Feller, D.; Dixon, D. A. J. Chem. Phys. 2001, 115, 3484. (c) Dixon, D. A.; Feller, D.; Peterson, K. A. J. Chem. Phys. 2001, 115, 2576. (d) Feller, D.; Dixon, D. A. J. Phys. Chem. A 2003, 107, 9641. (e) Dixon, D. A.; Feller, D.; Christe, K. O.; Wilson, W. W.; Vij, A.; Vij, V.; Jenkins, H. D. B.; Olson, R. M.; Gordon, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 834. (f) Dixon, D. A.; Gutowski, M. J. Phys. Chem. A 2005, 109, 5129. (g) Pollack, L.; Windus, T. L.; de Jong, W. A.; Dixon, D. A. J. Phys. Chem. A 2005, 109, 6934. (h) Ruscic, B.; Wagner, A. F.; Harding, L. B.; Asher, R. L.; Feller, D.; Dixon, D. A.; Peterson, K. A.; Song, Y.; Qian, X.; Ng, C.; Liu, J.; Chen, W.; Schwenke, D. W. J. Phys. Chem. A 2002, 106, 27277.

(49) Chase, M. W., Jr. NIST-JANAF Tables, 4th ed.; J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 1998, Mono. 9, Suppl. 1. See also: Linstrom, P. J.; Mallard, W. G., Eds.; NIST Chemistry WebBook, NIST Standard Reference Database Number 69, June 2005; National Institute of Standards and Technology: Gaithersburg, MD, 2005 (http://webbook.nist.gov/ chemistry/).

(50) Curtiss, L. A.; Raghavachari, K.; Re[dfern, P. C.; Pople, J. A.](http://webbook.nist.gov/chemistry/) J. Chem. Phys. 1997, 106, 1063.

[\(51\)](http://webbook.nist.gov/chemistry/) [Woli](http://webbook.nist.gov/chemistry/)nski, K.; Hinton, J. F.; Pulay, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 8251.

(52) Schäfer, A.; Horn, H.; Ahlrichs, R. J. Chem. Phys. 1992, 97, 2571.

(53) Becke, A. D. Phys. Rev. A 1988, 38, 3098.

- (54) Schreckenbach, G.; Ziegler, T. J. Phys. Chem. 1995, 99, 606.
- (55) Schreckenbach, G.; Ziegler, T. Int. J. Quantum Chem. 1997, 61, 899.
- (56) Wolff, S. K.; Ziegler, T. J. Chem. Phys. 1998, 109, 895.
- (57) Wolff, S. K.; Ziegler, T.; van Lenthe, E.; Baerends, E. J. J. Chem.

Phys. 1999, 110, 7689. (58) van Lenthe, E.; Baerends, E. J.; Snijders, J. G. J. Chem. Phys.

1993, 99, 4597.

(59) Autschbach, J.; Ziegler, T. In Calculation of NMR and EPR Parameters: Theory and Application; Kaupp, M., Buhl, M., Malkin, V. G., Eds.; Wiley-VCH & Co.: Weinheim, Germany, 2004; p 249.

(60) (a) ADF 2004.01, ADF Users Guide; SCM, Theoretical Chemistry, Vrije Universiteit: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2004; http://www.scm.com. (b) te Velde, G.; Bickelhaupt, F. M.; Baerends, E. J.; Fonseca Guerra, C.; van Gisbergen, J. A.; Snijders, J. G.; Ziegler, T. J. Comput. Chem. 2001, 22, 931.

[\(61\)](http://www.scm.com) [Werner,](http://www.scm.com) [H.-J.;](http://www.scm.com) Knowles, P. J.; Lindh, R.; Manby, F. R.; Schütz, M.; Celani, P.; Korona, T.; Mitrushenkov, A.; Rauhut, G.; Adler, T. B.; Amos, R. D.; Bernhardsson, A.; Berning, A.; Cooper, D. L.; Deegan, M. J. O.; Dobbyn, A. J.; Eckert, F.; Goll, E.; Hampel, C.; Hetzer, G.; Hrenar, T.; Knizia, G.; Kö ppl, C.; Liu, Y.; Lloyd, A. W.; Mata, R. A.; May, A. J.; McNicholas, S. J.; Meyer, W.; Mura, M. E.; Nicklaß, A.; Palmieri, P.; Pflü ger, K.; Pitzer, R.; Reiher, M.; Schumann, U.; Stoll, H.; Stone, A. J.; Tarroni, R.; Thorsteinsson, T.; Wang, M.; Wolf, A. MOLPRO, A Package of ab initio Programs, Version 2009; University of Cardiff/Universität Stuttgart: Wales, U.K./Stuttgart, Germany, 2009.

(62) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb,M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, K. N.; Burant, J. C.; Millam, J. M.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.;Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, G. A.;Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.;Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li,X.; Knox, J. E.; Hratchian, H. P.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.;Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.;Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Ayala, P. Y.; Morokuma, K.;Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Dapprich,S.; Daniels, A. D.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, A. G.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.;Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham,M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.;Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, J. A. Gaussian03, Revision C.02; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2004.

(63) AMPAC 9; Semichem, Inc.: Shawnee, KS, 1992−2008.

(64) Carrington, A.; Currie, G. N.; Miller, T. A.; Levy, D. H. J. Chem. Phys. 1969, 50, 2726.

(65) Feller, D.; Peterson, K. A.; Dixon, D. A. J. Chem. Phys. 2008, 129, 204105.

(66) Sutton, L. E., Ed.; Tables of Interatomic Distances and Configuration in Molecules and Ions; The Chemical Society: London, U.K., 1958.

(67) (a) Haas, A.; Willner, H. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1979, 454, 17. (b) Jacox, M. E. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 1998, 27 (2), 115.

(68) de Leeuw, D. M.; Mooyman, R.; de Lange, C. A. Chem. Phys. 1979, 38, 21.

(69) (a) Snodgrass, J. T.; Coe, J. V.; McHugh, K. M.; Freidhoff, C. B.; Bowen, K. H. J. Phys. Chem. 1989, 93, 1249. (b) Coe, J. V.; Snodgrass, J. T.; Freidhoff, C. B.; McHugh, K. M.; Bowen, K. H. J. Chem. Phys. 1986, 84, 618. (c) Smoes, S.; Drowart, J. J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1984, 80, 1171.

(70) Poleschner, H.; Seppelt, K. Chem.-Eur. J. 2004, 10, 6565.

(71) O'Hare, P. A. G. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 1986, 18, 555−562.

(72) (a) Helgaker, T.; Klopper, W.; Koch, H.; Noga, J. J. Chem. Phys.

1997, 106, 9639. (b) Halkier, A.; Helgaker, T.; Jørgensen, P.; Klopper,

W.; Koch, H.; Olsen, J.; Wilson, A. K. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1998, 286, 243. (73) Martin, M. L. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1996, 259, 699.

(74) Carre, J.; Claudy, P.; Letoffe, J. M.; Kolimannsberger, M.; Bousquet, E. J. J. Fluorine Chem. 1979, 14, 139. Carre, J.; Germain, P.; Kolimannsberger, M.; Perachon, G.; Thourey, J. J. Fluorine Chem. 1979, 13, 365.

(75) Brion, C. E. Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys. 1969, 3, 197.

(76) Compton, R. N.; Reinhardt, P. W.; Cooper, C. D. J. Chem. Phys. 1978, 68, 2023.

(77) Luo, Y.-R. Comprehensive Handbook of Chemical Bond Energies; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 2007.

(78) (a) Nicolaides, A.; Borden, W. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 6750. (b) Wang, S. Y.; Borden, W. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 7282. (c) Carter, E. A.; Goddard, W. A. III J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 4077. (d) Carter, E. A.; Goddard, W. A. III J. Phys. Chem. 1986, 90, 998.

(79) (a) Grant, D. J.; Dixon, D. A. J. Phys. Chem. A 2006, 110, 12955. (b) Grant, D. J.; Matus, M. H.; Switzer, J. K.; Dixon, D. A.; Francisco, J. S.; Christe, K. O. J. Phys. Chem. A 2008, 112, 3145.

(80) (a) O'Hare, P. A. G.; Wahl, A. C. J. Chem. Phys. 1970, 53, 2834. (b) O'Hare, P. A. G.; Hubbard, W. N.; Feder, H. M. IUPAC Thermodynamik Symposium, Heidelberg, Germany, September 1967; Paper No. II-5.

(81) Lide, D. R., Ed.; CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 86th ed.; Taylor and Francis: Boca Raton, FL, 2005; pp (10-202)−(10-222).

(82) Harland, P. W.; Thynne, J. C. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett. 1973, 9, 265.

(83) Ficalora, P. J.; Thompson, J. C.; Margrave, J. L. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1969, 31, 3771.