# **Inorganic Chemistry**

# The Intercalation of N,N,N′ ,N′-Tetramethyl-ethane-1,2-diamine (tmeda) into  $C_6$ Li and  $C_{12}$ Li

Mark J. Henderson,<sup>†</sup> Takatoshi Nishimura,<sup>†</sup> and John W. White<sup>\*,†</sup>

Research School of Che[mi](#page-2-0)stry, Australian National U[ni](#page-2-0)versity, Canberra ACT 0[200,](#page-2-0) Australia

**S** Supporting Information

[ABSTRACT:](#page-2-0) Liquid N,N,N′,N′-tetramethyl-ethane-1,2-diamine (tmeda) was intercalated into preprepared  $C_6$ Li or  $C_{12}$ Li. X-ray diffraction from the ternary compound indicates an identity period of 11.5 Å. The  $^{13}$ C NMR line shifts show that tmeda molecules form a screen between the graphene planes and the lithium ions. Smallangle X-ray scattering showed that no higher structural ordering was present but revealed a progressive roughening of the surfaces with successive intercalation of lithium and amine into the graphite galleries.

Stage I  $C_8K$  readily absorbs hydrogen to a maximum hydrogen concentration of  $x = 0.67$  in the C<sub>8</sub>KH<sub>x</sub> product at ambient pressure.<sup>1</sup> However, the phase instability of the hydrogenated lithium analogues presents significant challenges for rechargeable hy[dr](#page-2-0)ogen storage. $2,3$  As charge transfer from the alkali metal to graphite results in a strongly polarized electrostatic field that can have a si[gn](#page-2-0)ificant effect on the interaction with adsorbed  $H_2$  molecules,<sup>4,5</sup> our current aim is to affect the distribution of the donated electron within binary  $C_6$ Li and  $C_{12}$ Li [co](#page-2-0)mpounds with concomitant opening of the gallery structure. This is achieved by intercalating polar organic molecules, e.g., furans<sup>6</sup> and amines. Computational methods have shown that high hydrogen storage capacity is possible where the open gall[er](#page-2-0)y structures of layered materials are preserved, e.g., for Li-doped graphene oxide<sup>7</sup> or graphite pillared with  $\text{Li}^+(\text{THF})_x$  complexes.<sup>8</sup>

Amine intercalation into alkali graphite intercalation compounds has received renewed [i](#page-2-0)nterest $9,10$  because these graphitic materials are precursors for exfoliation into technologically important graphene. However, the [Li](#page-2-0)−tmeda−graphite intercalation compound remains elusive when a direct, onepot method is employed.<sup>11</sup> Here, we present the preparation of Li−tmeda−graphite ternary by gentle reflux of either binary stage I  $C_6$ Li or stage II  $C_{12}$ Li with excess liquid tmeda. This particular intercalation compound was targeted with the view to study its hydrogen adsorption/desorption properties.

A solvent-less route was used to prepare  $C_6$ Li and  $C_{12}$ Li.<sup>12</sup> Liquid tmeda was subsequently intercalated into a binary compound. However, because the reaction was incomplete [at](#page-2-0) room temperature, mixtures of the binary compound and tmeda were refluxed in an argon atmosphere. Figure 1 shows Xray diffraction patterns displayed as I vs  $Q$  of  $C_6$ Li binary and Li–tmeda–graphite. Q is the scattering vector,  $(4\pi \sin \theta)/\lambda$ and  $\lambda$  the wavelength, 1.54 Å. Pristine C<sub>6</sub>Li displays the (001) reflection at 1.71  $\AA^{-1}$  corresponding to an identity period of 3.7



Figure 1. X-ray diffraction patterns displayed as I vs  $Q$  of  $C_6$ Li (dotted line) and  $C_{12}$ Li after refluxing with tmeda (black line) showing the first three (00 $l$ ) reflections for the 11.5 Å identity period.  $\lambda = 1.54$  Å.

Å, in good agreement with the literature value of 3.706  $\AA$ <sup>13</sup> As the  $(001)$  reflection of the pristine C<sub>6</sub>Li vanished after this treatment, c[o](#page-2-0)mplete conversion of the binary  $C_6$ Li into the ternary compound was achieved. The first three  $(00I)$  X-ray reflections of the ternary compound are numbered and correspond to an identity period of 11.5 Å, larger than that of the potassium−tmeda−graphite ternary, 8.94 Å, prepared by infiltrating  $C_{36}K$  with tmeda.<sup>14</sup>

We note at this point that the  $(00l)$  reflections vanished after prolonged vacuum treatm[ent](#page-2-0), and a series of reflections corresponding to an identity period of 9.1 Å became more noticeable (Figure S1, Supporting Information). The dimensions of the gallery height after subtraction of the thickness of the graphite sheet, 3.3[5 Å, from the 11.5 Å an](#page-2-0)d 9 Å identity periods are commensurate with the length and width of a tmeda molecule when considered as a short cylinder (Figure S2). This result suggests that a reorientation of the tmeda molecule from perpendicular to parallel with respect [to the](#page-2-0) [gra](#page-2-0)phene planes had occurred after vacuum treatment. Using the gallery height, an estimate of the area of a tmeda molecule from the radius of the cylinder and the radius of the lithium ion, the volume occupied by the tmeda molecule was calculated according to

$$
V_{\text{tmeda}} = \pi r_{\text{tmeda}}^2 \times (I_{\text{c}} - 3.35) - \frac{4\pi r_{\text{Li}}^3}{3}
$$

Received: February 5, 2012 Published: April 2, 2012

The volume of 146  $A<sup>3</sup>$  is in rough agreement with the volume estimated by MM2 energy minimization methods, 133  $\AA^{3.15}$ .

The SAXS pattern of the ternary compound prepared using  $C_{12}$ Li as [th](#page-2-0)e precursor is shown in Figure 2 together with that



Figure 2. Small angle X-ray scattering measurements displayed as  $log_{10}$ I vs Q of Ceylon graphite (dotted line),  $C_{12}Li$  (black line), and  $C_{12}Li$ after refluxing with tmeda (gray line).  $\lambda = 1.54$  Å.

obtained from graphite and  $C_{12}$ Li. Scattering from  $C_{12}$ Li shows a weak maximum centered at 0.21 Å<sup>-1</sup>. Previously, we reported that the small angle scattering from binary  $C_6L$  and  $C_1L$  and the tetrahydrofuran ternary originates from lateral inhomogeneities that result from the distribution of lithium in the galleries rather than the presence of higher stage intercalation compounds.<sup>6</sup> An indication of the nature of the surfaces was obtained from the slope of the log I vs log Q plots (Figure S3): graphite,  $-3.7$  $-3.7$  $-3.7$ ; C<sub>12</sub>Li,  $-3.4$ ; and Li-tmeda-graphite,  $-2.6$ , suggesting a progressive roughening of the s[urface wit](#page-2-0)h successive intercalation of lithium and amine. The ternary compound having the same identity period was formed when either  $C_6$ Li or  $C_{12}$ Li was used as the precursor material. This result supports the Staging Domain or Pleated Layer model proposed by Daumas and Hérold. According to this model, the intercalant in an *n*-stage compound covers a fraction of  $1/n$  of the area of each graphene sheet, rather than the intercalant being present only in one of every  $n$  sheets.<sup>16</sup> The experimental tmeda/Li ratio of 1:1 was obtained from the Li, C, H, and N content. A stylized image of the Li−tmeda[−](#page-2-0)graphite ternary is presented in the Supporting Information, Figure S4.

The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of graphite,  $C_6Li$ , and the ternary compound are sh[own in Figure 3. These](#page-2-0) spectra arise from the combined effects of the diamagnetic chemical shift and the paramagnetic Knight shift $17$  in these semimetals. The shifts are anisotropic, displaying a downfield peak resulting from  $\delta_{ab}$ absorption and an upfie[ld](#page-2-0) peak from  $\delta_c$  absorption due to particles with their  $\mathfrak c$  axis parallel to the field, as demonstrated for K−benzene−graphite ternaries.<sup>18,19</sup> For C<sub>6</sub>Li and the Li− tmeda–graphite ternary compound, the  $\delta_c$  absorption peaks occur at +80 ppm and +50 ppm, [resp](#page-2-0)ectively. We note here that our graphite reference in ∼12T field shows absorption at −20 ppm, slightly lower than the −50 ppm shift observed in a  $2T^{20}$  or 6T<sup>21</sup> field. However, the shift for C<sub>6</sub>Li of 100 ppm is in reasonable agreement with the literature value of 106 ppm.<sup>20</sup> T[he](#page-2-0) result [o](#page-2-0)f the intercalation of the tmeda into the binary compound is a shift of the  $\delta_c$  peak to higher field, indicati[ng](#page-2-0) that the graphene and Li layers have been decoupled; i.e., the tmeda molecules form a screen. A previous study of M−THF−



Figure 3. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of Ceylon graphite (black line),  $C_6Li$  (blue line), Li−tmeda−graphite ternary (red line), and tmeda (dotted line).

graphites ( $M = K$ , Rb, Cs) has shown that charge donated by the alkali metal is distributed between the graphene planes and the furan.<sup>22</sup> The  $^{13}$ C NMR spectrum of liquid tmeda is shown in Figure 3 as a control.

We have [sh](#page-2-0)own that it is possible for Li to attract tmeda into the sterically challenged environment of preprepared lithium intercalated graphite galleries of 3.7 Å spacing. On the other hand, the direct combination of Li, tmeda, and graphite failed to produce the ternary compound. $11$  For the latter case, prior coordination of tmeda to lithium must inhibit the entry of the ligand−metal ensemble into the [s](#page-2-0)mall graphitic interlayer distances. Our attempts to form a Li−H−graphite ternary by the direct combination of Li,  $H<sub>2</sub>$ , and graphite in the reactor at 200 °C and 450−600 rpm agitation not only failed but this approach also inhibited the formation of the  $C_6L$  and  $C_{12}L$ binaries that are readily formed in an argon atmosphere. The lack of intercalation can be reconciled in terms of the enthalpy of formation of the alkali metal hydrides: higher in the case for LiH, -44 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, than for KH, -14.11 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>.<sup>23</sup> . Returning to tmeda, the one-pot synthesis failed to produce the ternary compound not because of a lack of an association [of](#page-2-0) this ligand for lithium but because of this association. We found that the related Lewis base ethylenediamine (en) was able to form a graphite intercalation compound when  $C_{12}$ Li was mixed with this liquid amine at room temperature in a capillary, Figure S5. However, the synthesis was poorly reproducible when attempted at gram-scale, most likely a result of  $H_2$  ev[olution](#page-2-0) [and](#page-2-0) lithium deintercalation. The deep blue filtrate from the reaction displayed an absorption maximum at 589 nm, indicating the formation of the amide  $H_2NCH_2CH_2NH^-$ Li<sup>+24</sup> Li–en–graphite has been reported.<sup>11,25</sup> .

Despite the controversy over the mechanism of tmedaacti[va](#page-2-0)ted lithium,<sup>26</sup> tmeda is still widely [used](#page-2-0) as a ligand for organolithium reagents, notably Bu"Li, to increase its reactivity toward metalatio[n.](#page-2-0) Though the crystallography presented here cannot provide an insight into the thermodynamic or kinetic aspects of the activation, in practice the moderately air-sensitive Li−tmeda−graphite could find use as a convenient metalation reagent because the solid byproduct, graphite, can be readily separated from the soluble products.

Our study of the in-plane structure of the ternary compound using exfoliated sheet graphite (Papyex) containing partially oriented graphitic crystallites will be presented in a future publication.

N,N,N′,N′-tetramethyl-ethane-1,2-diamine was intercalated into either stage I  $C_6Li$  or stage II  $C_{12}Li$  to form a new coordination

<span id="page-2-0"></span>compound having an identity period of 11.5 Å. The use of the binary precursors allows the preparation of this ternary compound not accessible by the one-pot method. $^{11}$ 

# ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

### **6** Supporting Information

Synthesis, analyses, X-ray diffraction, X-ray scattering patterns, and graphics. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

# ■ AUTH[OR INFORMATIO](http://pubs.acs.org)N

#### Corresponding Author

\*Tel.: 61 02 6125 3578. Fax: 61 02 6125 4903. E-mail: jww@ rsc.anu.edu.au.

#### Author Contributions

† [These author](mailto:jww@rsc.anu.edu.au)s contributed equally.

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Mr. Chris Blake for assistance with NMR measurements, Mr. David King for XRD and SAXS measurements, Dr. Joseph Hughes for the UV-Vis measurement, and Mr. Link Williams for modifications to the stainless steel apparatus that was used to prepare  $C_6Li$  and  $C_{12}Li$ . The authors acknowledge DIISR Australia/DST India AISRF Targeted Allocation TA020004 project "Nanocomposite materials in clean energy − generation, storage and savings".

#### **ENDINE REFERENCES**

(1) (a) Beaufils, J. P.; Trewern, T.; Thomas, R. K.; White, J. W. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1 1982, 78, 2387−2397. (b) Trewern, T.; Thomas, R. K.; Naylor, G.; White, J. W. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1 1982, 78, 2369−2385. (c) Trewern, T.; Thomas, R. K.; White, J. W. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1 1982, 78, 2399−2410.

(2) Miyaoka, H.; Ishida, W.; Ichikawa, T.; Kojima, Y. J. Alloys Compd. 2011, 509, 719−723.

(3) Srinivas, G.; Lovell, A.; Howard, C. A.; Skipper, N. T.; Ellerby, M.; Bennington, S. M. Synth. Met. 2010, 160, 1631−1635.

(4) Stead, W. J.; Jackson, I. P.; Mccaffrey, J.; White, J. W. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2 1988, 84, 1669−1682.

- (5) Wielopolski, P. A.; White, J. W. Mol. Phys. 1990, 69, 959−972.
- (6) Henderson, M. J.; White, J. W. Int. J. Energy Eng. 2011, 1, 19−26.
- (7) Tylianakis, E.; Psofogiannakis, G. M.; Froudakis, G. E. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2010, 1, 2459−2464.
- (8) Han, S. S.; Jang, S. S. Chem. Commun. 2009, 5427−5429.
- (9) Lerner, M. M.; Maluangnont, T.; Gotoh, K.; Fujiwara, K. Carbon 2011, 49, 1040−1042.
- (10) Maluangnont, T.; Sirisaksoontorn, W.; Lerner, M. M. Carbon 2012, 50, 597−602.
- (11) Maluangnont, T.; Lerner, M. M.; Gotoh, K. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 50, 11676−11682.
- (12) Postnikov, V. A.; Kakuliya, T. V.; Khananashvili, L. M.; Isaev, Y.
- V.; Novikov, Y. N.; Volpin, M. E. Russ. Chem. Bull. 1979, 28, 626−627. (13) Sangster, J. J. Phase Equilib. Diffus. 2007, 28, 561−570.
- (14) Merle, G.; Letoffe, J. M.; Rashkov, I. B.; Claudy, P. J. Therm. Anal. 1978, 13, 293−305.
- (15) Aathimanikandan, S. V.; Sandanaraj, B. S.; Arges, C. G.; Bardeen, C. J.; Thayumanavan, S. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 2809−2812.
- (16) Dresselhaus, M. S.; Dresselhaus, G. Adv. Phys. 2002, 51, 1−186. (17) Slichter, C. P. Principles of Magnetic Resonance; Harper & Row:
- New York, 1963.

(18) Quinton, M. F.; Legrand, A. P.; Facchini, L.; Beguin, F. Synth. Met. 1988, 23, 271−276.

(19) Beguin, F.; Setton, R.; Facchini, L. Synth. Met. 1983, 7, 263− 269.

(20) Conard, J.; Estrade, H.; Lauginie, P.; Fuzellier, H.; Furdin, G.; Vasse, R. Physica B 1980, 99, 521−524.

(21) Conard, J.; Gutierrezlebrun, M.; Lauginie, P.; Estradeszwarckopf, H.; Hermann, G. Synth. Met. 1980, 2, 227−236.

(22) Jegoudez, J.; Mazieres, C.; Setton, R. Carbon 1986, 24, 747− 756.

(23) Elansari, L.; Marassi, R.; Tossici, R.; Gachon, J. C.; Antoine, L.; Nalimova, V. A.; Guerard, D. Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. 1998, 310, 309− 314.

(24) Reggel, L.; Friedel, R. A.; Wender, I. J. Org. Chem. 1957, 22, 891−895.

(25) Rudorff, W. Chimia 196519, 489−499.

(26) Collum, D. B. Acc. Chem. Res. 1992, 25, 448−454.